SUPREME COURT MINUTES WEDNESDAY, APRIL 29, 2015 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S187965 G038379 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. MOSLEY (STEVEN LLOYD)

Rehearing denied

S224611

Werdegar and Liu, JJ., are of the opinion the petition should be granted.

S224599 B255894 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 PEOPLE v. WADE (STEVEN)

Petition for review granted

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Werdegar, Chin, Corrigan Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

Request for certification granted

MENDOZA (CHRISTOPHER) & GORDON (MEAGAN) v. NORDSTROM, INC.

The request, made pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.548, that this court decide a question of California law presented in a matter pending in the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit, is granted.

For purposes of briefing and oral argument, Christopher Mendoza and Meagan Gordon are deemed petitioners to this court.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Werdegar, Chin, Corrigan Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

S224779 C071906 Third Appellate District

CITIZENS FOR FAIR REU RATES v. CITY OF REDDING

Petition for review granted; issues limited

The petition for review is granted.

The issues to be briefed and argued are limited to the following:

- (1) Is a payment in lieu of taxes (PILOT) transferred from the city utility to the city general fund a "tax" under Proposition 26 (Cal. Const., art. XIII C, § 1, subd. (1)(e))?
- (2) Does the exception for "reasonable costs to the local government of providing the service or product" apply to the PILOT ((Cal. Const., art. XIII C, § 1, subd. (1)(e)(2))?
- (3) Does the PILOT predate Proposition 26?

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Werdegar, Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

S224853 B243788 Second Appellate District, Div. 1

AUGUSTUS (JENNIFER) v. ABM SECURITY SERVICES, INC.

Petition for review granted

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Werdegar, Chin, Corrigan Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

S224929 H039219 Sixth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. ESPINOZA, JR., (ZEFERINO)

Petition for review granted

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Werdegar, Chin, Corrigan Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

S224985

FREALY (TODD A.) v. REYNOLDS (RICK H.)

Request for certification granted

The request, made pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.548, that this court decide a question of California law presented in a matter pending in the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit, is granted.

For purposes of briefing and oral argument, Todd A. Frealy is deemed petitioner to this court.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Werdegar, Chin, Corrigan Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

S224749 G048762 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. MARQUEZ (DANIEL JOSEPH)

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted.

Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Lowe*, S215727 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Werdegar, Chin, Corrigan Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

S224774 B251224 Second Appellate District, Div. 7

PEOPLE v. LEXINGTON NATIONAL INSURANCE CORPORATION

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted.

Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Safety National Casualty Insurance Co.*, S218712 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Werdegar, Chin, Corrigan Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

S224900 C075983 Third Appellate District

PEOPLE v. MUNOZ (JOSE GUADALUPE)

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted.

Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Friday*, S218288, *People v. Garcia*, S218197 and *People v. Klatt*, S218755, (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Werdegar, Chin, Corrigan Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

S224935 D064288 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. DRAGASITS (STEPHEN JOSEPH)

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Lowe*, S215727, and *People v. Buza*, S223698 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Werdegar, Chin, Corrigan Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

S225047 F067805 Fifth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. RODRIGUEZ (TIMOTHY JAMES)

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred The petition for review is granted.

Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Chaney*, S223676 and *People v. Valencia*, S223825 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Werdegar, Chin, Corrigan Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

S225050 F067122 Fifth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. LORTA (GILBERT)

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred The petition for review is granted.

Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Chaney*, S223676 and *People v. Valencia*, S223825 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Werdegar, Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

S225118 F067402 Fifth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. DOOLITTLE (TIMOTHY DANIEL)

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Chaney*, S223676, and *People v. Valencia*, S223825 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Werdegar, Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

S225240 B255693 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 PEOPLE v. JONES (CHANGA DIA)

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *Braziel v. Superior Court*, S218503, and *People v. Machado*, S219819 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Werdegar, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

S224979 G051473 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 AHMED (KAREEM) v. S.C (PEOPLE)

Petition for review granted; transferred to Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division Three, with directions to issue an alternative writ

