# INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY ADVISORY COMMITTEE

# MINUTES OF ACTION BY EMAIL BETWEEN MEETINGS NOVEMBER 16, 2015

### Email Proposal

The Information Technology Advisory Committee (ITAC) was asked to recommend that two proposals be circulated for public comment during the winter rules cycle. The proposals would implement Assembly Bill 879 (electronic notice of certain juvenile dependency hearings) and Assembly Bill 1519 (retention of signatures by local child support agencies on e-filed pleadings). They were developed and recommended for circulation by the Family and Juvenile Law Advisory Committee. They were also recommended for circulation by ITAC's Rules and Policy Subcommittee. If approved, the proposals would be sent to the Rules and Projects Committee to determine whether they should be circulated for public comment during the winter rules cycle.

Due to timing constraints, the committee did not have the opportunity to schedule a meeting to consider the proposals. Accordingly, the Chair concluded that prompt action by email was necessary.

## <u>Notice</u>

On November 12, 2015, a notice was posted advising that ITAC was proposing to act by email between meetings under California Rules of Court, rule 10.75(o)(1).

#### **Public Comment**

Because the action by email concerned a subject that otherwise must be discussed in an open meeting, ITAC invited public comment on the proposals under rule 10.75(0)(2). The public comment period began at 4:00 p.m., Thursday, November 12, 2015, and ended at 4:00 p.m., Friday November 13, 2015. No comments were received.

#### **Action Taken**

After the public comment period ended, ITAC members were asked to submit their votes on the report by 4:00 p.m. on Monday, November 16, 2015. Eighteen (18) committee members voted to recommend that the rules and form proposal to implement AB 879 be circulated for public comment; three (3) members did not vote. In addition, eighteen (18) committee members voted to recommend that the rules proposal to implement AB 1519 be circulated for public comment; three (3) members did not vote. Both proposals were recommended to the Rules and Projects Committee for circulation for public comment.