

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES
FRIDAY, JANUARY 27, 2017
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

S087569**PEOPLE v. SANCHEZ (JUAN)**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Supervising Deputy State Public Defender Nina Wilder's representation that the appellant's reply brief is anticipated to be filed by July 28, 2017, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to March 28, 2017. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 121 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S167010**PEOPLE v. ARIAS
(LORENZO INEZ) &
MENDOZA (LUIS ALONZO)**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, appellant Luis Mendoza's request for an extension of time in which to file his appellant's opening brief is granted to February 21, 2017. After that date, no further extension will be granted.

S167010**PEOPLE v. ARIAS
(LORENZO INEZ) &
MENDOZA (LUIS ALONZO)**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, appellant Lorenzo Inez Arias's request for an extension of time in which to file his appellant's opening brief is granted to February 21, 2017. After that date, no further extension will be granted.

S179826**PEOPLE v. CAIN (ANTHONY
DEONDREA)**

Extension of time granted

The application of appellant for relief from default for the failure to timely file appellant's request for extension of time is granted.

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to March 21, 2017.

S200982**PEOPLE v. RONQUILLO
(GABRIEL ALEXANDER)**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Stephanie C. Santoro's representation that the respondent's brief is anticipated to be filed by April 3, 2017, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to April 3, 2017. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S202630**PEOPLE v. BUTLER
(RAYMOND OSCAR)**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to April 3, 2017.

S235549 E064010 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2**JACKSON (PATRICK
LOWELL) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)**

Extension of time granted

On application of petitioner and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply to answer to petition for review is extended to February 17, 2017.

S236131**RIVAS (HARRY ISAAC) ON
H.C.**

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the informal response is extended to March 1, 2017.

S236177**MIGDAL INSURANCE
COMPANY LTD. v.
INSURANCE COMPANY OF
THE STATE OF
PENNSYLVANIA;
NATIONAL UNION FIRE
INSURANCE COMPANY OF
PITTSBURGH
PENNSYLVANIA**

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer brief on the merits is extended to March 24, 2017.

S237592 E064842 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 **PEOPLE v. RAMOS (ROBERT DIAZ)**

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, John F. Schuck is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S237808 D068770 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 **PEOPLE v. AUNE (ANDREW)**

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Susan L. Ferguson is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S238210 D068135 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 **PEOPLE v. NACHBAR (STEVEN)**

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Daniel Yeager is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S238298 E063459 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 **PEOPLE v. OLGUIN (CHRISTOPHER SAMUEL)**

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Rex Adam Williams is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S238410 G051524 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 **PEOPLE v. NGUYEN (LAP PHUONG)**

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Robert Angres is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S238857 C076045 Third Appellate District **PEOPLE v. CURTIS (JONATHAN)**

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Elizabeth Campbell is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S033360**PEOPLE v. WALLACE
(KEONE)**

Order filed

Because appellant is represented by counsel, the Clerk is directed to return to appellant the pro se submissions received on December 6, 2016. (*In re Barnett* (2003) 31 Cal.4th 466.)

S054489**PEOPLE v. DOOLIN (KEITH
ZON)**

Order filed

Because appellant is represented by counsel, the Clerk is directed to return to appellant the pro se submissions received on July 6, 2016, July 25, 2016, August 26, 2016, and September 14, 2016. (*In re Barnett* (2003) 31 Cal.4th 466.)

S224853

B243788 Second Appellate District, Div. 1

**AUGUSTUS (JENNIFER) v.
ABM SECURITY SERVICES,
INC.**

Order filed

The application of appellant for permission to file a reply to the answer to the petition for rehearing is hereby granted.

S239675**WALKER (ROBERT DEAN) v.
S.C. (PEOPLE)**

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, for consideration in light of *Hagan v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition must be denied.