The petition for review is granted. The matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division Three, with directions to vacate its order denying the petition for writ of mandate and to issue an alternative writ to be heard before that court. The stay previously issued by this court will remain in effect pending further order of the Court of Appeal.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Werdegar, Chin, Corrigan Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

S224424 B251974 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 PEOPLE v. PECORA (JOSEPH LOUIS)

Petition for review & publication request(s) denied

S224555 B257276 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 HYUNDAI SECURITIES CO., LTD. v. LEE (IK CHI)

S224601 B247852 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 PEOPLE v. HERNANDEZ (JOSE RAYA)

Petition for review denied

S224616 F068415 Fifth Appellate District PEOPLE v. ALVAREZ (ANGEL DE JESUS)

Petition for review denied

S224645 D066212 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. JIMENEZ (CLAUDIO ROLANDO)

Petitions for review denied

S224647 B249908 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 PEOPLE v. DEALBA

(DANIEL)

Petition for review denied

S224668 A137465 First Appellate District, Div. 2 CARRASCAL (FRANCISCO) v. AVAKIAN (DEANNA)

Petition for review denied

Chin, J. was recused and did not participate.

S224739 H039779 Sixth Appellate District PEOPLE v. ALMANZA (ANTONIO)

Petition for review & depublication request(s) denied

Werdegar, Liu, and Cuéllar, JJ., are of the opinion the petition should be granted.

S224767 B256266 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 AUDIO VISUAL SERVICES

GROUP, INC. v. S.C.

(SOLARES)

Petition for review denied

S224770 A141134 First Appellate District, Div. 3 MOSSER COMPANIES v. SAN

FRANCISCO RENT & STABILIZATION & ARBITRATION BOARD

S224777 F065958/F066309 Fifth Appellate District PEOPLE v. CAIN (CHRISTOPHER) Petitions for review denied B260363 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 S224784 FLYNN (COLLEEN) v. S.C. (CITY OF LOS ANGELES) Petition for review denied S224794 F066839 Fifth Appellate District HARB (MOHAMAD) v. CITY OF BAKERSFIELD Petitions for review & depublication request(s) denied S224851 A140779 First Appellate District, Div. 5 IN RE D.H. Petition for review denied E062613 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 S224868 PEOPLE v. GRAVES (FRED) Petition for review denied S224871 C072981 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. ZINDA (STEVEN ANDREW) Petition for review denied B260717 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 S224881 SIRIUS XM RADIO, INC. v. S.C. (CAPITOL RECORDS, LLC) Petition for review denied E062855 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 S224887 **BLUE-SKY (RICKIE) ON H.C.** Petition for review denied C074546 Third Appellate District GREENWELL, JR., (JACOB S224892

Petition for review denied

W.) v. AUTO-OWNERS INSURANCE COMPANY

S224919	G048139 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3	PEOPLE v. CANNATA (ANTHONY WYATT)		
Petition for review denied				
S224926	C074792 Third Appellate District	PEOPLE v. McMURRAY		
Petition for review denied (DARROL LEE)				
S224941	G048784 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3	WEDGEWORTH (SANDY) v.		
Petition for rev	iew & publication request(s) denied			
S224950	E062584 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2	ROBLES (VICTOR CHRISTIAN) v. S.C.		
Petition for review denied (PEOPLE)				
S224959	A139488 First Appellate District, Div. 5	SMITH (STEVE) v. ELLIOTT		
Petition for review denied (JAMES MARSHALL)				
S224970	A140387 First Appellate District, Div. 1	RUBIN (MICHAEL) v. PADILLA (ALEX)/ (INDEPENDENT VOTER		
PROJECT) Petition for review denied				
S224992	F066423 Fifth Appellate District	PEOPLE v. TRAVIS (CURTIS		
Petition for rev	view denied	WAYNE)		
S224999	A139034 First Appellate District, Div. 2	WRIGHT (MONNIE) v. STATE OF CALIFORNIA		

S225018 B253721 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 ZHAO (CHARLIE) v. YOUNG (SUZIE)

