



JUDICIAL COUNCIL
OF CALIFORNIA

TECHNOLOGY COMMITTEE

www.courts.ca.gov/jctc.htm
jctc@jud.ca.gov

JUDICIAL COUNCIL TECHNOLOGY COMMITTEE

MINUTES OF OPEN MEETING

March 8, 2021
12:00 noon – 1:00 p.m.
videoconference

Advisory Body Members Present: Hon. Kyle S. Brodie, Chair; Hon. Todd C. Bottke, Vice-Chair; Hon. Kevin C. Brazile; Hon. Carol Corrigan; Hon. Jonathan B. Conklin; Hon. Glenn Mondo; Ms. Rachel W. Hill; and Mr. Shawn Landry

Liaison Members Present: Hon. Sheila F. Hanson

Others Present: Ms. Heather L. Pettit; Mr. Mark Dusman; Mr. Andrae Randolph; Ms. Jamel Jones; Ms. Jessica Craven; Mr. Hermawan Trinh; and Ms. Camilla Kieliger; Ms. Mr. Mark Neuburger; Mr. Douglas Denton; Ms. Francine Byrne; Ms. Andrea Jaramillo; and Mr. Juan Ambriz

OPEN MEETING

Call to Order and Roll Call

The chair called the meeting to order, took roll call, and advised that no public comments were received.

Approval of Minutes

The committee reviewed and approved the minutes of the February 8, 2021 open meeting.

DISCUSSION AND ACTION ITEMS (ITEMS 1-8)

Item 1

Chair Report

Update: Hon. Kyle S. Brodie, Chair, welcomed and thanked everyone for attending. Judge Brodie discussed activities since the last meeting. Judge Brodie also reviewed the agenda topics for the meeting.

Item 2

Update/Report on Information Technology Advisory Committee (ITAC)

Update: Hon. Sheila F. Hanson, Chair of ITAC, provided an update and report on the activities of the advisory committee, its subcommittees, and its workstreams.

Action: The committee received the report.

Item 3

Jury Management System Grant Program for Fiscal Year 2021-2022

Update: Mr. Juan Ambriz, Senior Business Systems Analyst with Judicial Council Information Technology, presented the recommended allocations for the Jury Management System Grant program for fiscal year 2020 – 2021. He explained the objectives of the program, the prioritization categories, other considerations, the funding metrics, and the proposed funding allocations.

Action: The committee received the report, asked questions, and then voted unanimously to approve the proposed funding allocations.

Item 4

E-Filing Workstream: Revise 2021 ITAC Annual Agenda

Update: Judge Hanson and Ms. Heather Pettit, Chief Information Officer / Director for the Judicial Council, presented a proposal to form a new ITAC E-Filing Workstream and recommended to revise the ITAC Annual Agenda to reflect the new workstream.

Action: The committee received the proposal, asked questions, and then voted unanimously to approve the proposal and amend the annual agenda to include the workstream.

Item 5

Technology Budget Change Proposals (BCPs) for Fiscal Year 2022-2023

Update: Ms. Heather L. Pettit, Chief Information Officer / Director of Judicial Council Information Technology reviewed the potential technology-related Budget Change Concepts (BCCs) for funding beginning FY22-23 from other Judicial Council offices and Judicial Council advisory bodies. These concepts have technology components but did not originate from Information Technology and thus are not ranked.

Action: The committee received the report, asked questions, and then voted unanimously to submit all to the Judicial Branch Budget Committee. The BCCs included:

- IT Modernization for Supreme Court and Courts of Appeal
- Collaborative Justice Court Programs: Trial Court and Statewide Administrative Support
- Language Access Efforts in California Courts; and

- Self-Help Centers in Trial Courts – Expanding In-Person and Online Resources

Item 6

Court Technology Modernization Funding: Update and Report

Update: Due to time constraints, this item was deferred to a future meeting.

Action: Due to time constraints, this item was deferred to a future meeting.

Item 7

ITAC Rules & Policy Subcommittee: Proposed Amendments to the Electronic Filing and Service Rules to Reference Penal Code Section 690.5

Update: Judge Hanson and Ms. Andrea Jaramillo, an attorney in the Judicial Council office of Legal Services, reviewed the proposal and requested that it be circulated to the public for comment.

Action: The committee received the proposal, asked questions, and then voted unanimously to approve circulation for public comment.

Item 8

ITAC Rules & Policy Subcommittee: Legislative and Rule Proposals Related to Exhibits and Evidence in Electronic Format

Update: Judge Hanson and Ms. Andrea Jaramillo, an attorney in the Judicial Council office of Legal Services, reviewed the proposals and requested that they be circulated to the public for comment.

Action: The committee received the proposals, asked questions, and then voted unanimously to approve their circulation for public comment.

A D J O U R N M E N T

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned.