SUPREME COURT MINUTES WEDNESDAY, JULY 17, 2019 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S248730 E060438 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2

PEOPLE v. PEREZ (JOSE LUIS)

Supplemental briefing ordered

In his reply brief, appellant Chavez observes that respondent appears to have conceded in other cases in which the trial predated *People v. Sanchez* (2016) 63 Cal.4th 665 that objections under the Confrontation Clause (U.S. Const., 6th Amend.) to case-specific hearsay testimony by gang experts would have been futile. (See App. Reply Brief, at p. 23, citing *People v. Perez* (Jan. 22, 2019, F073736) [nonpub. opn.] 2019 Cal. App. Unpub. LEXIS 461, at p. *22; *People v. Coronado* (Nov. 13, 2018, F072867) [nonpub. opn.] 2018 Cal. App. Unpub. LEXIS 7740, at *26.) Respondent is directed to serve and file a supplemental letter brief clarifying its position in this case on or before July 31, 2019. Appellant Chavez may serve and file a reply letter brief in response on or before August 14, 2019. No extension of time for the filing of these briefs is contemplated.

S255608A148318 First Appellate District, Div. 2PEOPLE v. SHAW (LAMAR)Petition for review granted; briefing deferredPEOPLE v. SHAW (LAMAR)

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Frahs*, S252220 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court. Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

S256322B281522 Second Appellate District, Div. 5

PEOPLE v. PROPPS (CHRISTOPHER)

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Frahs*, S252220 (see Cal. Rules of court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court. Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

S255507 C085792 Third Appellate District

PEOPLE v. REED (BRUCE EVERETTE)

Petition for review granted; transferred to Court of Appeal, Third Appellate District

The petition for review is granted. The matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Third Appellate District, with directions to vacate its order dismissing the appeal as moot and to consider whether to reinstate the appeal to decide appellant's claim that the matter should be remanded to the trial court to permit an exercise of discretion under Penal Code section 12022.53, subdivision (h). (See City of Cerritos v. State of California (2015) 239 Cal.App.4th 1020, 1031 ["where a court can afford the party at least some relief, even if not all the relief originally requested, the court should not dismiss a case as moot"]; see also Security Pacific Nat. Bank v. Lyons (1994) 25 Cal.App.4th 706, 710; Hartke v. Abbott (1930) 106 Cal.App. 388, 395.) If the Court of Appeal reinstates the appeal, the court should also consider whether to decide the parties' contentions that the sentence is unauthorized because: (1) the consecutive term imposed for count one (Pen. Code, § 246.3) should be eight months rather than one year (see id., § 1170.1, subd. (a)); and (2) the trial court did not impose and stay a term for each of the gang enhancements found to be true by the jury (see People v. Gonzalez (2008) 43 Cal.4th 1118, 1127-1130; Cal. Rules of Court, rule 4.447(a). (See People v. Sanders (2012) 55 Cal.4th 731, 743, fn. 13 ["it is well established that the appellate court can correct a legal error resulting in an unauthorized sentence . . . at any time"].)

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

F074265 Fifth Appellate District S247975

BIG OAK FLAT-GROVELAND UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT v. S.C. (JANE DOE)

Retransferred to Court of Appeal, Fifth Appellate District

This matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Fifth Appellate District, with directions to vacate its decision and reconsider the cause in light of the enactment of Statutes 2018, chapter 153 (Sen. Bill No. 1053 (2017-2018 Reg. Sess.)). (Cf. Carter v. California Dept. of Veterans Affairs (2006) 38 Cal.4th 914, 922-923 & 930; Western Security Bank v. Superior Court (1997) 15 Cal.4th 232, 244.) (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(d).) Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

S255339 B289766 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 **BARENBORG (CARSON) v.** SIGMA ALPHA EPSILON FRATERNITY

Petition for review denied

S255414	B281210 Second Appellate District, Div. 4	HIMELSEIN MANDEL FUND MANAGEMENT, LLC v. FORTRESS INVESTMENT GROUP, LLC
	eview & depublication request(s) denied o appear as counsel pro hac vice is granted.	GROUP, LLC
S255551	B286317 Second Appellate District, Div. 7	PEOPLE v. CASTELLANO (NARCISCO)
Petition for re	eview denied	
S255564	B280754 Second Appellate District, Div. 6	PEOPLE v. REYES (NOE ANTHONY)
Petition for re	eview denied	
S255593 Petition for re	A150500 First Appellate District, Div. 4	PEOPLE v. WILSON, JR., (ROBERT WAYNE)
S255600 Petition for re	C085091 Third Appellate District eview & depublication request(s) denied	PEOPLE v. EDDY (MORGAN EASTWOOD)
S255601 Petition for re	A154336 First Appellate District, Div. 4 eview denied	IN RE R.S.
S255621 Petition for re	A154051 First Appellate District, Div. 1 eview denied; CA opinion decertified	PEOPLE v. CORRIGAN (JOSHUA)

The petition for review is denied. On the court's own motion, the Reporter of Decisions is directed not to publish in the Official Appellate Reports the opinion in the above-entitled appeal filed April 8, 2019, which appears at 34 Cal.App.5th 14. (Cal. Const., art. VI, section 14; Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.1125(c)(2).

S255631 A145752 First Appellate District, Div. 1

GOLIN (JEFFREY R.) v. SAN ANDREAS REGIONAL

Petition for rev Liu and Cuélla	riew denied r, JJ., were recused and did not participate.	CENTER
S255652 Petitions for re	B284776 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 view denied	PEOPLE v. NEFF (CHRISTOPHER WAYNE)
S255656 Petition for rev	A154406 First Appellate District, Div. 3 view denied	IN RE N.M.
S255714 Petition for rev	B280329 Second Appellate District, Div. 8	PEOPLE v. FRANDSEN (BENJAMIN)
S255726 Petition for rev	B282849 Second Appellate District, Div. 3	JAMES (BERNADETTE) v. FOOD 4 LESS OF CALIFORNIA, INC.
S255774 Petition for rev	B293891 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 view denied	C. (EDGAR) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)
S255784	C079835 Third Appellate District	NATIONAL ASIAN AMERICAN COALITION v. NEWSOM (GAVIN C.)
Petition for review & depublication request(s) denied The requests for judicial notice in support of the petition for review are granted. The request for judicial notice in support of the depublication request is granted. Kruger, J., was recused and did not participate.		
S255790	B256009 Second Appellate District, Div. 7	PEOPLE v. SHIGA

The petition for review is denied.

The request for an order directing publication of the opinion's Section E in the above-entitled appeal is denied.

(GREGORY YUSUKE)

S255791 Petition for rev	B283197 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 view denied	PEOPLE v. DELGADO (OMAR)
S255821 Petition for rev	C082176 Third Appellate District view denied	PEOPLE v. LOPEZ (JUVENAL)
S255846 Petition for rev	B296996 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 view denied	BUNDLEY (LERON DESHONE) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)
S255856 Petition for rev	H044305 Sixth Appellate District view denied	PEOPLE v. YU (DAN)
S255892 Petition for rev	G054415 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 view denied	PEOPLE v. BELCHER (EARL MONROE)
S255903 Petition for rev	G054763 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 view denied	PEOPLE v. DEARBORNE (BEAU JAMES)
S255947	H046870 Sixth Appellate District	HAM (CHRISTINE) v. S.C. (THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF THE LELAND STANFORD JR.
Petition for rev Liu and Cuélla	view denied ar, JJ., were recused and did not participate.	UNIVERSITY)
S255957	B276739 Second Appellate District, Div. 3	PEOPLE v. LOPEZ (PETER MICHAEL)
Petition for review denied		

S255959	H046871 Sixth Appellate District	HAM (CHRISTINE) v. S.C. (ALLIANCE RESIDENTIAL)		
Petition for rev Liu and Cuélla	view denied ar, JJ., were recused and did not participate.	(ALLIANCE RESIDENTIAL)		
S255962	D075620 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1	QUALCOMM, INC. v. WORKERS' COMPENSATION APPEALS BOARD & BROWN (BEVERLY)		
Petition for rev Groban, J., wa	view denied s recused and did not participate.			
Grobul, 9., 44	s rocused and the not participate.			
S255979 Petition for rev	H045730 Sixth Appellate District view denied	IN RE H.G.		
S255984	B282875 Second Appellate District, Div. 7	B. (D.), CONSERVATORSHIP OF		
Petition for rev	Petition for review denied			
S255989	B284703 Second Appellate District, Div. 5	BONAKDARZADEH (HABIB) v. KAY (MAX)		
Petition for review denied				
S256012	B291019 Second Appellate District, Div. 7	PEOPLE v. HUERTA (MIGUEL ANGEL)		
Petition for review denied				
S256022	B288779 Second Appellate District, Div. 4	PEOPLE v. HEIDELBERG, SR., (LARON LAMONT)		
Petitions for review denied				
S256053	B291109 Second Appellate District, Div. 5	UNION PATRIOT CAPITAL MANAGEMENT II, LLC v. DEL CASTRO (RICHARD		
Petition for rev	view denied	RIONDA)		

