SUPREME COURT MINUTES WEDNESDAY, APRIL 10, 2019 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S112691

PEOPLE v. WESTERFIELD (DAVID ALAN)

Rehearing denied; opinion modified

ORDER MODIFYING OPINION AND DENYING PETITION FOR REHEARING

THE COURT:

The opinion in this matter filed on February 4, 2019, and appearing at 6 Cal.5th 632, is modified as follows:

- 1. In the first paragraph on page 684, the sentence reading, "We further conclude defendant failed to preserve the claim that the trial court abused its discretion in denying severance, although we would find no error in any event" is modified to read:

 We further conclude the trial court did not abuse its discretion in denying severance.
- 2. On page 690, the first two paragraphs and the first sentence of the third paragraph are deleted. A new sentence is added at the beginning of the third paragraph, reading: There was no abuse of discretion in this case.
- 3. In the final paragraph on page 694, the sentence reading, "Watkins agreed, but noted that there were several images that were 'borderline' as to the subject's age, and he did not include those in the 85 he deemed questionable" is modified to read:

 Watkins agreed, but noted that there were several images that were "borderline" as to the subject's age, and he gave defendant "the benefit of the doubt" as to those images.

This modification does not affect the judgment.

The petition for rehearing is denied.

S253155 C084358 Third Appellate District

SCOGGINS (WILLIE) ON H.C.

Petition for review granted

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

S253934 E068521 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. GORDON (RALPH)

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration

and disposition of related issues in *In re Ricardo P.*, S230923, and *People v. Trujillo*, S244650 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

S254163 F075285 Fifth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. REED (ROBERT WILLIAM)

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of related issues in *In re Ricardo P.*, S230923, and *People v. Trujillo*, S244650 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

S254282 B284566 Second Appellate District, Div. 8

RALL III (FREDERICK THEODORE) v. TRIBUNE 365, LLC

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of related issues in *Wilson v. Cable News Network, Inc.*, S239686 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

S244311

ADAMS (CEDRIC) ON H.C.

Order to show cause issued, returnable in Superior Court

The Secretary of the Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation is ordered to show cause before the Los Angeles County Superior Court, when the matter is ordered on calendar, why relief should not be granted on the ground that trial counsel rendered ineffective assistance by failing to investigate petitioner's mental health history and present such evidence at the competency stage of his trial. The return must be served and filed on or before May 10, 2019.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

S253667 C088483 Third Appellate District

CALDERON (JOSE GUADALUPE) ON H.C.

Petition for review granted; transferred to Court of Appeal, Third Appellate District, with directions to issue an order to show cause

The petition for review is granted. The matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Third Appellate District, with directions to vacate its summary denial dated January 3, 2019, refile the petition as a petition for writ of mandate sub nom. "Calderon v. Sacramento County Superior Court," and to issue an order directing the respondent superior court to show cause why the relief sought in the petition should not be granted.

Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., was recused and did not participate.

Votes: Chin, A. C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

S253810 C071785 Third Appellate District

BUTTE, COUNTY OF v.
DEPARTMENT OF WATER
RESOURCES (STATE
WATER CONTRACTORS,
INC.)

Petition for review granted; transferred to Court of Appeal, Third Appellate District

The petition for review is granted. The matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Third Appellate District, with directions to vacate its decision and reconsider the case in light of *Friends of the Eel River v. North Coast Railroad Authority* (2017) 3 Cal.5th 677. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(d).)

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

S254687 G057198 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 GALLIAN (JAMIE L.) v. GRAGNANO (LEE)

Review granted on the court's own motion; transferred to Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division Three

At the request of the Court of Appeal, review is ordered on this court's own motion. The cause is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division Three, for further proceedings.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

S233255 B253249 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 PEOPLE v. MORALES (CARLOS NUMBERTO)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Seven, after hold

The above-captioned matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District,

Division Seven, with directions to vacate its decision and reconsider the cause in light of Senate Bill No. 1437 (Stats. 2018, ch. 1015). (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(d).) Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

S233295 B250333 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. GUTIERREZ (JOSE JUAN)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Three, after hold

The above-captioned matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Three, with directions to vacate its decision and reconsider the cause in light of Senate Bill No. 1437 (Stats. 2018, ch. 1015). (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(d).) Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

S233845 D069073 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. KOZEE-STOLTZ (JORDAN PAUL)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division One, after hold

The above-captioned matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division One, with directions to vacate its decision and reconsider the cause in light of Senate Bill No. 1437 (Stats. 2018, ch. 1015). (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(d).) Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuellar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

S234559 B256760 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 PEOPLE v. HAMILTON (RICKY)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Six, after hold

The above-captioned matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Six, with directions to vacate its decision and reconsider the cause in light of Senate Bill No. 1437 (Stats. 2018, ch. 1015). (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(d).) Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

S238634 B266328 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 **PEOPLE v. GARCIA (ISAAC)** Transferred to the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Two, after hold

The above-captioned matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Two, with directions to vacate its decision and reconsider the cause in light of Senate Bill No. 1437 (Stats. 2018, ch. 1015). (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(d).) Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

S238692 F068714/F069260 Fifth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. MEDRANO (XAVIER YSAURO)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Fifth Appellate District, after hold

The above-captioned matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Fifth Appellate District, with directions to vacate its decision and reconsider the cause in light of Senate Bill No. 1437 (Stats. 2018, ch. 1015). (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(d).)

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

S239216 E067295 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 LASTER (VENTRICE) ON H.C.

Dismissed and remanded to Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division Two

Review in the above-captioned matter, which was granted and held for *People v. Mateo* (\$232674/B258333) is hereby dismissed without prejudice to filing a petition for resentencing under Penal Code section 1170.95 in Riverside County Superior Court. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(b)(1).)

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C.J., Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

S239594 B261370 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 PEOPLE v. CORDER (BRIAN BOSEMAN)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Five, after hold

The above-captioned matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Five, with directions to vacate its decision and reconsider the cause in light of Senate Bill No. 1437 (Stats. 2018, ch. 1015). (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(d).)

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

S240661 B280940 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 MOORE (CHARLES) ON H.C. Dismissed and remanded to Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Seven

Review in the above-captioned matter, which was granted and held for *People v. Mateo* (S232674/B258333) is hereby dismissed without prejudice to filing a petition for resentencing under Penal Code section 1170.95 in Los Angeles County Superior Court. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(b)(1).)

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

S241052 E067811 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 MORRISON (SINQUE) ON H.C.