Petition for review denied

D066874 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 S225025 B. (NICOLE) v. S.C. (SAN **DIEGO COUNTY HEALTH &**

> **HUMAN SERVICES AGENCY**)

Petition for review denied

S225058 C074532 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. LOPEZ (DAVID

ELMO)

Petition for review denied

S225071 B253755 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 PEOPLE v. COLE (LONNY)

Petition for review denied

S225097 C076044 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. EDWARDS (STEVEN GRADY)

Petition for review denied

S225107 D065078 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 A. (M. & M.), MARRIAGE OF

Petition for review denied

B253017 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 S225108 PEOPLE v. CORLEY (JARVIS JAY)

Petition for review denied

S225114 C076526 Third Appellate District IN RE K.W.

Petition for review denied

S225128 F066586 Fifth Appellate District PEOPLE v. WHITE (JOSEPH **M.**)

S225137 B253965 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 LEE (THOMAS) v. ING (TONY)

Petition for review denied

S225144 A142664 First Appellate District, Div. 5 IN RE G.M.

Petition for review denied

S225164 B254815 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. ADAMES, JR., (ALEXIS)

Petition for review denied

S225171 C067008 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. FRYSON (YOLANDA PEREZ)

Petition for review denied

S225174 B254688 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 PEOPLE v. THURWACHTER (MARK)

Petition for review denied

S225191 E060014 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. DAVIS (CHRISTOPHER)

Petition for review denied

S225199 B251294 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 PEOPLE v. WARD (ERIC)

Petition for review denied

S225217 E061731 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. YOST (AARON

CLAUDE)

Petition for review denied

S225220 E062996 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 WILLIAMS (JAMES DAVID)

ON H.C.

S225223 Petition for rev	E060081 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2	PEOPLE v. HICKS (MAURICE SHAWN)
S225226 Petition for rev	B261962 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 view denied	JONES (LEVAR EMERSON) ON H.C.
S225236 Petition for rev	E060720 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 view denied	PEOPLE v. VANDELL (DAVID EDWIN)
S225238 Petition for rev	E062885 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 view denied	SMITH (DELBERT J.) ON H.C.
S225245 Petition for rev	F066963 Fifth Appellate District	PEOPLE v. GALINDO (OSCAR)
S225262 Petition for rev	D064977 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 view denied	PEOPLE v. HEDGECOCK (RACHELLE)
S225273 Petition for rev	C074715 Third Appellate District	PEOPLE v. EDWARDS (MARK)
S225298 Petition for rev	C078626 Third Appellate District	TURNER (ANTHONY R.G.) ON H.C.
S225303 Petition for rev	C075095 Third Appellate District	PEOPLE v. CONLEY (CHAD MASEO)

PEOPLE v. FLETCHER **S225304** F067849/F068235 Fifth Appellate District (WILLIAM DOUG) Petition for review denied G050441 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 S225306 PEOPLE v. POMPA (MARIO RIVAS) Petition for review denied S225307 F067983 Fifth Appellate District PEOPLE v. SORIA (GASPER) Petition for review denied S225308 C074991 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. CARLILE (DAVID WESLEY) Petition for review denied PEOPLE v. SEGURA S225310 F066938 Fifth Appellate District (ANTONIO HIGAREDA) Petition for review denied S225311 B249559 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 LOS ANGELES, COUNTY OF v. IFROZE (MOHAMMED) Petition for review denied E060943 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. COLLIER S225322 (SKYLAR PEYTON) Petition for review denied S225326 C078656 Third Appellate District **QUINONES (HUMBERTO)** ON H.C. Petition for review denied

B252862 Second Appellate District, Div. 1

PEOPLE v. BUCKELS

(DARRYL C.)

Petition for review denied

S225327

D067642 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 S225330 LAWRIE (MATTHEW A.) v. S.C. (LAWRIE)

Petition for review denied

F070980 Fifth Appellate District S225339 THOMAS (HORACE) ON H.C.

