#### SUPREME COURT MINUTES WEDNESDAY, JULY 18, 2018 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA AMENDED\*

#### S065233

The petition for rehearing is denied.

#### S095076

The petition for rehearing is denied.

#### S113421

The petition for rehearing is denied. Liu, J., is of the opinion the petition should be granted.

### S248730E060438 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2PEOPLE v. PEREZ

Petition for review granted; issues limited

Defendant Chavez's petition for review is granted. The issue to be briefed and argued is limited to the following: Did defendant's failure to object at trial, before *People v. Sanchez* (2016) 63 Cal.4th 665 was decided, forfeit his claim that a gang expert's testimony related case-specific hearsay in violation of his Sixth Amendment right of confrontation? Defendant Perez's petition for review is denied.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

#### S249859

Order to show cause issued

Time constraints require the court to decide immediately whether to permit Proposition 9 to be placed on the November 6, 2018, ballot pending final resolution of this matter. Although our past decisions establish that it is usually more appropriate to review challenges to ballot propositions or initiative measures after an election (*Costa v. Superior Court* (2006) 37 Cal.4th 986, 1005), we

PEOPLE v. SMITH (FLOYD DANIEL)

(RICHARD)

**PEOPLE v. PENUNURI** 

PEOPLE v. HARDY (WARREN JUSTIN)

**PEOPLE v. PEREZ (JOSE LUIS)** 

CONSERVATION LEAGUE v. PADILLA (ALEX)/(DRAPER)

**PLANNING &** 

have also made clear that in some instances, when a substantial question has been raised regarding the proposition's validity and the "hardships from permitting an invalid measure to remain on the ballot" outweigh the harm potentially posed by "delaying a proposition to a future election," it may be appropriate to review a proposed measure before it is placed on the ballot. (Howard Jarvis Taxpayers Assn. v. Padilla (2016) 62 Cal.4th 486, 494; see also id., at pp. 496-497; accord, American Federation of Labor v. Eu (1984) 36 Cal.3d 687, 697.) Because significant questions have been raised regarding the proposition's validity, and because we conclude that the potential harm in permitting the measure to remain on the ballot outweighs the potential harm in delaying the proposition to a future election, respondent Alex Padilla, as Secretary of State of the State of California, is directed to refrain from placing Proposition 9 on the November 6, 2018, ballot. Both respondent Padilla and real party in interest Timothy Draper are ordered to show cause before this court, when the above matter is called on calendar, why the relief sought by petitioner, Planning and Conservation League, should not be granted. The returns of respondent and real party in interest are to be served and filed on or before Monday, August 20, 2018. Petitioner is ordered to serve and file its reply within 30 days of the timely-filed returns. Votes: Cantil-Sakauve, C. J., Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

#### S248671 B277941 Second Appellate District, Div. 2

#### PEOPLE v. VAUGHN (ANTWOINE)

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

Defendant Love's petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Mateo*, S232674 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court. Defendant Vaughn's petition for review is denied.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

### S248778B252187 Second Appellate District, Div. 4PEOPLE v. ADAMS (LEO<br/>LLOYD)

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Mateo*, S232674 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court. Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

S249902	B278537 Second Appellate District, Div. 1	HACKER (RON) v.
		HOMEWARD RESIDENTIAL,
		INC.

Review granted on the court's own motion; transferred to Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division One

At the request of the Court of Appeal, review is ordered on this court's own motion. The cause is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division One, for further proceedings.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

# S224749G048762 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3PEOPLE v. MARQUEZ<br/>(DANIEL JOSEPH)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division Three, after hold

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division Three, with directions to vacate its decision and to reconsider the cause in light of *People v. Buza* (2018) 4 Cal.5th 658. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(d).) Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

# S224935D064288 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1PEOPLE v. DRAGASITS<br/>(STEPHEN JOSEPH)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division One, after hold

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division One, with directions to vacate its decision and to reconsider the cause in light of Senate Bill No. 620 (Stats. 2017, ch. 682) and *In re Estrada* (1965) 63 Cal.2d 740. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(d).)

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

# S234518E061955 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2PEOPLE v. MAGANA (JESUS<br/>LOZANO)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division Two, after hold

The matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division Two, with directions to vacate its decision and reconsider the cause in light of the 2017 amendment to Health & Safety Code section 11370.2 (Stats. 2017, ch. 677, § 1, eff. Jan. 1, 2018 (S.B. 180)) and *In re Estrada* (1965) 63 Cal.2d 740. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(d).) Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

S248218	A150208 First Appellate District, Div. 2	<b>PEOPLE v. JUNIOR</b>
		(MELVIN)

Petition for review denied

S248467 G053400/G053710 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3	<b>PEOPLE v. MACKIE</b>
	(GERMANI JERMAINE)
Petitions for review denied	

S248489	A146870 First Appellate District, Div. 5	PEOPLE v. WONG (RAYMOND EDWARD)
Petition for rev	view denied	

S248623	B279074 Second Appellate District, Div. 6	<b>PEOPLE v. RICHEY</b>
		(AUNDRAY C.)
Petition for r	eview denied	

CLARK (RAYMOND) v. CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF GENERAL SERVICES (CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS & REHABILITATION)

Petition for writ of mandate/prohibition denied

S248662	C082458 Third Appellate District	<b>PEOPLE v. SMITH</b>
		(ASHLEIGH)

Petitions for review denied

S248669	B270264 Second Appellate District, Div. 7	<b>PEOPLE v. MATA (JOHNNY)</b>
Petition for rev	view denied	

S248670	C075937/C076323	Third Appellate District	<b>PEOPLE v. STEARMAN</b>
			(DANNY JOE)

Petitions for review denied

S248694	B272000 Second Appellate District, Div. 5	<b>PEOPLE v. WILLIAMS</b>
		(RAKEEM J.)

Petition for review denied

S248759	A144872 First Appellate District, Div. 5	PEOPLE v. WYATT (MICHAEL EUGENE)
Petition for rev The request fo	view denied r judicial notice is granted.	
S248763 The petition fo	C087008 Third Appellate District or review is denied. The stay previously issued b	<b>B.</b> ( <b>R.</b> ) <b>v. S.C.</b> ( <b>PEOPLE</b> ) by this court is dissolved.
S248784 Petitions for re	B276667 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 eview denied	PEOPLE v. HOLLIS (CHAUNCEY ALEXANDER)
S248793 Petition for rev	F076064 Fifth Appellate District view denied	SOUZA (DAVID) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)
S248797 Petition for rev	B270324 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 view denied	PEOPLE v. WATTS (BOBBY)
S248838 Petition for rev	B283624 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 view denied	PEOPLE v. KEESLING (GERALD)
S248862 Petition for rev	E070251 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 view denied	HOWELL (WALTER ALLEN) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)
S248878	D072560 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1	KOCH (JACK R.) v. ESTRELLA (A.)

The request for judicial notice is denied as unnecessary because the document subject to the request was designated as part of the record on appeal. (*Townsel v. Superior Court* (1999) 20 Cal.4th 1084, 1087, fn. 1.)

The motion entitled "Motion for Summary Reversal" is denied. The petition for review is denied.

S248885 Petition for rev	B269049 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 view denied	PEOPLE v. WILLIAMS (EARL D.)	
S248902 Petition for rev	A150448 First Appellate District, Div. 5 view denied	PEOPLE v. JONES (ADAM K.)	
S248906 Petition for rev	C083815 Third Appellate District view denied	<b>PEOPLE v. TAYLOR</b> (JEFFERY)	
S248953 Petition for rev	D072564 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 view denied	D'SOUZA (DINESH & DIXIE), MARRIAGE OF	
S248954	B282590 Second Appellate District, Div. 4	PREMIER HEALTH PARTNERS, INC. v. INTERMEDIX CORPORATION	
Petition for re-	view denied		
<b>S249109</b> Petition for rev	B280302 Second Appellate District, Div. 4	SPARKS (STEVEN) v. BANK OF AMERICA, N.A.	
	ecused and did not participate.		
<b>S249112</b> Petition for rev	B285020 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 view denied	IN RE J.C.	
S249128	F072163 Fifth Appellate District	PEOPLE v. DEANDA (FELIX PEREZ)	
Petition for review denied			
S249134	B268683 Second Appellate District, Div. 4	PEOPLE v. KELLUM (LAMONT AARON)	

Petitions for review denied

# S249164 B275410/B282248 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 NSEJJERE (ISAAC) v. MANN (ALFRED E.) Petition for review denied

S249168	C079470 Third Appellate District	PEOPLE v. HARRIS (COLLEEN ANN)			
Petition for rev	Petition for review denied				
S249180	B266991 Second Appellate District, Div. 3	YATES (ROBERT) v. AGAR INC.			
Petition for rev	view denied				
S249207	A149619 First Appellate District, Div. 5	JAFARIEH (PARINAZ) v. HASAN (MOHAMMAD			
	or review and application for stay are denied. r judicial disqualification is denied.	HAIDER AGHA)			
S249237	E066477 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2	PEOPLE v. SOLANO (SERGIO MORALES)			
Petition for rev	Petition for review denied				
S249257	F073940 Fifth Appellate District	PEOPLE v. ROWLAND (ROCK ANTHONY)			
Petition for review denied					
<b>S249261</b> Petition for rev	F077562 Fifth Appellate District view denied	SOHN (DANIEL) ON H.C.			
S249266	C083437 Third Appellate District	PEOPLE v. WEISSINGER (BRIAN)			
Petition for review denied					
<b>S249267</b> Petition for rev	E067128 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2	BLASSER (WILLIAM) v. HUDACK (LARRY)			