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division Two, after hold

The matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division Two. That court is ordered to vacate its March 21, 2017, order denying the petition for writ of habeas corpus, and to issue an order directing respondent to show cause before that court why petitioner is not entitled to the relief requested. (See *In re Martinez on Habeas Corpus* (2017) 3 Cal.5th 1216.) This order is without prejudice to the filing of a petition for resentencing under Penal Code section 1170.95 in San Bernardino County Superior Court.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

S241552 B265136 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 PEOPLE v. McGHEE (DIAMONTE JEROME)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Eight, after hold

The above-captioned matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Eight, with directions to vacate its decision and reconsider the cause in light of Senate Bill No. 1437 (Stats. 2018, ch. 1015). (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(d).) Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

S242995 D069389 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. GILLESPIE (SAMUEL)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division One, after hold

The above-captioned matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division One, with directions to vacate its decision and reconsider the cause in light of Senate Bill No. 1437 (Stats. 2018, ch. 1015). (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(d).)

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

S243921 B271516 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 **PEOPLE v. LOPEZ (JANETH)** Transferred to Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Seven, after hold

The above-captioned matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Seven, with directions to vacate its decision and reconsider the cause in light of Senate Bill No. 1437 (Stats 2018, ch. 1015) and Senate Bill No. 620 (Stats. 2017, ch. 682). (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(d).)

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

S244887 B275222 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 PEOPLE v. BESENTY (NANCY MARIE)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Five, after hold

The above-captioned matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District,

Division Five, with directions to vacate its decision and reconsider the cause in light of Senate Bill No. 1437 (Stats 2018, ch. 1015) and Senate Bill No. 620 (Stats. 2017, ch. 682). (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(d).)

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

S245034 F072174 Fifth Appellate District PEOPLE v. EPPERSON (TAVARIE)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Fifth Appellate District, after hold

The above-captioned matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Fifth Appellate District, with directions to vacate its decision and reconsider the cause in light of Senate Bill No. 1437 (Stats 2018, ch. 1015) and Senate Bill No. 620 (Stats. 2017, ch. 682). (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(d).)

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

S245171 B265610 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 PEOPLE v. RAMIREZ (ROBERT ANTONIO)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Seven, after hold

The above-captioned matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Seven, with directions to vacate its decision and reconsider the cause in light of Senate Bill No. 1437 (Stats. 2018, ch. 1015). (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(d).) Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

S246037 A141679/A141670 First Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. MARTINEZ-CARREON (WILLIAMS)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, First Appellate District, Division Two, after hold

The above-captioned matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, First Appellate District, Division Two, with directions to vacate its decision and reconsider the cause in light of Senate Bill No. 1437 (Stats. 2018, ch. 1015). (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(d).) Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

S247837 B267614 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. CROCKETT (WILLIE)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Three, after hold

The above-captioned matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Three, with directions to vacate its decision and reconsider the cause in light of Senate Bill No. 1437 (Stats. 2018, ch. 1015). (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(d).)

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

S248671 B277941 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. VAUGHN (ANTWOINE)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Two, after hold

The above-captioned matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Two, with directions to vacate its decision and reconsider the cause in light of Senate Bill No. 1437 (Stats. 2018, ch. 1015). (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(d).) Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

S248778 B252187 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 PEOPLE v. ADAMS (LEO LLOYD)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Four, after hold

The above-captioned matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Four, with directions to vacate its decision and reconsider the cause in light of Senate Bill No. 1437 (Stats. 2018, ch. 1015). (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(d).) Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

S252217 D071670 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 BOTTINI, JR., (FRANCIS A.) v. CITY OF SAN DIEGO

Dismissed and remanded to Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division One

Review of the above-captioned matter is dismissed as improvidently granted. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(b).)

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

S252291 B283921 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. MUNOZ (NICHOLAS ANTHONY)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Three, after hold

The above-captioned matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Three, with directions to vacate its decision and reconsider the cause in light of Senate Bill No. 1437 (Stats. 2018, ch. 1015). (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(d).) Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

S252723 B286260 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 PEOPLE v. VOSS (CRAIG WILLIAM)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Six, after hold

Due to the death of Craig William Voss on December 3, 2018, all proceedings in this cause are permanently abated, and the cause is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Six, with directions to enter an order to that effect in Case No. B286260, and to require the Superior Court for Ventura County to enter an order to that effect in Case No. 2011025347. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 29.3(d); *People v. Dail* (1943) 22 Cal.2d 642, 659; *People v. Bandy* (1963) 216 Cal.App.2d 458, 466.) A similar issue to that raised in the petition for review in the Voss matter is pending before this court in *People v. Jimenez*, S249397. Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

S253479 C087006 Third Appellate District IN RE A.M.

Petition for review denied

S253609 F073064 Fifth Appellate District PEOPLE v. MORALES

(SONNY JAMES)

Petition for review denied

S253641 C080030/C080277 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. CIURAR (FILIP)

Petitions for review denied

S253685 A155276 First Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. CATO (MARCEL)

Petition for review denied

S253735 H044319 Sixth Appellate District PEOPLE v. MIRANDA

(JIMMY)

Petition for review denied

S253748 A150761 First Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. YOUNG

(LAKISHA)

Petition for review denied

S253754 A152612 First Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. SANCHEZ-

HERRERA (ROBERTO)

S253768 C074632 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. HALEY (RYAN MATTHEW)

Petition for review denied

S253773 D074577 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. P. (K.)

Petition for review denied

Liu, J., is of the opinion the petition should be granted.

S253776 D072929 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 HOFFMAN (LYNDA) v. SUPERIOR READY MIX

CONCRETE, L.P.

Petition for review denied

S253790 G054718 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. GUZMAN (SERGIO SANDOVAL)

Petition for review denied

S253796 C081958 Third Appellate District SMIGELSKI (RICHARD) v. PENNYMAC FINANCIAL

SERVICES, INC.

Petition for review denied

S253798 A150700 First Appellate District, Div. 4 PEOPLE v. PITTMAN

(JOSHUA)

Petition for review denied

S253801 H043283 Sixth Appellate District GUERNSEY (UN SUK) v.

CITY OF SALINAS

Petition for review denied

S253806 H045331 Sixth Appellate District IN RE J.M.

Petition for review denied

S253818 H045109 Sixth Appellate District PEOPLE v. RAMIREZ (JOSE

OMAR)

S253825 Petition for rev	A148655 First Appellate District, Div. 3 view denied	PEOPLE v. COOK (ERIC J.)
S253827 Petition for re-	D072315 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 view denied	PEOPLE v. GIL (EDUARDO)
	B294913 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 view & application for stay denied or judicial notice is granted.	ROCHA (RICARDO) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)
S253860 Petition for rev	B289556 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 view denied	PEOPLE v. NORTON (JAMES KELLY)
S253862 Petition for re-	G055320 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 view denied	PEOPLE v. TRUJILLO (GUILLERMO)
S253870 Petition for rev	B287424 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 view denied	PEOPLE v. STREET (BYRON KEITH)
S253876 Petition for rev	A150891 First Appellate District, Div. 5 view denied	PEOPLE v. BROWN (JEREMY)
S253878 Petition for rev	A153533 First Appellate District, Div. 5 view denied	BROWN (JEREMY) ON H.C.
S253879	B287038 Second Appellate District, Div. 1	PEOPLE v. LINARES (WALTER R.)

B285431 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 S253884 PEOPLE v. ORTIZ (FERNANDO) Petition for review denied **S253896** C072773/C072807 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. ALSTON (CALIBRA) Petition for review denied C083509 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. CHATMAN S253898 (MARKECE JOVON) Petition for review denied S253905 A152263 First Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. MALLETTE (JIMMIE LEONNE) Petition for review denied S253909 F078481 Fifth Appellate District **B.** (**E.**) **v. S.C.** (**PEOPLE**) Petition for review denied PEOPLE v. GODINEZ S253973 B287393 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 (HECTOR RAMON) Petition for review denied F075097 Fifth Appellate District S253982 PEOPLE v. CHAPA (ANGEL MIKE) Petition for review denied S253983 D074568 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. ABEGG (ANDY DEAN) Petition for review denied S253985 B277322 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 JAHANBANI (BENJAMIN AMIR) v. SUGAR (ALEC **BRANDON**)

Petition for review & publication request(s) denied

S253994 A148576 First Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. ELLISON (ORLANDO)

Petition for review denied

S254006 D073360 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. PRIDE (CHAZ NASJHEE)

Petition for review denied

S254009 B285373 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 IN RE K.E.

Petition for review denied

S254021 B279209 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. SOUTHWARD

(HENRICO)

Petition for review denied

Liu and Kruger, JJ., are of the opinion the petition should be granted.

S254023 G055834 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. LIMON (FELIPE

VASQUEZ)

Petition for review denied

S254026 F075178 Fifth Appellate District PEOPLE v. MARAVILLA

(ISIDRO MUNGIA)

Petition for review denied

S254029 A144917 First Appellate District, Div. 4 PEOPLE v. PIGUES (ZAVIER

AYERS)

Petition for review denied

S254031 B283549 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 PEOPLE v. TROTTER

(DESTINY)

Petitions for review denied

S254039 B281919 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. ONLEY

(MICHAEL J.)

S254053 D073171 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. WILLIAMS (JAMES EARL)

Petition for review denied

S254054 D072450 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. RODRIGUEZ (SAMUEL PETER)

Petition for review denied

S254055 F075102 Fifth Appellate District NISEI FARMERS LEAGUE v.

CALIFORNIA LABOR &

WORKFORCE

DEVELOPMENT AGENCY

Petition for review denied

S254057 G056081/G056082 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. GHANE (ESMAIL)

Petition for review denied

The request for judicial notice is granted.

S254098 G055078 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 TRAN (THIEN) v. NGUYEN

(ANTHONY)

Petition for review denied

S254099 G054555 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 NGUYEN (BICH AN THI) v.

NGUYEN (TUAN)

Petition for review denied

S254118 A153896 First Appellate District, Div. 3 IN RE E.T.

Petition for review & depublication request(s) denied

S254145 A156146 First Appellate District, Div. 5 KELLER (MING) v.

MYNOSYS CELLULAR

DEVICES, INC.

S254150 Petition for rev	A151801 First Appellate District, Div. 3 view denied	PEOPLE v. QUINTANA (JOSEPH D.)
S254159 Petition for rev	A149863 First Appellate District, Div. 1 view denied	PEOPLE v. TREFRY (JOSEPH WILLIAM)
S254193 Petition for rev	D074555 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 view denied	PEOPLE v. CROOKS (WILLIAM GRANT)
S254201 Petition for rev	B283611 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 view denied	PEOPLE v. DJAMA (GULNORA)
S254203 Petition for rev	B286706 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 view denied	PEOPLE v. McINTOSH (TAVARES LONDELL)
S254204 Petition for rev	B284087 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 view denied	PEOPLE v. LITTLEJOHN (WILLIAM)
S254221 Petition for rev	F073932 Fifth Appellate District view denied	PEOPLE v. TENORIO (RAYMOND MICHAEL)
S254222 Petition for rev	B286921 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 view denied	PEOPLE v. GARDEA, JR., (RAUL)
S254224	G055254 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3	PEOPLE v. SIMPSON (TIMOGEN ANTHONY)

S254230 B277399 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. BUENO (GEOFFREY S.) Petition for review denied S254250 B295446 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 GRISSOM (LOWELL) v. S.C. (PEOPLE) Petition for review denied S254254 B282505 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 PEOPLE v. STONE (VINCENT) Petition for review denied S254256 B287795 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. KNOX (KENYAUN) Petition for review denied H044005 Sixth Appellate District KRUCKER-KONIGSREITER S254258 (RUTH) v. KONIGSREITER (ADOLF) Petition for review denied S254265 A154503 First Appellate District, Div. 1 DAVIS (JAMES O.) v. OLSON (MARY JO) Petition for review denied S254266 G054828 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. MANZO (RUBEN RENE) Petition for review denied D071799 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 S254267 WINDHAM AT CARMEL **MOUNTAIN RANCH ASSOCIATION v. LACHER** (ROSLYN C.)

S254268 E065768/E066587/E067169

Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 KANG (CHOONG-DAE) v. AGUINA

Petition for review denied

S254274 A154000 First Appellate District, Div. 2 IN RE J.C.

Petition for review denied

S254275 A151785/A151788 First Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. CORADO-

MERLOS (JOSE)

Petition for review denied

S254278 G056105 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 RIBAL (JOSEPH E.),

CONSERVATORSHIP OF

Petition for review denied

S254279 E071680 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 YANEY (MICHELLE STOPYRA) v. S.C. (MASON)

The motion for an order on Petitioner's request for fee waiver for \$500 is denied.

The motion requesting the late filing of a list of table of authorities and complete searchable table of contents with actual documents of appendices B-G is granted.

The petition for review is denied.

S254280 H045080 Sixth Appellate District PEOPLE v. FLORES

(FERNANDO COVARRUBIAS)

Petition for review denied

S254281 A156096 First Appellate District, Div. 4 HARRIS (JAMES LAVELL) v.

S.C.

Petition for review denied

The request for judicial notice is denied.

S254290 C088795 Third Appellate District NEWTON, JR., (ROBERT) ON

H.C.

S254294 F074533 Fifth Appellate District PEOPLE v. MORENO (LUIS)

Petition for review denied

S254320 A152099/A152239 First Appellate District, Div. 4 HRISTOPOULOS (MARIA) v.

GIANNARIS (NICK)

Petition for review denied

S254321 F076695 Fifth Appellate District PEOPLE v. WILLIAMS

(HAROLD)

Petition for review denied

S254323 F073921 Fifth Appellate District PEOPLE v. GARCIA

(ALBERT)

Petition for review denied

S254340 C088804 Third Appellate District FIELDS (CARLTON) ON H.C.

Petition for review denied

S254352 F076280 Fifth Appellate District PEOPLE v. XIONG (NENG)

Petition for review denied

S254360 B295553 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 LEON (FRANCISCO B.) v.

S.C. (PEOPLE)

Petition for review denied

S254363 B285437 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 PEOPLE v. HERNANDEZ

(ARMANDO)

Petition for review denied

S254365 H044449 Sixth Appellate District PEOPLE v. SKANNAL

(JUSTIN CERRONE)

S254366 F073608 Fifth Appellate District PEOPLE v. BANKS (STEPHANIE)

Petition for review denied

S254369 A156523/A152630/A154902/A155846

First Appellate District, Div. 2 PARKER (DERRICK

JACINTO) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

Stay dissolved; petition denied

Real party in interest's request for judicial notice is granted.

The petition for review is denied. The stay previously issued by this court is dissolved.

S254372 RHODES (KAVIN MAURICE)

v. COURT OF APPEAL, SECOND APPELLATE

DISTRICT, DIVISION THREE

(PEOPLE)

The petition for writ of mandate is denied. The court declines to review this matter on its own motion. The matter is now final.

S254375 C086119 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. QUINNINE

(ANDRE)

Petition for review denied

S254377 A153188 First Appellate District, Div. 1 LINTON (JANET) v. COUNTY

OF CONTRA COSTA

Petition for review denied

S254382 B281538 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. GOREE

(CHRISTOPHER LEVI)

Petition for review denied

S254384 F074004 Fifth Appellate District PEOPLE v. SWOPE (ARTHUR

RAY)

S254387 Petition for rev	B285932 Second Appellate District, Div. 4	PEOPLE v. RHOADES (RICHARD DANIEL)
S254388 Petition for rev	B288621 Second Appellate District, Div. 7	PEOPLE v. INZUNZA (MANUEL PEREZ)
S254391 Petition for rev	D074582 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1	PEOPLE v. BENAVIDEZ (MONICA HERNANDEZ)
S254401 Petition for rev	B289313 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 view denied	PEOPLE v. SHELBY, JR., (HOWARD)
S254403 Petition for rev	B293250 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 view denied	RHOADES (RICHARD DANIEL) ON H.C.
S254404 Petition for rev	H044154 Sixth Appellate District view denied	PEOPLE v. CANCHOLA (ARMANDO GARZA)
S254410 Petition for rev	C083905 Third Appellate District	PEOPLE v. WALLERS (TROY BARTON)
S254411 Petition for rev	B285712 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 view denied	PEOPLE v. VALDEZ (ANTHONY)
S254422	C079669 Third Appellate District	ZIERT (MATTHEW) v. YOUNG'S LOCKEFORD PAYLESS MARKET, INC.

S254431 B286041 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 PLASOLA (JESSE & SILA), MARRIAGE OF

Petition for review denied

S254434 F078547 Fifth Appellate District FIELDS (CARLTON) ON H.C.

Petition for review denied

S254455 A150354 First Appellate District, Div. 4 PEOPLE v. BOLANOS-

ANRANGO (EDISON

REINALDO)

Petition for review denied

Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., was recused and did not participate.

S254458 G055134 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. WHITE (OSHEA)

Petition for review denied

S254461 G054950 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. GONZALEZ

(ABRAND)

Petition for review denied

S254462 A150007 First Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. HENDERSON

(MONTIE KAMARIE)
Petition for review denied

I chilon for icview defied

S254463 B284364/B286786/B290367

Second Appellate District, Div. 2 ASAP COPY & PRINT v. CANON SOLUTIONS

AMERICA, INC.

Petition for review denied

The request for judicial notice is granted.

S254465 D073795 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. WILLIAMS

(MICHAEL)

F071704 Fifth Appellate District S254467 PEOPLE v. FELIZ (ALFRED CARL) Petition for review denied S254474 A144658 First Appellate District, Div. 4 PEOPLE v. VASQUEZ (ALFREDO M.) Petition for review denied D071011 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 S254484 PEOPLE v. JOHNSON (PETER) Petition for review denied S254497 **BOYD (ANTHONY) v. COURT** OF APPEAL, SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT, **DIVISION ONE (PEOPLE)** Petition for writ of mandate/prohibition denied B287947 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 S254501 PEOPLE v. JOHN (HAYDEN OTHELLO) Petition for review denied A151561 First Appellate District, Div. 5 S254503 PEOPLE v. MOUNT (BILLY RAYMOND) Petition for review denied S254504 H044334 Sixth Appellate District PEOPLE v. COMBS (ANDRE) Petition for review denied S254515 A149375 First Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. MANUEL (JIM) Petition for review denied

G055095 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3

PEOPLE v. ESTRADA

(AMADOR)

Petition for review denied

S254520

S254528 B286036 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. ROBERTS (JULIUS MAROUIS)

Petition for review denied

S254531 C083347 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. GIGER, JR., (JESS

ALBERT JAMES)

Petition for review denied

S254556 C083033 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. BEALER (QUENTIN RAY)

Petition for review denied

S254583 JACOME (ALEXANDER) v.

COURT OF APPEAL, FOURTH APPELLATE DISTRICT, DIVISION ONE

(PEOPLE)

Petition for writ of mandate/prohibition denied

S254817 B295814 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 EDWARDS WILDMAN

PALMER, LLP v. S.C. (MIRESKANDARI)

Petition for review & application for stay denied

S250222 PITTMAN (JAMES) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S250348 DOZIER (ANTOINE) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S250743 LEES (ALEXANDER) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are untimely]; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are successive]; *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must include copies of reasonably available documentary evidence]; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must allege sufficient facts with particularity].)

S250796 VALDEZ, JR., (TITO DAVID) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S251249 PERRY (KEVIN TYRONE)

ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S251386 DAVIS (CLYDE K.) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S252021 LI (MEI) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S252023 MAGEE (RUCHELL CINQUE) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must include copies of reasonably available documentary evidence].)

S252026 MORDAUNT (THERESA CAMILLE) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S252029 VASQUEZ (RAYMUNDO

GARCIA) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S252031 MATTHEWS (DWIGHT) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S252041 LEWIS (DAVID LAMONT)

ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S252042 GRAY (BEAU H.) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S252045 SCOTT (WALTER) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S252085 VALDEZ, JR., (TITO DAVID)

ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S252204 WRIGHT, JR., (DERRICK MUNZEL) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must include copies of reasonably available documentary evidence]; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must allege sufficient facts with particularity].)

S252208 GUTIERREZ (HERIBERTO CASTRO) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are untimely]; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are successive].)

S252228 DIXON, JR., (BENNIE WILLIE) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are successive]; *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must include copies of reasonably available documentary evidence]; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must allege sufficient facts with particularity].)

S252241 McFADDEN (DONTAE LARAIL) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S252244 SUAREZ (JUAN CARLOS) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S252245 HOANG (TUYEN H.) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S252246 SAPP (LEON) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S252247 MacDONALD (FELIX

ESTUARDO MAQUIZ) ON

H.C

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Dexter* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 921, 925-926 [a habeas corpus petitioner must exhaust available administrative remedies].)

