



JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA

TECHNOLOGY COMMITTEE

www.courts.ca.gov/jctc.htm
jctc@jud.ca.gov

JUDICIAL COUNCIL TECHNOLOGY COMMITTEE

MINUTES OF OPEN MEETING

May 24, 2021
12:15 – 12:45 p.m.
videoconference

Advisory Body Members Present: Hon. Kyle S. Brodie, Chair; Hon. C. Todd Bottke, Vice-Chair; Hon. Kevin C. Brazile; Hon. Jonathan B. Conklin; Hon. Carol Corrigan; Hon. Glenn Mondo; and Ms. Rachel W. Hill

Advisory Body Members Absent: Mr. Shawn Landry

Liaison Members Absent: Hon. Sheila F. Hanson

Others Present: Ms. Heather L. Pettit; Mr. Mark Dusman; Mr. Andrae Randolph; Ms. Jessica Craven; Ms. Jamel Jones; Mr. Hermawan Trinh; Ms. Suzanne Schleder; and Ms. Andrea Jaramillo

OPEN MEETING

Call to Order and Roll Call

The chair called the meeting to order, took roll call, and advised that no public comments were received.

DISCUSSION AND ACTION ITEMS (ITEMS 1-2)

Item 1

Chair Report

Update: Ms. Heather L. Pettit, Chief Information Officer / Director of Judicial Council Information Technology, reviewed the Court Technology Modernization Funding proposal for Fiscal Year 2021-2022 provided at a previous meeting. This included the guiding principles, program categories, and the role and responsibilities for the workstream. The committee then discussed the proposal and the concept of a model court that would allow provide the public with physical, remote, and equal (including multi-lingual) access to court services, as well as enhance partner services through branch solutions. The committee considered the feedback that was sought from other advisory committees and branch stakeholders.

Action: The committee received the report, asked questions, discussed various options, and then voted unanimously to approve the recommended program direction and categories and the workstream proposal.

Item 2

Court Technology Modernization Funding: Direct Allocations

Update: Ms. Heather L. Pettit, Chief Information Officer / Director of Judicial Council Information Technology, reviewed the request from Lassen Superior Court to add an additional project as part of the court's direct allocations previously approved by the committee.

Action: The committee received the proposal, asked questions, and then voted unanimously to approve the additional project for Lassen Superior Court for use of its direct allocations previously approved by the committee.

A D J O U R N M E N T

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned.