



JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA

TECHNOLOGY COMMITTEE

www.courts.ca.gov/jctc.htm
jctc@jud.ca.gov

JUDICIAL COUNCIL TECHNOLOGY COMMITTEE

MINUTES OF OPEN MEETING

August 25, 2016

9:30 AM – 11:30 AM

Sequoia Room, Judicial Council Conference Center, 455 Golden Gate Avenue,
San Francisco, CA 94102-3688

Advisory Body Members Present: Hon. Marsha G. Slough, Chair; Hon. Daniel J. Buckley, Vice-Chair; Mr. Mark G. Bonino; Hon. Kyle S. Brodie; Hon. Ming W. Chin; Hon. David E. Gunn; Hon. Gary Nadler; Mr. Jake Chatters; Mr. Rick Feldstein; and Ms. Debra Elaine Pole

Advisory Body and Liaison Members Present: Hon. Terence L. Bruiniers

Absent:

Others Present: Mr. Mark Dusman, Mr. Zlatko Theodorovic; Ms. Virginia Sanders-Hinds; Mr. David Koon; Ms. Kathy Fink; Ms. Brandy Sanborn; Ms. Jessica Goldstein; Mr. Patrick O'Donnell; Ms. Tara Lundstrum; Ms. Kimberly DaSilvas; Ms. Andrea Jaramillo; and Ms. June Agpalza

OPEN MEETING

Call to Order and Roll Call

The chair called the meeting to order, took roll call, and advised no public comments were received.

Approval of Minutes

The advisory body reviewed and approved the minutes of the July 27, 2016 and August 8, 2016, Judicial Council Technology Committee meetings.

DISCUSSION AND ACTION ITEMS (ITEMS 1 – 9)

Item 1

Chair Report

Update: Hon. Marsha Slough, welcomed and thanked everyone for attending. Justice Slough reviewed the agenda for the meeting, as well as provided updates on recent meetings in which she and other members represented the JCTC or reported on the JCTC activities. She also acknowledged the Information Technology Advisory Committee (ITAC) Chair for his membership and accomplishments as the Chair's term will end in September 2016, as well as outgoing Judicial Council member Mr. Mark Bonino. Justice Slough also announced the incoming Chair and Vice-chair of ITAC.

Item 2

Rules Modernization Project (Phase 2) Rules Proposal: Proposed Amendments to Titles 2, 3, and 5 of the California Rules of Court (Action Required)

Update: Ms. Tara Lundstrom, Attorney, Criminal Justice Services reviewed the Information Technology Advisory Committee's (ITAC) proposed amendments to titles 2, 3, and 5 of the California Rules of Court. The proposed amendments are intended to be substantive changes to the rules to facilitate e-business, e-filing, and e-service. An update was provided on the discussion that took place between the joint groups which included the Civil and Small Claims Advisory Committee and the Family and Juvenile Advisory Committee. On the issue of courtesy copies, the subgroup remained split and did not reach a decision that was satisfactory to all. Thus, the group chose to forward along the two recommendations and a third option (to remove the proposed rule 2.252 amendment from the proposal altogether).

Action 1: The committee discussed the report and then voted to remove proposed rule 2.252 amendment from the proposal.

Action 2: The committee continued the discussion on the remainder of the report and voted unanimously to approve.

Item 3

Legislative Proposal on E-Filing, E-Service, and E-Signatures (Action Required)

Update: Mr. Patrick O'Donnell and Ms. Tara Lundstrom reviewed the revisions on ITAC's legislative proposal on e-filing, e-service, and e-signatures. This proposal would amend Code of Civil Procedure sections 664.5, 1010.6, and 1110 and would add a new section 1013b.

Action: reviewed the revisions

Item 4

Legislative Proposal to Authorize Permissive E-Filing and E-Service in Criminal Proceedings

Update: Ms. Kimberly DaSilva, Attorney, Criminal Justice Services reviewed the revisions on ITAC's legislative proposal that would enact Penal Code section 690.5 to clarify that permissive e-filing and e-service are authorized in criminal matters.

Action: The committee discussed the report and voted unanimously to approve.

Item 5

Update on Sustain Justice Edition Case Management System

Update: Mr. Richard D. Feldstein, Mr. David Koon, and Mr. Jake Chatters provided an update and report on the work related to the Sustain Justice Edition case management system replacement including the request for proposal, budget change proposal, and the Placer Court Hosting Consortium.

Action: The committee received the report.

Item 6

Update/Report on Information Technology Advisory Committee (ITAC)

Update: Hon. Terence L. Bruiniers, Chair of ITAC, provided an update and report on the activities of the advisory committee, its subcommittees, and its workstreams.

Action: The committee discussed the activities of ITAC and received the report.

Item 7

Update/Report on Future's Commission

Update: Mr. Jake Chatters, JCTC member provided reported on the work of the Future's Commission and indicated that the process continues. Work is being done on the draft reports which is scheduled to be complete in February 2017. It was also noted that Public Comment will take place on Monday, August 29, 2016.

Action: The committee discussed the activities of the Future's Commission and received the report.

Item 8

Discussion: Technology Budget Change Proposals

Update: Hon. Daniel J. Buckley, Vice-Chair, Judicial Council Technology Committee opened the discussion on potential technology Budget Change Proposals (BCPs) with FY 18/19 being the target year for funding. The committee discussed many items related to judicial branch technology and will refine this list for future potential technology BCPs.

Item 9

Discussion: Technology Summit

Update: Hon. Marsha G. Slough shared that an inter branch summit is being proposed which would be an overall benefit to all.

A D J O U R N M E N T

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned.