SUPREME COURT MINUTES FRIDAY, APRIL 5, 2019 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S104665

PEOPLE v. POORE (CHRISTOPHER ERIC)

Extension of time granted

Based upon Deputy Attorney General Anthony Da Silva's representation that the respondent's brief is anticipated to be filed by April 29, 2019, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to April 29, 2019. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S155617

PEOPLE v. SILVA (MAURICIO)

Extension of time granted

The application of appellant for relief from default for the failure to timely file appellant's application for extension of time is granted.

Based upon counsel Victor S. Haltom's representation that the appellant's reply brief is anticipated to be filed by June 30, 2019, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to April 29, 2019. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 62 additional days will be granted.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S182232

PEOPLE v. EVANS (CHRISTOPHER)

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Wesley A. Van Winkle's representation that the appellant's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by September 5, 2019, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to June 3, 2019. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 96 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S188589

PEOPLE v. VALLES, JR., (PEDRO CORTEZ)

Extension of time granted

Based upon Deputy Attorney General Kelly E. LeBel's representation that the respondent's brief is anticipated to be filed by October 28, 2019, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to June 3, 2019. After that date, only three further extensions totaling about 146 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S200016

PEOPLE v. TOPETE (MARCO ANTONIO)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to May 31, 2019.

S207510

PEOPLE v. BARBAR (MICHAEL)

Extension of time granted

Upon application of counsel Senior Deputy State Public Defender Lisa M. Romo, an extension of time in which to file the appellant's opening brief is granted to June 3, 2019. After that date, only four further extensions totaling about 232 days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S214649

PEOPLE v. WADE (ANTHONY DARNELL)

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Glen Niemy's representation that the appellant's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by October 7, 2019, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to May 24, 2019. After that date, only three further extensions totaling about 135 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S215960

PEOPLE v. MENDOZA (ANGEL)

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Catherine White's representation that the appellant's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by May 20, 2020, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to May 28, 2019. After that date, only six further extensions totaling about 360 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S216466

PEOPLE v. BALCOM (JASON MICHAEL)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to May 28, 2019.

S224710

PEOPLE v. ESPARZA (ANGEL ANTHONY)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to June 3, 2019.

S242538

NAVARRO, JR., (ANTHONY R.) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Richard I. Targow's representation that the reply to the informal response is anticipated to be filed by May 25, 2019, an extension of time in which to serve and file that document is granted to May 28, 2019. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S247235

B277860 Second Appellate District, Div. 6

PEOPLE v. OVIEDA (WILLIE)

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the response to amicus curiae brief is extended to April 11, 2019.

S251574 F076395 Fifth Appellate District

BAREFOOT (JOAN MAURI) v. JENNINGS (JANA SUSAN)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply brief on the merits is extended to May 2, 2019.

S254741 B280151 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 WARD (SKYLAR) v. TILLY'S INC.

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer to petition for review is extended to April 17, 2019.

S247044 B271368 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 PEOPLE v. FONTENOT (JOHN)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, the California Appellate Project is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S246711 D071279/D071376 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 LAWSON (KALETHIA) v. ZB, N.A.

Order filed

The above entitled matter is retitled as follows:

ZB, N.A., and ZIONS BANCORPORATION, Petitioners,

v.

SUPERIOR COURT OF SAN DIEGO COUNTY, Respondent; KALETHIA LAWSON, Real Party in Interest

S247044 PEOPLE v. FONTENOT (JOHN)

Order filed

The order filed on May 9, 2018, appointing Michael Allen as counsel for appellant John Fontenot is hereby vacated.

S250108 G054241 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. LEMCKE (DESIRAE LEE)

Order filed

The application of appellant Charles Henry Rudd for leave to file the proffered untimely answer brief on the merits is granted.

S254989 E071921 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 DINAALI (ALADDIN) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

Order filed

To permit consideration of the petition for review filed herein, all further proceedings in *People v. Aladdin Dinaali*, San Bernardino County Superior Court No. FVI1500919, are hereby stayed pending further order of this court.

S254481 FOSTER (RICKY TYRONE) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Fifth Appellate District

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Fifth Appellate District, for consideration in light of *Hagan v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition must be denied.

S254493 KOCONTES (LONNIE LOREN) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division Three

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division Three, for consideration in light of *Hagan v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition must be denied.

S255082 SHELLMIRE (D'ANDRE) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, for consideration in light of *Hagan v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious

petition must be denied.

BAR MISC. 4186 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE COMMITTEE OF BAR EXAMINERS OF THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA FOR ADMISSION OF ATTORNEYS (MOTION NO. 1,412)

The written motion of the Committee of Bar Examiners that the following named applicants, who have fulfilled the requirements for admission to practice law in the State of California, be admitted to the practice of law in this state is hereby granted, with permission to the applicants to take the oath before a competent officer at another time and place: (SEE ORIGINAL APPLICATION FOR THE LIST OF NAMES ATTACHED.)