S252248 GUADARRAMA (JUAN

CARLOS) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S252260 SPENCE (GERALD) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S252434 LOPEZ-BARRAZA (ALBERTO) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Waltreus* (1965) 62 Cal.2d 218, 225 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that were rejected on appeal].)

S252478 SALAZAR (DANIEL) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S252481 BAPTISTE (RONDELL S.) ON

H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are untimely].)

S252483

INGRAM (CURTIS CLIFFORD) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S252484

THOMAS (CLYDE DUPREE

DeANDRE) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S252498

SIMPSON (BRANDON) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must include copies of reasonably available documentary evidence]; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must allege sufficient facts with particularity].)

S252504

BLAND (JOSHUA DAVIS) ON

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are untimely]; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are successive].)

S252506

WILLIAMS (CEDRIC) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must include copies of reasonably available documentary evidence]; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must allege sufficient facts with particularity].)

S252511

SUTTLES (GERALD) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S252513

PERKINS (JASON EUGENE)

ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S252515

SAECHAO (TOUT) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780

[courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are untimely]; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are successive].)

S252524

GOMEZ (NEXIS RENE) ON

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are untimely]; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are successive].)

S252527

SPENCER (JACOB LEE) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S252553

WILLIAMS (CEDRIC) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must include copies of reasonably available documentary evidence]; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must allege sufficient facts with particularity].)

S252555

DAVIS (DORIAN) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are untimely]; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are successive].)

S252557

SANCHEZ (JOSE) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S252571

BURTON (ERIC WILTON) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must include copies of reasonably available documentary evidence]; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must allege sufficient facts with particularity].)

S252572

LEWIS (DANNY LEE) ON

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied without prejudice to any relief to which petitioner might be entitled after this court decides *In re Palmer*, S252145.

S252573

DAVIS (EMMETT) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S252574

LUEVANO (CHRISTOPHER) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are untimely]; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are successive].)

S252575

WILLIAMS (CEDRIC) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must include copies of reasonably available documentary evidence]; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must allege sufficient facts with particularity].)

S252580

MENDOZA (ARTURO) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S252583

JONES (WILLIAM) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must include copies of reasonably available documentary evidence]; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must allege sufficient facts with particularity].)

S252592

SPENCE (GERALD) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. Individual claims are denied, as applicable. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must include copies of reasonably available documentary evidence]; *In re Dixon* (1953) 41 Cal.2d 756, 759 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that could have been, but were not, raised on appeal]; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must allege sufficient facts with particularity]; *In re Miller* (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734, 735 [courts will not

entertain habeas corpus claims that are repetitive].)

S252599

JOSEPH (KEITH DARNELL) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S252611

McPHERSON (JOHN PAUL) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are untimely]; *In re Waltreus* (1965) 62 Cal.2d 218, 225 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that were rejected on appeal]; *In re Dixon* (1953) 41 Cal.2d 756, 759 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that could have been, but were not, raised on appeal].)

S252625

GARCIA (ALFREDO B.) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are untimely].)

S252661

JOHNSON (JOE RANDEL) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S252669

ALLEN (KEVIN) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are untimely]; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are successive]; *In re Waltreus* (1965) 62 Cal.2d 218, 225 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that were rejected on appeal]; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must allege sufficient facts with particularity]; *In re Lindley* (1947) 29 Cal.2d 709, 723 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that attack the sufficiency of the evidence]; *In re Miller* (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734, 735 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are repetitive].)

S252676

DRAWN IV (ROBERT) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S252678

DRAKE (ERIC MICHAEL) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S252679

JOHNSON (JASON J.) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are untimely]; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are successive]; *In re Dexter* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 921, 925-926 [a habeas corpus petitioner must exhaust available administrative remedies].)

S252683

DANIEL (DOMINIC) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must include copies of reasonably available documentary evidence]; *In re Dixon* (1953) 41 Cal.2d 756, 759 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that could have been, but were not, raised on appeal]; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must allege sufficient facts with particularity]; *In re Lindley* (1947) 29 Cal.2d 709, 723 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that attack the sufficiency of the evidence].)

S253336

WRIGHT, JR., (DERRICK MUNZEL) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S195973

BIVERT (KENNETH RAY) ON H.C.

Order: (dispositive)

This petition for a writ of habeas corpus filed on behalf of a capital inmate was pending in this court on October 25, 2017. Pursuant to Penal Code section 1509, subdivision (g), the petition is transferred to the Superior Court of California, County of Monterey.

Pending further order of the court, this court retains jurisdiction over all matters concerning the appointment of counsel for petitioner and the payment of appointed counsel's fees and expenses. The following practices will apply to requests that this court (a) pay attorney fees for counsel appointed by this court or (b) reimburse necessary and reasonable expenses related to the habeas corpus proceeding. Such requests will be governed by the Payment Guidelines for Appointed Counsel Representing Indigent Criminal Appellants in the California Supreme Court, Guidelines II.*I* and III. Counsel must first obtain the superior court's recommendation for payment. However, the superior court's recommendation is not binding on the Supreme Court, which will

SAN FRANCISCO APRIL 10, 2019 498

exercise independent review of the request.

S199311

GARCIA (RANDY EUGENE) ON H.C.

Order: (dispositive)

This petition for a writ of habeas corpus filed on behalf of a capital inmate was pending in this court on October 25, 2017. Pursuant to Penal Code section 1509, subdivision (g), the petition is transferred to the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles.

Pending further order of the court, this court retains jurisdiction over all matters concerning the appointment of counsel for petitioner.

S208154

POWELL (CARL D.) ON H.C.

Order: (dispositive)

This petition for a writ of habeas corpus filed on behalf of a capital inmate was pending in this court on October 25, 2017. Pursuant to Penal Code section 1509, subdivision (g), the petition is transferred to the Superior Court of California, County of Sacramento.

Pending further order of the court, this court retains jurisdiction over all matters concerning the appointment of counsel for petitioner and the payment of appointed counsel's fees and expenses. The following practices will apply to requests that this court (a) pay attorney fees for counsel appointed by this court or (b) reimburse necessary and reasonable expenses related to the habeas corpus proceeding. Such requests will be governed by the Payment Guidelines for Appointed Counsel Representing Indigent Criminal Appellants in the California Supreme Court, Guidelines II.*I* and III. Counsel must first obtain the superior court's recommendation for payment. However, the superior court's recommendation is not binding on the Supreme Court, which will exercise independent review of the request.

Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., was recused and did not participate.

S222718

SMITH (DONALD FRANKLIN) ON H.C.

Order: (dispositive)

This petition for a writ of habeas corpus filed on behalf of a capital inmate was pending in this court on October 25, 2017. Pursuant to Penal Code section 1509, subdivision (g), the petition is transferred to the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles.

