



JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA

www.courts.ca.gov/familyjuvenilecomm.htm
familyjuvenilecomm@jud.ca.gov
www.courts.ca.gov/tcbac.htm
tcbac@jud.ca.gov
<http://www.courts.ca.gov/waac.htm>
waac@jud.ca.gov

AB1058 FUNDING ALLOCATION JOINT SUBCOMMITTEE

MINUTES OF OPEN MEETING

August 20, 2018
12:15 p.m. - 1:15p.m.
Conference Call

Advisory Body Members Present: Hon. Mark Ashton Cope, Cochair, Hon. Joyce D. Hinrichs, Cochair, Hon. Mark A. Juhas, Cochair, Hon. Sue Alexander, Mr. Mark Beckley, Hon. Jonathan B. Conklin, Ms. Rebecca Fleming, Ms. Catherine Hohenwarter, Hon. Patricia M. Lucas, Hon. B. Scott Thomsen

Advisory Body Members Absent: Hon. Lorna A. Alksne, Ms. Sheran Morton

Others Present: Ms. Charlene Depner, Ms. Tracy Kenny, Ms. Anna Maves, Ms. Leah Rose-Goodwin, Mr. Gary Slossberg, Mr. Don Will

OPEN MEETING (CAL. RULES OF COURT, RULE 10.75(C)(1))

Call to Order and Roll Call

Judge Cope called the meeting to order at 12:15 p.m., and took roll call.
Judge Cope noted that two members of the public submitted public comments.

Approval of Minutes

The minutes of June 19, 2018 were approved by acclamation.

INFORMATION ONLY ITEMS (NO ACTION REQUIRED)

Item 1

Welcome and Approval of Minutes

Item 2

Review of Draft Child Support Commissioner Funding Allocation Models

Presenter: Leah Rose-Goodwin, Manager, Judicial Council Office of Court Research
Don Will, Deputy Director, Judicial Council Center for Families, Children & the Courts

Ms. Rose-Goodwin and Mr. Will presented a PowerPoint presentation (included in the meeting materials) which described three possible funding models for child support commissioners developed by staff, following the direction provided by the Joint Subcommittee at the April 2018 Subcommittee meeting. Ms. Rose-Goodwin explained that Model 1 is a baseline for the other models and follows the principles

underlying the Resource Assessment Study (RAS) and the Workload-Based Funding Methodology (WAFM) to determine each court's funding need.

Mr. Will presented two additional models. The first is identical to Model 1, but includes a 5% maximum cap on any funding decreases from the allocations in the 2018-2019 fiscal year. The second is also identical to Model 1, but maintains all Cluster 1 courts at their allocations for the 2018-2019 fiscal year.

The members requested that a fourth model be created that includes the 5% maximum on funding decreases and maintains the current funding of the Cluster 1 courts.

In considering Model 2, the members discussed whether the 5% cap would be an absolute cap or if instead the courts receiving decreases would continue to have cuts in funding until their funding levels reached their funding needs as determined by Model 1. The members agreed to continue this discussion at the next Subcommittee meeting.

Item 3

Next Steps

AB 1058 Program Manager Anna Maves explained that it is anticipated that the in-person Joint Subcommittee meeting on September 10 at the Sacramento JCC office will be the final meeting and that the Joint Subcommittee will make decisions on recommendations to the Judicial Council regarding 1) the Child Support Commissioner funding model, 2) the Family Law Facilitator funding model, and 3) the allocation of federal drawdown funds. It was confirmed that the recommendation at the June 19 Subcommittee meeting was to postpone recommending changes to the funding model for the Family Law Facilitator Program pending the cost/benefit analysis of the implementation of the new self-help funding for the courts.

Staff will have a draft Report to the Judicial Council available prior to the next meeting for the Joint Subcommittee's review. The Joint Subcommittee's recommendations will go to the Family and Juvenile Law Advisory Committee, the Workload Assessment Advisory Committee, and the Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee for its consideration. Each advisory committee can accept, accept with modifications, or reject the recommendations.

A D J O U R N M E N T

Concluding Remarks and Adjourn at 1:01p.m..

Approved by the advisory body on .