



Judicial Council of California · Administrative Office of the Courts

455 Golden Gate Avenue · San Francisco, California 94102-3688

www.courts.ca.gov

REPORT TO THE JUDICIAL COUNCIL

For business meeting on: April 24, 2014

Title	Agenda Item Type
Administrative Director's Report	Information Only
Submitted by	Date of Report
Steven Jahr	April 22, 2014
Administrative Director of the Courts	Contact
	Tina Carroll, 415-865-4242
	tina.carroll@jud.ca.gov

Executive Summary

The following information outlines some of the many activities the Administrative Office of the Courts (AOC) is engaged in to further the Judicial Council's goals and priorities for the judicial branch. The report focuses on action since the council's February meeting and is exclusive of issues on the April business meeting agenda.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Legislative Budget Subcommittee Hearings.....	3
Budget Advocacy.....	3
Bench-Bar Coalition Legislative Visits	3
Chief Justice Liaison Meetings.....	4
Judicial Council-Sponsored Legislation	4
Trial Court Fund Balances and Post-Employment Benefits	5
Criminal Justice Realignment.....	6
Mental Health Records and Firearms Reporting	6
Pretrial Services	6
Reentry Courts	6
Dependency Representation, Administration, Funding and Training Program.....	6
Judicial Resources and Technical Assistance Program—Foster Care.....	6
California Appellate Projects.....	7
Judicial Council/AOC Audit.....	7
Facilities	7
Court Construction (SB 1407 Capital Projects)	
Facility Modifications	
Real Estate and Facilities Management	
Human Resources	8
Judicial Branch Workers’ Compensation Program	
Labor Relations/Negotiations	
Trial Court Employee Relations	
Classification and Compensation	
AOC Employee Performance Management	
Technology	9
Telecommunications Infrastructure and Security	
Case Management Systems and E-Business Application Services	
Court Interpreter Data Collection System	
California Courts Protective Order Registry	
Uniform Civil Fees System	
Phoenix Human Resources Management System	
Advisory Committees, Task Forces, and Working Groups	10
Judicial Branch Education Programs	14
AOC Staffing Metrics.....	19
Judicial Appointments and Vacancies	21

Legislative Budget Subcommittee Hearings:

- The Assembly Budget Subcommittee 5 held its first hearing on the judicial branch budget. The discussion was limited to two issues: the proposed \$33.1 million for dependency counsel contained in the Chief Justice’s Blueprint for a Fully Functioning Judicial Branch and a proposed \$20 million for a grant program to establish collaborative courts. More than 30 judicial branch stakeholders from across the state, including presiding judges, court executive officers, court employees, and members of local bar associations testified in support of reinvestment in the branch and the Chief’s Blueprint. Both items were approved by the committee, with direction to legislative staff to work with the AOC, the Legislative Analyst’s Office, the Board of State and Community Corrections, and the Department of Finance to identify the entity to oversee such a grant program and to draft program guidelines.
- The Senate Budget Subcommittee 5 agenda included discussion of trial court funding and trial court construction. Testimony was uniformly positive in support of reinvestment.
- Prior to the hearings, a budget briefing was held for legislative staff. AOC leadership also met twice with labor representatives to discuss budget advocacy and the branch’s budget.

Budget Advocacy:

- AOC Office of Governmental Affairs (OGA) staff worked with the trial courts to complete all 58 court budget snapshots highlighting the impact of budget reductions on access to justice as well as challenges faced by the courts for the upcoming fiscal year. As was done last year, the snapshots are being shared with legislators as part of the overall budget advocacy effort, and are available on the California Courts website at: <http://www.courts.ca.gov/partners/1494.htm>
- In response to questions from the Legislature on what the courts would do with any additional funding provided in the State Budget, OGA worked with the trial courts to assess what could be done with different levels of funding: \$100 million currently in the Governor’s Budget and a \$356 million augmentation to the trial court appropriation, which represents the trial court component of the \$612 million first year request in the Blueprint, less sums for new judgeships, dependency counsel budget growth, and cost-of-living adjustments (also contained in the Blueprint).

Bench-Bar Coalition Legislative Visits:

- In conjunction with the Chief Justice’s State of the Judiciary address, almost 50 representatives from the Bench-Bar Coalition (BBC), Judicial Council, Open Courts Coalition, and the Trial Court Presiding Judges Executive Committee participated in the Day-in-Sacramento activities. Teams met with more than 75 senators, assembly members, and legislative staff to deliver key messages about judicial branch budget and legislative priorities. Legislators expressed interest in making court visits to see first-hand the impact of budget reductions on the courts.
- Additionally, OGA worked with BBC members to coordinate “Day in the District” legislative visits to their legislative representatives’ district offices. Presiding judges and court executive officers in the respective counties participated whenever possible.

Chief Justice Liaison Meetings:

- *California State Association of Counties:* At her annual liaison meeting with the California State Association of Counties (CSAC), the Chief Justice and Judicial Council Policy Coordination and Liaison Committee Chair Judge Kenneth So met with the association's President, John Gioia (Contra Costa County Supervisor), and other CSAC leadership and senior staff. AOC leadership and staff also participated in the discussion on budget, court construction, criminal justice realignment, court security funding, and continuing court and county collaboration on issues.
- *State Bar of California:* The Chief Justice, Judge So, and members of the Executive Office and the Office of Governmental Affairs met with State Bar President Luis Rodriguez and other bar leaders. Discussion topics included the branch budget, legislation, the Task Force on Admissions Regulation Reform, the Discipline Standards Task Force, client security funding, public access to State Bar records, and outreach.

Judicial Council-Sponsored Legislation: OGA advocates continue to oversee Judicial Council-sponsored legislation through the legislative process:

- SB 1190 (Jackson): Funds the second set of 50 judgeships approved in 2007, creates a third set of 50 judgeships, and provides for two additional justices in Division Two of the Fourth Appellate District. SB 1190 also allocates approved judgeships based on the latest judicial needs assessment approved by the council. *Status: Senate Judiciary Committee*
- SB 1222 (Block): Amends Penal Code section 1385(a) to require that the reasons for dismissal be set forth either on the record or in an order entered upon the minutes. *Status: Senate Public Safety Committee*
- SB 1313 (Nielsen) *efficiency: Repeals various Government Code sections to eliminate the requirement that the enumerated courts (Trinity, Modoc, Merced, Nevada, El Dorado, Butte, Shasta, Tehama, Lake, Tuolumne, Mono, Monterey, Solano, San Luis Obispo, and Mendocino) use court reporters in specified case types. *Status: Senate Judiciary Committee*
- AB 1657 (Gomez): Authorizes the court to provide a court interpreter in any civil action or proceeding at no cost to the parties, regardless of the income of the parties. *Status: Assembly Judiciary Committee*
- AB 1618 (Chesbro): Clarifies that the authorization for those specified persons to inspect the case file includes persons serving in a similar capacity for, or an authorized representative of, an Indian tribe, reservation, or tribal court when the case file involves an Indian child. *Status: Senate Judiciary Committee*

