SUPREME COURT MINUTES THURSDAY, AUGUST 1, 2019 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S255871 B283284 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. LATSCHA (RUDY)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to September 9, 2019.

S256082 G055864 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. REYNOLDS (JAMES RICHARD)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to September 11, 2019.

S256104 B279221 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 **PEOPLE v. MORRIS (ULIS)** The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to September 6, 2019.

S256191 G056522 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. RAMIREZ (LUIS ALBERTO)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to September 6, 2019.

S256264 A148470 First Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. CAPPELLO (MARK WILLIAM)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to September 11, 2019.

S256281 A149394 First Appellate District, Div. 4 PEOPLE v. JOHNSON (TYRONE)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to September 9, 2019.

S256283 E067578 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. SALCIDO (SARA ARCELIA)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to September 9, 2019.

S256286 E069473 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. FRANCO (TONY ANTONIO)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to September 11, 2019.

S256300 G055492 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. ESCALERA (HERIBERTO CONTRERAS)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to September 10, 2019.

S256359 A157383 First Appellate District, Div. 4 DAWS (BRANDON) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to September 12, 2019.

S092615 PEOPLE v. DEEN (OMAR RICHARD)

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Deputy State Public Defender Ryan R. Davis's representation that appellant's supplemental reply brief is anticipated to be filed by September 24, 2019, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to September 24, 2019. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S166737 PEOPLE v. FLORES (RALPH STEVEN)

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel John L. Dodd's representation that the appellant's reply brief is anticipated to be filed by December 7, 2019, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to October 7, 2019. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 62 additional days will be granted.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

PEOPLE v. DUNN (AARON NORMAN)

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Dennis C. Cusick's representation that the appellant's reply brief is anticipated to be filed by November 25, 2019, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to September 23, 2019. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 64 additional days is contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S185201

PEOPLE v. ALDANA (ROMAN GABRIEL)

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Patricia A. Scott's representation that the appellant's reply brief is anticipated to be filed by September 27, 2019, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to September 27, 2019. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S185221

PEOPLE v. LEWIS (TRAVIS JEREMY)

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Tara K. Hoveland's representation that the appellant's reply brief is anticipated to be filed by December 30, 2019, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to September 27, 2019. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 93 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S214649

PEOPLE v. WADE (ANTHONY DARNELL)

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Glen Niemy's representation that the appellant's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by November 30, 2019, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to September 23, 2019. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 69 additional days is contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

HOYT (RYAN JAMES) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Based upon Deputy Attorney General David F. Glassman's representation that the supplemental informal response is anticipated to be filed by August 22, 2019, an extension of time in which to serve and file that document is granted to August 22, 2019. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S218457

PEOPLE v. VANG (RONNIE)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to October 4, 2019.

S222615

PEOPLE v. BELTRAN (FRANCISCO)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to September 25, 2019.

S251988

GOMEZ (JESSE) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

On application of petitioner and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply to informal response is extended to August 28, 2019.

S252035

H041870 Sixth Appellate District

VILLANUEVA (MANNY) v. FIDELITY NATIONAL TITLE COMPANY

Extension of time granted

On application of plaintiffs and appellants and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply brief on the merits is extended to October 4, 2019.

S253574

MENDOZA (LEOPOLDO PENA); CORTES (JOSE ARMANDO); SANCHEZ

(ELVIZ) v. FONSECA McELROY GRINDING CO., INC.; GRANITE ROCK COMPANY

Extension of time granted

On application of respondents and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer brief on the merits is extended to September 11, 2019. No further extensions of time are contemplated.

S253674

BENJAMIN (RUDOLPH) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the informal response is extended to August 20, 2019.

S256465 B298065 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 YATES (MARLON MENDAL)
ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

On application of petitioner and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply to answer to petition for review is extended to August 9, 2019.

S255212 D073626 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. WEIR (ZACHARY)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, John L. Staley is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S255608 A148318 First Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. SHAW (LAMAR)
Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Catherine White is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S255839 IN RE CADEN C.

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of respondent Brian C. for appointment of counsel, Michelle E. Danley is hereby appointed to represent respondent on the appeal now pending in this court.

Respondent's brief on the merits must be served and filed on or before thirty (30) days from the date of this order.

Your attention is directed to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, for the briefing requirements. Please note also that, because this is a child dependency case, the court may grant an extension of time only on "an exceptional showing of good cause." (Code Civ. Proc., § 45; Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.454.)

S255839 IN RE CADEN C.

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant Caden C. for appointment of counsel, Deborah Dentler is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

Appellant's brief on the merits must be served and filed on or before thirty (30) days from the date of this order.

Your attention is directed to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, for the briefing requirements. Please note also that, because this is a child dependency case, the court may grant an extension of time only on "an exceptional showing of good cause." (Code Civ. Proc., § 45; Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.454.)

S255839 IN RE CADEN C.

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of respondent Christine C. for appointment of counsel, Leslie A. Barry is hereby appointed to represent respondent on the appeal now pending in this court.

Respondent's brief on the merits must be served and filed on or before thirty (30) days from the date of this order.

Your attention is directed to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, for the briefing requirements. Please note also that, because this is a child dependency case, the court may grant an extension of time only on "an exceptional showing of good cause." (Code Civ. Proc., § 45; Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.454.)

S255493 SMITH ON DISCIPLINE

Order filed – SANDRA LYNETTE SMITH

The order of this court filed July 10, 2019, imposing discipline, is hereby amended to reflect the above heading.

RENSHAW ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that STEVEN JOSEPH RENSHAW (Respondent), State Bar Number 132640, is suspended from the practice of law in California for two years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and Respondent is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. Respondent is suspended from the practice of law for the first 90 days of probation;
- 2. Respondent must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on April 2, 2019; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if Respondent has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

Respondent must provide to the State Bar's Office of Probation proof of taking and passing the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination as recommended by the Hearing Department in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on April 2, 2019. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Respondent must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension. Respondent must also maintain the records of compliance as required by the conditions of probation.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S255923

CHRISTENSEN ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that TERRY NEAL CHRISTENSEN (Respondent), State Bar Number 37846, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that Respondent's name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

Respondent must comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

DONAHUE ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that MATTHEW PAUL DONAHUE (Respondent), State Bar Number 155080, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and Respondent is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. Respondent must comply with the conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on April 11, 2019; and
- 2. At the expiration of the period of probation, if Respondent has complied with the terms of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

Respondent must provide to the State Bar's Office of Probation proof of taking and passing the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination as recommended by the Hearing Department in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on April 11, 2019. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S255926

GERBER-GRESSIER ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that PAMELA STACEY GERBER-GRESSIER (Respondent), State Bar Number 140353, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that Respondent's name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

Respondent must comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S255929

LINDQUIST III ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that THEODORE CARL LINDQUIST III (Respondent), State Bar Number 178523, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and Respondent is placed on probation for one year subject to the

SAN FRANCISCO AUGUST 1, 2019 1122

following conditions:

- 1. Respondent is suspended from the practice of law for the first 60 days of probation;
- 2. Respondent must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on March 20, 2019; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if Respondent has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

Respondent must provide to the State Bar's Office of Probation proof of taking and passing the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination as recommended by the Hearing Department in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on March 20, 2019. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-fourth of the costs must be paid with Respondent's membership fees for each of the years 2020, 2021, 2022, and 2023. If Respondent fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified in writing by the State Bar or the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.