S256077 Petition for re	G055800 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 view denied	PEOPLE v. PETERS (RICHARD HERCHEL)
S256115 Petition for re	B285338 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 view denied	PEOPLE v. SANCHEZ (LEO LOUIE)
S256122 Petitions for re	C082930 Third Appellate District	HIRAMANEK (KAMAL & ADIL), MARRIAGE OF
S256147 Petition for re	C088961 Third Appellate District view denied	TIPTON (FRAYBA) ON H.C.
S256159 Petition for re	B289612 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 view denied	THORSON (LAURIE) v. BRADVICA (JOSEPH)
S256164 Petition for re	H044030 Sixth Appellate District view & publication request(s) denied	CLINTON (DIANA) v. CODY (SARA)
S256187 Petition for re	D073301 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 view denied	PEOPLE v. MATTHEWS (JEHU)
S256213 Petition for re	G055497 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3	PEOPLE v. GARCIA (NELSON MAURICIO LUNATY)
S256218 Petition for re	G055675 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3	PEOPLE v. GONZALES (ROBERT ANTHONY)

S256226 Petition for rev	B293172 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 iew denied	IN RE URIELLE A.	
8256231	A153953 First Appellate District, Div. 3	PEOPLE v. VASQUEZ (FRANCISCO PATINO)	
Petition for rev	iew denied		
S256239 Petition for rev	F077407 Fifth Appellate District iew denied	IN RE ZACHERY V.	
S256240	D075138 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1	PEOPLE v. RODRIGUEZ, JR., (ERLINDO)	
Petition for rev	iew denied		
S256241		McKINNEY (ALONZO) v. COURT OF APPEAL, SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT (PEOPLE)	
Petition for wri	t of mandate/prohibition denied		
S256243 Petition for rev	G055682 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 iew denied	IN RE ALIZE R.	
S256246	A154729 First Appellate District, Div. 2	PEOPLE v. DELGADO (VICTOR JESUS)	
Petition for review denied			
8256258	F075056 Fifth Appellate District	PEOPLE v. BALL (TERRY EUGENE)	
Petition for rev	iew denied		
8256262	H045720 Sixth Appellate District	PEOPLE v. OSUNA (GARY MIKE)	
Petition for review denied			

S256268	B283123 Second Appellate District, Div. 1	LOS ANGELES ACADEMY OF ARTS & ENTERPRISE v. DENLEY INVESTMENT & MANAGEMENT COMPANY, INC.
Petition for rev	view denied	
S256269 Petition for rev	B292074 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 view denied	SOTO (IVAN R.) ON H.C.
S256271 Petition for rev	B287568 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 view denied	PEOPLE v. SOTO (IVAN R.)
S256273 Petition for rev	B286293 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 view denied	BI (CYNTHIA) v. ZONG (GUIQIN)
S256275 Petition for rev	B285408 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 view denied	PEOPLE v. DELALUZ (OSCAR)
S256277 Petition for rev	B290789 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 view denied	IN RE AIDAN H.
S256278		THOMPSON (DWAINE M.) v. COURT OF APPEAL, FOURTH APPELLATE DISTRICT, DIVISION ONE
Petition for wr	it of mandate/prohibition denied	(PEOPLE)
S256299 Petition for rev	D075895 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 view denied	WOODS (EARNEST CASSELL) ON H.C.

PERAZA (JOHNNIE RAY) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

The petition for writ of error *coram nobis/coram vobis* is denied.

S256321 Petition for re	F075590 Fifth Appellate District view denied	PEOPLE v. GARNER (MARK ERWIN)	
S256331 Petition for re	G055708 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 view denied	PEOPLE v. EVANS (LINDA SUE)	
S256341		DENNIS (KEITH) v. COURT OF APPEAL, FIRST APPELLATE DISTRICT, DIVISION FIVE	
Petition for w	rit of mandate/prohibition denied	DIVISION FIVE	
S256342	D074074 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1	PEOPLE v. FLOURNOY (DARIUS)	
Petition for review denied			
S256346	B285384 Second Appellate District, Div. 3	PEOPLE v. AVALOS (GADNER NOEL)	
Petition for re-	view denied		
8256349	H046834 Sixth Appellate District	RIGGINS (RODNEY LEE) ON H.C.	
Petition for review denied			
S256353 Petition for re	D073578 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 view denied	PEOPLE v. MORALES (VINCENT)	
S256637C088735/C088736Third Appellate DistrictPEPetition for review & application for stay denied		PEOPLE v. S.C. (K.L.)	

1042

S256686

Stay dissolved; petition denied

The requests to appear pro hac vice are granted.

In light of (1) the fact that trial has begun (*Martinez v. Illinois* (2014) 572 U.S. 833, 840; *People v. Rogers* (1995) 37 Cal.App.4th 1053, 1057, fn. 3; see also *People v. Superior Court* (*Douglass*) (1979) 24 Cal.3d 428, 431, fn. 2), and (2) the trial court's finding of a strong justification for access to the sought information by real parties in interest (see, e.g., Pet's Ex. 1, RT of May 1, 2019, at pp. 38-39 & 41-42; see generally, *Kling v. Superior Court* (2010) 50 Cal.4th 1068, 1075), the petition for writ of mandate, prohibition, and/or other extraordinary relief is denied. The stay previously issued by this court is dissolved.

S249321

SPRINKLE (MARK W.) ON H.C.

FACEBOOK, INC. v. S.C.

(HUNTER)

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are untimely]; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are successive].)

S251413

ASTORGA (RIGOBERTO) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied without prejudice to filing a motion in the trial court pursuant to Penal Code section 1203.01. (See *In re Cook* (2019) 7 Cal.5th 439.)

S252305

STEWARD (DONNY) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are untimely]; *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must include copies of reasonably available documentary evidence]; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must allege sufficient facts with particularity].)

S253044

SANCHEZ (JOSEPH EUGENE) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied without prejudice to filing a motion in the trial court pursuant to Penal Code section 1203.01. (See *In re Cook* (2019) 7 Cal.5th 439.)

S254011

MARTINEZ (ANTONIO M.) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

MARTINEZ (ANTONIO M.) ON H.C.

VALDIVIA (RAMIRO) ON

H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See In re Clark (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are successive].)

S254091

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S254272

GARMON (DURRAY M.) ON

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See In re Robbins (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are untimely]; In re Miller (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734, 735 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are repetitive].)

S254307

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S254367

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See In re Dixon (1953) 41 Cal.2d 756, 759 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that could have been, but were not, raised on appeal].)

S254380

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See In re Robbins (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are untimely].)

S254392

TSETSE (PRINCE FRANKLIN) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. Individual claims are denied, as applicable. (See In re Dexter (1979) 25 Cal.3d 921, 925-926 [a habeas corpus petitioner must exhaust available administrative remedies]; In re Dixon (1953) 41 Cal.2d 756, 759 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that could have been, but were not, raised on appeal]; In re Lindley (1947) 29 Cal.2d 709, 723 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that attack the sufficiency of the evidence].)

H.C.

YOCOM (MICHAEL ALAN) ON H.C.

GUAJARDO (RUBEN) ON H.C.

H.C.

PERRAULT (MICHAEL) ON

McKINLEY, JR., (DONNIE RAY) ON H.C.

POE (CHRISTOPHER) ON

H.C.

ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See In re Robbins (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are untimely]; In re Clark (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are successive].)

S254789

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See In re Robbins (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are untimely]; In re Clark (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are successive].)

S254888

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S254913

CERVERA (ERIC) ON H.C.

RUVALCABA (HENRY) ON

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied without prejudice to filing a motion in the trial court pursuant to Penal Code section 1203.01. (See In re Cook (2019) 7 Cal.5th 439.)

S254914

H.C. The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. Individual claims are denied, as applicable. (See People v. Duvall (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must include copies of reasonably available documentary evidence]; In re Miller (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734, 735 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are repetitive].)

S254922

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See In re Robbins (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are untimely].)

S254979

LACY (RONNIE STERLING) ON H.C.

RUIZ (OSCAR) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See In re Robbins (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are untimely]; In re Clark (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are successive]; In re Swain (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must allege sufficient facts with particularity].)

MASON (BRIAN ANTHONY)

1044

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S255056 Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S255123

S254980

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S255182

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S255266

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., was recused and did not participate.

S255279

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S255282

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S255326 Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S255327 Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied ALVARY (ARTHUR GORDON) ON H.C.

HURD (DALE RAY) ON H.C.

TORRES (EDUARDO) ON H.C.

JENNINGS III (ALEX) ON H.C.

RAGAN (DANIEL PHILLIP) ON H.C.

ESPINOZA (EZEQUIEL B.) ON H.C.

BROWN (DURRELL ANTOINE) ON H.C.

DRAKE (DEAN C.) ON H.C.

ORTIZ (FRANCISCO) ON H.C.

1046

S255360

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S255404

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S255452

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S255466

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S255470 Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S255475

STEPHENS (LADELL) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Lessard* (1965) 62 Cal.2d 497, 503 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that raise Fourth Amendment violations].)

S255479

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are successive].)

S255492

ABBAS (HAKEEM) ON H.C.

LLOYD (RONNIE GENE) ON

H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must include copies of reasonably available documentary evidence].)

MITCHELL (RONDELL J.) ON H.C.

HOWARD (DORSEY SHAMARI CLAYTON) ON H.C.

EBERLY (GARY ALAN) ON H.C.

ROBERTS (DON MACK) ON H.C.

BOTELLO (RAY) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S256014

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See In re Robbins (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are untimely]; In re Clark (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are successive].)

S256064

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S256244

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S256414

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See In re Robbins (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are untimely]; In re Clark (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are successive].)

S256443

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S256560

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See In re Robbins (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are untimely]: In re Miller (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734, 735 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are repetitive].)

S255688 A152698/A153294 First Appellate District, Div. 5 **GROGAN (ROBERT) v. DeBARR (SONDRA)**

Publication request denied (case closed)

ESPINOZA (EZEQUIEL B.) ON H.C.

HUBBARD (ZANE) ON H.C.

CANNON (TYRRALL FARROW) ON H.C.

HUBBARD (ZANE) ON H.C.

FACUNDO (JOE FRANCISCO) ON H.C.

JULY 17. 2019

WELLS (JOSEPH C.) ON H.C.

HUBBARD (ZANE) ON H.C.

S256355B284162 Second Appellate District, Div. 3

CALIFORNIA CHARTER SCHOOLS ASSOCIATION v. CITY OF HUNTINGTON PARK

Depublication request denied (case closed)

The request for an order directing depublication of the opinion in the above-entitled appeal is denied. The court declines to review this matter on its own motion. The matter is now final.

S214433

ROUNTREE (CHARLES F.) ON H.C.

Motion for access to sealed record granted

Respondent's "Motion for Access to Sealed Penal Code Section 987.9 Materials," filed on May 1, 2019, is granted. The Clerk is directed to provide respondent access to the materials filed under seal in the record on appeal in *People v. Charles F. Rountree*, No. S048543, pursuant to Penal Code Section 987.9: (1) Reporter's Transcript on Appeal (987 Hearing) dated January 24, 1994; (2) Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings (987 Hearing) dated April 11, 1994; (3) Reporter's Transcript on Appeal (987 Hearing) dated June 15, 1994; (4) Reporter's Transcript on Appeal (987 Hearing) dated November 8, 1994; (5) Reporter's Transcript on Appeal (987 Hearing) dated December 7, 1994; (6) Reporter's Transcript on Appeal (987 Hearing) dated January 11, 1995; (7) Reporter's Transcript on Appeal (987 Hearing) dated February 15, 1995; (8) Reporter's Transcript on Appeal (987 Hearing) dated May 18, 1995; (9) Reporter's Transcript on Appeal (987 Hearing) dated June 14, 1995; (10) Reporter's Transcript on Appeal (987 Hearing) dated August 16, 1995; (11) Fifth Supplemental Clerk's Transcript on Appeal, Penal Code 987.9 Materials, Volumes 1-2, pages 2-509; and (12) Sixth Supplemental Clerk's Transcript on Appeal, Penal Code 987.9 Materials, Volume 1, pages 2-7. The Attorney General must supply the personnel and equipment necessary to undertake the examination and copying of these documents, which must occur on the premises of the court. Except as provided herein, these documents remain under seal and their use must be limited solely to the pending proceeding. (Pen. Code, § 987.9, subd. (d).)

S127119

Order filed

PEOPLE v. GIVENS (TODD)

Good cause appearing, Appellant's Application for Relief from Default, filed on July 11, 2019, to file appellant's reply brief is granted.