- AB 2190 (Maienschein): Adds a new subdivision to Welfare and Institutions Code section 5354 to: Require that when a conservatorship investigation results from a criminal court ordering an evaluation of a defendant's mental condition pursuant to section 5200, the officer conducting the investigation must submit a copy of the report to the defendant or defendant's attorney, who may authorize its distribution to the criminal court, prosecution and probation and establish limits on the distribution and access to the conservatorship report in instances where it is released to the criminal court and justice partners. Amends Penal Code sections 1601(a), 1602(a) and (b), and 1603(a) pertaining to outpatient status for mentally disordered and developmentally disabled offenders. The amendment to section 1601(a) would allow the court, when appropriate, to conditionally release a defendant found incompetent to stand trial to a placement in the community, rather than in a custodial or in-patient setting, to receive mental health treatment until competency is restored. *Status: Assembly Public Safety Committee*
- AB 2487 (Wagner) *efficiency: Clarifies that preliminary hearing transcripts must be produced only when a defendant is held to answer the charge of homicide. *To be amended to include:* eliminates the trial de novo option when the defendant in a Vehicle Code violation has not prevailed on their trial by written declaration. *Status: Assembly Public Safety Committee*
- AB 2645 (Dababneh): Amends Penal Code section 1203.9 to modify intercounty transfer procedures. The proposal would modify those procedures to: (1) require transferring courts to determine the amount of any victim restitution before transfer unless the court is unable to determine the amount within a reasonable time and (2) to prohibit transfers of misdemeanor cases unless: (a) they involve certain sex crimes, firearms, violence, or multiple driving under the influence offenses and (b) the court determines that the continued supervision of the probationer in the county of residence is in the best interests of the public or any victim. *Status: Assembly Public Safety Committee*
- AB 2683 (Cooley): Amends Penal Code section 166 to delete a category of juror misconduct that constitutes misdemeanor contempt of court—the willful disobedience by a juror of a court admonishment against any communication or research about a pending trial, including electronic or wireless communications. *Status: Assembly Judiciary Committee*
- AB 2745 (Assembly Judiciary): Ratifies the authority of the Judicial Council to convert 10 subordinate judicial officer positions to judgeships in the 2014–15 fiscal year when the conversion will result in a judge being assigned to a family law or juvenile law assignment previously presided over by a subordinate judicial officer. Note: other provisions of AB 2745 relating to family centered case resolution plans are not Judicial Council-sponsored provisions. However, the council's Policy Coordination and Liaison Committee adopted a support position on these provisions. *Status: Assembly Appropriations Committee*

Trial Court Fund Balances and Post-Employment Benefits:

- *Fund Balances:* The Fiscal Services Office held a series of conference calls for trial court leadership and fiscal contacts to facilitate completion of the state Department of Finance's request for projected 2013–2014 fund balance information, and to discuss the broad approach to encumbrances with the approaching one percent fund balance cap.
- *Post-Employment Benefits:* The Superior Court of Santa Clara County and AOC Fiscal Services Office hosted an in-person meeting and statewide conference call for trial court leadership and fiscal contacts on the subject of establishing irrevocable trusts to pay for future liabilities regarding certain post-employment benefits.

Criminal Justice Realignment: Staff from the Criminal Justice Court Services Office presented a day-long workshop, *California Court Programs and Practices for Working with Reentry, Postrelease Community Supervision, and Mandatory Supervision Populations*, to approximately 80 individuals from courts and other justice system partners who work with realigned populations.

Mental Health Records and Firearms Reporting: Staff developed training materials and coordinated procedures with the Department of Justice to increase awareness of changes in mental health record reporting requirement timelines and procedures.

Pretrial Services: Staff interviewed pretrial services program staff in Napa, Santa Cruz, San Diego, Humboldt, Santa Clara, Riverside, Yolo, San Bernardino, and Monterey Counties to learn about their different models and how they interface with the courts in order to ascertain whether there may be some technical assistance information the AOC can put together on pretrial services that would be helpful to the courts.

Reentry Courts: The AOC encumbered \$3.5 million in pass-through grants from the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation and the federal Department of Justice, Bureau of Justice Assistance to support reentry courts in five counties. Two additional counties are in the process of receiving these funds for their reentry court programs.

Dependency Representation, Administration, Funding and Training Program: The program released four Requests for Proposals (RFPs) for court-appointed dependency counsel in Amador, Del Norte, Lake, and Plumas Counties. The RFPs were posted on the California Courts website, the California Dependency Online Guide website, and circulated to a list of approximately 2,100 attorneys with an interest in child welfare. Both the AOC and the local court evaluate all incoming proposals (the local court outweighs the AOC vote by having more proposal reviewers) to select winning vendors. The RFPs are one means of ensuring that trained, high-quality, and cost-effective attorneys help serve children and parents in juvenile dependency around the state.

Judicial Resources and Technical Assistance Program—Foster Care: Staff visited juvenile courts in Monterey, Marin, Kern, Mariposa, San Bernardino, Santa Clara, and Los Angeles to conduct courtesy file reviews of foster care cases and provide follow up technical assistance. AOC attorneys advise and consult with juvenile court bench officers, court staff, and agency stakeholders on the practices and procedures required by federal and California law to protect children from abuse and neglect and prevent the loss of federal foster care funding.

California Appellate Projects: The six appellate project directors for counsel representing death row inmates on direct appeal and state habeas corpus proceedings met with Office of Appellate Court Services staff to discuss statewide Court of Appeal court-appointed counsel issues.

Judicial Council/AOC Audit: At the Chief Justice’s request, Judicial Council member Judge Mary Ann O’Malley and Vice-Chair of the Advisory Committee on Financial Accountability and Efficiency for the Judicial Branch Justice Kathleen O’Leary will represent the council in the audit process. Both attended the entrance meeting with the California State Auditor’s (CSA) office. AOC division chiefs and a number of office directors and leads have been interviewed and a significant body of documentary material has been provided in response to requests from the CSA audit team. The final report is expected to be published in late fall.

Facilities

Court Construction (SB 1407 Projects): There are 32 active capital projects totaling over \$3.8 billion, and four projects that remain in the warranty or project close-out phase. Ten projects, totaling over \$1.7 billion, are currently in construction; another two are expected to begin construction by the end of June 2014.

Facility Modifications: As of April 1, 2014, there are 434 active facility modification projects with an estimated value of \$67 million.

Real Estate and Facilities Management:

- The AOC entered into nine new leases/licenses:

Expense Leases:

1. Monterey Superior Court at Monterey College of Law – short-term lease for multiple party trial (no rent charge to court – lawsuit parties responsible to pay rent)
2. Tulare Superior Court – Small Claims Court lease at Visalia Convention Center (court funded)
3. Nevada Superior Court – lease for courtroom in Joseph Center (court funded)
4. AOC Facilities Management Unit (San Dimas) lease for office space

Revenue Licenses:

5. T-Mobile (East County Regional Center, El Cajon)
6. Long Beach Bar Foundation (Deukmejian Courthouse, Long Beach)
7. For the Child (Deukmejian Courthouse, Long Beach)

8. Cherry Blossom Café (B.F. Sisk Courthouse, Fresno)
 9. Public Social Services (Edelman Courthouse, Los Angeles)
- Renewed four leases:
 1. Family Law (San Benito) (court funded)
 2. 1120 Mill Street (San Luis Obispo)
 3. Revenue license with Sprint PCS (East County Regional Center, El Cajon)
 4. AOC Facilities Management Unit (San Jose)
 - Terminated three leases/licenses:
 1. Short-term lease for the Monterey Superior Court due to settlement of a multiple party trial
 2. Revenue lease with the City of Los Angeles (Compton)
 3. AOC's Facilities Management Unit lease in San Dimas due to an office relocation

Human Resources

Judicial Branch Workers' Compensation Program: The program's advisory committee convened its annual meeting to determine workers' compensation cost allocations for the 57 trial courts in the program for fiscal year 2014–2015. The committee is chaired by Judicial Council-member and Santa Clara Court Executive Officer Mr. David Yamasaki and is comprised of 16 court program members from across the state. The AOC Human Resources Services Office serves as the administrator for the program that provides workers' compensation coverage for the trial courts, state judiciary entities, and all judges and justices statewide. The annual meeting provides information about the performance of the workers' compensation program, actuarial valuation of the program, member cost allocation, and legal updates.

Labor Relations/Negotiations: Over the past quarter, the AOC assisted seven trial courts in their now-concluded labor negotiations. Assistance is being provided to six other courts with eleven negotiations set to commence in early summer. Support is being provided to two trial courts in responding to labor matters involving the Public Employee Relations Board.

Trial Court Employee Relations: Support is being provided to six trial courts on matters involving employee investigations, discipline matters, and issues related to leave.

Classification and Compensation:

- Fox Lawson and Associates interviewed all AOC office leaders as part of the classification and compensation study. The consultant is currently conducting occupational panel meetings with AOC management and staff.
- HR's Classification and Compensation Unit is conducting a classification review of information systems classifications within the Supreme Court and Courts of Appeal.

AOC Employee Performance Management: The mandatory performance review process has been initiated for reviews due on May 1, 2014. Although the roll out of the program was extended from an initial roll out date of January 1, 2014, many supervisors and managers

continued over the past four months with the initial review plan process and have conducted engaging and constructive conversations with staff, demonstrating a strong commitment to the performance management process.

Technology

Telecommunications Infrastructure and Security: The program initiated projects in 17 superior courts for equipment replacement to be completed by July 2015. All 58 trial courts participate in the program, which develops and supports a standardized level of network infrastructure for the superior courts. Fifty-seven (57) courts subscribe to one or more security services. San Diego and Los Angeles are the newest courts to subscribe. Alpine will be subscribed by August 2014. Forty-six (46) courts attended network training courses.

Case Management Systems and E-Business Applications Services:

- *Criminal and Traffic (V2):* This case management system is used by Superior Court of Fresno County with interfaces to the Department of Motor Vehicles, Fresno Sheriff's Office, and Official Payments. The AOC is supporting the Fresno conversion to the Tyler Odyssey case management system.
- *Sustain Justice Edition:* For Sustain courts hosted at the California Courts Technology Center, maintenance activities included production support updates, system patching, and security certificate renewals.
- *Appellate Court Case Management System:* The AOC successfully developed, tested, and deployed the Appellate E-Filing Pilot integration with the system. The pilot went live for civil case types in the First District Court of Appeal in March. Criminal, juvenile, and original proceedings are set to go live in June.

Court Interpreter Data Collection System: Staff upgraded the application code for this system that provides critical operational data and statistics for managing and tracking court interpreter assignments to court cases as well as interpreter education compliance.

California Courts Protective Order Registry: In collaboration with the California Department of Justice, a \$330,000 grant to deploy the registry to additional courts became available in April for a six-month project. The project will target one large and five small courts. The grant gives priority to courts that require no data conversion or system integration, have no protective order system in place, and have obtained justice partner commitment to use to the registry. The Judicial Council Technology Committee approved Nevada, Napa, San Francisco, Madera, Sierra, and Trinity courts, based on grant guidelines and survey participation. Results from the December 2013 survey were used to support the selection.

Uniform Civil Fees System: This system supports distribution and mandated reporting of uniform civil fees collected by all 58 superior courts, with an average of \$52 million distributed per month. The system database and application server components were upgraded to keep the application's technical platform current and supportable by the external vendors.

Human Resources Information Management: This PeopleSoft system supports the AOC, Supreme Court, Court of Appeal, Habeas Corpus Resource Center, and Commission on Judicial Performance by tracking job information, employment data, recruitment, and training history. Staff completed an update to eliminate several data entry points for employee data and consolidate information in a single repository; they completed a project requested by the Appellate Courts Technology Committee to provide on-line leave balance reporting and access to Human Resources staff support information; and trained Courts of Appeal staff on the current system and new functionality.

Phoenix Human Resources Management System: At the courts' request, the AOC is in discussions regarding the possibility of implementing the Phoenix HR System in the Superior Courts of Lassen, Tehama, and Alameda Counties. These courts are seeking a solution to their payroll needs following communication from their counties of plans that they will no longer provide these services for court employees.

Advisory Committees/Task Forces/Working Groups

Advisory committees will hold only one in-person meeting per year until the fiscal situation improves. Other meetings will be convened using video- or audio-conferencing.

The following committees met since the Judicial Council's February meeting:

1. Administrative Presiding Justices Advisory Committee
2. Appellate Advisory Committee
3. Center for Judiciary Education and Research Governing Committee
4. Civic Learning Task Force
5. Civil and Small Claims Advisory Committee
6. Collaborative Justice Courts Advisory Committee
7. Court Executives Advisory Committee–Executive Committee
8. Court Facilities Advisory Committee
9. Court Technology Advisory Committee
10. Criminal Law Advisory Committee
11. Financial Accountability and Efficiency for the Judicial Branch Advisory Committee
12. Mental Health Issues Implementation Task Force
13. Task Force on Trial Court Fiscal Accountability
14. Traffic Advisory Committee
15. Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee
16. Trial Court Facility Modification Advisory Committee
17. Trial Court Presiding Judges Advisory Committee–Executive Committee
18. Tribal Court/State Court Forum

Meeting Details

Administrative Presiding Justices Advisory Committee:

- Discussed budget, how the mediation programs work in the Courts of Appeal, progress on the second district's pilot program for the use of electronic devices in the courtroom for both the public and counsel, the status of the e-filing project in the first district, and the Appellate Justices Institute and Appellate Attorneys Institute.

