

**JUDICIAL COUNCIL MEETING**  
**Minutes of April 23, 2004, Meeting**  
**San Francisco, California**

The Judicial Council of California business meeting began at 8:00 a.m. on Friday, April 23, 2004, at the Administrative Office of the Courts (AOC) in San Francisco, California, on the call of Chief Justice Ronald M. George, chair.

**Judicial Council members present:** Chief Justice Ronald M. George; Justices Marvin R. Baxter, Richard D. Huffman, and Laurence Donald Kay; Judges Eric L. DuTemple, Michael T. Garcia, William C. Harrison, Jack Komar, William A. MacLaughlin, Heather D. Morse, William J. Murray, Jr., Michael Nash, Richard Strauss, and Barbara Ann Zúñiga; Mr. David J. Pasternak, Ms. Ann Miller Ravel, Mr. William C. Vickrey, and Mr. Thomas J. Warwick, Jr.; **advisory members:** Judges Frederick Paul Horn and Eric C. Taylor; Commissioner Patricia H. Wong; Ms. Tressa S. Kentner, Ms. Susan Null, and Mr. Alan Slater.

**Absent:** Assembly Member Ellen M. Corbett, Senator Martha M. Escutia, Justice Norman L. Epstein, and Mr. Rex S. Heinke.

**Others present included:** Senator Joseph Dunn; Judges Brad R. Hill, Suzanne N. Kingsbury, Barbara J. Miller, and Douglas P. Miller; court Executive Officers Mr. Larry Gobelman, Ms. Inga E. McElyea, and Ms. Jody Patel; Commissioner Nancy A. Cisneros; Sheriff Mark Tracy; Ms. Angela Adams, Ms. Pam Aguilar, Ms. Cherri Allison, Ms. Deborah Anderson, Ms. Venus Anderson, Mr. Ken Babcock, Ms. Octavia Bazile, Ms. Betty Bishop, Mr. Michael Boggs, Mr. Doug Broten, Mr. Chris Cafaro, Mr. Anthony Capozzi, Ms. Judy Cefalu, Ms. Vanessa Cornelius, Mr. James I. Fisher, Mr. Jim Fox, Ms. Carol Franz, Mr. Shane Halversen, Mr. James Herman, Mr. Mitch Kamin, Ms. Kelly McCarthy, Ms. Michelle Miko-Lee, Ms. Alexandra Montgomery, Ms. Kathy Norton, Mr. Aaron Peardon, Ms. Maura Rogers-Horn, Mr. Mark Schwartz, Ms. Christy Shelton, Mr. William Tanner, Ms. Loretta Van der Pol, Ms. Mary Viviano, and Ms. Robin Yamate; **staff:** Ms. Aleta Beaupied, Mr. Michael Bergeisen, Mr. Dennis Blanchard, Ms. Francine Byrne, Mr. James Carroll, Ms. Tina Carroll, Ms. Jeanne Caughell, Ms. Roma Cheadle, Mr. Andres Collada, Mr. Mark Dusman, Mr. Michael Fischer, Mr. Bob Fleshman, Ms. Sheila Gonzalez, Ms. Pamela Granger, Ms. Susan Green, Ms. Lynn Holton, Ms. Bonnie Hough, Ms. Susan Hough, Ms. Kate Howard, Mr. Kenneth Kann, Mr. Ray LeBov, Mr. Robert Lowney, Mr. Dag MacLeod, Mr. Ralph McMullan, Mr. Tim Newman, Ms. Kristin Nichols, Mr. Lyle Nishimi, Ms. Diane Nunn, Ms. Nzinga Nyagua, Mr. Patrick O'Donnell, Mr. Ronald Overholt, Ms. Christine Patton, Ms. Dia Poole, Mr. Mark Pothier, Ms. Romunda Price, Ms. Mary Roberts, Mr. Michael M. Roddy, Ms. Robin Seeley, Ms. Beth Shirk, Mr. David Smith, Ms. Marlene Smith, Ms. Sonya Smith, Ms. Nancy Spero, Ms. Pat Sweeten, Ms. Marcia Taylor, Ms. Karen Thorson, Ms. Maile Villavicencio, Ms. Ginger Wei, Mr. Tony Wernert, Mr. Richard Wilson, and Ms. Pat Yerian; **media representatives:** Mr. Philip Carrizosa, *San Francisco Daily Journal*, Ms. Julie Cheever,

