



## Judicial Council of California . Administrative Office of the Courts

455 Golden Gate Avenue · San Francisco, California 94102-3688

[www.courts.ca.gov](http://www.courts.ca.gov)

---

# REPORT TO THE JUDICIAL COUNCIL

For business meeting on : August 23, 2013

---

Title	Agenda Item Type
Equal Access Fund: Distribution of Funds for IOLTA-Formula Grants	Action Required
Rules, Forms, Standards, or Statutes Affected	Effective Date
None	August 31, 2012
Recommended by	Date of Report
Legal Services Trust Fund Commission Jeffrey Ball, Cochair David Lash, Cochair	July 31, 2013
	Contact
	Bonnie Rose Hough, 415-865-7668 <a href="mailto:bonnie.hough@jud.ca.gov">bonnie.hough@jud.ca.gov</a>

---

### Executive Summary

The Budget Act of 2013 includes \$15,874,000 in the Equal Access Fund for distribution to legal services providers and support centers. Equal Access funds are distributed primarily in two parts, IOLTA-Formula Grants and Partnership Grants (with a small amount also distributed for administration). The State Bar Legal Services Trust Fund Commission requests approval of the distribution of \$14,462,250 in IOLTA-Formula Grants for fiscal year 2013–2014, according to the statutory formula in the state Budget Act, and approve the commission’s findings that the proposed budget for each individual grant complies with statutory and other relevant guidelines.

### Recommendation

The Legal Services Trust Fund Commission recommends that the Judicial Council approve the distribution of \$14,462,250 in IOLTA-Formula Grants for 2013–2014 according to the terms of the state Budget Act and approve the commission’s determination that the proposed budget of each individual grant complies with statutory and other guidelines.

The commission's report on distribution of the grant funds is attached at pages 4-25.

### **Previous Council Action**

The Judicial Council has approved the proposed distribution for each of the past 14 years based on the recommendations of the Legal Services Trust Fund Commission.

### **Rationale for Recommendation**

Since 1999, the state Budget Act has contained a provision for the allotment to an Equal Access Fund "to improve equal access and the fair administration of justice." In 1999, the amount allocated was \$10 million. (Sen. Bill 160; Stats. 1999, ch. 50), Item 0250-101-001.) It has increased over time to the current year's figure of \$10,392,000. (Assem. Bill 110; Stats. 2013, ch. 20); see Item 0250-101-0001, Schedule 8.)

In addition, in 2005, the Uniform Civil Fees and Standard Fee Schedule Act was approved by the Legislature and the Governor Assem. Bill 195; Stats. 2005, ch. 75. That act established a new distribution of \$4.80 per first paper filing fee to the Equal Access Fund via the Trial Court Trust Fund. The expenditure authority stated in the Budget Act of 2013 from those filing fees for the Equal Access Fund is \$5,482,000. (See Item 0250-101-0932, Schedule 7.)

Provision 1 of budget item 0250-101-0001 (attached at pages 9-10) requires the Judicial Council to distribute the Equal Access Fund monies to legal services providers through the State Bar Legal Services Trust Fund Commission. The State Bar created the commission to administer the law regulating attorneys' interest-bearing (IOLTA) trust accounts. (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6210 et seq.; and State Bar Rules Regulating Interest-Bearing Trust Fund Accounts for the Provision of Legal Services to Indigent Persons, rule 4.) The commission now also distributes Equal Access Fund monies (90 percent through IOLTA Formula Grants, and 10 percent through Partnership Grants) and Justice Gap funds.

The Budget Act further requires that "[t]he Judicial Council shall approve awards made by the commission if the council determines that the awards comply with statutory and other relevant guidelines. . . . The Judicial Council may establish additional reporting or quality control requirements . . . ." (The relevant sections of the proposed act are attached at pages 9-12.)

The Chief Justice, as chair of the Judicial Council, appoints one-third of the voting members to the commission: five attorney members and two public members, one of whom is a court administrator. The Chief Justice also appoints three nonvoting judges to the commission: two trial court judges and one appellate justice. (See Attachment A, pages 13-15 for the membership roster of the Legal Services Trust Fund Commission.)

For the grant year that runs from October 1, 2013, through September 30, 2014, the Legal Services Trust Fund Commission has approved a schedule for allocation of the part of the Equal

Access Fund grants referred to as IOLTA-Formula Grants to legal services providers according to the formula established under the Business and Professions Code.

The commission's report on the allocation of the Equal Access Fund shows that the commission has followed the statutory requirements and the additional criteria adopted by the council at its August 1999 meeting.

It is appropriate for the Judicial Council to approve the distribution of \$14,462,250 in IOLTA-Formula Grants awarded by the Legal Services Trust Fund Commission to allow distribution to the eligible organizations in October. The commission will return to request approval of the distribution of Partnership Grants (the remaining part of the Equal Access Fund monies) after completing that selection process later this year.

### **Comments, Alternatives Considered, and Policy Implications**

The recommendations have been approved by the Legal Services Trust Fund Commission as required by law. The statutory scheme does not contemplate public comment.

There are no viable alternatives to distributing the funds according to the recommendations of the Legal Services Trust Fund Commission. The Budget Act requires the council to approve the distribution if it finds that the statutory and other relevant guidelines are met.

### **Implementation Requirements, Costs, and Operational Impacts**

The IOLTA-Formula Grants require no court implementation. AOC staff will continue to work with trust fund commission staff to oversee administration of the Equal Access Fund. AOC staff support is covered by the provision for administrative costs in the Budget Act appropriation. Although the recommended allocations will have no direct fiscal effect on the courts, the courts will benefit indirectly from the assistance provided to self-represented litigants.

### **Relevant Strategic Plan Goals and Operational Plan Objectives**

This recommendation helps to implement Judicial Council strategic Goal 1, increasing Access, Fairness, and Diversity, by increasing representation for low-income persons..

### **Attachments**

1. Attachment A: July 18, 2013 report of the State Bar Legal Services Trust Fund Commission



# THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA

## LEGAL SERVICES TRUST FUND PROGRAM

180 HOWARD STREET, SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94105-1617

TELEPHONE: 415-538-2252; FAX: 415-538-2389

### LEGAL SERVICES TRUST FUND COMMISSION – 2013-2014

David Lash, Esquire  
Co-Chair  
O'Melveny & Myers, LLP

Jeffrey Ball  
Co-Chair  
Friendly Hills Bank

Adrian Dollard, Esquire  
Co-Vice-Chair  
Qatalyst Partners

Barry J. Tucker, Esquire  
Co-Vice-Chair  
Cassidian Communications, Inc.

Banafsheh Akhlaghi, Esquire  
Tamara Lynn Beard

Deborah F. Ching  
Nonprofit Consulting Group

Mark R. Conrad, Esquire  
Munger, Tolles & Olsen LLP

Jeanne Finberg, Esquire  
Office of the Attorney General

Mollie Gomez  
Public Member

Emily Harpster  
United Way of the Bay Area

Donna Hershkowitz, Esquire  
Office of Governmental Affairs  
Judicial Council

Richard G. Reinis, Esquire  
Stephoe & Johnson LLP

LaQuita Robbins  
Soothing Visitation

Bonnie Rubin  
1<sup>st</sup> Century Bank

Susan D. Ryan, Esquire  
Superior Court of California  
County of Riverside

Kim Savage, Esquire  
Law Office of Kim Savage

Christina S. Stokholm, Esquire  
Law Offices of Mark Pachowicz

Judge John A. Sutro, Jr., Retired

Melissa L. White, Esquire  
Trinity Fruit Sales Corporate  
Counsel

Cristin M. Zeisler, Esquire

#### JUDICIAL ADVISORS

Hon. Michael J. Convey  
Superior Court of California  
County of Los Angeles

Hon. Faye D'Opal  
Judge of the Superior Court  
County of Marin

Hon. Maria P. Rivera  
Justice, First District Court of Appeal

DATE: July 18, 2013

TO: Members of the Judicial Council

FROM: David Lash, Co-Chair  
Jeffrey Ball, Co-Chair  
Legal Services Trust Fund Commission

Stephanie L. Choy, Managing Director  
Legal Services Trust Fund Program

SUBJECT: Distribution of Equal Access Fund Grants – 2013-14 Grant Year

### EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Since 1999, the Judicial Council (the "Council") budget has included the Equal Access Fund for grants to provide free legal assistance to indigent Californians. These grants are made through the Legal Services Trust Fund Commission of the State Bar (the "Commission").

In 1999, you took action to implement this Fund, adopting procedures for the Chief Justice to appoint a third of the members of the Commission and approving the award of grants. You have approved the award of grants in each subsequent year.

Each year the Equal Access Fund is authorized by the State Budget Act. The Budget Act of 2013 includes a basic budgetary allocation from the General Fund of \$10,392,000 and additional funding from the Trial Court Trust fund in the amount of \$5,482,000 (less five percent, or \$274,100, for administrative expenses). These funds are to be distributed together in two parts: 90% of the funds are distributed according to the statutory Interest on Lawyers' Trust Account ("IOLTA") formula, 10% of the funds are distributed as discretionary grants to partnership projects between legal aid and court programs.

*IOLTA-Formula Grants.* The \$14,665,872 in "IOLTA-Formula Grants" allocated for the 2012-13 grant year has funded a wide range of legal services for low-income Californians. These funds were allocated according to a formula set forth in the IOLTA statute (Business & Professions Code sections 6210 et seq.) and pursuant to procedures already in place for determining eligibility and administering grants. Two categories of legal services providers are eligible for grants: "Qualified Legal Services Projects" and "Qualified Support Centers." A system of grant application, budget review, reporting and on-site visits, is used to monitor compliance with grant requirements.

The 2012-2013 grant year will end September 30, 2013. We now request the Council approve the distribution of the IOLTA-Formula Grants for 2013-2014.

The Commission has already identified eligible or provisionally eligible legal services providers and the appropriate allocation of 2013-2014 Equal Access Fund grants in accordance with the IOLTA statute. Assuming the Council now approves the 2013-2014 Equal Access Fund grant distribution, then, subject only to the Commission's final approval of specific project budgets from grantees, staff will begin to distribute the grant funds as soon as practicable after the commencement of the grant year on October 1.

It is now timely and appropriate for the Council to approve the distribution of the IOLTA-Formula Grants for 2013-2014 in the total amount of \$14,462,250, including funds authorized by the Budget Act of 2013, undistributed grant allocations, and filing fee revenue received but not distributed in 2012-2013.

The Commission will request that the Council approve the distribution of the Partnership Grants at or around its December 2013 meeting.

## INTRODUCTION – THE BUDGET ACT

The Equal Access Fund, initially created by the Budget Act of 1999, has been continued in each subsequent Budget Act, including the 2013 Budget Act. The basic Equal Access Fund allocation under each Budget Act is allocated to the Council, to be distributed in grants to legal services providers through the Commission. The budget control language establishes two kinds of grants: IOLTA-Formula Grants and Partnership Grants. The budget also provides for funds for the cost of administration.

Since 2005, the basic Equal Access Fund budget allocation has been supplemented with the Uniform Civil Fees and Standard Fee Schedule Act, approved by the Legislature and the Governor. That Act established a new distribution to the Equal Access Fund of \$4.80 per filing fee. Through these fees, the Equal Access Fund has been supplemented by amounts ranging from about \$2.5 to \$6.4 million annually. In the past, the Council's practice has been to estimate anticipated filing fee revenue conservatively to maximize grantee ability to rely on budgeted grant amounts. Because filing fee revenue exceeded projected amounts last year, the Commission at this time requests the Council approve distribution of the additional \$409,206 in 2012-2013 filing fee revenue with EAF 2013-2014 grants.

Based upon past experience, this year's Budget Act includes \$5,482,000 of anticipated filing fee revenue for the Equal Access Fund, inclusive of administrative fees, payable from the Trial Court Trust Fund. This is the same amount that was included last year.

Additionally, \$60,059 in EAF funding for prior years was undistributed due to two grantee's failure to meet partnership requirements and the cessation of operations of another grantee. These funds are now available for allocation and distribution as part of the 2013-2014 grants.

Based on the foregoing, total projected grant year income available for distribution in 2013-2014, is as follows:

The sum of (a) the basic budgetary allocation of \$10,392,000 pursuant to the Budget Act of 2013; (b) additional funding from the Trial Court Trust Fund in the amount of \$5,482,000, less \$274,100 (5% for administration of funds) pursuant to the budget Act of 2013; (c) undistributed prior-year filing fee revenue of \$409,206, and (d) \$60,059 of undistributed prior year EAF funding, results in an aggregate estimated 2013-2014 Equal Access Fund total of \$16,069,165.

Distribution will be pursuant to the language of the Budget Act:

- Ninety percent of the grant funds are to be distributed to IOLTA-eligible legal services providers according to a formula set forth in California's Interest on Lawyer Trust Accounts ("IOLTA") statute. Funds available for this category of grants, called "IOLTA-Formula Grants," equal \$14,462,250.
- Ten percent of the grant funds are set aside for Partnership Grants to IOLTA-eligible legal services providers for "joint projects of courts and legal services programs to make legal assistance available to pro per litigants." Funds available for Partnership Grants equal \$1,606,915.
- An amount equal to five percent of the Budget Act grant allocations has been set aside for administrative costs, in a total amount up to \$774,100, to be shared between the Council and the Commission (34% and 66% respectively).

(The relevant portions of the Budget Act of 2013 are attached as [Attachment A](#).)

The Chief Justice continues to appoint one-third of the members of the Commission, plus three judicial advisors. All of them participate actively in the Commission's work, with each serving or having served on one of its three standing committees. ([Attachment B](#) is a roster of Commission members as of the date of the Council's August meeting.)

## THE LEGAL SERVICES GRANTS PROGRAM

For each year of the Equal Access Fund, the budget control language has provided for the funds to be distributed "to qualified legal services projects and support centers as defined in sections 6213 through 6215 of the Business and Professions Code." Those provisions of the IOLTA statute establish the basic eligibility requirements for these two categories of organizations that are entitled to receive funding:

- “Legal Services Projects,” which have as their primary purpose the provision of legal services in civil matters directly to indigent clients without charge. [Business and Professions Code, §6213(a)]
- “Support Centers,” which provide training, technical assistance and advocacy support to the legal services projects on a statewide basis. [Business and Professions Code, §6213(b)]

The fund helps the most vulnerable Californians when they face critical, life-changing legal issues affecting their basic needs, their safety, and their security – issues such as elder abuse, domestic violence, family support, housing or access to needed health care. Among those served are the working poor, children, people who live in isolated rural areas, veterans, those with limited English proficiency, people suffering abuse, people with disabilities and the frail elderly.

In March 2005, the Council submitted an extensive report to the Legislature evaluating the efficiency and effectiveness of the first five years of use of these funds. The report concluded that “nonprofit legal aid providers have efficiently and effectively used their grants to provide legal assistance to some of the most vulnerable Californians, but that there remains a tremendous unmet need.” The report included the following key findings:

- The Equal Access fund improves the lives of vulnerable Californians.
- Thoughtful and innovative delivery systems have been implemented to stretch Equal Access Fund dollars and maximize services to clients.
- The Equal Access Fund strengthens, expands, and is efficiently incorporated into the legal aid delivery system.
- The Equal Access Fund creates strong partnerships between the courts and nonprofit legal aid providers that benefit low-income litigants, the judicial system, and the public at large.
- Despite the gains, significantly more funding is necessary to serve California’s unrepresented litigants.

In this current economy, legal service organizations are reporting increased need, and more dire need, among their service populations. At the same time, these legal aid organizations are faced with decreases in revenue – from IOLTA, local government, foundations, law firms and individual giving. Thus, the recommendations from the Council’s 2005 report resonate now more than ever: The Equal Access Fund should be increased to build on the statewide legal aid network serving low-income people; additional funding is needed to expand court-based self-help centers; and ongoing evaluation is needed to continue to improve the delivery of legal assistance to indigent and marginalized Californians.

## **ELIGIBILITY AND DISTRIBUTION**

All grantees must be nonprofit corporations, must maintain quality control procedures approved by the commission, and must meet minimum funding and service criteria that are set out in the statute. [Business and Professions Code, §§6214-6215]

The requirements regarding eligibility and use of funds are reflected in regulating rules and grant conditions approved by the State Bar Board of Trustees and incorporated into a written agreement with each grant recipient. To monitor compliance with these requirements, the commission administers a system of grant reporting and oversight that includes written reports, regular telephone contact and on-site visits.

Oversight begins with the annual application for funding. The application includes extensive information about the legal services provider’s activities and services, accompanied by an annual financial statement that must be audited (or reviewed if gross expenditures are less than \$500,000) by an independent certified public accountant. Following the commission’s determination of eligibility and allocation of IOLTA-Formula Grant amounts, each applicant submits a proposed budget for use of the funds, with a narrative description of the services to be provided and how the efficacy and impact of those services will be measured and maximized. The commission reviews this budget to ensure that it complies with the requirements described above before any funds are actually distributed. Subsequently grant recipients provide written reports of their expenditure of grant funds, services provided, and clients or customers served.

On-site visits supplement review of the application documentation and budgets to monitor compliance with the statutory requirements and grant conditions as well as to evaluate provider effectiveness and monitor the provider’s fiscal practices for the handling of grant funds. Teams of staff, sometimes joined by commission members, conduct these visits on a three-year cycle.

**IOLTA Formula Grants.** Legal services providers have used the IOLTA-Formula Grants for a wide range of services and activities that reflect both the legal needs of poor people and the special strengths of the participating programs. A substantial share of the efforts funded by these grants has been aimed at legal needs of children (adoptions, guardianships and children's access to health care, for example) or the elderly (abuse cases, nursing home evictions, home equity fraud). IOLTA-Formula Grants have also supported efforts to address the needs of families, including a range of services to help overcome barriers to self-sufficiency and make welfare-to-work a reality. Others focus on populations that are particularly at risk, such as people with disabilities, the homeless, or victims of human trafficking.

The Budget Act requires 90 percent of the Equal Access Fund to be distributed to qualified organizations under the same statutory allocation formula as IOLTA funds, consistent with sections 6216 through 6223 of the Business and Professions Code ("IOLTA-Formula Grants"). Business and Professions Code section 6216 establishes this formula:

- Fifteen percent of the grant money is reserved for Support Centers and is divided among those centers equally.
- The remaining eighty-five percent of the funds is allocated among all California counties based on poverty population, and then within each county among Legal Services Projects based on the amount each such organization spent in the prior calendar year providing free legal services to the indigent in that county. Programs that utilize volunteers as their principal means of delivering legal services share an additional allocation in each county where they so qualify.

The IOLTA statute also addresses the use of funds by recipient organizations. Qualified Legal Services Projects must use grants to provide free civil legal services to indigent persons in the counties for which the funds are allocated. In addition, Legal Services Projects must make extra efforts to increase services to especially disadvantaged and underserved client groups within their service areas. Qualified Support Centers must publicize the availability of their services and demonstrate that they actually provide legal support without charge to qualified Legal Services Projects on a statewide basis. [Business and Professions Code, §§6218, 6220, 6221, 6223]

**Partnership Grants.** Since its inception in 1999, 10% of the Equal Access Fund has been set aside annually in the Budget Act for a competitive grants program for projects that work with local courts to help provide legal services for self-represented litigants. In 2013, thirty-six projects throughout California are receiving \$1.624 million in total "Partnership Grants," in grant sums from \$25,000 to \$90,000. Only recipients of IOLTA and IOLTA-Formula Grants are eligible to apply for these grants, which are awarded to maximize the impact of this funding across areas of legal need, population types, and geographical regions. In the 2013-2014 grant cycle, \$1,606,915 will be available for Partnership Grants.

The Partnership Grants process begins with evaluation of proposals by Legal Services Trust Fund Program staff and a committee of the Commission. This evaluation encompasses several criteria, including but not limited to assuring the impartiality of the services, providing meaningful referrals, assurances of program effectiveness and fiscal stability. Partnership Grants are considered "seed money" to encourage new projects; consequently, grants for ongoing projects have in the past been reduced significantly after three years of Partnership funding, and have generally been terminated after five years, unless extraordinary conditions dictate to the contrary, as defined by written commission protocols. Funding is provided on a calendar year basis.

The Request for Proposals for Partnership Grant projects for calendar year 2014 are scheduled for release in August meaning proposals will be due in late September. The commission, with the advice and participation of the Administrative Office of the Courts ("AOC"), will then select successful proposals and determine tentative allocations in November. The Council will have final responsibility for approving the Commission's recommendations and grant awards at its December 2013 meeting.

#### **NEXT STEPS: TRUST FUND COMMISSION AND JUDICIAL COUNCIL**

*Legal Services Trust Fund Commission.* At its meeting on April 5, 2013, the Commission reviewed eligibility applications and determined which legal services providers are eligible or provisionally eligible for IOLTA grants for the 2013-2014 grant year.

Based on the Budget Act and available undistributed funds, the commission will provide grantees with tentative Equal Access Fund grant allocation amounts shortly. Based on these tentative amounts, each grant recipient will be asked to prepare a detailed line item budget. Budgets will be reviewed by Legal Services Trust Fund Program staff and amendments will be proposed as needed between September 3 and October 4, when the Commission will meet in San Francisco to approve budgets. Thereafter, the State Bar will sign a grant agreement with each recipient

program. (Attachment C is a form version of the grant agreement used last year. No major changes have been proposed for this year's agreement.)

The grant period for these distributions will be October 1, 2013, through September 30, 2014. Assuming timely administration of the contract and approval of funds from the State Controller's office, we will issue the first of four quarterly grant checks for distribution to recipients in late October. [A list of the 2013-2014 grant recipients, including their IOLTA Fund allocations (grant year from July 1, 2013 through June 30, 2014) and the proposed EAF IOLTA-formula grant amounts (grant year from October 1, 2013 through September 30, 2014), appears at Attachment D.]