Petition for review denied

G049413 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 S225354 PEOPLE v. MONTES (JOSE

MARIA)

Petition for review denied

S225359 F063174 Fifth Appellate District PEOPLE v. MALCOLM (JONATHAN McCOY)

Petition for review denied

HOLLAND (CHRISTOPHER S225953 H042175 Sixth Appellate District MELVIN) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

Petition for review & application for stay denied

S218504 **GUZMAN (CHRISTIAN) ON**

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S222042 DAVIS (DAVID MARSHALL)

ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S223374 **HOLLYWOOD (JESSE**

JAMES) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S223803 DARDEN (GERALDINE

KELLEY) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780.)

CAETANO (NATHANIEL D.)

ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769.)

S224228

BLOCKER (JOSHUA) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S224247

REEVES (REGINALD

DWIGHT) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S224270

FIERRO (FERNANDO) ON

H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780.)

S224271

WANG (JOHN) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S224272

COLBERT (GEORGE K.) ON

H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Dexter* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 921, 925-926.)

S224273

FEATHERS (MARK SHAWN)

ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Dexter* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 921, 925-926.)

S224283

BARRON (JOSE FRANK) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

MORGAN (KENNETH) ON

H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Waltreus* (1965) 62 Cal.2d 218, 225.)

S224304

FANT (WILLIE M.) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S224328

RED, JR., (GEORGE) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S224342

MORGAN (KINA) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S224352

CAMPOS (JESUS SANTOLLA) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769; *In re Waltreus* (1965) 62 Cal.2d 218, 225; *In re Lindley* (1947) 29 Cal.2d 709, 723.)

S224355

SIQUEIROS (CLETO) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S224360

WILLIAMS (KENNETH) ON

H.C

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769.)

S224362

SPRAGUE (JAMES SION) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S224364

REGALADO, SR.,

(GUILLERMO) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

FOSTER (JAMES EDWARD) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769.)

S224367

WIZAR (JERRY) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S224368

PINOLA (BRANDON A.) ON

H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780.)

S224377

REED (REGINALD VON) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S224396

BAPTISTE (KENNETH EMANUEL) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769.)

S224431

COOPER, JR., (GREGORY)

ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Dixon* (1953) 41 Cal.2d 756, 759.)

S224437

HARDY (KRISTIN) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S224439

ROBERTS (DON MACK) ON

H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769.)

VALDEZ (JOSE DAVID) ON

H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769.)

S224558

McCOY (LaKEITH LeROY)

ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769.)

S224591

NEGRETE (MANUEL) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S224593

McKINNEY (TEDDEST) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S224609

SANCHEZ (JORGE T.) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S224625

SWEZEY (THOMAS C.) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S224627

McMILLON (ANTONE) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S224628

HOLGUIN (PAUL DAVID) ON

H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780.)

S224666 THOMAS (HORACE) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S224669 SPIVEY, SR., (JAMES MERVYN) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S224677 BIRCH (RONALD D.) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S224681 COLEMAN, JR., (CHARLES EDWARD) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Waltreus* (1965) 62 Cal.2d 218, 225.)

S224682 LEWIS, JR., (MILTON OTIS) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304.)

S224684 SYKES (JESSE LEE) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S224687 NETHERLY (JAMES R.) ON

H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769; *In re Waltreus* (1965) 62 Cal.2d 218, 225; *In re Miller* (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734, 735.)

S224904 THOMAS (HORACE) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769.)

S224968 JOHNSON (LEO) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S225216 DAWSON (AUDRA) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S224637 B257332 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 IN RE M.J.

Publication request denied (case closed)

S224764 B250792 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 TSELEVICH (MAXIM) v. ALLSTATE INSURANCE

COMPANY

Publication request denied (case closed)

Chin, J. was recused and did not participate.

S224876 B253514 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 CHAVEZ (ALEJANDRO

MADERA) v. SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON

COMPANY

Publication request denied (case closed)

S225096 B256183 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 KRAUS (ROBERT) v. GRILLI

(CARL J.)

Publication request denied (case closed)

S225204 B255645 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 IN RE Sa.R.

Publication request denied (case closed)

S225208 E059888 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. N. (J. R.)

Publication request denied (case closed)

S147335 PEOPLE v. MITCHELL, JR.,

(LOUIS)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Kristen Kinnaird Chenelia's representation that the respondent's brief is anticipated to be filed by May 29, 2015, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to May 29, 2015. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

PEOPLE v. CHOYCE (WILLIAM JENNINGS)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel William Hassler's representation that the appellant's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by July 31, 2015, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to June 26, 2015. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 35 additional days is contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S174455

PEOPLE v. RIVERA (SAMUEL RAMON)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to June 29, 2015 .

S176812

PEOPLE v. YONKO (TONY RICKY)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Randall Bookout's representation that the appellant's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by July 1, 2015, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to July 1, 2015. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S180174

PEOPLE v. ALVAREZ (ALBERTO)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to June 23, 2015.

S188589

PEOPLE v. VALLES, JR., (PEDRO CORTEZ)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to June 29, 2015.

PEOPLE v. KELLEY (JIMMY DALE)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Diane E. Berley is hereby appointed to represent appellant Jimmy Dale Kelley for the direct appeal in the above automatic appeal now pending in this court.

S224781 F067704 Fifth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. ALLEN (ERNEST LEE)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Michael Satris is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S178669

PEOPLE v. WYCOFF (EDWARD MATTHEW)

Record ordered unsealed

Appellant's "Motion to Unseal Records on Appeal," filed on January 29, 2015, is granted. The Clerk is directed to unseal, make part of the public record, and provide to Respondent a copy of the Sealed Clerk's Transcript, Volume Two, pages 377-379 ("Confidential Letter to Mr. Headley from Douglas Tucker, M.D."), 397-411 ("Confidential Letter to Judge Bruiniers from Paul Good, PhD. Dated 11/10/08"), 413-427 ("Confidential Letter to Judge Bruiniers from Paul Good, PhD. Dated 11/10/08"), and 428-433 ("Confidential Letter to Judge Bruiniers from Paul Good, PhD. Dated 11/10/08 Redacted").

S224428

RAMOS, JR. (WILLIAM J.) ON H.C.

Motion for access to sealed record denied

Respondent's "Motion to Obtain Access to Penal Code Section 987.9 Materials, Documentation Regarding Financial Compensation Awarded to Appellate/Habeas Counsel, Funding Requests and Orders, and Contents of Confidential Status Reports in Case Numbers S030956, S147007 and S175417 for Use in Pending State Habeas Proceeding," filed on March 27, 2015, is denied as follows. The request for access to sealed Penal Code section 987.9 materials is denied because the record on appeal does not contain any such materials. In all other respects the motion is denied.

KOCONTES (LONNIE LOREN) ON H.C.

Order filed

The order filed on April 22, 2015, signed by Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Werdegar, Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ., is hereby amended to read in its entirety:

The Orange County Sheriff's Department is ordered to show cause before the Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division Three, when the matter is placed on calendar, why the relief prayed for should not be granted. The return is to be filed on or before May 22, 2015.

S225229

ACCUSATION OF BOYNTON

Petition denied

(accusation)

S224494

BRUNO ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that LOUIS GORDON BRUNO, State Bar Number 137898, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys. LOUIS GORDON BRUNO must make restitution to Carlos and Elizabeth Romero in the amount of \$1,000 plus 10 percent interest per year from February 1, 2009, and in the amount of \$500 plus 10 percent interest per year from March 1, 2009. Any restitution owed to the Client Security Fund is enforceable as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.5, subdivisions (c) and (d).

LOUIS GORDON BRUNO must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S224504

COSSIO ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that CHARLES COLIN COSSIO, State Bar Number 167901, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys. CHARLES COLIN COSSIO must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and

CHARLES COLIN COSSIO must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

CULP ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that JIMMIE DEAN CULP, State Bar Number 208020, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. JIMMIE DEAN CULP is suspended from the practice of law for the first 30 days of probation;
- 2. JIMMIE DEAN CULP must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on December 23, 2014; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if JIMMIE DEAN CULP has complied with all conditions of probation, the one-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

JIMMIE DEAN CULP must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).) Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-third of the costs must be paid with his membership fees for each of the years 2016, 2017, and 2018. If JIMMIE DEAN CULP fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

S224509

FELGER ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that WARREN PAUL FELGER, State Bar Number 104410, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys. WARREN PAUL FELGER must comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

FLYNN ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that ELIZABETH ERICA FLYNN, State Bar Number 199374, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and she is placed on probation for one year subject to the following conditions:

- 1. ELIZABETH ERICA FLYNN is suspended from the practice of law for the first 30 days of probation;
- 2. ELIZABETH ERICA FLYNN must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on December 23, 2014; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if ELIZABETH ERICA FLYNN has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

ELIZABETH ERICA FLYNN must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).) Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-third of the costs must be paid with her membership fees for each of the years 2016, 2017, and 2018. If ELIZABETH ERICA FLYNN fails to pay any installment

as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due

S224515

GERSTEN ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

and payable immediately.

The court orders that IDOH GERSTEN, State Bar Number 239552, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for one year subject to the following conditions:

- 1. IDOH GERSTEN is suspended from the practice of law for the first thirty days of probation;
- 2. IDOH GERSTEN must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on December 16, 2014; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if IDOH GERSTEN has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

IDOH GERSTEN must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

GROSSMAN ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that CHAKA H. GROSSMAN, State Bar Number 239941, is suspended from the practice of law in California for two years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. CHAKA H. GROSSMAN must comply with the conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on December 22, 2014; and
- 2. At the expiration of the period of probation, if CHAKA H. GROSSMAN has complied with the terms of probation, the two-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

CHAKA H. GROSSMAN must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-half of the costs must be paid with his membership fees for each of the years 2016 and 2017. If CHAKA H. GROSSMAN fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

S224517

HALFPENNY ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that JOHN PATRICK HALFPENNY, State Bar Number 154434, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys. JOHN PATRICK HALFPENNY must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S224522

SUSSMAN ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that EVAN TOD SUSSMAN, State Bar Number 175176, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

EVAN TOD SUSSMAN must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S224543

TEUTON ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that MARK CHRISTOPHER TEUTON, State Bar Number 187433, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys. MARK CHRISTOPHER TEUTON must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S224548

MUCK ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that CURTIS GEORGE MUCK, State Bar Number 190328, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys. CURTIS GEORGE MUCK must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S224551

BICKLEY ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that JOSEPH MALTE BICKLEY, State Bar Number 171766, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for one year subject to the following conditions:

- 1. JOSEPH MALTE BICKLEY is suspended from the practice of law for the first 30 days of probation;
- 2. JOSEPH MALTE BICKLEY must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on December 29, 2014; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if JOSEPH MALTE BICKLEY has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

JOSEPH MALTE BICKLEY must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).) Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-third of the costs must be paid with his membership fees for each of the years 2016, 2017, and 2018. If JOSEPH MALTE BICKLEY fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

S224569

DOHERTY ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that MOIRA DOHERTY, State Bar Number 165967, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and she is placed on probation for one year subject to the following conditions:

- 1. MOIRA DOHERTY must comply with the conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on January 8, 2015; and
- 2. At the expiration of the period of probation, if MOIRA DOHERTY has complied with the terms of probation, the one-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

MOIRA DOHERTY must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-third of the costs must be paid with her membership fees for each of the years 2016, 2017, and 2018. If MOIRA DOHERTY fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

CARDOSO ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that RUFINO MARCELINO CARDOSO, State Bar Number 127502, is suspended from the practice of law in California for three years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for three years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. RUFINO MARCELINO CARDOSO is suspended from the practice of law for a minimum of the first two years of probation, and he will remain suspended until he provides proof to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.2(c)(1).)
- 2. RUFINO MARCELINO CARDOSO must also comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on December 23, 2014.
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if RUFINO MARCELINO CARDOSO has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

RUFINO MARCELINO CARDOSO must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination during the period of his suspension and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

RUFINO MARCELINO CARDOSO must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S224579

BROWER ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that CHARLES WAYNE BROWER, State Bar Number 242460, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys. CHARLES WAYNE BROWER must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

HECHT ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that BENJAMIN LESLIE HECHT, State Bar Number 90410, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for one year subject to the following conditions:

- 1. BENJAMIN LESLIE HECHT is suspended from the practice of law for the first 30 days of probation;
- 2. BENJAMIN LESLIE HECHT must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on December 29, 2014; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if BENJAMIN LESLIE HECHT has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

BENJAMIN LESLIE HECHT must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).) Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-third of the costs must be paid with his membership fees for each of the years 2016, 2017, and 2018. If BENJAMIN LESLIE HECHT fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due

S224660

HEALEY ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

and payable immediately.

The court orders that SHARON ARLENE HEALEY, State Bar Number 138002, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and she is placed on probation for one year subject to the following conditions:

- 1. SHARON ARLENE HEALEY must comply with the conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on December 23, 2014; and
- 2. At the expiration of the period of probation, if SHARON ARLENE HEALEY has complied with the terms of probation, the one-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

SHARON ARLENE HEALEY must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One half of the costs must be paid with her membership fees for each of the years 2016 and 2017. If SHARON ARLENE HEALEY fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

S224662

GALINDO ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that STEPHEN EDWARD GALINDO, State Bar Number 76481, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for one year subject to the following conditions:

- 1. STEPHEN EDWARD GALINDO is suspended from the practice of law for a minimum of 30 days of probation, and he will remain suspended until the following conditions are satisfied:
 - i. STEPHEN EDWARD GALINDO pays in full the \$1,000 sanctions ordered on November 12, 2013, and on February 23, 2014, in *People v. Cruz*, Los Angeles Superior Court case no. KA102463 and furnishes satisfactory proof to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles; and
 - ii. If STEPHEN EDWARD GALINDO remains suspended for two years or more as a result of not satisfying the preceding condition, Stephen Edward Galindo must provide proof to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.2(c)(1).)
- 2. STEPHEN EDWARD GALINDO must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on January 6, 2015.
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

STEPHEN EDWARD GALINDO must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination during the period of his suspension or within one year, whichever is longer, and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

If STEPHEN EDWARD GALINDO remains actually suspended for 90 days or more, he must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 120 and 130 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-third of the costs must be paid with his membership fees for each of the years 2016, 2017, and 2018. If STEPHEN EDWARD GALINDO fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

S223137

MORAN ON RESIGNATION

Resignation accepted with disciplinary proceeding pending

The voluntary resignation with charges pending of JOHN EDWARD MORAN, State Bar Number 94179, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted. If JOHN EDWARD MORAN subsequently seeks reinstatement, the State Bar may consider all disciplinary charges that are currently pending against him.

JOHN EDWARD MORAN must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may be considered in any future reinstatement proceeding.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S223798

LEYENDECKER ON RESIGNATION

Resignation accepted with disciplinary proceeding pending

The voluntary resignation with disciplinary charges pending of STEPHANIE ARNOLD LEYENDECKER, State Bar Number166373, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted. If STEPHANIE ARNOLD LEYENDECKER subsequently seeks reinstatement, the State Bar may consider all disciplinary charges that are currently pending against her. STEPHANIE ARNOLD LEYENDECKER must comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may be considered in any future reinstatement proceeding.

GRANT ON RESIGNATION

Resignation accepted with disciplinary proceeding pending

The voluntary resignation with disciplinary charges pending of STUART LOREN GRANT, State Bar Number 38574, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted. If STUART LOREN GRANT subsequently seeks reinstatement, the State Bar may consider all disciplinary charges that are currently pending against him.

STUART LOREN GRANT must comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may be considered in any future reinstatement proceeding.