SUPREME COURT MINUTES TUESDAY, JANUARY 8, 2019 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S253368 C086030 Third Appellate District

LOVE (DEVON TORREY) v. STATE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Time for ordering review extended on the court's own motion

The time for ordering review on the court's own motion is hereby extended to March 20, 2019. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(c).)

S253376 A151821 First Appellate District, Div. 4

SAN FRANCISCO BAYKEEPER, INC. v. STATE LANDS COMMISSION (HANSON MARINE OPERATIONS, INC.)

Time for ordering review extended on the court's own motion

The time for ordering review on the court's own motion is hereby extended to March 27, 2019. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(c).)

S065877

PEOPLE v. LOPEZ (BOBBY), SERNA (HERMINIO) & TRUJEQUE (JAMES)

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Joseph Baxter's representation that appellant Bobby Lopez's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by June 28, 2019, an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to March 4, 2019. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 115 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S139702

PEOPLE v. BRACAMONTES (MANUEL)

Extension of time granted

Based upon Senior Deputy State Public Defender A. J. Kutchins's representation that the appellant's reply brief is anticipated to be filed by September 13, 2019, an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to March 15, 2019. After that date, only three further extensions totaling about 183 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S171998

PEOPLE v. DELEON (SKYLAR JULIUS)

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Wesley A. Van Winkle's representation that the appellant's reply brief is anticipated to be filed by May 10, 2019, an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to March 11, 2019. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 59 additional days is contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S174232

PEOPLE v. KEMP (DARRYL THOMAS)

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel William D. Farber's representation that the appellant's reply brief is anticipated to be filed by June 1, 2019, an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to March 8, 2019. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 84 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S182059

PEOPLE v. VARNER (SCOTT PAUL)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to March 15, 2019.

S182341

PEOPLE v. BUETTNER (JEFFREE J.) & JONES (GLEN JOSEPH)

Extension of time denied

The application of appellant Jones for an extension of time to file appellant's opening brief is denied.

S189296

PEOPLE v. PANIAGUA, JR., (RODRIGO ORTIZ)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to March 15, 2019.

S190702

SOLOMON, JR., (MORRIS) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Based upon Deputy Attorney General David Andrew Eldridge's representation that the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is anticipated to be filed by June 10, 2019, an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to March 15, 2019. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 86 additional days are contemplated.

S202817

PEOPLE v. FIERROS, JR., (EUSEBIO)

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Danalynn Pritz's representation that the appellant's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by April 29, 2019, an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to February 26, 2019. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 61 additional days is contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S203206

PEOPLE v. BROWN (SHERHAUN KEROD)

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Harry M. Caldwell's representation that the appellant's reply brief is anticipated to be filed by January 18, 2019, an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to January 18, 2019. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S206515

PEOPLE v. MILLS (DAVID)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to March 15, 2019.

S212376

PEOPLE v. JOHN (EMRYS JUSTIN) & MILLER (TYRONE L.)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant Emrys J. John, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to March 15, 2019.

S212376

PEOPLE v. JOHN (EMRYS JUSTIN) & MILLER (TYRONE L.)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant Tyrone Miller, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to March 15, 2019.

S215177

NUNEZ (DANIEL) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Based upon Deputy Attorney General Stacy S. Schwartz's representation that the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is anticipated to be filed by February 13, 2019, an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to February 13, 2019. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S248046

D071733 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1

PEOPLE v. FOSTER (JEREMY JOHN)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply brief on the merits is extended to January 14, 2019.

S249604

PETERSEN (HANS ANTONE) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the informal response is extended to February 19, 2019.

S250734

B264946 Second Appellate District, Div. 3

B. (B.) v. COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

Extension of time granted

On application of appellants and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer to petition for review is extended to February 8, 2019.

S251706

F073594 Fifth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. RODRIGUEZ

(DAVID PHILLIP)

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the opening brief on the merits is extended to January 28, 2019.

S252445

A149328 First Appellate District, Div. 3

NATIONAL LAWYERS GUILD, SAN FRANCISCO BAY AREA CHAPTER v. CITY OF HAYWARD

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the opening brief on the merits is extended to February 15, 2019.