Appellate Advisory Committee:

- Recommended two rule proposals for adoption by the Judicial Council:
 - Rules to implement recent legislation—Senate Bill 743—establishing an expedited review procedure for California Environmental Quality Act cases involving certain large development projects (this is a joint proposal with the Civil and Small Claims Advisory Committee); and
 - An amendment to the rule regarding the membership of the Appellate Advisory Committee to include a new category for an attorney from the Supreme Court or Court of Appeal.
- Recommended several rules and forms proposals for circulation for public comment in Spring 2014, including proposals to:
 - Eliminate the preparation of unnecessary copies of the record on appeal in juvenile cases;
 - Create new optional forms for requesting extensions of briefing time in criminal and juvenile cases; and
 - Address a concern about attachment of fee waiver applications to record designation forms.
- Recommended the circulation for public comment of both a legislative proposal and a rule amendment designed to reduce burdens on trial courts associated with ruling on evidentiary objections in summary judgment proceedings without creating a substantial negative impact on the appellate courts.

Center for Judiciary Education and Research Governing Committee:

- Approved draft 2014–2016 Education Plan for council consideration.

Civic Learning Task Force:

- Convened seven regional meetings to review draft recommendations for improving civic learning in California's K-12 schools. The Chief and Senate President pro Tem attended the session at the State Capitol building. Feedback on the recommendations is being sought from a diverse group of community members including representatives of educators, students, policymakers, elected officials, the business community, labor groups, religious groups, and the general public. (<http://www.myboe.org/go/group/name/CivicLearningTaskforce>)
- The Chief Justice and State Superintendent of Public Instruction Tom Torlakson formed the task force to develop a blueprint to address five key areas of civic learning: (1) Curriculum and Instructional Practices, (2) Resources, (3) Professional Learning, (4) Student Assessment and School Accountability, and (5) Community and Business Partnerships.

Civil and Small Claims Advisory Committee:

- Finalized recommendations on proposals to implement new legislatively-mandated California Environmental Quality Act procedures and amendments to Name Change and Wage Garnishment forms.
- Considered and recommended that two rule and form proposals be circulated for public comment, one regarding permitting payment of filing fees over time and the other to clear up certain ambiguity resulting for last year's amendment of the telephonic appearance rules.
- Provided comments on a legislative proposal that would authorize issuance of sanctions on jurors, and recommended circulation of a proposal to amend the rule and statute regarding evidence and objections on summary judgment motions.

Collaborative Justice Courts Advisory Committee:

- Discussed current legislative proposals affecting collaborative justice courts and considered the possible effects of the legislation on both a statewide and local level.

Court Executives Advisory Committee Executive Committee:

- Staff provided updates on a wide variety of matters including budget, security, audits, and legislative issues, in addition to information on a new court security allocation formula to address ongoing increases for new courthouses.
- Discussed the unique role court executive officers play in statewide legislative advocacy, with the participation of Judge Brian Walsh as Trial Court Presiding Judges Advisory Committee Chair.

Court Facilities Advisory Committee:

- Following review of the site acquisition in downtown Modesto for the new Stanislaus courthouse and three hours of public comment, voted to continue negotiations on the preferred site on 10th Street.
- Approved the new Yreka courthouse project for Siskiyou County to proceed to design.
- Directed staff to further review the scope and budgets for four construction projects indefinitely delayed due to lack of funding.
- Approved three matters for consideration by the council: (1) Proposed templates for cost-effective design and construction for future projects; (2) the *Judicial Branch Capital Program Manual*; and (3) an update to the courthouse naming policy.

Court Technology Advisory Committee:

- Received updates on the Judicial Council Technology Committee, the open meeting rule, key statewide technology initiatives, and the self-help online triage for small claims project presented by the Superior Court of Orange County.
- Staff is working with committee chairs to the Court Technology Advisory Committee, the Appellate Advisory Committee, the Judicial Council Technology Committee, and Rules and Projects Committee on logistics for establishing the Joint Appellate Technology Subcommittee.

Criminal Law Advisory Committee:

- Discussed pending criminal law legislation and recommended Judicial Council positions on bills that would impact the judicial branch.
- Finalized five legislative proposals and presented them to the Policy Coordination and Liaison Committee for approval to circulate for public comment.
- Directed staff to develop a legislative proposal to govern mental competency proceedings during hearings to revoke parole, postrelease community supervision, and mandatory supervision.
- Finalized several revisions to the Judicial Council criminal protective order forms for council approval.

Financial Accountability and Efficiency for the Judicial Branch Advisory Committee:

- Used established criteria to conduct a focused review of contracts selected from a sampling of AOC contracts to determine if they are in support of judicial branch policy. The first semi-annual oversight report will be presented to the council in June.

Mental Health Issues Implementation Task Force:

- Discussed the outline of the task force's final report, reviewed completed educational products, and the draft annual agenda, which was presented to the Rules and Projects Committee.
- Subsequently, reviewed and commented on the draft final report.

Task Force on Trial Court Fiscal Accountability:

- Convened to review final activities involved with identifying and sharing efficient and/or effective court programs and projects identified by the task force.
- Received a demonstration from AOC Web Services staff of the Efficient and Effective Programs Knowledge Center designed to catalogue and encourage implementation of programs/projects identified by the taskforce with courts across the state.

Traffic Advisory Committee:

- Considered recommendations on recent traffic-related legislation and to develop a draft proposal on revision of form *Notice to Appear and Related Forms*, to provide guidelines and requirements for electronically issued traffic citations issued on a proposed notice to appear citation form. The proposal is drafted to address law enforcement programs that are implementing electronic citation issuing technology and courts that are nearing implementation of new case management systems. Recommendations will be submitted for a statewide invitation to comment for proposed forms, instructions, and a rule of court to be effective in April 2015.

Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee:

- Considered court interpreter and State Trial Court Improvement and Modernization Fund allocations, Trial Court Trust Fund revenues, budget priorities for 2015–2016, and guidance on the one percent fund balance cap. Various related funding recommendations resulting from committee actions will be presented to the council.

Trial Court Facility Modification Advisory Committee:

- Reviewed and approved 121 small and large facility modification projects with an approximate total cost of \$5.5 million, including 16 priority one projects that are required to return courts to normal operations.
- Conducted the out-of-cycle review of Court-Funded Facilities Requests.

