[NO MINUTES WERE GENERATED FOR WEDNESDAY, MARCH 31, 2021, IN OBSERVANCE OF CESAR CHAVEZ HOLIDAY.]

473

SUPREME COURT MINUTES THURSDAY, APRIL 1, 2021 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S259216 B280550 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 BROWN (YAZMIN) v. USA TAEKWONDO

Opinion filed

Brown alleged that USAT and USOC acted negligently by failing to take steps to protect her from her coach's abuse. To evaluate her claim, the Court of Appeal first asked whether a duty existed based on a special relationship. Concluding that USOC had no such relationship with Brown, the court ended its analysis with respect to that defendant. This approach was sound. And after concluding that USAT *did* have a special relationship with plaintiffs, the court went on to apply *Rowland* to determine whether to limit that potential duty - deciding the answer to that question was no. This, too, was the correct approach.

The Court of Appeal's judgment does not mark the end of the case. It affirms the trial court's decision to dismiss one of several named defendants, USOC, for failure to adequately allege a special relationship giving rise to an affirmative duty to protect. Having concluded the Court of Appeal did not err by declining to apply the *Rowland* factors as an alternative source of duty, we now affirm the court's judgment. On remand, Brown may continue to pursue her suit against USAT and the other remaining defendants.

Majority Opinion by Kruger, J.

-- joined by Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Groban, and Jenkins, JJ. Concurring Opinion by Cuéllar, J.

S260391 E069752 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 SMITH (JEREMIAH) v. LOANME, INC.

Opinion filed: Judgment reversed

We reverse the judgment of the Court of Appeal and remand the cause to that court for further proceedings consistent with our opinion, including consideration of these arguments as may be appropriate.

Majority Opinion by Cantil-Sakauye, C. J.

-- joined by Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, Groban, and Jenkins, JJ.

S267536 D076500/D076821/D077003

Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1

KAVANAUGH (ALEXEI PIPER) ON H.C.

Time for ordering review extended on the court's own motion

The time for ordering review on the court's own motion is hereby extended to June 25, 2021. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(c).)

S182232

PEOPLE v. EVANS (CHRISTOPHER)

Extension of time granted

Based upon Deputy Attorney General Ann P. Wathen's representation that the respondent's brief is anticipated to be filed by August 1, 2021, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to June 1, 2021. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 61 days is contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S208348

PEOPLE v. MARENTES (DESI ANGEL)

Extension of time granted

Based upon Deputy State Public Defender Craig Buckser's representation that the appellant's reply brief is anticipated to be filed by December 4, 2021, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to June 4, 2021. After that date, only three further extensions totaling about 184 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S264923

AZADGILANI (BABAK) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

On application of petitioner and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply to informal response is extended to May 7, 2021.

S267694

LITTLEFIELD (GIGI FAIRCHILD) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

On application of petitioner and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply to the informal response is extended to April 30, 2021. No further request for an extension of time will be granted.

S266077

E072035 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2

PEOPLE v. PETTY (WILLIAM JOEL)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Cynthia M. Jones is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S266349

H047797 Sixth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. FRANCO

(DANNY)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Michael S. McCormick is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S266517

C090225 Third Appellate District

PEOPLE v. THOMPSON

(BERTRAND)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Charles Bonneau, Jr., is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S266614

C089453 Third Appellate District

PEOPLE v. WEBB (ANTHONY LAMONT)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Patricia L. Brisbois is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S266647 C091069 Third Appellate District

PEOPLE v. HUMPHREY (ROY LEE)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, James S. Thomson is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S267138 H046164 Sixth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. MARTINEZ (MONICA MARIE)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, the Sixth District Appellate Program is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court. Appellant's brief on the merits must be served and filed on or before thirty (30) days from the date respondent's opening brief on the merits is filed.

S259999 B295152 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 MOHAMMAD (MOHAMMAD) ON H.C.

Order filed

Petitioner's "Request for Leave to File Supplemental Answer Brief on the Merits" filed April 1, 2021, is granted. Any supplemental brief on behalf of respondent must be served and filed within 21 days of the filing of this order. Any reply brief by petitioner must be served and filed within 21 days of the filing of respondent's supplemental brief.

BAR MISC. 4186 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE COMMITTEE OF BAR EXAMINERS OF THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA FOR ADMISSION OF ATTORNEYS (MOTION NO. 1512)

The written motion of the Committee of Bar Examiners that the following named applicants, who have fulfilled the requirements for admission to practice law in the State of California, be admitted to the practice of law in this state is hereby granted, with permission to the applicants to take the oath before a competent officer at another time and place:

(SEE ORIGINAL APPLICATION FOR THE LIST OF NAMES ATTACHED.)