S255831

CONTRERAS ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that ANTHONY E. CONTRERAS (Respondent), State Bar Number 171699, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and Respondent is placed on probation for one year subject to the following conditions:

- 1. Respondent is suspended from the practice of law for the first 30 days of probation;
- 2. Respondent must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on April 8, 2019; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if Respondent has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

Respondent must provide to the State Bar's Office of Probation proof of taking and passing the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination as recommended by the Hearing Department in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on April 8, 2019. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S255834

GOULDING ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that SUZANNE FLOURNOY GOULDING (Respondent), State Bar Number 177830, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and Respondent is placed on probation for one year subject to the following conditions:

- 1. Respondent is suspended from the practice of law for the first 90 days of probation;
- 2. Respondent must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on April 5, 2019; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if Respondent has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

Respondent must provide to the State Bar's Office of Probation proof of taking and passing the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination as recommended by the Hearing Department in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on April 5, 2019. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Respondent must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension. Respondent must also maintain the records of compliance as required by the conditions of probation.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section

6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S255837

MATAELE ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that ISILELI TUPOU MANAIA MATAELE (Respondent), State Bar Number 266863, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and Respondent is placed on probation for one year subject to the following conditions:

- 1. Respondent is suspended from the practice of law for the first 60 days of probation;
- 2. Respondent must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on April 5, 2019; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if Respondent has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

Respondent must provide to the State Bar's Office of Probation proof of taking and passing the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination as recommended by the Hearing Department in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on April 5, 2019. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S255841

PAGKAS ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that ANTHONY EMMANUEL PAGKAS (Respondent), State Bar Number 186112, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that Respondent's name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

Respondent must comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S255849

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

OSTROVE ON DISCIPLINE

The court orders that KENNETH EDWARD OSTROVE (Respondent), State Bar Number 111222, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that Respondent's name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

Respondent must comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S255850

PALIK ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that ANTHONY JAMES PALIK (Respondent), State Bar Number 190971, is suspended from the practice of law in California for two years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and Respondent is placed on probation for three years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. Respondent is suspended from the practice of law for a minimum of the first year of probation, and Respondent will remain suspended until the following requirements are satisfied:
 - i. Respondent makes restitution to the following payees or such other recipient as may be designated by the Office of Probation or the State Bar Court (or reimburses the Client Security Fund, to the extent of any payment from the Fund to such payee, in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.5) and furnishes satisfactory proof to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles:
 - (1) Albert Domingo Garcia Gamez in the amount of \$2,500 plus 10 percent interest per year from January 19, 2016; and
 - (2) Jose Miguel Cancino-Vera (aka Jose Rivas) in the amount of \$4,500 plus 10 percent interest per year from March 24, 2014.
 - ii. Respondent provides proof to the State Bar Court of rehabilitation, fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the general law. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.2(c)(1).)
- 2. Respondent must also comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on April 9, 2019.
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if Respondent has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

Respondent must provide to the State Bar's Office of Probation proof of taking and passing the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination as recommended by the Hearing Department in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on April 9, 2019. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Respondent must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts

specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension. Respondent must also maintain the records of compliance as required by the conditions of probation.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S256004

HEBERT ON RESIGNATION

Resignation accepted with disciplinary proceeding pending

The voluntary resignation with charges pending of VICTOR ALOYSIUS HEBERT, State Bar Number 32510, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted. If VICTOR ALOYSIUS HEBERT subsequently seeks reinstatement, the State Bar may consider all disciplinary charges that are currently pending against him.

VICTOR ALOYSIUS HEBERT must comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may be considered in any future reinstatement proceeding.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S256816

RICE II ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of CLAYTON KIMBALL RICE II, State Bar Number 114565, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S256876

ADAM ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of JANE ADAM, State Bar Number 128237, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S256877

JONES ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of JOY ANITA JONES, State Bar Number 147209, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of SYLVIA FAN LIU, State Bar Number 175346, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S256880

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of KARL ANTHONY MUELLER, State Bar Number 188593, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S256882

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of DAVID MARK OSTROVE, State Bar Number 133884, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S256883

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of JULIANA OTIS, State Bar Number 106551, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S256884

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of DAVID EDWARD SEARSON, State Bar Number 188531, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S256886

WASSON ON RESIGNATION

SEARSON ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of GREGORY MARELL WASSON, State Bar Number 108120, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

OSTROVE ON RESIGNATION

MUELLER ON RESIGNATION

OTIS ON RESIGNATION

LIU ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

WELLS ON RESIGNATION

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of JENNIFER C. WELLS, State Bar Number 106748, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.