

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES  
FRIDAY, JANUARY 30, 2015  
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

**S223251**

**SINGH (RAJ) v. COURT OF  
APPEAL, THIRD  
APPELLATE DISTRICT  
(SACRAMENTO COUNTY)**

Vexatious litigant application denied

The application of petitioner for leave to file Petition for Writ of Mandate is hereby denied.

**S080056**

**PEOPLE v. BELL (MICHAEL  
LEON)**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Melissa Hill's representation that the appellant's reply brief is anticipated to be filed by March 31, 2015, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to March 13, 2015. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 18 additional days will be granted.

**S093944**

**PEOPLE v. BERTSCH (JOHN  
ANTHONY) & HRONIS  
(JEFFREY LEE)**

Extension of time granted

The application of appellant for relief from default for the failure to timely file appellant's request for extension of time is granted.

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Thomas Lundy's representation that appellant Bertsch's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by October 31, 2015, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to March 23, 2015. After that date, only four further extensions totaling about 218 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

**S099844****PEOPLE v. RAMIREZ (JUAN VILLA)**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file the appellant's reply brief is granted to March 23, 2015. The court anticipates that after that date, only three further extensions totaling about 146 additional days will be granted. Counsel is ordered to inform his or her assisting attorney or entity, if any, and any assisting attorney or entity of any separate counsel of record, of this schedule, and to take all steps necessary to meet it. An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

**S103358****PEOPLE v. BARRERA (MARCO ESQUIVEL)**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Assistant State Public Defender Jessica K. McGuire's representation that the appellant's reply brief is anticipated to be filed by April 15, 2015, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to April 1, 2015. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 14 additional days will be granted.

**S138474****PEOPLE v. ANDERSON (ERIC STEVE)**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Michael T. Murphy's representation that the respondent's brief is anticipated to be filed by April 3, 2015, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to April 3, 2015. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

**S151493****PEOPLE v. CARDENAS (REFUGIO RUBEN)**

Application to file over-length brief granted

Good cause appearing, appellant's "Application for Leave to File Oversized Brief," filed on January 15, 2015, is granted in part. The opening brief must not exceed 120,000 words.

**S165998****PEOPLE v. PLATA (NOEL  
JESSE) & TRAN (RONALD  
TRI)**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Catherine White's representation that appellant Tran's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by August 1, 2015, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to March 24, 2015. After that date, only three further extensions totaling about 131 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

**S175660****PEOPLE v. AGUIRRE (JASON  
ALEJANDRO)**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to April 2, 2015.

**S179826****PEOPLE v. CAIN (ANTHONY  
DEONDREA)**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to March 27, 2015.

**S190666****VERDUGO (NATHAN  
JAMES) ON H.C.**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel John N. Aquilina's representation that the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is anticipated to be filed by May 24, 2015, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to March 27, 2015. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 60 additional days is contemplated.

**S218292****GHOBRIAL (JOHN SAMUEL)  
ON H.C.**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Collette C. Cavalier's representation that the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is anticipated to be filed by June 4, 2015, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to April 3, 2015. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 60 additional days is contemplated.

**S223452****POPS (ASWAD A.) ON H.C.**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Douglas L. Wilson's representation that the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is anticipated to be filed by July 27, 2015, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to April 2, 2015. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 120 additional days are contemplated.

**S222910****GANTUS ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that JOHN MICHEL GANTUS, State Bar Number 55038, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

JOHN MICHEL GANTUS must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.