SUPREME COURT MINUTES MONDAY, FEBRUARY 22, 2021 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S267121 B299142/B299925 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 PLASCENCIA (RODOLFO) v. DEESE (CHARLES GYNN)

Time for ordering review extended on the court's own motion

The time for ordering review on the court's own motion is hereby extended to May 20, 2021. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(c).)

S267197 B298594 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 PIERCE (KIP) v. HEIPLE (LISA)

Time for ordering review extended on the court's own motion

Having received the petition for review within the Court's original jurisdiction, the time for ordering review on the court's own motion is hereby extended to April 20, 2021.

S174455

PEOPLE v. RIVERA (SAMUEL RAMON)

Extension of time granted

Based upon Senior Deputy Public Defender Lisa M. Romo's representation that the appellant's reply brief is anticipated to be filed by December 21, 2021, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to April 20, 2021. After that date, only four further extensions totaling about 244 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S212477

PEOPLE v. FRAZIER (TRAVIS) & NOWLIN (KENNETH LEE)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant Kenneth Nowlin, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to April 20, 2021.

S212477

PEOPLE v. FRAZIER (TRAVIS) & NOWLIN (KENNETH LEE)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant Travis Frazier, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to April 20, 2021.

S214649

PEOPLE v. WADE (ANTHONY DARNELL)

Extension of time granted

Based upon Deputy Attorney General Michael T. Murphy's representation that the respondent's brief is anticipated to be filed by July 26, 2021, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to April 20, 2021. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 96 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S214917

PEOPLE v. NASO (JOSEPH)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to April 20, 2021.

S241359

PEOPLE v. RHOADES (CHERIE LOUISE)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to April 19, 2021.

S258175 B271516 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 **PEOPLE v. LOPEZ (JANETH)** Extension of time granted

On application of appellant Janeth Lopez and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply brief on the merits is extended to March 15, 2021. No further extensions of time are contemplated.

S266225

JONES, JR., (ISAAC) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

On application of non-title respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the informal response is extended to March 12, 2021.

BAR MISC. 4186 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE COMMITTEE OF BAR EXAMINERS OF THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA FOR ADMISSION OF ATTORNEYS (MOTION NO. 1507)

The written motion of the Committee of Bar Examiners that the following named applicants, who have fulfilled the requirements for admission to practice law in the State of California, be admitted to the practice of law in this state is hereby granted, with permission to the applicants to take the oath before a competent officer at another time and place:

(SEE ORIGINAL APPLICATION FOR THE LIST OF NAMES ATTACHED.)