The Commission and the Legal Services Trust Fund Program staff will be responsible for administration of these Equal Access funds in tandem with IOLTA revenues and contributions to the Justice Gap Fund. Along with the regular reporting already required for IOLTA Fund Grants, grant recipients account separately for the Equal Access Fund IOLTA-Formula Grants, submitting quarterly expenditure reports as well as year-end program assessments.

We will continue to work closely with the AOC staff, providing regular reports reflecting how the grants meet the statutory requirements and other guidelines, as well as information needed to assist the Council in budget preparation. In 2004, consultants hired by the AOC together with AOC research department staff finalized mandatory reporting instruments and program-owned evaluative toolkits that were used to collect data for the comprehensive report to the Legislature. The Legal Services Trust Fund staff, working together with the AOC, continues to encourage legal services providers to use these tools to make critical assessments of their work and its impact on the communities they serve.

*Judicial Council.* The Budget Act provides that "the Judicial Council shall approve awards made by the commission if the council determines that the awards comply with statutory and other relevant guidelines." It is now timely and appropriate for the Council to approve the distribution of \$14,462,250 in IOLTA-Formula Grants for 2013-2014 to those legal services providers determined by the Commission to be in compliance with the statutory and other applicable guidelines. The funds will be released by the Council to the State Bar in four equal disbursements, and will be paid out to the eligible legal services programs quarterly (or as close to quarterly as possible depending on contract timing), over the course of the grant period.

#### **SUMMARY OF COUNCIL ACTION REQUIRED**

In conclusion, it is timely and appropriate for the Council to approve, at its August 2013 meeting, the distribution of \$14,462,250 in IOLTA-Formula Grants, including funds authorized by the Budget Act of 2013, undistributed grant allocations, and filing fee revenue received but not distributed in 2012-2013. Council approval is necessary to enable timely disbursement of the first quarter of funding to eligible organizations.

The Commission will return to request the Council's approval of the distribution of \$1,606,915 in Partnership Grants after completing its selection process later this Fall, in advance of the Council's December 2013 meeting.

**BUDGET ACT OF 2013:  
PROVISIONS GERMAINE TO THE EQUAL ACCESS FUND**

**CHAPTER 20**

An act making appropriations for the support of the government of the State of California and for several public purposes in accordance with the provisions of Section 12 of Article IV of the Constitution of the State of California, to take effect immediately, budget bill.

[Approved by Governor June 27, 2013. Filed with Secretary of State June 27, 2013.]

AB 110, Blumenfeld. Budget Act of 2013.

This bill would make appropriations for the support of state government for the 2013-14 fiscal year.

This bill would declare that it is to take effect immediately as a Budget Bill.

Appropriation: yes.

*The people of the State of California do enact as follows:*

**SECTION 1.00.**

This act shall be known and may be cited as the "Budget Act of 2013."

[....]

**0250-101-0001--For local assistance, Judicial Branch .....17,753,000**

**Schedule:**

- (1) 45.10-Support for Operation of the Trial Courts .....6,201,000
- (2) 45.55.010-Child Support Commissioner Program ..... 54,332,000
- (3) 45.55.020-California Collaborative and Drug Court Projects ..... 5,748,000
- (4) 45.55.030-Federal Child Access and Visitation Grant Program ..... 800,000
- (5) 45.55.050-Federal Court Improvement Grant Program ..... 700,000
- (6) 45.55.070-Grants-Other ..... 745,000
- (7) 45.55.080-Federal Grants-Other ..... 775,000
- (8) 45.55.090-Equal Access Fund Program .....10,392,000**
- (9) Reimbursements .....-59,665,000
- (10) Amount payable from the Federal Trust Fund (Item 0250-101-0890) .....-2,275,000

**Provisions:**

1. In order to improve equal access and the fair administration of justice, the funds appropriated in Schedule (8) are to be distributed by the Judicial Council through the Legal Services Trust Fund Commission to qualified legal services projects and support centers as defined in Sections 6213 to 6215, inclusive, of the Business and Professions Code, to be used for legal services in civil matters for indigent persons. The Judicial Council shall approve awards made by the commission if the council determines that the awards comply with statutory and other relevant guidelines. Ten percent

of the funds in Schedule (8) shall be for joint projects of courts and legal services programs to make legal assistance available to pro per litigants and 90 percent of the funds in Schedule (8) shall be distributed consistent with Sections 6216 to 6223, inclusive, of the Business and Professions Code. The Judicial Council may establish additional reporting or quality control requirements consistent with Sections 6213 to 6223, inclusive, of the Business and Professions Code.

2. The amount appropriated in Schedule (1) is available for reimbursement of court costs related to the following activities: (a) payment of service of process fees billed to the trial courts pursuant to Chapter 1009 of the Statutes of 2002, (b) payment of the court costs payable under Sections 4750 to 4755, inclusive, and Section 6005 of the Penal Code, and (c) payment of court costs of extraordinary homicide trials.

[...]

**0250-101-0932--For local assistance, Judicial Branch, payable from the**

**Trial Court Trust Fund ..... 2,194,425,000**

**Schedule:**

(1) 45.10-Support for Operation of the Trial Courts .....	1,758,927,000
(2) 45.25-Compensation of Superior Court Judges .....	306,829,000
(3) 45.35-Assigned Judges .....	26,047,000
(4) 45.45-Court Interpreters .....	92,794,000
(5) 45.55.060-Court Appointed Special Advocate Program .....	2,213,000
(6) 45.55.065-Model Self-Help Program .....	957,000
<b>(7) 45.55.090-Equal Access Fund .....</b>	<b>5,482,000</b>
(8) 45.55.095-Family Law Information Centers .....	345,000
(9) 45.55.100-Civil Case Coordination .....	832,000
(11) Reimbursements .....	-1,000

*[Note: Item 10 does not appear in on-line versions of this bill.]*

**Provisions:**

1. The funds appropriated in Schedule (2) shall be made available for costs of the workers' compensation program for trial court judges.

2. The amount appropriated in Schedule (3) shall be made available for all judicial assignments. Schedule (3) expenditures for necessary support staff may not exceed the staffing level that is necessary to support the equivalent of three judicial officers sitting on assignments. Prior to utilizing funds appropriated in Schedule (3), trial courts shall maximize the use of judicial officers who may be available due to reductions in court services or court closures.

3. The funds appropriated in Schedule (4) shall be for payments to contractual court interpreters, and certified or registered court interpreters employed by the courts for services provided during court proceedings and other services related to pending court proceedings, including services provided outside a courtroom, and the following court interpreter coordinators: 1.0 each in counties of the 1st through the 15th classes, 0.5 each in counties of the 16th through the 31st classes, and 0.25 each in counties of the 32nd through the 58th classes. For the purposes of this provision, "court interpreter coordinators" may be full- or part-time court employees, and shall be certified or registered court interpreters in good standing under existing law.

The Judicial Council shall set statewide or regional rates and policies for payment of court interpreters, not to exceed the rate paid to certified interpreters in the federal court system.

The Judicial Council shall adopt appropriate rules and procedures for the administration of these funds. The Judicial Council shall report to the Legislature and the Director of Finance annually regarding expenditures from Schedule (4).

4. Upon order of the Director of Finance, the amount available for expenditure in this item may be augmented by the amount of any additional resources available in the Trial Court Trust Fund, which is in addition to the amount appropriated in this item. Any augmentation must be approved in joint determination with the Chairperson of the Joint Legislative Budget Committee and shall be authorized not sooner than 30 days after notification in writing to the chairpersons of the committees in each house of the Legislature that consider appropriations, the chairpersons of the committees and appropriate subcommittees that consider the State Budget, and the chairperson of the joint committee, or not sooner than whatever lesser time the chairperson of the joint committee, or his or her designee, may determine. When a request to augment this item is submitted to the Director of Finance, a copy of that request shall be delivered to the chairpersons of the committees and appropriate subcommittees that consider the State Budget. Delivery of a copy of that request shall not be deemed to be notification in writing for purposes of this provision.

5. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, upon approval and order of the Director of Finance, the amount appropriated in this item shall be reduced by the amount transferred in Item 0250-115-0932 to provide adequate resources to the Judicial Branch Workers' Compensation Fund to pay workers' compensation claims for judicial branch employees and judges, and administrative costs pursuant to Section 68114.10 of the Government Code.

6. Upon approval by the Administrative Director of the Courts, the Controller shall transfer up to \$11,274,000 to Item 0250-001-0932 for recovery of costs for administrative services provided to the trial courts by the Administrative Office of the Courts.

**7. In order to improve equal access and the fair administration of justice, the funds appropriated in Schedule (7) are available for distribution by the Judicial Council through the Legal Services Trust Fund Commission in support of the Equal Access Fund Program to qualified legal services projects and support centers as defined in Sections 6213 to 6215, inclusive, of the Business and Professions Code, to be used for legal services in civil matters for indigent persons. The Judicial Council shall approve awards made by the commission if the council determines that the awards comply with statutory and other relevant guidelines. Upon approval by the Administrative Director of the Courts, the Controller shall transfer up to 5 percent of the funding appropriated in Schedule (7) to Item 0250-001-0932 for administrative expenses. Ten percent of the funds remaining after administrative costs shall be for joint projects of courts and legal services programs to make legal assistance available to pro per litigants and 90 percent of the funds remaining after administrative costs shall be distributed consistent with Sections 6216 to 6223, inclusive, of the Business and Professions Code. The Judicial Council may establish additional reporting or quality control requirements consistent with Sections 6213 to 6223, inclusive, of the Business and Professions Code.**

8. Funds available for expenditure in Schedule (7) may be augmented by order of the Director of Finance by the amount of any additional resources deposited for distribution to the Equal Access Fund Program in accordance with Sections 68085.3 and 68085.4 of the Government Code. Any augmentation under this provision shall be authorized not sooner than 30 days after notification in writing to the chairpersons of the committees in each house of the Legislature that consider appropriations, the chairpersons of the committees and appropriate subcommittees that consider the State Budget, and the Chairperson of the Joint Legislative Budget Committee, or not sooner than whatever lesser time the chairperson of the joint committee, or his or her designee, may determine.

9. Sixteen (16.0) subordinate judicial officer positions are authorized to be converted to judgeships in the 2013-14 fiscal year in the manner and pursuant to the authority described in subparagraph (B) of paragraph (1) of subdivision (c) of Section 69615 of the Government Code, as

described in the notice filed by the Judicial Council under subparagraph (B) of paragraph (3) of subdivision (c) of Section 69615.

10. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, and upon approval of the Director of Finance, the amount available for expenditure in Schedule (1) may be increased by the amount of any additional resources collected for the recovery of costs for court-appointed dependency counsel services.

11. Upon approval of the Administrative Director of the Courts, the Controller shall transfer up to \$556,000 to Item 0250-001-0932 for administrative services provided to the trial courts in support of the court-appointed dependency counsel program.

12. Of the amount appropriated in Schedule (1), \$60,000,000 shall be allocated by the Judicial Council to trial courts based on the funding methodology approved by Judicial Council on April 26, 2013.

Funding identified in this provision shall be made available to an individual trial court only upon receipt of a written plan meeting the following criteria:

(a) An individual court plan shall be submitted by the Administrative Office of the Courts to each fiscal and policy committee in each house of the Legislature responsible for court issues on or before September 1, 2013.

(b) An individual court plan shall only include activities intended to maintain or increase public access to justice.

On or after April 14, 2014, but in no event later than May 14, 2014, the Judicial Council shall file a written report to the appropriate fiscal and policy committees of the Legislature on how funds identified in this provision were or will be expended during the 2013-14 fiscal year.

14. Of the amounts appropriated in Schedule (1), \$325,000 shall be allocated by the Judicial Council in order to reimburse the California State Auditor for the costs of trial court audits incurred by the California State Auditor pursuant to Section 19210 of the Public Contract Code. No later than September 1, 2014, the Judicial Council shall report to the appropriate fiscal and policy committees of the Legislature on how the funding identified in this provision was allocated.

15. Not later than October 1, 2013, the Judicial Council shall adopt a rule regarding open meeting requirements that satisfies all of the following:

(a) The rule shall apply to any committee, subcommittee, advisory group, working group, task force, or similar multimember body that reviews issues and reports to the Judicial Council.

(b) The rule shall provide for telephonic access for any person who requests such access.

(c) The rule shall establish public notice requirements for any meeting of a body described in subdivision (a).

[...]

## Roster of Legal Services Trust Fund Commission Members: 2012-13

<p>David Lash, Co-Chair O'Melveny &amp; Myers, LLP 400 South Hope Street Los Angeles, CA 90071 ph: (213) 430-8366      fx: (213) 430-6407 email: <a href="mailto:dlash@omm.com">dlash@omm.com</a> Attorney Member: 2007-2013 Judicial Council</p>	<p>Deborah F. Ching Nonprofit Consulting Group 5416 Shenandoah Avenue Los Angeles, CA 90056 ph: (310) 748-7940      fx: (310) 568-8631 email: <a href="mailto:dfching@earthlink.net">dfching@earthlink.net</a> Public Member: 2008-2011, 2011-2014 Judicial Council</p>
<p>Jeffrey Ball, Co- Chair Friendly Hills Bank 16011 Whittier Boulevard Whittier, CA 90603 ph: (562) 947-1920      fx: (562) 947-3640 Public Member: 2009-2013 Board of Trustees</p>	<p>Mark R. Conrad U.S. Attorney's Office, Northern District of California 455 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055 San Francisco, CA 94102 ph: (415) 436-7025      fx: (415) 436-6748 email: <a href="mailto:mark.conrad@usdoj.gov">mark.conrad@usdoj.gov</a> Attorney Member: 2012-2013 Board of Trustees</p>
<p>Adrian Dollard, Co-Vice-Chair Qatalyst Partners Three Embarcadero Center, 6th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111 ph: (415) 844-7777      fx: (415) 391-3914 email: <a href="mailto:aedollard@gmail.com">aedollard@gmail.com</a> Attorney Member: 2008-2013 Board of Trustees</p>	<p>Jeanne Finberg State of California Department of Justice Attorney General's Office 1515 Clay Street, Suite 2100 Oakland, CA 94612 ph: (510) 622-2147      fx: (510) 622-2121 email: <a href="mailto:jeanne.finberg@doj.ca.gov">jeanne.finberg@doj.ca.gov</a> Attorney Member: 2008-2013 Board of Trustees, Judicial Council</p>
<p>Barry J. Tucker, Co-Vice-Chair Cassidian Communications, Inc. 42505 Rio Nedo Temecula, CA 92590 ph: (619) 742-5062 email: <a href="mailto:barrytucker@san.rr.com">barrytucker@san.rr.com</a> Attorney Member: 2009-2013 Board of Trustees</p>	<p>Mollie Gomez 11839 Allard Street Norwalk CA 90650 ph: (562) 868-2422      fx: (714) 571-5270 email: <a href="mailto:molecue8@aol.com">molecue8@aol.com</a> Public Member Client: 2010-2013 Board of Trustees</p>
<p>Banafsheh Akhlaghi 35 Miller Avenue #113 Mill Valley, CA 94941 ph: (925) 209-7136 email: <a href="mailto:bakhlaghi1600@gmail.com">bakhlaghi1600@gmail.com</a> Attorney Member: 2010-2013 Board of Trustees</p>	<p>Emily Harpster United Way of the Bay Area 221 Main Street, Suite 300 San Francisco, CA 94105 ph: (415) 808-4333      fx: (415) 817-4655 Public Member: 2012-2015 Board of Trustees</p>
<p>Tamara Lynn Beard c/o Legal Services Trust Fund Program 180 Howard Street San Francisco, CA 94105-1617 email: <a href="mailto:tamarabeard1@gmail.com">tamarabeard1@gmail.com</a> Attorney Member: 2011-2014 Judicial Council</p>	<p>Donna Hershkowitz Assistant Director, Office of Governmental Affairs Administrative Office of the Courts 2255 N. Ontario Street Burbank, CA 91504 ph: (818) 558-3068 email: <a href="mailto:donna.hershkowitz@jud.ca.gov">donna.hershkowitz@jud.ca.gov</a> Attorney Member: 2005-2011, 2011-2014</p>

	Judicial Council
<p>Richard G. Reinis  Steptoe &amp; Johnson, LLP  2121 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 2800  Los Angeles, CA 90067  ph: (310) 734-3200      fx: (310) 734-3300  email: <a href="mailto:rreinis@steptoe.com">rreinis@steptoe.com</a>  Attorney Member: 2011-2014  Judicial Council</p>	<p>Melissa L. White  Trinity Fruit Sales Corporate Counsel  9479 North Fort Washington Road  Fresno, CA 93730  ph: (559) 433-3777      fx: (559) 433-3790  email: <a href="mailto:melissaw@trinityfruit.com">melissaw@trinityfruit.com</a>  Attorney Member: 2011-2014  Board of Trustees</p>
<p>LaQuita (Mary) Robbins  c/o Legal Services Trust Fund Program  180 Howard Street  San Francisco, CA 94105-1617  email: <a href="mailto:quita@mailbug.com">quita@mailbug.com</a>  Public Member Client: 2010-2013  Board of Trustees</p>	<p>Cristin M. Zeisler  c/o Legal Services Trust Fund Program  180 Howard Street  San Francisco, CA 94105-1617  Attorney Member: 2011-2014  Board of Trustees</p>
<p>Susan D. Ryan  Superior Court of California,  County of Riverside  P.O. Box 41580  Long Beach, CA 90853  ph: (951) 777-3840      fax: (951) 777-3841  email: <a href="mailto:susan.ryan@riverside.courts.ca.gov">susan.ryan@riverside.courts.ca.gov</a>  Attorney Member: 2012-2015  Judicial Council</p>	<p><b><i>ADVISORS</i></b></p> <p>Hon. Michael J. Convey  Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles  Northwest District, Department K  6230 Sylmar Avenue  Van Nuys, CA 91401  ph: (818) 374-2230  Judge: 2012-2015  Judicial Council</p>
<p>Kim Savage  Law Office of Kim Savage  P.O. Box 41580  Long Beach, CA 90853  ph: (562) 930-1113      fx: (562) 930-0003  Attorney Member: 2012-2015  Board of Trustees</p>	<p>Hon. Faye D'Opal  Superior Court Judge, Marin County  P.O. Box 4988  San Rafael, CA 94913-4988  ph: (415) 444-7258 (Chambers)  email: <a href="mailto:faye_dopal@marincourt.org">faye_dopal@marincourt.org</a>  Judge: 2011-2014  Judicial Council</p>

Christina S. Stokholm  
Law Offices of Mark Pachowicz  
771 East Daily Drive, Suite 230  
Camarillo, CA 93010  
ph: (805) 987-4975      fx: (805) 987-4980  
email: [christina@pachowicz.com](mailto:christina@pachowicz.com)  
Attorney Member: 2011-2014  
Board of Trustees

Hon. Maria P. Rivera  
Justice, First District Court of Appeal  
350 McAllister Street  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4712  
ph: (415) 865-7240      fx: (415) 865-7309  
email: [maria.rivera@jud.ca.gov](mailto:maria.rivera@jud.ca.gov)  
Judge: 1999-2001 Justice: 2002-2013  
Judicial Council

Judge John A. Sutro, Jr., Retired  
County of Marin  
email: [jasutro@msn.com](mailto:jasutro@msn.com)  
Attorney Member: 2010-2013  
Board of Trustees

**Attachment C**  
**EAF Grant Agreement**

GRANT AGREEMENT

THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA

LEGAL SERVICES TRUST FUND PROGRAM - EQUAL ACCESS FUND

This Grant Agreement is made as of October 1, 2012, (the "Effective Date") between The State Bar of California ("State Bar"), a California public corporation, and «PGNM27», a California nonprofit corporation ("Recipient").

RECITALS

Pursuant to California Business and Professions Code Section 6210-6228 (the "Act"), the Rules of the State Bar of California, Title 3, Division 5, Chapter 2 regarding the Legal Services Trust Fund Program (the "Rules") and The State Bar of California Legal Services Trust Fund Program General Grant Provisions (the "Grant Provisions"), a Legal Services Trust Fund Program ("Program") has been established in the State of California. The Program administers an Equal Access Fund ("Fund") that is funded pursuant to the annual California Budget Act (the "Budget Act") and the Uniform Civil Fees and Standard Fee Schedule Act of 2005 ("Fee Schedule Act").

Recipient has completed, executed and submitted to State Bar an Application for Funding under the Program and Fund. As part of the Application for Funding, Recipient has completed, executed and submitted to State Bar, Certifications, Assurances, Attachments, and a Proposed Budget (collectively, including the Application for Funding, the "Application Materials").

In reliance upon the representations and agreements made in the Application Materials, State Bar has determined that Recipient is eligible for a grant under the Program and the Fund for the period commencing on October 1, 2012 and ending on September 30, 2013 ("Grant Period").

The Board of Directors, the officers and similarly empowered staff of Recipient have read and understand the Act, Budget Act, Rules, the Grant Provisions and the Application Materials. Recipient has familiarized its staff with the requirements of the Act, Budget Act, Rules, the Grant Provisions and the Application Materials.

AGREEMENTS

1. Pursuant to the Program and Fund and in reliance upon the promises and representations made by Recipient, State Bar grants to Recipient \$«SMIGT» ("Grant Amount").

2. The Act, Budget Act, Fee Schedule Act, Rules, Grant Provisions and Application Materials, including any additions or amendments made to the Application Materials by agreement between the State Bar and Recipient, are incorporated into this Agreement as if set forth in their entirety in this Agreement. Recipient agrees to comply with the Act, Budget Act, Fee Schedule Act, Rules, Grant Provisions and Assurances and other agreements made in the Application Materials. Recipient agrees to comply with all lawful statutes, rules, regulations, guidelines, policies, instructions and similar directives pertaining to the Program and the Fund (collectively "Directives") issued by the State of California, the Supreme Court of the State of California or State Bar, including without limitation, any Directive adopted after the Effective Date.

3. State Bar will use its best efforts to pay the Grant Amount in accordance with the Grant Provisions. State Bar, however, will in no circumstances bear any liability to Recipient or to other persons or entities for delays in

payments.