*Bay City News Service*, Ms. Donna Domino, *San Francisco Daily Journal*, Ms. Jill Duman, *The Recorder*, Ms. Jean Guccione, *Los Angeles Times*, Mr. George Harris, *KCBS Radio*, and Ms. Sarah Varney, *KQED*.

Except as noted, each action item on the agenda was unanimously approved on the motion made and seconded. (Tab letters and item numbers refer to the binder of Reports and Recommendations dated April 23, 2004, that was sent to members in advance of the meeting.)

### **Approval of Minutes of February 27, 2004**

The council approved the minutes of its February 27, 2004, meeting.

### **Judicial Council Committee Presentations**

#### *Executive and Planning Committee*

Justice Richard D. Huffman, chair of the Executive and Planning Committee, reported on the committee's activities since the February council meeting. The committee had met two times in that period.

On April 1, 2004, the committee met by conference call to set the agenda for the April Judicial Council meeting and to take action on several other items on behalf of the council. The committee, on behalf of the council, established a grace period for currently registered interpreters to meet the testing requirements for newly certified languages. The committee revisited that issue on April 22 and adopted a revised recommendation from staff and the Court Interpreters Advisory Panel—that interpreters be allowed three consecutive testing cycles, over a period of 18 months, to gain certification in these newly certified languages.

Also on April 1, the committee approved a schedule for site visits to the Superior Courts of Modoc, Lassen, and Plumas Counties on June 9–11. On behalf of the council, the committee reviewed the annual report of special fund expenditures and approved it for submission to the Legislature. (The council had delegated this duty to the Executive and Planning Committee at the December meeting.) The committee, on the council's behalf, authorized the trial courts to expend already allocated Trial Court Improvement Fund moneys for interpreters in cases where Domestic Violence Prevention Act orders are at issue, without requiring the litigants to prepare a fee waiver application.

At both of its April meetings, the committee discussed litigation issues with staff from the Administrative Office of the Courts' Office of the General Counsel. Justice Huffman noted that the committee benefits from the high quality of research and advice that the Office of the General Counsel provides.

On April 22, the committee met to discuss (1) the presentation of litigation issues at the council issues meeting and (2) the council nomination process. Justice Huffman reminded council members that the Executive and Planning Committee had begun its review of nominations for the Chief Justice's appointments to the Judicial Council and would later review nominations for advisory committees.

#### *Policy Coordination and Liaison Committee*

Justice Marvin Baxter, chair of the Policy Coordination and Liaison Committee (PCLC), reported on the committee's activities since the February council meeting. The committee had met four times in that period and had taken positions on 29 bills on many subjects, including appellate opinions, civil law, court fees, criminal law, family law, judicial officers, juvenile dependency law, jury service, and traffic law.

Justice Baxter provided the following status report on Judicial Council-sponsored bills: five council-sponsored bills are proceeding through the Legislature, and one, Assembly Bill 1306, was signed by the Governor on April 13, 2004. AB 1306 provides that if a person is sentenced pursuant to Proposition 36, probation and jurisdiction shall be transferred to the defendant's county of permanent residence at the discretion of the sentencing judge.

The council's other sponsored bills deal with enhanced collections, dual status in juvenile court, civil procedure, court operations, and the architecture revolving fund. These bills are all moving through the necessary policy and fiscal committees in the Legislature. The 2004 legislative status chart, which contains both council-sponsored legislation and the positions taken by the PCLC on behalf of the council, is now available on the council's public Web site. The PCLC will continue to meet regularly to take positions on pending legislation and will keep the council informed of its actions.

On March 23, 2004, the Chief Justice delivered his annual State of the Judiciary address to a joint session of the Legislature. The address was followed by the Tenth Annual Judicial Legislative Executive Forum, which was well attended by representatives from all three branches. These two events were well received, as in past years, and are an important part of the council's ongoing efforts.

In mid-April the leadership of the California State Sheriffs' Association met with the Chief Justice and with representatives from the PCLC and the Office of Governmental Affairs to review issues of mutual interest. Another meeting is planned with State Bar leadership.

#### *Rules and Projects Committee*

Judge Heather D. Morse, vice-chair of the Rules and Projects Committee (RUPRO), reported on the committee's activities since the February council meeting. The committee had met three times in that period.

On March 30, 2004, the committee met to review rule and form proposals coming before the council on April 23 and others that will go out for public comment in the spring 2004 cycle. The committee determined to recommend that the council approve all of the rule and form proposals on its April 23 agenda; these consist of items 1–8 and item 10 on the consent agenda. RUPRO reviewed 47 rule and form proposals for public circulation in the spring 2004 cycle and approved most of them for public circulation.

At follow-up meetings on April 7 and 22, RUPRO approved for public circulation several proposals that had required modification or reconsideration by their respective advisory committees. Those proposals are out for public comment, and most will be presented to the council at the October 15, 2004, meeting. Proposed rules for facilities governance will be presented to the council at the June business meeting, and the final installment of the appellate rules rewrite will be presented to the council at the August business meeting.

In addition to its review of rules and forms, RUPRO reviewed proposed new and revised Judicial Council Civil Jury Instructions, which appear as Item 9 on the April 23 council agenda. Before the March 30, 2004, meeting, three RUPRO subcommittees reviewed sections of the instructions and suggested modifications and further considerations to the Advisory Committee on Civil Jury Instructions. RUPRO received approval of the modifications from the advisory committee's chair, Justice James Ward.

At the March 30, 2004, meeting, RUPRO again reviewed the instructions and modifications and recommended that the council approve the proposal as modified. If approved by the council, the new and revised instructions will be officially published in a midyear update of the Judicial Council Civil Jury Instructions.

### **Judicial Council Court Visits Report**

Judge Barbara Ann Zúñiga, team leader of the November 14 site visit to the Superior Courts of Merced and Stanislaus Counties, reported on the visit. Judge Zúñiga was joined on the visit by council members Judge Michael T. Garcia, Judge Frederick Paul Horn, and court Executive Officer Tressa Kentner. The group's written report appears in the April 23 Judicial Council meeting binder.

## **CONSENT AGENDA**

### **Item 1      *Exemptions From the Enforcement of Judgments* (revise form EJ-155) (Action Required)**

The Civil and Small Claims Advisory Committee recommends that the Judicial Council revise *Exemptions From the Enforcement of Judgments* (form EJ-155) to indicate where the public can obtain a list of the current dollar amounts of exemptions from enforcement of judgments. The committee also recommends that the Administrative Office of the

Courts be authorized to periodically update the dollar amounts of the exemptions on the list. The revision of the form and the development of the list would implement Assembly Bill 182, which requires the Judicial Council to publish a list of the current dollar amounts of the exemptions and adjust those amounts every three years based on changes in the annual California Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers.

***Council action***

The Judicial Council:

1. Revised *Exemptions From the Enforcement of Judgments* (form EJ-155), effective July 1, 2004, to indicate where the public can obtain the list of the dollar amounts of the exemptions; and
2. Authorized the Administrative Office of the Courts, effective immediately, to prepare a list of the amounts of the exemptions and to periodically update the list.

**Item 2      *Civil Harassment, Elder Abuse, and Workplace Violence Prevention (revise forms CH-100, CH-101/DV-290, CH-110, CH-120, CH-130, CH-131, CH-140, CH-150, EA-100, EA-110, EA-120, EA-130, EA-150, WV-100, WV-110, WV-120, WV-130, WV-131, WV-132, WV-140, and WV-150) (Action Required)***

The Civil and Small Claims Advisory Committee recommends that the Judicial Council revise the elder abuse, civil harassment, and workplace violence prevention forms. The revisions implement recent legislation concerning firearms. Senate Bill 226 added section 527.9 to the Code of Civil Procedure, providing procedures for firearm relinquishment for persons subject to civil harassment, elder abuse, and workplace violence orders. The legislation also amended statutes on firearms relinquishment in the Penal Code and Welfare and Institutions Code. The petitions, responses, orders, and instructions would all be revised to reflect statutory changes.

***Council action***

The Judicial Council, effective July 1, 2004, revised:

1. *Petition for Injunction Prohibiting Civil Harassment and Application for Temporary Restraining Order* (form CH-100);
2. *Request and Order for Free Service of Restraining Order* (form CH-101/DV-290)
3. *Response to Petition for Injunction Prohibiting Civil Harassment* (form CH-110);
4. *Order to Show Cause and Temporary Restraining Order (CLETS) (Civil Harassment)* (form CH-120);
5. *Proof of Personal Service (Civil Harassment)* (form CH-130);
6. *Proof of Service by Mail (Civil Harassment)* (form CH-131);

7. *Order After Hearing on Petition for Injunction Prohibiting Civil Harassment (CLETS) (form CH-140);*
8. *Instructions for Lawsuits to Prohibit Civil Harassment (form CH-150);*
9. *Petition for Protective Orders (Elder or Dependent Adult Abuse) (CLETS) (form EA-100);*
10. *Response to Petition for Protective Orders (Elder or Dependent Adult Abuse) (CLETS) (form EA-110);*
11. *Order to Show Cause and Temporary Restraining Order (Elder or Dependent Adult Abuse) (CLETS) (form EA-120);*
12. *Restraining Order After Hearing (Elder or Dependent Adult Abuse) (form EA-130);*
13. *Instructions on Petition for a Protective Order to Prevent Elder or Dependent Adult Abuse (form EA-150);*
14. *Petition of Employer for Injunction Prohibiting Violence or Threats of Violence Against Employee (Workplace Violence) (form WV-100);*
15. *Response to Petition of Employer for Injunction Prohibiting Violence or Threats of Violence Against Employee (Workplace Violence) (form WV-110);*
16. *Order to Show Cause and Temporary Restraining Order (CLETS) (Workplace Violence) (form WV-120);*
17. *Proof of Personal Service (Workplace Violence) (form WV-130);*
18. *Proof of Service by Mail of Completed Response (Workplace Violence) (form WV-131);*
19. *Proof of Service by Personal Delivery of Completed Response (Workplace Violence) (form WV-132);*
21. *Order After Hearing on Petition of Employer for Injunction Prohibiting Violence or Threats of Violence Against Employee (CLETS) (Workplace Violence) (form WV-140); and*
22. *Instructions for Petitions to Prevent Workplace Violence (form WV-150).*

**Item 3      *Proof of Sale of Firearms/Turning In of Firearms (approve form CH-145/EA-145/WV-145) (Action Required)***

The Civil and Small Claims Advisory Committee recommends that the Judicial Council approve *Proof of Sale of Firearms/Turning In of Firearms* (form CH-145/EA-145/WV-145). This form would be filed with the court by persons who are restrained under the civil harassment, elder abuse, or workplace violence prevention laws and are required to show that they have either (1) sold their firearms to a licensed gun dealer or (2) turned in their firearms to law enforcement. The form would assist parties and the courts in compliance with the firearms relinquishment law.

***Council action***

The Judicial Council, effective July 1, 2004, approved *Proof of Sale of Firearms/Turning In of Firearms* (form CH-145/EA-145/WV-145), to furnish a form for use by persons subject to firearms relinquishment orders.

**Item 4 Civil Rules: Technical and Minor Rule Changes (amend Cal. Rules of Court, rules 212, 982.9, 1810, 1811, and 1812) and Interim Rule on Modifying Forms (adopt rule 2061) (Action Required)**

The Civil and Small Claims Advisory Committee and its staff recommend several technical or minor amendments to the California Rules of Court. The amendments would (1) correct a cross-reference to rule 209 in rule 212; (2) modify rule 982.9 to more effectively implement recent rule changes related to computer-generated proofs of service of summons; and (3) correct references to the renumbered civil case cover sheet form mentioned in rules 1810, 1811, and 1812. The committee also recommends the adoption of rule 2061, which would authorize courts that had pilot programs for electronic filing and form generation under former rule 981.5 to continue to modify Judicial Council forms. The adoption of this rule will enable courts to continue to administer previously established programs that have proven effective.

***Council action***

The Judicial Council, effective July 1, 2004,

1. Amended rule 212 of the California Rules of Court to correct a cross-reference to another rule;
2. Amended rule 982.9 to permit computer-generated proofs of service to use Arial font and 9-point type;
3. Amended rules 1810, 1811, and 1812 on complex cases to correct references to the form number of the *Civil Case Cover Sheet*; and
4. Adopted rule 2061 to authorize courts that were in the pilot program under rule 981.5 to continue to modify forms.

**Item 5 Civil Forms: Technical Changes (revise forms CM-020, NC-100, NC-200, and POS-010) (Action Required)**

The Civil and Small Claims Advisory Committee and its staff recommend that the Judicial Council make technical revisions in four forms used in civil cases. The *Ex Parte Application for Extension of Time to Serve Pleadings and Orders* would have space added for more detailed information about rescheduled case management conferences. Two name change forms would be revised to update their references to the *Civil Case Cover Sheet* form. The *Proof of Service of Summons* form would be revised to correct or clarify three items. (In the materials distributed for the meeting, the three revisions to the *Proof of Service of Summons* form were inadvertently made to an outdated draft rather than to the current version of this form; the correct version, showing the revisions to the current form, is attached to these minutes.) The technical revisions to the four forms will make them more accurate and easier to use.

***Council action***

The Judicial Council, effective January 1, 2004, revised:

1. *Ex Parte Application for Extension of Time to Serve Pleading and Orders* (form CM-020);
2. *Petition for Change of Name* (form NC-100);
3. *Petition for Change of Name and Gender* (form NC-200); and
4. *Proof of Service of Summons* (form POS-010).

**Item 6      *Juvenile Law: Orders Under Welfare and Institutions Code Section 366.26 (revise form JV-320) (Action Required)***

The Family and Juvenile Law Advisory Committee recommends changes to the form used for orders regarding the termination of parental rights in dependency cases. These changes would conform the form to the California statutory requirements and ensure compliance with the federal Adoption and Safe Families Act.

***Council action***

The Judicial Council, effective July 1, 2004, revised form JV-320 to include all statutory findings and orders required under Welfare and Institutions Code section 366.26, rule 1463 of the California Rules of Court, and the findings and orders mandated by the Adoption and Safe Families Act (42 U.S.C. § 670 et seq.).

**Item 7      *Family Law: Confidential Declaration of Social Security Numbers (adopt form FL-102) (Action Required)***

The Family and Juvenile Law Advisory Committee recommends that the Judicial Council, effective July 1, 2004, adopt form FL-102, *Confidential Declaration of Social Security Numbers (Family Law)*, to fulfill the legislative requirement of Family Code section 2024.5. This new statute requires the Judicial Council to develop a form to be filed with any petition or first responsive pleading in a dissolution, legal separation, or nullity of action that lists the social security numbers (known to the party) of the petitioner, the respondent, and any minor child of the parties.

***Council action***

The Judicial Council, effective July 1, 2004, adopted form FL-102 to fulfill the legislative requirement of a form for confidential declarations of social security numbers.

**Item 8      Child Support: Revised Forms for Orders Based on Low-Income Adjustment and Set-Asides of Orders Based on Presumed Income (revise forms DV-160, FL-342, FL-615, FL-625, FL-630, FL-635, FL-640, and FL-692) (Action Required)**

The Family and Juvenile Law Advisory Committee recommends minor technical revisions in child support forms. These revisions would conform the forms to recent changes in the law concerning the low-income adjustment and the setting aside of support orders based on presumed income.