S252982

ROBINSON (CARL) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District.

S253064

ARTEAGA (LORENZO) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Sixth Appellate District

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Sixth Appellate District, for consideration in light of *Hagan v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition must be denied.

SUPREME COURT MINUTES TUESDAY, JANUARY 8, 2019 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

The Supreme Court of California convened in the courtroom of the Earl Warren Building, 350 McAllister Street, Fourth Floor, San Francisco, California, on January 8, 2019, at 9:00 a.m.

Present: Chief Justice Tani Cantil-Sakauye, presiding, and Associate Justices Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban.

Officers present: Jorge Navarrete, Clerk and Executive Officer, and Gail Gray, Calendar Coordinator.

S238001 T-Mobile West LLC et al., Plaintiffs and Appellants,

v.

City and County of San Francisco et al., Defendants and Respondents.

Cause called. Joshua S. Turner argued for Appellants.

Jeremy M. Goldman argued for Respondents.

Mr. Turner replied. Cause submitted.

The Honorable Martin J. Jenkins, Associate Justice, Court of Appeal, First Appellate District, Division Three, sitting on the following case, under assignment by the Chairperson of the Judicial Council, joined the court at the bench.

S242799 Julia C. Meza, Plaintiff and Appellant,

V.

Portfolio Recovery Associates, LLC, et al., Defendants and Respondents.

Cause called. Raeon R. Roulston argued for Appellant.

Tomio B. Narita argued for Respondents.

Mr. Roulston replied. Cause submitted.

Justice Martin J. Jenkins departed the bench. The court is now joined at the bench by the Honorable Mariano-Florentino Cuéllar, Associate Justice.

S214116 The People, Plaintiff and Appellant,

v.

Brian Michael Aranda, Defendant and Respondent.

Cause called. Kelli Catlett, Office of the District Attorney, argued for Appellant.

Virginia M. Blumenthal argued for Respondent.

Ms. Catlett replied. Cause submitted.

Court recessed until 1:30 p.m. this date.

S246214 Christopher Gardner, as Public Defender, etc., Petitioner,

v.

Appellate Division of the Superior Court of San Bernardino County,

Respondent;

The People, Real Party in Interest.

Cause called. Stephan J. Willms, argued for Petitioner.

Robert L. Driessen argued for Respondent.

Mr. Willms replied. Cause submitted.

S237415 In re H.W., a Person Coming Under the Juvenile Court Law.

The People, Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

H.W., Defendant and Appellant.

Cause called. Robert McLaughlin argued for Appellant.

F. Matt Chen, Office of the Attorney General, argued for Respondent.

Mr. McLaughlin replied.

Cause submitted.

S087569 The People, Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

Juan Sanchez, Defendant and Appellant.

(To be called and continued to the February 2019 calendar.)

Court adjourned.



SUPREME COURT OF CALIFORNIA ORAL ARGUMENT CALENDAR SAN FRANCISCO SESSION JANUARY 8, 2019 SECOND AMENDED

The following cases are placed upon the calendar of the Supreme Court for hearing at its courtroom in the Ronald M. George State Office Complex, Earl Warren Building, 350 McAllister Street, Fourth Floor, San Francisco, California, on January 8, 2019.

<u>TUESDAY, JANUARY 8, 2019 — 9:00 A.M.</u>

- (1) T-Mobile West LLC et al. v. City and County of San Francisco et al., S238001
- (2) Meza (Julia C.) v. Portfolio Recovery Associates, LLC et al., S242799 (*Jenkins, J., assigned justice pro tempore*)
- (3) People v. Aranda (Brian Michael), S214116

1:30 P.M.

- (4) Christopher Gardner, as Public Defender for the County of San Bernardino v. Superior Court of San Bernardino County (People, Real Party in Interest), S246214
- (5) In re H.W., S237415
- (6) People v. Sanchez (Juan), [Automatic Appeal], S087569 (To be called and continued to the February 2019 calendar.)



If exhibits are to be transmitted to this court, counsel must apply to the court for permission. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.224(c).)