Pending further order of the court, this court retains jurisdiction over all matters concerning the appointment of counsel for petitioner and the payment of appointed counsel's fees and expenses. The following practices will apply to requests that this court (a) pay attorney fees for counsel appointed by this court or (b) reimburse necessary and reasonable expenses related to the habeas corpus proceeding. Such requests will be governed by the Payment Guidelines for Appointed

Counsel Representing Indigent Criminal Appellants in the California Supreme Court, Guidelines II.*I* and III. Counsel must first obtain the superior court's recommendation for payment. However, the superior court's recommendation is not binding on the Supreme Court, which will exercise independent review of the request.

S254506 B294110 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 W. (D.) v. S.C. (PEOPLE) Stay order filed

To permit consideration of the petition for review filed herein, all further proceedings in *People v. Darryon Watts*, Los Angeles County Superior Court No. NA105083, are hereby stayed pending further order of this court.

S253598 D072309 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 SAN DIEGO, COUNTY OF v. V. (M.); R. (P.)

Publication request denied (case closed)

S253612 B290673 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 IN RE A.W.

Publication request denied (case closed)

S253782 C087026 Third Appellate District IN RE K.A.

Publication request denied (case closed)

S253966 A154038 First Appellate District, Div. 1 IN RE G.E.

Publication request denied (case closed)

S253984 G053988 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 BAKER (THOM) v. COUNTY

OF ORANGE

Publication request denied (case closed)

S254003 D070763 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 ORANGE COUNTY WATER

DISTRICT v. THE ARNOLD

ENGINEERING COMPANY

Depublication request denied (case closed)

The request for an order directing depublication of the opinion in the above-entitled appeal is denied. The court declines to review this matter on its own motion. The matter is now final.

S254016 B277991 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 ORTEGA (EVELYN) v. DIGNITY HEALTH, INC.

Publication request denied (case closed)

S254044 B288648 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 IN RE I.M.

Publication request denied (case closed)

S254131 H043253 Sixth Appellate District SUMMERHILL

WINCHESTER LLC v.

CAMPBELL UNION SCHOOL

DISTRICT

Depublication request denied (case closed)

The requests for an order directing depublication of the opinion in the above-entitled appeal are denied. The court declines to review this matter on its own motion. The matter is now final.

S254160 B282417 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 CHAVEZ (CLEOTILDE) v.

LIFETECH RESOURCES LLC

Publication request denied (case closed)

S254295 WESTRIDGE ESTATES

NEIGHBORHOOD

ASSOCIATION v. BIZZY

(MARY)

Publication request denied (case closed)

S254141 A152535 First Appellate District, Div. 5 DUFFEY (NICHELLE) v.

TENDER HEART HOME

CARE AGENCY, LLC

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to May 21, 2019.

S166737 PEOPLE v. FLORES (RALPH

STEVEN)

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel John L. Dodd's representation that the appellant's reply brief is anticipated to

be filed by August 7, 2019, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to June 3, 2019. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 64 additional days is contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S170293

PEOPLE v. INGRAM (REYON TWAIN)

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Supervising Deputy State Public Defender Christina A. Spaulding's representation that appellant Ingram's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by July 9, 2019, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to June 10, 2019. After that date, only one further extension totaling 28 additional days will be granted. Counsel for appellant Ingram is ordered to inform her supervising attorney of this schedule and to take all steps necessary to meet it.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S179826

PEOPLE v. CAIN (ANTHONY DEONDREA)

Extension of time granted

Based upon Deputy Attorney General Nikhil Cooper's representation that the respondent's brief is anticipated to be filed by June 10, 2019, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to June 10, 2019. After that date, no further extension is contemplated. An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S182278

PEOPLE v. NELSON (TANYA JAIME)

Extension of time granted

Based upon Deputy Attorney General Nora S. Weyl's representation that the respondent's brief is anticipated to be filed by August 9, 2019, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to June 10, 2019. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 59 additional days is contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S189992

PEOPLE v. SHORTS (DONALD) & TUCKER (JAMAR)

Extension of time granted

Based upon Deputy Attorney General Idan Ivri's representation that the respondent's brief is anticipated to be filed by May 13, 2019, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to May 13, 2019. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S198309

PEOPLE v. FLETCHER (MARCUS)

Extension of time granted

Upon application of appellant's counsel, David P. Lampkin, an extension of time in which to serve and file the appellant's opening brief is granted to April 29, 2019. After that date, only one further extensions totaling about 62 additional days will be granted. Counsel for appellant is ordered to inform his assisting entity of this schedule and to take all steps necessary to meet it. An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S204700

THOMAS (REGIS DEON) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Based upon Deputy Attorney General Douglas L. Wilson's representation that the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is anticipated to be filed by October 31, 2019, an extension of time in which to serve and file that document is granted to June 17, 2019. After that date, only three further extensions totaling about 137 additional days are contemplated.

S206484

PEOPLE v. ESPINOZA (PEDRO)

Extension of time granted

Based upon Deputy Attorney General Ashley Harlan's representation that the respondent's brief is anticipated to be filed by July 21, 2019, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to June 11, 2019. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 40 additional days is contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

PEOPLE v. MARENTES (DESI ANGEL)

Extension of time granted

Based upon Deputy State Public Defender Craig Buckser's representation that the appellant's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by December 9, 2019, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to June 10, 2019. After that date, only three further extensions totaling about 182 additional days will be granted.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S210054

PEOPLE v. CERVANTES (DANIEL) & CONTRERAS (CARLOS)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant Daniel Cervantes, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to June 6, 2019.

S210054

PEOPLE v. CERVANTES (DANIEL) & CONTRERAS (CARLOS)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant Carlos Contreras, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to June 6, 2019.

S212030

PEOPLE v. PEREZ (JOHN MICHAEL) & RUIZ (RUDY ANTHONY)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant John Michael Perez, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to May 30, 2019.

S212030

PEOPLE v. PEREZ (JOHN MICHAEL) & RUIZ (RUDY ANTHONY)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant Rudy Ruiz, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to May 30, 2019.

S214433

ROUNTREE (CHARLES F.) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Based upon Deputy Attorney General Ross K. Naughton's representation that the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is anticipated to be filed by October 3, 2019, an extension of time in which to serve and file that document is granted to October 3, 2019. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 119 additional days are contemplated.

S217774

PEOPLE v. THOMSON (JOHN WAYNE)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to June 10, 2019.

S226760

PEOPLE v. LIGHTSEY (CHRISTOPHER CHARLES)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to June 17, 2019.

S250829

F074581 Fifth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. LOPEZ (ANTHONY)

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer brief on the merits is extended to May 13, 2019.

S251135

BUSKER (JOHN) v. WABTEC CORPORATION; MARTIN (MARK); DOES, 1 THROUGH 100

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply brief on the merits is extended to May 15, 2019.

S251333 F073942 Fifth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. McKENZIE (DOUGLAS EDWARD)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer brief on the merits is extended to May 15, 2019.

S251988

GOMEZ (JESSE) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the informal response is extended to May 13, 2019.

S252145 A147177 First Appellate District, Div. 2

PALMER (WILLIAM M.) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

On application of petitioner and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer brief on the merits is extended to May 17, 2019.

S252249

TATE, SR., (LIONEL) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

On application of informal response and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the respondent is extended to May 6, 2019.

S253677

D071865 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1

DONOHUE (KENNEDY) v. AMN SERVICES, LLC

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the opening brief on the merits is extended to June 13, 2019.

S254599 B289852 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 GADLIN (GREGORY) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply to answer to petition for review is extended to April 19, 2019. No further extensions will be contemplated.

S047867

PEOPLE v. VIRGIL (LESTER WAYNE)

Motion for access to sealed record granted

Condemned inmate Lester Wayne Virgil's "Motion to Review Confidential and Under Seal Files," filed on January 31, 2019, is granted in part and denied in part as follows. Virgil's counsel, the Federal Public Defender for the Central District of California, is granted access to the sealed and confidential materials contained in the record in *People v. Virgil* (S047867), except for volumes I and II of the "Clerk's Transcript - Confidential Under Seal Juror Questionnaires and Unredacted Verdict." The denial of access is without prejudice to the filing of a motion in the superior court. The motion is denied as unnecessary as to the record in *In re Virgil*, S160814, because this record does not contain any sealed or confidential documents.

Counsel must supply the personnel and equipment necessary to undertake the review and copying of the records to which access is granted. The review and copying must occur on the premises of the court. Counsel must not release or cause to be released any of the sealed or confidential material or any of the information contained therein to anyone other than counsel's agents without a prior order of this court.

S092615

PEOPLE v. DEEN (OMAR RICHARD)

Record ordered unsealed

Appellant's "Motion to Unseal a Portion of the Record on Appeal," filed on January 4, 2019, is granted. The Clerk is directed to unseal, make part of the public record, and provide to respondent a copy of volume 1 of the Second Supplemental Clerk's Transcript at pages 55 through 63.

S175660

PEOPLE v. AGUIRRE (JASON ALEJANDRO)

Record ordered unsealed

Appellant's "Application to Unseal Records," filed on January 10, 2019, is granted. The Clerk is directed to unseal, make part of the public record, and provide to respondent a copy of volume 1

of the Reporter's Transcript at pages 185 through 189 and 243 through 246.

S180217

PEOPLE v. HERNANDEZ (GEORGE ANTHONY)

Motion for access to sealed record granted

Respondent's "Application for Copies of Reporter's Transcript from In-camera Hearing," filed on January 9, 2019, is granted. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.47(b)(2).) The Clerk is directed to provide a copy of the following sealed item in the record on appeal to counsel for respondent: volume 20 of the Reporter's Transcript at pages 3236 through 3238.

S253593

YAHOO! INC. v. NATIONAL UNION FIRE INSURANCE COMPANY OF PITTSBURGH, PENNSYLVANIA

Order filed

On March 27, 2019, this court granted the request, made pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.548, that the court decide a question of California law presented in a matter pending in the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit. Pursuant to rule 8.548(f)(5), the court restates the issue as follows:

Does a commercial general liability insurance policy that provides coverage for personal injury, defined as injury arising out of oral or written publication, in any manner, of material that violates a person's right of privacy, and that has been modified by endorsement with regard to advertising injuries, trigger the insurer's duty to defend the insured against a claim that the insured violated the Telephone Consumer Protection Act of 1991 (47 U.S.C. § 227) by sending unsolicited text message advertisements that did not reveal any private information?

Petitioner Yahoo! Inc. is directed to serve and file its opening brief on the merits within 30 days after the filing of this order, and briefing shall otherwise proceed in accordance with California Rules of Court, rule 8.520.

S254512

DUNSMORE (DARRYL) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division One

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division One, for consideration in light of *Hagan v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition must be denied.

ALLDREDGE (JOSEPH) v. CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS & REHABILITATION (BOARD OF PAROLE HEARINGS)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, First Appellate District

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, First Appellate District.

S254717

WALKER (JOHN E.) v.
CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT
OF CORRECTIONS &
REHABILITATION (BOARD
OF PAROLE HEARINGS)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, for consideration in light of *Hagan v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition must be denied.

S254173 ACCUSATION OF ESMAIL

Petition denied (accusation)

S254385 ACCUSATION OF DALEY

Petition denied (accusation)

S254563 ACCUSATION OF BIBBS

Petition denied (accusation)

S253885 MLNARIK ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that JOHN LOUIS MLNARIK (Respondent), State Bar Number 257882, is suspended from the practice of law in California for two years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and Respondent is placed on probation for three years subject to the following conditions:

1. Respondent is suspended from the practice of law for the first year of probation;

- 2. Respondent must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on November 28, 2018; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if Respondent has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

Respondent must provide to the State Bar's Office of Probation proof of taking and passing the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination as recommended by the Hearing Department in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on November 28, 2018. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Respondent must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension. Respondent must also maintain the records of compliance as required by the conditions of probation.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S253887

WALLACE ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that ALEXANDER WAILES WALLACE (Respondent), State Bar Number 78479, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and Respondent is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. Respondent is suspended from the practice of law for a minimum of the first 90 days of probation, and Respondent will remain suspended until the following requirements are satisfied:
 - i. Respondent returns the client file to Beatrice Ramirez and furnishes satisfactory proof of such to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles; and
 - ii. If Respondent remains suspended for two years or longer as a result of not satisfying the preceding requirement, Respondent must also provide proof to the State Bar Court of rehabilitation, fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the general law before the suspension will be terminated. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.2(c)(1).)
- 2. Respondent must also comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on November 28, 2018.
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if Respondent has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

Respondent must provide to the State Bar's Office of Probation proof of taking and passing the

Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination as recommended by the Hearing Department in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on November 28, 2018. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Respondent must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension. Respondent must also maintain the records of compliance as required by the conditions of probation.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S253888

WANG ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that ADAM Q. WANG (Respondent), State Bar Number 201233, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and Respondent is placed on probation for one year subject to the following conditions:

- 1. Respondent is suspended from the practice of law for the first 90 days of probation;
- 2. Respondent must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on December 3, 2018; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if Respondent has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

Respondent must provide to the State Bar's Office of Probation proof of taking and passing the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination as recommended by the Hearing Department in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on December 3, 2018. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Respondent must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension. Respondent must also maintain the records of compliance as required by the conditions of probation.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-third of the costs must be paid with Respondent's membership fees for each of the years 2020, 2021, and 2022. If Respondent fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified in writing by the State Bar or the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

ZORR ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that BARBARA TRUMAN ZORR, State Bar Number 112693, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that her name is stricken from the roll of attorneys. BARBARA TRUMAN ZORR must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S253913

ODGERS ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that MATTHEW WILLIAM ODGERS, State Bar Number 290722, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for one year subject to the following conditions:

- 1. MATTHEW WILLIAM ODGERS must comply with the conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on December 11, 2018; and
- 2. At the expiration of the period of probation, if MATTHEW WILLIAM ODGERS has complied with the terms of probation, the one year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

MATTHEW WILLIAM ODGERS must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).) Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S253914

WILLIS ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that GRAHAM A. WILLIS (Respondent), State Bar Number 306578, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and Respondent is placed on probation for one year subject to the following conditions:

1. Respondent must comply with the conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on December 21, 2018; and

2. At the expiration of the period of probation, if Respondent has complied with the terms of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

Respondent must provide to the State Bar's Office of Probation proof of taking and passing the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination as recommended by the Hearing Department in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on December 21, 2018. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-third of the costs must be paid with Respondent's membership fees for each of the years 2020, 2021, and 2022. If Respondent fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified in writing by the State Bar or the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

S253916

MEYER ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that MICHAEL LAURENCE HAROLD MEYER (Respondent), State Bar Number 101096, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and Respondent is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. Respondent is suspended from the practice of law for the first 90 days of probation;
- 2. Respondent must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on December 20, 2018; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if Respondent has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

Respondent must provide to the State Bar's Office of Probation proof of taking and passing the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination as recommended by the Hearing Department in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on December 20, 2018. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Respondent must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension. Respondent must also maintain the records of compliance as required by the conditions of probation.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-third of the costs must be paid with Respondent's membership fees for each of the years 2020, 2021, and 2022. If Respondent fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified in writing by the State Bar or the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

PRICE ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that STUART MICHAEL PRICE (Respondent), State Bar Number 150439, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and Respondent is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. Respondent is suspended from the practice of law for the first 30 days of probation;
- 2. Respondent must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on December 17, 2018; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if Respondent has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

Respondent must provide to the State Bar's Office of Probation proof of taking and passing the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination as recommended by the Hearing Department in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on December 17, 2018. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-half of the costs must be paid with Respondent's membership fees for each of the years 2020 and 2021. If Respondent fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified in writing by the State Bar or the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

S253918

PAIK ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that JUDY UNKYUNG PAIK (Respondent), State Bar Number 230988, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that Respondent's name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

Respondent must comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S253920

BEEKS ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that GARY EUGENE BEEKS (Respondent), State Bar Number 75802, is

suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and Respondent is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. Respondent must comply with the conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on November 28, 2018; and
- 2. At the expiration of the period of probation, if Respondent has complied with the terms of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

Respondent must provide to the State Bar's Office of Probation proof of taking and passing the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination as recommended by the Hearing Department in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on November 28, 2018. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S254149

BARIC ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that DRAGO CHARLES BARIC, State Bar Number 105383, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys. DRAGO CHARLES BARIC must comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S254161

COHEN ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that IRA COHEN (Respondent), State Bar Number 79888, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that Respondent's name is stricken from the roll of attorneys. Respondent must make restitution to Robert Hample, or such other recipient as may be designated by the Office of Probation or the State Bar Court, in the amount of \$6,500 plus 10 percent interest per year from March 15, 2017. Any restitution owed to the Client Security Fund is enforceable as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.5, subdivisions (c) and (d).

Respondent must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section

6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S254162

HILL ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that KEYUNDA GAIL HILL (Respondent), State Bar Number 299818, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and Respondent is placed on probation for one year subject to the following conditions:

- 1. Respondent must comply with the conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on December 3, 2018; and
- 2. At the expiration of the period of probation, if Respondent has complied with the terms of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

Respondent must provide to the State Bar's Office of Probation proof of taking and passing the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination as recommended by the Hearing Department in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on December 3, 2018. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S254165

HUPRICH ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that JOSEPH JAMES HUPRICH (Respondent), State Bar Number 195231, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and Respondent is placed on probation for one year subject to the following conditions:

- 1. Respondent must comply with the conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on December 4, 2018; and
- 2. At the expiration of the period of probation, if Respondent has complied with the terms of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

Respondent must provide to the State Bar's Office of Probation proof of taking and passing the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination as recommended by the Hearing Department in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on December 4, 2018. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section

6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S254179 KIM ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that ESTHER M. KIM (Respondent), State Bar Number 271155, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that Respondent's name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

Respondent must comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S253925

GRUBAUGH, JR., ON RESIGNATION

Resignation accepted with disciplinary proceeding pending

The voluntary resignation with charges pending of BRUCE EDWARD GRUBAUGH, JR., State Bar Number 74503, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted. If BRUCE EDWARD GRUBAUGH, JR., subsequently seeks reinstatement, the State Bar may consider all disciplinary charges that are currently pending against him.

BRUCE EDWARD GRUBAUGH, JR., must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may be considered in any future reinstatement proceeding.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S254147

ADKINS ON RESIGNATION

Resignation declined

This court, having considered the request, declines to accept the voluntary resignation with charges pending of SCOTT LEE ADKINS, State Bar number 194809, as a member of the State Bar of California. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.21(d).) SCOTT LEE ADKINS remains on inactive status. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.21(a).) He may move the State Bar Court to be restored to active status, at which time the Office of the Chief Trial Counsel may demonstrate any basis for his continued ineligibility to practice law. The State Bar Court will expedite the resolution of any

request by SCOTT LEE ADKINS to be restored to active status. Any return to active status will be conditioned on SCOTT LEE ADKINS's payment of any dues, penalty payments, and restitution owed by him. The underlying disciplinary matter should proceed promptly.

S254934

SPIRGEN ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of DENNIS RAY SPIRGEN, State Bar Number 89215, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S254935

SWENT ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of JEANNETTE F. SWENT, State Bar Number 160578, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S254936

WALDEN ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of GREGORY ALAN WALDEN, State Bar Number 103615, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S254937

WOHN ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of EVA-MARIA WOHN, State Bar Number 165502, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

BAR MISC. 4186 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE COMMITTEE OF BAR EXAMINERS OF THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA FOR ADMISSION OF ATTORNEYS (MOTION NO. 1,413)

The written motion of the Committee of Bar Examiners that the following named applicants, who have fulfilled the requirements for admission to practice law in the State of California, be admitted to the practice of law in this state is hereby granted, with permission to the applicants to take the oath before a competent officer at another time and place:

(SEE ORIGINAL APPLICATION FOR THE LIST OF NAMES ATTACHED.)

Second Appellate District, Div. 2 TRANSFER ORDERS

The following matters, now pending in the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, are transferred from Division Two to Division Six:

1. B290767 People v. Lamar Weathersby

2. B291849 Jordan Peleg v. Los Angeles Film School

3. B292330 People v. Diallo Hall