

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES
FRIDAY, AUGUST 28, 2020
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

S206484**PEOPLE v. ESPINOZA
(PEDRO)**

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Debra S. Sabah Press's representation that the appellant's reply brief is anticipated to be filed by October 9, 2020, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to October 9, 2020. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S214846**SATELE (WILLIAM TUPUA)
ON H.C.**

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Robert M. Sanger's representation that the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is anticipated to be filed by October 12, 2020, an extension of time in which to serve and file that document is granted to October 13, 2020. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S258175 B271516 Second Appellate District, Div. 7**PEOPLE v. LOPEZ (JANETH)**

Application to file over-length brief granted

On application of appellant Ivy Navarrete; for permission to file an overlength opening brief on the merits is granted.

S263210**HUBBARD IV ON
DISCIPLINE**

Extension of time granted – SCOTTLYNN J. HUBBARD IV

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer to petition for review is extended to September 25, 2020.

S154459**PEOPLE v. TURNER
(CHESTER DEWAYNE)**

Motion for judicial notice granted

Appellant's unopposed Motion to Take Judicial Notice, filed June 16, 2020, is granted.

S185640**PEOPLE v. KELLEY (JIMMY
DALE)**

Order filed

Good cause appearing, appellant's "Motion for Relief from Default and Permission to File Appellant's Opening Brief," is granted.

S263680**MEDLOCK, JR., (GILES
JERRY) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)**

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, for consideration in light of *Hagan v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition must be denied.