ACTIVITY REPORTING AND PROPOSAL FORM

JUDICIAL COUNCIL DIRECTIVES AOC RESTRUCTURING

DATE	10/5/2012
PREPARED BY	
OFFICE NAME	Center for Families, Children & the Courts
JUDICIAL COUNCIL DIRECTIVE NUMBER	58
JUDICIAL COUNCIL DIRECTIVE	E&P recommends that the Judicial Council support SEC Recommendation 7 -4(i) with no further action, as the positions related to CCMS have been eliminated through the AOC's initiatives to reduce costs and downsize its workforce and operations.
SEC RECOMMENDATION	CFCC's current number of authorized positions should be reduced. To achieve the reduction, these areas should be reviewed and considered, and appropriate actions taken:
	Positions related to CCMS should be eliminated.
RESPONSE (check applicable boxes)	
▼ This directive has been completed and implemented:	
Center for Families, Children & the Courts' workforce reductions included four employees who had been redeployed to work on CCMS. The regular assignments of employees redeployed for work on CCMS were not back filled by other staff and the positions have now been eliminated.	
File Attachment	
\square This directive is forwarded to the Judicial Council with options for consideration:	
File Attachment	
☐ Other:	
File Attachment	
TIMELINE AND RESOURCES FOR IMPLEMENTATION	
IMPLEMENTATION DATE OR PROJECTED	October 2011-June 2012

IMPLEMENTATION DATE		
RESOURCES REQUIRED FOR IMPLEMENTATION		
ADDITIONAL IMPLEMENTATION INFORMATION (complete only applicable sections)		
PROCEDURES/ POLICIES UPDATED OR DEVELOPED	☐ File Attachment	
☐ TRAINING UPDATED OR DEVELOPED		
✓ SAVINGS	Annual savings of \$401,338, due to elimination of Senior Researcher Analyst and Administrative Coordinator positions. File Attachment	
□ cost	File Attachment	
☐ EFFICIENCIES	File Attachment	
SERVICE LEVEL IMPACT	This downsizing substantially reduces capacity to provide courts with technical assistance in the use of administrative data systems in family, juvenile, and collaborative justice courts to generate data for cost-benefit analysis, business decisions, program data requirements, and court applications for grant funding. Several projects have been suspended or substantially reduced in scope, including a cost-benefits analysis of mental health courts, an evaluation of DUI courts, generation of data from child support facilitator services, and case flow management analysis. These projects share the common goal of identifying court procedures that optimize the efficient use of court resources.	
	File Attachment	
□ OTHER	File Attachment	
ADMINISTRATIVE DIRECTOR OF THE COURTS (ADOC) REVIEW AND APPROVAL		
ADOC REVIEW	Administrative Director of the Courts Review Date: 10/5/2012	
EXECUTIVE AND PLANNING (E&P) COMMITTEE REVIEW		

E&P REVIEW

Executive and Planning Review Date: 10/19/2012