

TECHNOLOGY COMMITTEE

JUDICIAL COUNCIL TECHNOLOGY COMMITTEE

MINUTES OF OPEN MEETING

August 21, 2014 9:30 a.m. - 11:00 a.m. Sequoia Room, JCCC, San Francisco

Advisory Body Members Present:	Hon. James E. Herman, Chair; Hon. David De Alba, Vice-Chair; Hon. Judith Ashmann-Gerst; Hon. Emilie H. Elias; Hon. Teri L. Jackson; Hon. Gary Nadler; Hon. David M. Rubin; and Mr. David H. Yamasaki
Advisory Body Members Absent:	Mr. Mark G. Bobino; and Mr. Mark Robinson
Others Present:	Presiding Judge Jonathan B. Conklin, Fresno Superior Court; Ms. Sheran Morton, Court Executive Officer, Fresno Superior Court; Mr. Brian Cotta, Court of Appeal, Fifth Appellate District; and Mr. Alex Aftanas, Court Information Officer Fresno Superior Court; Mr. Curt Soderlund; Mr. Curtis L. Child; Mr. Cory Jasperson; Mr. Mark Dusman; Mr. Zlatko Theodorovic; Ms. Virginia Sanders-Hinds; Ms. Renea Stewart; Ms. Jessica Craven; Ms. Kathy Fink; Mr. Patrick O'Donnell; Ms. Tara Lundstrom; Mr. David Koon; and Ms. Jackie Woods

OPEN MEETING

Call to Order and Roll Call

The chair called the meeting to order at 9:30 a.m. and took roll call.

Approval of Minutes

The advisory body reviewed and approved the minutes of the July 21, 2014, Judicial Council Technology Committee meeting.

DISCUSSION AND ACTION ITEMS (ITEMS 1-10)

Item 1

Chair Report (No Action Required)

Update: Hon. James E. Herman, Chair of the Judicial Council Technology Committee (JCTC), welcomed, and thanked everyone for attending.

Item 2

Statewide Data Exchange Status

Update: Judge Herman stated there needs to be uniformity with standards for justice partners regarding data exchanges. He discussed the need for a single solution data exchange

for the trial courts with justice partners along with the proposed workstream with the Executive and Planning Committee at their August 12, 2014 meeting. Justice partners want to have one solution versus working with each court individually.

Item 3

Update on Interim Case Management Systems

Discussion: Ms. Kathleen Fink provided an update on the V3 Interim Case Management System glide path for the courts to cover their own costs to get them off state funding. There was a meeting with the V3 courts last week to introduce possible solutions and discuss their issues and funding issues. Courts feel they do not have adequate funding to support this transition. A Budget Change Proposal (BCP) is one way to help fund the transition to a new case management system for these courts.

Item 4

Evaluation of AB 2073 and Report to the Legislature (Action Required)

- **Discussion:** Mr. Patrick O'Donnell and Ms. Tara Lundstrom provided an update. Ms. Lundstrom summarized the findings for the report entitled *Preliminary Evaluation of the E-Filing Project in the Superior Court in and for the County of Orange* including highlighting court cost savings. JCTC feedback will be incorporated into the report before it goes to the Judicial Council.
- Action: Motion carried to forward direct staff to update the report for the Judicial Council. After final approval by JCTC and the Council, it will be transmitted to the Legislature.

Item 5

Remote Video Proceedings Pilot Project (RVP)

Update:Mr. O'Donnell reported on the progress report prepared by Fresno Superior Court.Judge Herman introduced the Fresno court representatives, who shared this projectbeen successful in the two remote video locations. Users have rated the quality ofvideo and the service itself highly. The court is working to post additional signs notingRVP times and days, as well as improving the website to make it more user friendly.The court, the justice partners, and community are pleased with this pilot project.

Item 6

Court Technology Advisory Committee (CTAC)

Update:Hon. Glen Reiser, vice chair of CTAC, provided a CTAC update on their projects
including the BCP for Appellate Document Management System, the Survey on Video
Remote Technology, and next year's CTAC membership.

ltem 7

Video Remote Interpreting (VRI)

Update:Mr. Curt Child provided an update on VRI. There are 15 courts currently able to view
and use VRI on the Judicial Council system. Six courts are using for American Sign

Language as needed; Fresno uses their own spoken language system in their pilot project. The goal is to expand across courts, but there are a couple of challenges around user satisfaction, interpreter union acceptance, and use.

Item 8

California State Auditor's Report-System Controls

Update:Mr. Curt Soderlund provided on the CSA audit including the challenges with
compliance. There will need to be consultants to assist the trial courts with the process.
A placeholder BCP is being submitted as an attempt to get additional resources for
courts.

Item 9

Update on Budget Impacts on the Improvement and Modernization Fund (IMF)

Update:Mr. Curt Soderlund provided an update on the IMF budget. Technology expenditures
have been substantially reduced. There is a lot of savings from more effective
deployment of programs; however it is expected there will be a shortfall that will need
to be spread among programs to absorb the \$5.8 million amount. Therefore, it is
necessary to prioritize the projects; this process is underway.

Item 10

Recognition of Outgoing Judicial Council Technology Committee Members

Update:Judge Herman recognized outgoing JCTC members (Judge Jackson, Mr. Mark
Robinson, and Mr. David Yamasaki) for their service to the committee.

A D J O U R N M E N T

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 11:00 a.m.

Approved by the advisory body on September 29, 2014.