# SUPREME COURT MINUTES WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 22, 2014 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S215985 C075554 Third Appellate District

RYAN (RICHARD) v. S.C.

(PEOPLE)

Petition for review & application for stay denied

S114671

PEOPLE v. SCHULTZ (MICHAEL JOSEPH)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Jeralyn Keller's representation that the appellant's reply brief is anticipated to be filed by May 30, 2014, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to March 14, 2014. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 77 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S146939

PEOPLE v. CAPERS (LEE SAMUEL)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy State Public Defender Peter R. Silten's representation that the appellant's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by October 1, 2014, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to March 24, 2014. After that date, only four further extensions totaling about 218 additional days are contemplated. An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S148863

PEOPLE v. FRAZIER (ROBERT WARD)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Supervising Deputy State Public Defender Evan Young's representation that the appellant's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by August 25, 2014, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to March 24, 2014. After that date, only three further extensions totaling about 155 additional days are contemplated. An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

## S151493

PEOPLE v. CARDENAS (REFUGIO RUBEN)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy State Public Defender Karen Hamilton's representation that the appellant's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by March 21, 2015, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to March 24, 2014. After that date, only six further extensions totaling about 358 additional days will be granted. An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

#### S163643

PEOPLE v. GONZALEZ (FRANK CHRISTOPHER)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Glen Niemy's representation that the appellant's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by April 1, 2014, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to April 1, 2014. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

## S176951

HAWTHORNE II (CARLOS ANTHONY) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Russell A. Lehman's representation that the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is anticipated to be filed by April 17, 2014, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to March 24, 2014. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 28 additional days is contemplated.

S209679

JOHNSON (LUMORD) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is granted to March 17, 2014. The court anticipates that after that date, only four further extensions totaling about 210 additional days will be granted. Counsel is ordered to inform his or her supervising attorney, if any, of this schedule, and to take all steps necessary to meet it.

### S212150

**HUNTER (RONNIE M.) ON H.C.** 

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the informal response is extended to February 14, 2014.

S214116 E056708 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. ARANDA (BRIAN MICHAEL)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the opening brief on the merits is extended to February 18, 2014

S049626 PEOPLE v. HAJEK

 $(STEPHEN\;EDWARD)\;\&\;VO$ 

(LOI TAN)

Order filed

Appellant Loi Tan Vo's request for 45 minutes for oral argument is granted.

**S206771** A133032 First Appellate District, Div. 5 **PEOPLE v. MOFFETT** 

(ANDREW LAWRENCE)

S206365 B227606 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 PEOPLE v. GUTIERREZ

(LUIS ANGEL)

Order filed

The request filed on January 13, 2014, by appellants to expand the time to present oral argument, is hereby granted. Oral argument for this consolidated case will be 90 minutes. Appellants' request to allocate to amicus curiae Juvenile Law Center ten minutes of appellants' 45-minute allotted time for oral argument is granted. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.524(g).) Each appellant will be allocated half of the remaining 35 minutes (17.5 minutes each). (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.524(f)(1).)

The application of Marsha L. Levick for admission pro hac vice to appear on behalf of Juvenile Law Center is hereby granted. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.40.)

S206720 C068046 Third Appellate District

IN RE ALONZO J.

Request for judicial notice granted

The motion for judicial notice brought by defendant and appellant Alonzo J., and filed in this court on July 3, 2013, is granted.

D064087

Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1

BRANCH BANKING & TRUST v. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION (MAASKANT)

The above-entitled matter, now pending in the Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division One, is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Fifth Appellate District.