



JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA

TECHNOLOGY COMMITTEE

www.courts.ca.gov/jctc.htm
jctc@jud.ca.gov

JUDICIAL COUNCIL TECHNOLOGY COMMITTEE

MINUTES OF OPEN MEETING

October 15, 2018

12:00 - 1:00 PM

Teleconference

Advisory Body Members Present: Hon. Marsha G. Slough, Chair; Hon. Gary Nadler, Vice-Chair; Hon. Kyle S. Brodie; Hon. Jonathan B. Conklin; Hon. Rebecca Wightman; Ms. Nancy Eberhardt; Ms. Rachel W. Hill; Ms. Audra Ibarra; and Ms. Andrea K. Rohmann

Advisory Body Members Absent: Hon. Ming W. Chin

Liaison Members Present: Hon. Sheila F. Hanson

Others Present: Mr. Mark Dusman; Ms. Virginia Sanders-Hinds; Ms. Heather Pettit; Mr. Michael Derr; Mr. Zlatko Theodorovic; Ms. Kathy Fink; Ms. Daphne Light; Mr. Matt Nicholls; Ms. Jamel Jones; and Ms. Jessica Goldstein

OPEN MEETING

Call to Order and Roll Call

The chair called the meeting to order, took roll call, and advised no public comments were received.

Approval of Minutes

The advisory body reviewed and approved the minutes of the September 10, 2018 open meeting .

DISCUSSION AND ACTION ITEMS

Item 1

Chair Report

Update: Hon. Marsha Slough, Chair of the Judicial Council Technology Committee (JCTC), welcomed and thanked everyone for attending. Justice Slough reviewed the agenda for the meeting, as well as provided updates on recent meetings in which she and other members represented the JCTC or reported on the JCTC activities.

Item 2

Update/Report on Information Technology Advisory Committee (ITAC)

Update: Hon. Sheila F. Hanson, Chair of ITAC, provided an update and report on the activities of the advisory committee, its subcommittees, and its workstreams. Workstreams with key milestones highlighted included the Digital Evidence, Data Analytics, and Next Generation Hosting.

Action: The committee received the report.

Item 3

Information Security Framework (Action)

Update: Mr. Michael Derr, Principal Manager in the Information Technology office, provided a report on the proposed updates to the Judicial Branch Information Security Framework and requested approval to submit to the Judicial Council with the committee's endorsement for adoption.

Action: The committee reviewed the report, discussed the proposed changes, and voted to approve and submit to the Judicial Council for adoption.

A D J O U R N M E N T

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned.