

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES
THURSDAY, AUGUST 13, 2020
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

S062417

**PEOPLE v. SILVERIA
(DANIEL TODD) & TRAVIS
(JOHN RAYMOND)**

Opinion filed: Judgment affirmed in full

Defendants contend the cumulative effect of penalty phase error requires us to reverse the death judgments. We have found error, but no prejudice, in the trial court's instruction to certain penalty retrial prospective jurors in the language of CALJIC No. 1.00. (See *ante*, pt. II.B.7.b) Likewise, we have assumed error but found no prejudice in other claims raised by defendants. We further conclude that this error and the assumed errors are not prejudicial when considered cumulatively.

For the reasons above, we affirm the judgments.

Majority Opinion by Groban, J.

-- joined by Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

S105876

**PEOPLE v. SUAREZ
(ARTURO JUAREZ)**

Opinion filed: Judgment affirmed in full

Majority Opinion by Liu, J.

-- joined by Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Chin, Corrigan, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

Concurring Opinion by Liu, J.

-- joined by Cuéllar, J.

S245203

D072171 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1

**FACEBOOK, INC. v. S.C.
(TOUCHSTONE)**

Opinion filed

We direct the Court of Appeal to remand this matter to the trial court with instructions that the trial court vacate its order denying the motion to quash and reconsider the motion, with full participation by the parties, by assessing and balancing the seven *Alhambra* factors outlined *ante*, part IV.

Majority Opinion by Cantil-Sakauye, C. J.

-- joined by Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban JJ.

Concurring Opinion by Cantil-Sakauye, C. J.

Concurring Opinion by Cuéllar, J.

S262875 E073131/E073132 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 **IN RE S.O.**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to September 22, 2020.

S262876 B300749 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 **PEOPLE v. PAUL (BRIAN)**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to September 21, 2020.

S262914 B291330 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 **VVA-TWO, LLC v. IMPACT DEVELOPMENT GROUP, LLC**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to September 18, 2020.

S262918 B285986/B289609 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 **JONES (QUINCY) v. MJJ PRODUCTIONS, INC.**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to September 18, 2020.

S262968 A160084 First Appellate District, Div. 4 **AGUIRRE (MICHAEL J.) v. S.C. (NEWSOM)**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to September 21, 2020.

S263014 E073284 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 **IN RE E.E.**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to September 24, 2020.

S151493 **PEOPLE v. CARDENAS (REFUGIO RUBEN)**

Extension of time granted

Based upon Deputy State Public Defender Hassan Gorguinpour's representation that the supplemental appellant's reply brief is anticipated to be filed by October 2, 2020, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to October 2, 2020. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S174455**PEOPLE v. RIVERA
(SAMUEL RAMON)**

Extension of time granted

Based upon Deputy Attorney General Kari Ricci Mueller's representation that the respondent's brief is anticipated to be filed by December 29, 2020, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to October 2, 2020. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 89 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S208429**PEOPLE v. FOWLER
(RICKIE LEE)**

Extension of time granted

Upon application of appellant's counsel Senior Deputy State Public Defender Lisa D'Orazio, counsel for appellant, an extension of time in which to serve and file the appellant's opening brief is granted to September 18, 2020. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 73 additional days will be granted.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S239963**PEOPLE v. MEZA
(HERACLIO)**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to October 13, 2020.

S260598

B295998 Second Appellate District, Div. 1

PEOPLE v. LEWIS (VINCE E.)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply brief on the merits is extended to September 16, 2020.

S262575 B288298 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 **PEOPLE v. KING (SCOTT LEWIS)**

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Jin Kim is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S258019 B289003 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 **SHEEN (KWANG K.) v. WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A.**

Order filed

The order extending time to served and file the amicus curiae brief filed on August 12, 2020, is hereby amended as follows:

On application of the Attorney General and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the amicus brief in support of appellant is extended to September 18, 2020.

S263578 **PONCE (HENRY CARDENAS) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)**

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division Two

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division Two, for consideration in light of *Hagan v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition must be denied.

A160595 First Appellate District **S.C. v. ALAMEDA COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE**

The above-entitled matter, now pending in the Court of Appeal, First Appellate District, is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Sixth Appellate District.