***Council action***

The Judicial Council, effective July 1, 2004, revised:

1. Forms DV-160, FL-342, FL-615, FL-625, and FL-630 to reflect statutory changes establishing a rebuttable presumption that an obligor whose net disposable income is less than \$1,000 a month is entitled to a low-income adjustment, and thus a finding is no longer required when the low-income adjustment is applied;
2. Form FL-640 to reflect the changed statutory period and required documents for bringing a motion to set aside, to allow the local child support agency (LCSA) to use the form to file such a motion, and to clarify that the form's information sheet is for parents, not the LCSA;
3. The notice box of form FL-635 to reflect the changes in both the statutory period for bringing a motion to set aside and the amount of presumed income when no income information is provided; and
4. Form FL-692, *Minutes and Order of Judgment (Governmental)*, to delete the requirement that the court state its reasons for ordering the low-income adjustment.

**Item 9      Judicial Council Jury Instructions: Approve Publication of Revisions and Additions to Civil Instructions (Action Required)**

The Advisory Committee on Civil Jury Instructions recommends that the council approve the publication of a new, revised version of the council's Civil Jury Instructions that were first published in September 2003. In the revised version, instructions have been added or changed based on new developments in the law and to improve clarity and accuracy.

***Council action***

The Judicial Council, effective April 23, 2004, approved for publication revisions to the Judicial Council Civil Jury Instructions that were first published in September 2003. The revisions will be officially published in a midyear update.

**Item 10 Miscellaneous Technical Changes to California Rules of Court and Judicial Council Forms (amend Cal. Rules of Court, title one, division 1, chapter 1, part VI; and rules 14, 28, 31.1, 39.1, 44.5, 892, 4.102, 4.310, 4.405, 4.420, 4.428, 4.431, 4.447, 1606, 2073, and 5.225; revise forms APP-002, APP-003, APP-004, APP-005, APP-006, APP-007, FL-150, JV-299, and JV-505) (Action Required)**

AOC staff recommends that the council make technical, noncontroversial amendments to rules and revisions to forms to correct minor errors.

***Council action***

The Judicial Council, effective July 1, 2004:

1. Amended the title and text history of title one, division I, chapter 1, part VI of the appellate rules to include the word “Noncapital”;
2. Amended rule 14 to correct a cross-reference;
3. Amended rule 28 to correct a cross-reference;
4. Amended the advisory committee comment to rule 31.1 to correct a cross-reference;
5. Amended rule 39.1 to correct a cross-reference;
6. Amended rule 44.5 to conform to the drafters’ intent;
7. Amended rule 892 to conform to Government Code section 68086;
8. Amended rule 4.102 to conform to recent changes in Penal Code section 1269b;
9. Amended rule 4.310 to update the statutory references to cite to renumbered subdivisions and to make two stylistic amendments;
10. Amended the advisory committee comment to rule 4.405 to delete language that is confusing and unnecessary;
11. Amended the advisory committee comment to rule 4.420 to delete obsolete statutory references and to simplify the language;
12. Amended the advisory committee comment to rule 4.428 to clarify the application of the rule under recent statutory changes;
13. Amended the advisory committee comment to rule 4.431 to reflect renumbered appellate rules;
14. Amended the advisory committee comment to rule 4.447 to clarify the application of the rule under recent statutory changes;
15. Amended rule 1606 to reference the correct Code of Civil Procedure subdivision;
16. Amended rule 2073 to clarify when a court must provide remote electronic access to the calendar, index, and register of actions and for which cases the court may provide remote electronic access to documents in the case file;
17. Amended the drafter’s notes to rule 5.225 to reference the correct renumbered rule number;

18. Revised forms APP-002, APP-003, APP-005, APP-006, and APP-007 to clarify that it is parties to the action who cannot serve the proof of service on another party;
19. Revised form APP-004 to correct a punctuation error;
20. Revised form FL-150 to correct a reference to another item to be completed in the form;
21. Revised form JV-299 to correctly reference rule 1412, clarify that it is an optional form, and make other corrections in grammar and punctuation; and
22. Revised form JV-505 by removing the reference to the district attorney's office.

## **JUDICIAL BRANCH BUDGET HEARING: TESTIMONY FROM COURT USERS**

Chief Justice Ronald M. George introduced the Judicial Branch Budget Hearing, during which the council would receive direct testimony from court users about the vital court programs and services affected by the judicial branch budget. The Chief Justice noted that this hearing was the first of its kind. The hearing has been transcribed and a copy will be made available in the Judicial Administration Library.

The Judicial Council received testimony and asked questions of the following witnesses:

Hon. Joseph Dunn, Member of the California State Senate, who made introductory remarks

**Panel 1 Court Leaders (Part 1)**

Hon. Brad R. Hill, Presiding Judge, Superior Court of Fresno County

Hon. Douglas P. Miller, Presiding Judge, Superior Court of Riverside County

**Panel 2 Criminal Justice System**

Mr. Jim Fox, District Attorney, San Mateo County

Ms. Maura Rogers-Horn, Office of the Public Defender, County of Riverside

**Panel 3 Court Programs and Services (Part 1)**

*Drug and Homeless Courts*

Hon. Nancy Cisneros, Commissioner, Superior Court of Fresno County

Mr. Shane Halversen, client

Ms. Angela Adams, client

*Small Business Court*

Mr. Doug Broten, President/CEO, Central California Better Business Bureau

Panel 4 **Court Leaders (Part 2)**

Hon. Suzanne N. Kingsbury, Presiding Judge, Superior Court of El Dorado County

Ms. Jody Patel, Executive Officer, Superior Court of Sacramento County

Mr. Larry Gobelman, Executive Officer, Superior Court of Siskiyou County

Panel 5 **Court Programs and Services (Part 2)**

*Domestic Violence Programs*

Hon. Barbara J. Miller, Presiding Judge, Superior Court of Alameda County

Ms. Cherri Allison, Counsel, Family Violence Law Center

Ms. Michelle Miko-Lee, client

*Elder Access and Prevention of Elder Abuse*

Ms. Robin Yamate, Legal Assistance for Seniors, Oakland

Ms. Betty Bishop, client

Panel 6 **Legal Community**

Mr. Anthony Capozzi, President, State Bar of California

Mr. James Herman, Past-President, State Bar of California; Access to Justice Commission

Mr. Thomas Warwick, Jr., San Diego County Bar Association

Panel 7 **Court Programs and Services (Part 3)**

*Adoptions/Family Court*

Hon. Michael Nash, Juvenile Court Presiding Judge, Superior Court of Los Angeles County

Ms. Deborah Anderson and daughter Venus, adoptive family, Los Angeles

*Juvenile Court*

Ms. Judy Cefalu, Court Appointed Special Advocate, South Lake Tahoe

Ms. Christy Shelton, former client and Judicial Council scholarship recipient

*Legal Services*

Mr. Ken Babcock, Coalition to Preserve Our Justice System

Panel 8 **Court Programs and Services (Part 4)**

*Small Claims Court*

Mr. William Tanner, Legal Aid Society of Orange County

Ms. Carol Franz, client

*Housing/Home Equity Fraud*

Ms. Kathy Norton, Tenant, Unlawful Detainer Case

Mr. Mitch Kamin, Bet Tzedek Legal Services, Los Angeles

Vanessa Cornelius, client

**Panel 9 Court Employees**

Ms. Kelly McCarthy, court reporter, Superior Court of Santa Clara County

Mr. Aaron Peardon, Court Processing Specialist, Superior Court of Orange  
County

Mr. Chris Cafaro, Court Clerk, Superior Court of Sutter County

Ms. Octavia Bazile, Court Clerk, Superior Court of Contra Costa County

**Panel 10 Court Security**

Sheriff Mark Tracy, Santa Cruz County

**Circulating Orders**

Copies of circulating orders are for information only; no action was necessary.

**Appointment Orders**

Copies of appointment orders are for information only; no action was necessary.

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 12:30 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

---

William C. Vickrey

Administrative Director of the Courts and  
Secretary to the Judicial Council