4. Notwithstanding the Grant Provisions or any other provision of the entire agreement regarding the payment of grants, Recipient acknowledges that the Grant Amount and all payments thereof shall be made from funds received by the State Bar pursuant to the Budget Act; and are contingent upon the availability and sufficiency of such funds to the State Bar, as determined by the State Bar. Consequently, Recipient shall not be guaranteed any specific dollar amount in grant funds or any grant funds at all, if funds received pursuant to the Budget Act or Fee Schedule Act (“State Funding”) are insufficient or unavailable to the State Bar. This Agreement shall terminate automatically if State Funding becomes unavailable. The State Bar shall not assume any liability whatsoever to Recipient for any failure to pay the Grant Amount or any part thereof that results because funds are insufficient or unavailable.

5. Recipient must spend funds received in connection with the Program and Fund in each county served in the amounts set forth in Schedule of Grant Allocations, attached hereto and made a part hereof.

6. Recipient represents and warrants that Recipient’s Application Materials for a grant under the Program and Fund do not contain any misstatement of a material fact or omit any material fact necessary to make the statements contained in the Application Materials not misleading. Recipient will notify State Bar promptly of any change in any material fact affecting Recipient’s eligibility to receive funds under the Program and Fund, including without limitation, any change that affects the accuracy of any statement made in conjunction with Recipient’s application for a grant under the Program and Fund.

7. In support of the State Bar’s obligation to the Judicial Council to ensure full participation by Trust Fund grant recipients in maintaining and utilization of statewide on-line resources for legal advocates and consumers of legal services, Recipient will:

a) Ensure that, during the grant year, Recipient is accurately identified on the statewide legal services websites, including, as appropriate, in the:

- i. Client referral directory on LawHelpCalifornia.org;
- ii. Legal Services Directories (support center and field program directories); and
- iii. *Pro Bono* Opportunities Guide on CALegalAdvocates.org.

b) Include information about LawHelpCalifornia.org and CALegalAdvocates.org in trainings for new advocates; circulate information about the sites when received from state coordinators; encourage advocates to join the CALegalAdvocate.org Web site; and encourage participation in available brief trainings about the sites.

8. Recipient will permit State Bar’s agents to inspect at any time any office or other premises maintained by Recipient or used by Recipient in connection with the expenditure of funds received under the Program. Recipient will cooperate with State Bar’s agents during such inspections and will furnish the agents with any information that the agents reasonably request as relevant to determining Recipient’s compliance with this Agreement. State Bar’s right of access to Recipient’s records for purposes of compliance will survive the expiration of the Grant Period. In complying with disclosure requirements of this Agreement and of the Program and Fund, Recipient may withhold any client-identifying information when Recipient reasonably determines that disclosure would violate the Act, the Rules or a rule or canon of professional responsibility.

9. The Act, Budget Act, Fee Schedule Act, Rules, Grant Provisions and Directives set forth requirements concerning use of Program funds and payment for subcontracts to provide legal services (“Subcontracted Services”). Recipient acknowledges its obligation to inform all providers of Subcontracted Services with the requirements of the Program and to obtain from all Subcontracted Services providers a written agreement to comply with all requirements of this Agreement as if that provider is the Recipient. Recipient assigns to State Bar all rights that Recipient has or will acquire to inspect the premises and records of providers of Subcontracted

Services to ensure compliance with Program, provided that disclosure of client-identifying information by a provider of Subcontracted Services shall be governed by the provisions of paragraph 8 hereof.

10. (a) Recipient shall not represent or in any way suggest that it may obligate or pledge the credit of the State of California or of State Bar.

(b) Recipient agrees to indemnify, defend, and hold harmless State Bar (including its Board of Trustees, officers, agents, and employees, as the same may be constituted now and from time to time hereafter) from and against any and all liabilities, losses, damages, expenses or costs, whatsoever (including reasonable attorneys' fees, costs and expenses), which may arise against or be incurred by State Bar as a result of or in connection with (i) claims by any and all contractors, subcontractors, providers of consulting services, materialmen, laborers, or any other person, firm, or corporation retained by Recipient to furnish or supply work, service, materials, or supplies in connection with the performance of this Agreement; and (ii) claims by any person, firm, or corporation for injury or damage by Recipient or Recipient's agents in connection with the provision of legal services pursuant to this Agreement. Recipient shall further protect, indemnify and hold harmless the State Bar from and against all liabilities, losses, damages, expenses, or costs, whatsoever (including reasonable attorneys' fees, costs and expenses), arising from or in connection with the State Bar's enforcement of its rights under this paragraph. This indemnity provision shall survive the termination or expiration of this Grant Agreement.

***(c) Recipient will use reasonable efforts to have State Bar named as an insured party to any liability insurance policies purchased by or for Recipient, and shall provide the State Bar with these certificates of insurance.***

11. This Agreement does not impose on State Bar any obligation to provide Recipient funds in excess of the Grant Amount or beyond the end of the Grant Period.

12. (a) All notices given in connection with this Agreement will be in writing and be made personally or by first-class, certified, registered or express mail addressed to the parties at the addresses stated below:

State Bar:	The State Bar of California 180 Howard Street San Francisco, California 94105
Attention:	Stephanie L. Choy, Managing Director Legal Services Trust Fund Program
Recipient:	«PGNM26» «PGA126» «PGA226» «PGCY26», «PGST26» «PGZP26»
Attention:	«PGNM28» «PGTL28»

Notices given by mail will be deemed to have been given five (5) business days after being deposited in a United States Postal Services mailbox or with an express courier mail service. Changes in address for purposes of giving notice will be effective two weeks after giving notice of the change in address.

(b) This Agreement, together with the Application Materials, Rules, Grant Provisions and Directives, contains and constitutes the entire agreement between State Bar and Recipient regarding the State Bar's grant of Equal Access Fund monies to Recipient and supersedes all prior negotiations, representations or agreements, either written or oral. This Agreement shall be binding upon agents and successors of both parties. No alteration of the terms of this Agreement will be valid or effective unless in writing and executed by each party.

(c) This Agreement was made and entered into by the parties in the State of California and shall be construed according to the laws of that state. Any action or suit brought to interpret, construe or enforce the provisions of this Agreement shall be commenced in the Superior Court of the State of California, in and for the county of San Francisco.

(d) Each party has full power and authority to enter into and perform this Agreement and the person signing this Agreement on behalf of each party has been properly authorized and empowered to enter into this Agreement. Each party further acknowledges that its Directors, Governors or similarly empowered persons have read this Agreement, understand it and agree to be bound by it.

(e) No term or provision herein shall be deemed waived and no breach excused unless such waiver or consent is in writing and signed by the party claimed to have waived or consented. No consent or waiver by one party to a breach of this Agreement by the other party, whether expressed or implied, shall constitute a consent to, waiver of, or excuse for any other, different or subsequent breach. No amendment, consent, or waiver on behalf of State Bar shall be binding upon State Bar unless it is executed by the Executive Director of The State Bar of California or his/her designee.

By executing this Agreement below, the parties agree to its terms.

THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA

RECIPIENT

By \_\_\_\_\_  
Mary Lavery Flynn  
Director, Legal Services Outreach

By \_\_\_\_\_  
«PGNM28»  
Program Director

DATE: \_\_\_\_\_, 2012

DATE: \_\_\_\_\_, 2012

By \_\_\_\_\_  
Peggy Van Horn  
Chief Financial Officer

By \_\_\_\_\_  
Name \_\_\_\_\_  
Chairperson or identify title:

DATE: \_\_\_\_\_, 2012

DATE: \_\_\_\_\_, 2012

## List of Grant Allocations 2013-2014

Program Name	2013-2014 IOLTA Grant Amount	2013-2014 IOL-Formula EAF Grant Amount
ADVANCING JUSTICE - ASIAN LAW CAUCUS	20,411	30,598
ADVANCING JUSTICE-LOS ANGELES	220,087	329,934
AFFORDABLE HOUSING ADVOCATES	8,906	13,351
AIDS LEGAL REFERRAL PANEL	9,168	13,744
ALAMEDA CO BAR VOLUNTEER LEGAL SERVICES	32,565	48,818
ALAMEDA COUNTY HOMELESS ACTION CENTER	40,779	61,132
ALLIANCE FOR CHILDREN'S RIGHTS	181,739	272,447
ASIAN PACIFIC ISLANDER LEGAL OUTREACH	20,640	30,942
BAY AREA LEGAL AID	188,393	282,422
BENCHMARK INSTITUTE (held in reserve)	65,572	98,300
BET TZEDEK LEGAL SERVICES	306,278	459,144
CALIF. ADVOCATES FOR NURSING HOME REFORM	65,572	98,300
CALIF. RURAL LEGAL ASSISTANCE FOUNDATION	65,572	98,300
CALIFORNIA INDIAN LEGAL SERVICES	79,814	119,650
CALIFORNIA RURAL LEGAL ASSISTANCE, INC.	857,271	1,285,143
CALIFORNIA WOMENS LAW CENTER	65,572	98,300
CASA CORNELIA LAW CENTER	77,781	116,602
CENTER FOR HEALTH CARE RIGHTS	56,235	84,302
CENTER FOR HUMAN RIGHTS AND CONST. LAW	65,572	98,300
CENTRAL CALIFORNIA LEGAL SERVICES	391,072	586,260
CENTRO LEGAL DE LA RAZA	15,918	23,863
CHAPMAN UNIVERSITY FAMILY VIOLENCE CLINIC	12,015	18,012
CHILD CARE LAW CENTER	65,572	98,300
CHILDREN'S RIGHTS CLINIC	9,802	14,694
COALITION OF CALIF. WELFARE RIGHTS ORGS.	65,572	98,300
COMMUNITY LEGAL SVCS. IN EAST PALO ALTO	20,685	31,009
CONTRA COSTA SENIOR LEGAL SERVICES	9,013	13,511
DISABILITY RIGHTS CALIFORNIA	902,231	1,352,543
DISABILITY RIGHTS EDUC. AND DEFENSE FUND	65,572	98,300
DISABILITY RIGHTS LEGAL CENTER	100,410	150,526
EAST BAY COMMUNITY LAW CENTER	54,591	81,838
ELDER LAW & ADVOCACY	56,432	84,598
FAMILY VIOLENCE LAW CENTER	15,329	22,980
GREATER BAKERSFIELD LEGAL ASSISTANCE	182,012	272,856
HARRIETT BUHAI CENTER FOR FAMILY LAW	66,122	99,124
IELLA LEGAL AID PROJECT	38,131	57,163

IMMIGRANT LEGAL RESOURCE CENTER	65,572	98,300
IMPACT FUND	65,572	98,300
INLAND COUNTIES LEGAL SERVICES	499,969	749,508
INNER CITY LAW CENTER	66,398	99,538
INSIGHT CENTER	65,572	98,300
JUSTICE & DIVERSITY CENTER OF THE SF BAR	41,794	62,654
LA RAZA CENTRO LEGAL	13,506	20,247
LAW FOUNDATION OF SILICON VALLEY	114,602	171,801
LAWYERS' COMMITTEE FOR CIVIL RIGHTS	24,820	37,208
LEARNING RIGHTS LAW CENTER	23,554	35,310
LEGAL AID FOUNDATION OF LOS ANGELES	431,997	647,611
LEGAL AID FOUNDATION OF SANTA BARBARA	40,663	60,958
LEGAL AID OF MARIN	16,712	25,053
LEGAL AID OF NAPA VALLEY	10,145	15,208
LEGAL AID OF SONOMA COUNTY	28,340	42,485
LEGAL AID SOCIETY OF ORANGE COUNTY	308,708	462,787
LEGAL AID SOCIETY OF SAN BERNARDINO	77,434	116,082
LEGAL AID SOCIETY OF SAN DIEGO	225,082	337,422
LEGAL AID SOCIETY OF SAN MATEO COUNTY	33,171	49,727
LEGAL AID SOCIETY-EMPLOYMENT LAW CENTER	81,407	122,038
LEGAL ASSISTANCE FOR SENIORS	19,113	28,652
LEGAL ASSISTANCE TO THE ELDERLY	4,976	7,460
LEGAL SERVICES FOR CHILDREN	35,499	53,217
LEGAL SERVICES FOR SENIORS	25,043	37,542
LEGAL SERVICES OF NORTHERN CALIFORNIA	426,827	639,860
LEGAL SVCS. FOR PRISONERS WITH CHILDREN	65,572	98,300
LOS ANGELES CENTER FOR LAW AND JUSTICE	24,362	36,521
LOS ANGELES CO. BAR ASSOCIATION PROJECTS	23,933	35,878
MCGEORGE COMMUNITY LEGAL SERVICES	32,950	49,396
MENTAL HEALTH ADVOCACY SERVICES	33,641	50,432
NATIONAL CENTER FOR YOUTH LAW	65,572	98,300
NATIONAL HEALTH LAW PROGRAM	65,572	98,300
NATIONAL HOUSING LAW PROJECT	65,572	98,300
NATIONAL IMMIGRATION LAW CENTER	65,572	98,300
NATIONAL SENIOR CITIZENS LAW CENTER	65,572	98,300
NEIGHBORHOOD LEGAL SERVICES	272,769	408,910
ONEJUSTICE	65,572	98,300
POSITIVE RESOURCE CENTER	14,791	22,173
PRISON LAW OFFICE	145,573	218,230
PRO BONO PROJECT SILICON VALLEY	33,264	49,866
PUBLIC ADVOCATES	103,889	155,741
PUBLIC COUNSEL	405,717	608,214
PUBLIC INTEREST LAW PROJECT	65,572	98,300

PUBLIC LAW CENTER	178,186	267,120
RIVERSIDE LEGAL AID	60,828	91,188
SAN DIEGO VOLUNTEER LAWYER PROGRAM	73,957	110,870
SANTA CLARA COUNTY ASIAN LAW ALLIANCE	16,713	25,055
SANTA CLARA UNIV. ALEXANDER LAW CENTER	22,113	33,150
SENIOR ADULTS LEGAL ASSISTANCE	9,815	14,714
SENIOR CITIZENS LEGAL SERVICES	14,203	21,292
UC DAVIS SCHOOL OF LAW LEGAL CLINICS	45,475	68,172
USD SCHOOL OF LAW LEGAL CLINICS	53,829	80,696
VOLUNTARY LGL. SVCS. OF NORTHERN CALIF.	48,012	71,975
WAGE JUSTICE CENTER	4,395	6,589
WATSONVILLE LAW CENTER	23,083	34,604
WESTERN CENTER ON LAW AND POVERTY	65,572	98,300
WORKSAFE INC.	65,572	98,300
YOUTH LAW CENTER	65,572	98,300
YUBA-SUTTER LEGAL CENTER FOR SENIORS	9,541	14,303
<b>Totals</b>	<b>\$10,685,783</b>	<b>\$14,665,872</b>