Trial Court Presiding Judges Advisory Committee Executive Committee:

- Heard from the Chief Justice on legislative advocacy efforts on behalf of the branch.
- Received an update on open meetings from Judicial Council Executive and Planning Committee Chair Justice Douglas Miller.
- Staff provided updates on a wide variety of matters including budget, security, audits, and legislative issues.
- Received copies of the newly revised Trial Court Presiding Judges Advisory Committee publication on *Making Judicial Assignments*.

Tribal Court/State Court Forum:

- Convened the annual in-person meeting of the Forum, which also served as an educational session for members and invited guests with sixty tribal and state court judges. Topics included Promoting Structural Reforms and Exploring Racial Identity; P.L. 280 and Domestic Violence; Tribal-State Collaborations in Civil, Criminal, and Family Cases; and the Tribal Law and Order Act.
- Proposed traffic legislation, and a nomination process under rule 10.60 governing the Forum.

Judicial Branch Education Programs

Summary**Judicial Education**

1. Qualifying Ethics core classes (California Judges Association Retired Judges conference; Los Angeles; Antelope Valley; Ventura)
2. Criminal Assignment & Interdisciplinary Courses
 - Death Penalty Trials
 - Evidence in Civil & Criminal Cases
 - Handling Sexual Assault Cases
 - New Judge Orientation (March and April)

Judicial Officer, Court Employee, and Justice System Stakeholder Education

3. Access to the Courts for a Person with a Disability (Superior Court of Placer County)
4. Appellate Judicial Attorneys Institute
5. Dependency Attorney Training
6. Interacting and Communicating with a Person with a Disability (for self-help court staff)
7. Introduction to Dependency Court Webinar (2 sessions)
8. Labor Relations
9. LGBTQ Issues in Child Custody and Probate Cases
10. Trial Court Judicial Attorneys Institute
11. Tribal/State Court Training Programs

Education for Managers and Supervisors

12. Core 24: Advanced Skills for Experienced Court Managers/Administrators
13. Core 40: Basic Training for Supervisors (Superior Court of Los Angeles County)
14. Core Leadership and Training Skills (for trial court lead employees)
15. Court Clerk Training Institute (for court personnel)
16. Business Process Reengineering Workshop (for trial court personnel)
17. Institute for Court Management courses (for trial and appellate managers)
 - Court Performance Standards
 - CourTools
 - Essential Components
18. Prevention of Sexual Harassment (for leads and supervisors at the Habeas Corpus Resource Center)

Faculty Training

19. Faculty Development Fundamentals Part 1 and Part 2
20. Integrating Fairness and Access for Judicial Branch Faculty

Judicial Toolkit on Federal Indian Law

Job Aids for Court Employees Working in Civil Court

Broadcasts

21. File Stamping (for trial court personnel)
22. Inspiring Your Staff to Unite as a Team (for court managers and supervisors)
23. Managing Conflict Effectively (for court managers and supervisors)
24. Today's Law: Family Law Update
25. Today's Law: Legal Updates in Delinquency
26. Today's Law: Legal Updates in Dependency
27. Traffic: Citations to Appeals, Part I and II (for trial court personnel)

Updates to Online Courses

28. Busy Calendar
29. Campaign Evidence

30. Civil Evidence
31. Courtroom Control
32. Ethics for Temporary Judges
33. Hearsay I/II
34. Indian Child Welfare Act
35. Jury Challenges
36. New Judge Ethics
37. Relevance
38. Self-Represented Litigants I/II
39. Trial Evidence

Program Details

Access to the Courts for a Person with a Disability: Requested by the Court Executive Officer of the Superior Court of Placer County for his 100 court employees, this course was customized for training needs.

Business Process Reengineering: A full-day workshop was conducted for court employees. This workshop was developed in collaboration with the AOC and the Joint Working Group of the Trial Court Presiding Judges Advisory Committee and Court Executive Advisory Committee.

Core 24—Advanced Skills for Experienced Court Managers/Administrators: A new two and a half-day course was piloted for trial court managers. Course topics included defining the roles and responsibilities of management, the importance of building relationships and networks, and strategic planning and techniques to stay effective and informed.

Core 40: Basic Training for Supervisors: A week-long program for court supervisors and managers in Los Angeles Superior Court included the role of the supervisor, employment law, and performance management.

Core Leadership and Training Skills: A two and half-day class was provided for trial court employees in lead positions who would like to move into supervisory positions. Class topics include group development, learning styles, and training.

Dependency Attorney Training: Sessions were held in the counties of Santa Clara, Amador, and Mendocino. The two-day training in Mendocino was a partnership with the California Northern Regional Training Academy and included attendees from Sonoma, Butte, Lake, Marin, and Mendocino. This multi-disciplinary training focused on effective client communication for dependency attorneys, use of experts and effective courtroom advocacy, and skill building. Technical assistance and informal training was provided for seven additional counties.

Faculty Development Fundamentals Part 1 and Part 2: A faculty development program was conducted in collaboration with Los Angeles Superior Court Judicial Education Seminars for

judicial officers who will be teaching the seminars and may also be providing faculty services statewide in CJER programs. Seventeen prospective faculty members attended five days of education, which included 20 minute demonstrations by the participants with feedback.

Handling Sexual Abuse Cases: This two-day course developed with the AOC Violence Against Women Education Project was held as part of the Criminal Assignment and Interdisciplinary Courses program. It featured an overview of issues relating to a sexual abuse trial and special segments on DNA and forensic psychological exams.

Integrating Fairness and Access for Judicial Branch Faculty: At the request of Los Angeles Superior Court, a half-day course was provided on the integration of fairness and access content in the design, delivery, and development of education.

Introduction to Dependency Court Webinar: This course was provided for 18 judicial officers new to a dependency assignment or who anticipate being assigned to dependency.

Job Aids for Court Employees Working in Civil Court: Eight new job aids for personnel working in civil court were made available online. These tools (job aids) address Abstract of Judgment; Cross-Complaint; Default & Default Judgment—Clerk; Default Judgment—Court; Enforcement; Representation; Request for Default; and Writ of Execution.

Judicial Toolkit on Federal Indian Law: This toolkit will assist new and experienced judges in many case types involving issues of federal Indian law, jurisdiction on tribal lands and related issues. It is specifically helpful in cases involving domestic violence issues and tribal lands, or tribal courts by providing easy access to law and other resources. These resources include links to federal law, cases, publications, online courses, video presentations, and other resources relevant to handling cases that cross jurisdictional lines between a tribal and state court.

Labor Relations: Trainings are currently being provided in Northern, Central, and Southern California on best practices on serving as a Skelly Officer.

LGBTQ Issues in Child Custody and Probate Cases: The Family Court Services Technical Assistance and Training Program supports local court projects, on request. A training was held at Superior Court of Orange County to meet the education requirement that became effective January 1, 2014, pursuant to AB868 (Ammiano). The training featured Doug Nejaime, University of California, Irvine, an author of the Family Law Professors Amicus Brief in Windsor (DOMA) and the California Family Law Professors Amicus Brief in Perry (Prop 8); Diane Goodman, an attorney/mediator, counsel for defendant in parentage case (Kristine H. v Lisa R. 2005) at the California Supreme Court; and Teresa DeCrescenzo, LCSW, recipient of lifetime achievement award from the National Association of Social Workers.

Managing Conflict Effectively: A broadcast for supervisors and managers was designed to help participants identify possible reactions to conflict, the benefits of leading a cohesive team, and effectively managing conflict and selecting appropriate responses to common situations.

Self-Help and Family Law Conference: Co-sponsored with the Legal Aid Association of California, the conference brought together more than 300 participants over a three day period to get updates on the law and best practices. Participants included self-help center staff, attorneys and managers; legal aid attorneys and staff; court interpreters; law librarians and pro bono attorneys. Topics included: Providing Small Claims/Civil/Collection Services at Court Based Self Help Centers; Current Legal Issues for Same Sex Couples After DOMA; Self-Help Regional Small Claims On-Line Triage; Interpreting for Self-Represented Litigants – Challenges and Tools for Success; Mobile Devices as Delivery Tools; and Using Videoconferencing to Provide Legal and Language Access Services. The Stanford Design School provided an all-day workshop on designing services to improve access. AOC Senior Attorney Deborah Chase was awarded the Joint Award of Merit from the California Commission on Access to Justice and the Legal Aid Association of California in recognition of her work assisting the courts to serve self-represented litigants.

Traffic Basics: From Citations to Appeals: This is a two-part series for court personnel working in traffic that explains the Notice to Appear line by line, how to help a court user who has received a citation for a traffic violation, bail traffic school, correctable violations, contested infractions, and appeals.

Trial Court Judicial Attorneys Institute: This two-day program for trial court judicial attorneys included sessions on ethics, elimination of bias and substance abuse, as well as updates on civil procedure, criminal, and family law. Courses on foreclosure law, writing, elder financial abuse, probate, anti-SLAPP, complex civil litigation, habeas corpus, costs and attorneys fees, CEQA, employment law and gang issues were also offered. A constitutional law update was presented, and seven roundtables provided forums to discuss issues of interest and concern.

Tribal/State Court Training Programs:

- Training programs on the Indian Child Welfare Act were held at the Native American Health Centers in Oakland and Richmond as well as in Amador County.
- A session on Improving Access to Tribal and State Courts in Domestic Violence Cases—Confronting Ethical Issues and Unveiling Differences was held at the San Francisco Self-Represented Litigants Conference.

Youth Court Regional Roundtable: A collaboration of the California Association of Youth Courts and the Administrative Office of the Courts, Center for Families, Children & the Courts, this day-long roundtable was open to judicial officers, court staff, service providers, and interagency partners. Eleven counties attended the roundtable which provided information to local courts interested in starting up a youth/peer court. A second youth court regional roundtable will be held in southern California in the fall.

Administrative Office of the Courts Staffing Report as of March 31, 2014

See definition of terms on the following page.

STAFFING			Leadership Services Division						Operations Services Division					Administrative Services Division						AOC	
	Executive Office	Office of Gov't Affairs	Internal Audit Services	Legal Services Office	JC Support Services	Office of Communications	Special Projects Office	Trial Court Liaison Office	Center for Families, Child. & Courts	Court Ops Special Svc Office	Criminal Justice Court Svc Office	Center for Judiciary Education & Research	Office of Appellate Court Services	Office of JB Capital Programs	Fiscal Services Office	HR Services Office	Information Technology Services Office	Office of Admin Services	Office of Real Estate & Fac. Mgmt		TC Admin Services Office
Authorized Position (FTE)	9.00	12.00	15.00	59.00	12.80	8.00	5.00	8.00	68.00	45.40	14.00	68.50	8.00	57.00	77.00	37.00	135.90	9.00	80.00	87.00	815.60
Filled Authorized Position (FTE)	6.95	12.00	13.00	41.30	11.60	7.00	5.00	8.00	58.80	36.20	13.18	61.10	4.00	45.00	67.00	31.00	109.88	9.00	77.60	83.88	701.49
Headcount - Employees	7	12	13	43	12	7	5	8	60	37	14	62	4	45	67	31	110	9	78	84	708.00
Vacancy (FTE)	2.05	0.00	2.00	17.70	1.20	1.00	0.00	0.00	9.20	9.20	0.83	7.40	4.00	12.00	10.00	6.00	26.03	0.00	2.40	3.13	114.14
Vacancy Rate (FTE)	22.8%	0.0%	13.3%	30.0%	9.4%	12.5%	0.0%	0.0%	13.5%	20.3%	5.9%	10.8%	50.0%	21.1%	13.0%	16.2%	19.2%	0.0%	3.0%	3.6%	14.0%
AOC Temporary Employee (909)	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	3.00
*Employment Agency Temporary Worker (FTE)	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	3.0	0.0	1.0	6.0	1.0	0.0	1.0	3.0	0.0	20.00
Contractors (FTE)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.0	1.0	8.0	0.0	1.0	44.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	54.50
TOTAL WORKFORCE (based on FTE, 909s, Agency Temps & Contractors)	8.95	12.00	13.00	43.30	11.60	7.00	5.00	8.00	59.80	37.70	14.18	64.10	5.00	54.00	73.00	34.00	153.88	10.00	80.60	83.88	778.99

Definitions:	
Authorized Position (FTE)	Authorized positions include all regular ongoing positions approved in the Budget Act for that year. The number is based on the position's approved full time equivalency.
Filled Authorized Position (FTE)	Filled authorized positions are the number of authorized positions filled based on the employee's full time equivalency.
Headcount	The actual count of persons employed by the AOC, regardless of FTE. This number could be more than the FTE count due to part-time employees being counted as "1". It does not include Temporary Employees (909) or Employment Agency Temporary Workers.
Vacancy (FTE)	The number of vacancies is the number of authorized positions minus the number of filled authorized positions.
Vacancy Rate (FTE)	Vacancy Rate is calculated by dividing the number of authorized positions by the number of vacant authorized positions. This number excludes AOC temporary employees ("909" funded employees). See definition of AOC temporary employees below.
AOC Temporary Employees (909)	The 909 category is the State Controller code used to reference a temporary position or temporary employee. A 909 position may not be funded through the Budget Act. It is categorized as a temporary position in the absence of an authorized position. 909 positions may be occupied by regular full-time employees due to the unavailability of an authorized vacant position and may receive benefits if employed at least half-time for more than six months. Types of "909" Employees include: Retired Annuitants: A retiree hired by a former employer or other employer that participates in the same retirement system as the former employer. This includes a former participant in a state retirement system who previously retired and currently receives retirement benefits. Temporary Employees: Employed by the AOC on a temporary basis - they do not receive full benefits (but do receive CalPERS retirement service credit).
Employment Agency Temp. Worker (FTE)	These are workers from an employment agency. They are employees of the employment agency, not the AOC, but provide short-term support for AOC workload.
Contractor (FTE)	Individuals augmenting the work of the AOC and providing services for a limited period of time or on a specific project, where a particular skill set is required that is either (1) not within an existing AOC classification and/or job description or (2) where recruitment issues require the use of a contractor.
Full Time Equivalency (FTE)	Full Time Equivalency is the number of total maximum compensable hours designated in a year divided by actual hours worked in a year. For example, the work year for the AOC is defined as 2,080 hours; one employee occupying a paid full time job all year would consume one FTE. One employee working for 1,040 hours each would consume .5 FTE.
Time Base	Full time: Employee is scheduled to work 40 hours per week. Receives full benefits. Part time: Employee is scheduled to work less than 40 hours per week. Employees that work more than 20 hours per week receive full benefits. Intermittent: Employees have no established work schedule and work on an as-needed basis that varies from one pay period to the next. Eligibility for certain benefits may be limited for these employees.
Regular Employee	Commonly referred to as "permanent employees" – They receive full benefits.
Limited Term	Limited Term Position – A position funded through the Budget Act with a specific end date and counted as an authorized position. Employee in limited term positions may be regular or temporary.

New Judgeships and Vacancies Report

Number of Judgeships Authorized, Filled and Vacant as of March 31, 2014

TYPE OF COURT	NUMBER OF COURTS	NUMBER OF JUDGESHIPS					
		Authorized	Filled	Vacant	Vacant (AB 159 positions)	<i>Filled(Last Month***)</i>	<i>Vacant(Last Month***)</i>
Supreme Court	1	7	7	0	0	7	0
Courts of Appeal	6	105	95	10	0	95	10
Superior Courts	58	1706	1580	76	50*	1591	115
All Courts	65	1818	1682	136		1693	125

*Authorized January 1, 2008, 50 new (AB 159) judgeships are added. However, funding for these positions has not been provided.

***As of February 28, 2014

New Vacancies that occurred in March 2014

JUDICIAL VACANCIES: APPELLATE COURTS

Appellate District	Vacancies	Reason for Vacancy	Justice to be Replaced	Last Day In Office
First Appellate District, Division One	2	Retirement	Hon. James J. Marchiano	03/15/13
First Appellate District, Division Two		Retirement	Hon. James R. Lambden	07/31/13
Second Appellate District, Division One	6	Retirement	Hon. Robert M. Mallano	02/28/14
Second Appellate District, Division Two		Retirement	Hon. Kathryn Doi Todd	01/22/13
Second Appellate District, Division Four		Retirement	Hon. Steven C. Suzukawa	02/28/14
Second Appellate District, Division Five		Retirement	Hon. Orville A. Armstrong	07/31/13

Second Appellate District, Division Six		Retirement	Hon. Paul H. Coffee	01/31/12
Second Appellate District, Division Seven		Retirement	Hon. Frank Y. Jackson	06/30/13
Third Appellate District	1	Elevated	Hon. Tani G. Cantil-Sakauye	01/02/11
Fifth Appellate District	1	Retirement	Hon. Rebecca A. Wiseman	10/31/13
TOTAL VACANCIES	10			

JUDICIAL VACANCIES: SUPERIOR COURTS

County	Vacancies	Reason for Vacancy	Judge to be Replaced	Last Day In Office
Alameda	4	Retirement	Hon. Hugh A. Walker	02/18/14
Alameda		Retirement	Hon. Joan S. Cartwright	01/31/14
Alameda		Transferred	Hon. Carrie McIntyre Panetta	09/20/13
Alameda		Retirement	Hon. Marshall Ivan Whitley	06/30/13
Contra Costa	1	Retirement	Hon. William M. Kolin	11/10/13
Fresno	1	Converted	New Position	11/24/13
Kern	3	Retirement	Hon. Louis P. Etcheverry	10/24/13
Kern		Retirement	Hon. Jerold L. Turner	08/01/13
Kern		Retirement	Hon. Jon Edward Stuebbe	06/16/13
Los Angeles	23	Retirement	Hon. R. Bruce Minto	03/31/14
Los Angeles		Retirement	Hon. Joseph S. Biderman	03/18/14
Los Angeles		Retirement	Hon. David Sherman Milton	03/15/14
Los Angeles		Retirement	Hon. John Vernon Meigs	03/07/14
Los Angeles		Retirement	Hon. Jessica Perrin Silvers	02/19/14
Los Angeles		Retirement	Hon. Michael C. Solner	02/19/14
Los Angeles		Retirement	Hon. Janice Claire Croft	02/18/14
Los Angeles		Retirement	Hon. Louis M. Meisinger	02/07/14
Los Angeles		Retirement	Hon. Charles W. McCoy, Jr.	08/28/13
Los Angeles		Dis Retirement	Hon. Cynthia Rayvis	08/22/13

Los Angeles		Converted	New Position	08/12/13
Los Angeles		Converted	New Position	08/12/13
Los Angeles		Converted	New Position	08/12/13
Los Angeles		Converted	New Position	08/12/13
Los Angeles		Converted	New Position	08/12/13
Los Angeles		Converted	New Position	08/12/13
Los Angeles		Converted	New Position	08/12/13
Los Angeles		Retirement	Hon. Shari Kreisler Silver	07/31/13
Los Angeles		Retirement	Hon. Lawrence J. Mira	07/24/13
Los Angeles		Retirement	Hon. John H. Reid	06/02/13
Los Angeles		Retirement	Hon. Dewey Lawes Falcone	05/08/13
Los Angeles		To Fed Court	Hon. Beverly Reid O'Connell	05/01/13
Los Angeles		Retirement	Hon. Diana M. Wheatley	04/16/13
Marin	2	Retirement	Hon. Lynn Duryee	02/28/14
Marin		Retirement	Hon. James R. Ritchie	12/31/13
Monterey	1	Retirement	Hon. Susan M. Dauphiné	03/05/14
Orange	9	Retirement	Hon. Marjorie Laird Carter	03/31/14
Orange		Retirement	Hon. Wendy Lindley	02/19/14
Orange		Retirement	Hon. Nancy Wieben Stock	02/11/14
Orange		Converted	New Position	10/25/13
Orange		Retirement	Hon. John Nho Trong Nguyen	09/25/13
Orange		Converted	New Position	08/12/13
Orange		Retirement	Hon. B. Tam Nomoto Schumann	04/22/13
Orange		Retirement	Hon. Francisco F. Firmat	02/25/13
Orange		Retirement	Hon. Craig E. Robison	01/07/13
Riverside	4	Retirement	Hon. Sherrill A. Ellsworth	03/01/14
Riverside		Retirement	Hon. Gary B. Tranbarger	01/28/14
Riverside		Retirement	Hon. David B. Downing	04/29/13
Riverside		Retirement	Hon. Jean Pfeiffer Leonard	03/29/13
Sacramento	2	Retirement	Hon. Roland L. Candee	05/15/13

Sacramento		To Fed Court	Hon. Troy L. Nunley	03/25/13
San Bernardino	3	Retirement	Hon. Larry W. Allen	11/30/13
San Bernardino		Retirement	Hon. Shahla S. Sabet	11/29/13
San Bernardino		Retirement	Hon. John B. Gibson	09/19/13
San Diego	7	Retirement	Hon. Susan D. Huguenor	03/12/14
San Diego		Retirement	Hon. Allan J. Preckel	03/08/14
San Diego		Converted	New Position	02/07/14
San Diego		Retirement	Hon. Richard E. Mills	10/28/13
San Diego		Retirement	Hon. William H. McAdam, Jr.	09/30/13
San Diego		Retirement	Hon. John S. Einhorn	08/31/13
San Diego		Retirement	Hon. Richard G. Cline	08/19/13
San Francisco	2	Retirement	Hon. Patrick J. Mahoney	02/28/13
San Francisco		Retirement	Hon. Katherine A. Feinstein	02/01/13
San Joaquin	1	Retirement	Hon. Terrence R. Van Oss	02/28/14
San Mateo	1	Retirement	Hon. Beth Labson Freeman	02/25/14
Santa Barbara	1	Retirement	Hon. George C. Eskin	10/15/13
Santa Clara	6	Retirement	Hon. Rene Navarro	03/31/14
Santa Clara		Retirement	Hon. Thomas W. Cain	12/02/13
Santa Clara		Retirement	Hon. Andrea Y. Bryan	12/01/13
Santa Clara		Retirement	Hon. Diane Northway	03/16/13
Santa Clara		Retirement	Hon. Jerome S. Nadler	01/18/13
Santa Clara		Retirement	Hon. Joyce Allegro	01/03/13
Stanislaus	1	Retirement	Hon. Susan D. Siefkin	11/30/13
Trinity	1	Retirement	Hon. James P. Woodward	01/05/13
Tulare	2	Retirement	Hon. Joseph A. Kalashian	03/13/14
Tulare		Dis Retirement	Hon. Elisabeth B. Krant	12/06/13
Ventura	1	Retirement	Hon. Barbara A. Lane	11/30/13
SUBTOTAL:	76			

New Judgeships Authorized January 1, 2008 (AB 159) For Which Funding Has Not Been Provided.

This judgeship allocation list was updated based on Judicial Council action on December 13, 2013.

Fresno	2	(AB 159)*	New Positions	1/1/2008
Humboldt	1	(AB 159)*	New Position	1/1/2008
Imperial	1	(AB 159)*	New Position	1/1/2008
Kern	3	(AB 159)*	New Positions	1/1/2008
Kings	1	(AB 159)*	New Position	1/1/2008
Los Angeles	2	(AB 159)*	New Positions	1/1/2008
Merced	2	(AB 159)*	New Positions	1/1/2008
Orange	2	(AB 159)*	New Positions	1/1/2008
Placer	2	(AB 159)*	New Positions	1/1/2008
Riverside	9	(AB 159)*	New Positions	1/1/2008
Sacramento	3	(AB 159)*	New Positions	1/1/2008
San Bernardino	9	(AB 159)*	New Positions	1/1/2008
San Joaquin	3	(AB 159)*	New Positions	1/1/2008
Shasta	1	(AB 159)*	New Position	1/1/2008
Solano	1	(AB 159)*	New Position	1/1/2008
Sonoma	1	(AB 159)*	New Position	1/1/2008
Stanislaus	3	(AB 159)*	New Positions	1/1/2008
Sutter	1	(AB 159)*	New Positions	1/1/2008
Tulare	1	(AB 159)*	New Position	1/1/2008
Ventura	2	(AB 159)*	New Positions	1/1/2008
TOTAL VACANCIES:	126			

Number of Judgeships Authorized, Filled and Vacant as of the End of Each Month: From March 2012 through March 2014 (two years)*

Month	Superior Courts				Courts of Appeal			
	Authorized	Filled	Vacancy	Vacancy Rate	Authorized	Filled	Vacancy	Vacancy Rate
Mar-12	1,680	1,562	118	7.0%	105	99	6	5.7%
Apr-12	1,680	1,554	126	7.5%	105	99	6	5.7%
May-12	1,680	1,568	112	6.7%	105	98	7	6.7%
Jun-12	1,682	1,566	116	6.9%	105	100	5	4.8%
Jul-12	1,682	1,560	122	7.3%	105	100	5	4.8%
Aug-12	1,684	1,561	123	7.3%	105	100	5	4.8%
Sep-12	1,685	1,554	131	7.8%	105	100	5	4.8%
Oct-12	1,686	1,553	133	7.9%	105	100	5	4.8%
Nov-12	1,687	1,565	122	7.2%	105	100	5	4.8%
Dec-12	1,693	1,583	110	6.5%	105	103	2	1.9%
Jan-13	1,694	1,590	107	6.3%	105	102	3	2.9%
Feb-13	1,695	1,581	114	6.7%	105	102	3	2.9%
Mar-13	1,695	1,574	125	7.4%	105	101	4	3.8%
Apr-13	1,695	1,567	128	7.6%	105	101	4	3.8%
May-13	1,695	1,576	119	7.0%	105	101	4	3.8%
Jun-13	1,695	1,571	124	7.3%	105	100	5	4.8%
Jul-13	1,695	1,579	116	6.8%	105	98	7	6.7%
Aug-13	1,703	1,582	121	7.1%	105	98	7	6.7%
Sep-13	1,703	1,579	124	7.3%	105	98	7	6.7%
Oct-13	1,704	1,575	129	7.6%	105	97	8	7.6%
Nov-13	1,705	1,570	135	7.9%	105	97	8	7.6%
Dec-13	1,705	1,601	104	6.1%	105	97	8	7.6%
Jan-14	1,705	1,601	104	6.1%	105	97	8	7.6%
Feb-14	1,706	1,591	115	6.7%	105	95	10	9.5%
Mar-14	1,706	1,580	126	7.4%	105	95	10	9.5%

* As of March 31, 2014

Authorized Judgeships and Vacancies in the Superior Courts