S249279

S249281

S249282

S249284

S249287

S249297

S249302

C080009 Third Appellate District

(KEITH WARREN) Petition for review denied C084578 Third Appellate District **PEOPLE v. PONCE** (CHRISTIAN) Petition for review denied E066918 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 **PEOPLE v. ZUNIGA** (GABRIEL AMBROSIO) Petition for review denied D071450 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 **PEOPLE v. SANCHEZ** (JOSUE ISRAEL) Petition for review denied C084354 Third Appellate District **PEOPLE v. HARSIN (JASON** SCOTT) Petition for review denied D072878 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 **PEOPLE v. WYNN (LARRY** LEE) Petition for review denied B281315 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 **PEOPLE v. LUNA (HECTOR)** Petition for review denied B276621 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 HOLLANDER (GAIL) v. XL CAPITAL LTD. Petition for review denied

S249309	F075273 Fifth Appellate District	IN RE T.S.
Petition for re	view denied	

**PEOPLE v. SHERMAN** 

S249312	D074025 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1	BLANCO (NORMAN PAUL) ON H.C.
Petition for review denied		
S249319	B280923 Second Appellate District, Div. 1	LEE (DEUK) v. HITEJINRO CO., LTD.
Petition for rev	view denied	
<b>S249320</b> Petition for rev	B290101 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 view denied	HENDERSON (ARTHUR L.) ON H.C.
S249325	C084963 Third Appellate District	PEOPLE v. BOWLES (CODY ALLEN)
Petition for review denied		
S249332	B282018 Second Appellate District, Div. 8	PEOPLE v. SANDERS
Petition for re-	view denied	(JULIUS)
S249334	D073711 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1	PEOPLE v. MEJIA (JUAN
		JAVIER)
Petition for review denied		
S249339		SALAS (RAFAEL) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)
Petition for writ of mandate/prohibition denied		
<b>S249341</b> Petition for rev	E066202 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 view denied	PEOPLE v. TREJO (DANIEL)
S249342	B262291 Second Appellate District, Div. 1	SANCHEZ (TEODORO) v. LAWYERS TITLE
Petition for review denied INSURANCE COMPANY		

S249348	B290149 Second Appellate District, Div. 7	WILLIAMS (LANCE ELLIOT) ON H.C.
Petition for r	eview denied	
S249350	C082459 Third Appellate District	PEOPLE v. SCHULZ (CHRISTOPHER)
Petition for r	eview denied	
S249353 Petition for r	A151012 First Appellate District, Div. 2 eview denied	PEOPLE v. FOBBS (JOHNNY)
S249376	C087253 Third Appellate District	LEA (SUSAN) v. S.C. (COTTRELL)
Petition for r	eview denied	
S249377	G054507 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3	PEOPLE v. HUNTINGTON (BRYAN MARTIN)
Petition for r	eview denied	
S249387	F074081 Fifth Appellate District	<b>PEOPLE v. ARMSTRONG</b> (ERIC ASHLEY)
Petition for r	eview denied	```´
S249404	B282370 Second Appellate District, Div. 7	PEOPLE v. GAMBOA (FRED EDDIE)
Petition for r	eview denied	
S249419		CLARK (RAYMOND) v. STATE BOARD OF CONTROL (CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS & REHABILITATION)

Petition for writ of mandate/prohibition denied

<b>S249629</b> Petition for rev	B290376 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 view & application for stay denied	C. (PETER) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)
<b>S249635</b> Petition for rev	A153957 First Appellate District, Div. 2 view & application for stay denied	PERKINS (RONALD) v. S.C. (PERKINS)
S242678 Petition for wr	it of habeas corpus denied	KAMACK (RAMELLE) ON H.C.
<b>S247146</b> Petition for wr	it of habeas corpus denied	SCHRUBB (KEVIN R.) ON H.C.
<b>S248060</b> Petition for wr	it of habeas corpus denied	FEGAN (STEPHEN R.) ON H.C.
S248064		SPRINGFIELD (CIRON B.) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

#### S248065

### ELESON (ERIC RICHARD) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must include copies of reasonably available documentary evidence]; *In re Dexter* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 921, 925-926 [a habeas corpus petitioner must exhaust available administrative remedies].)

#### S248066

# LEON (JESUS ADAM) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are untimely].)

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

#### S248305

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must include copies of reasonably available documentary evidence]; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must allege sufficient facts with particularity]; *In re Miller* (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734, 735 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are repetitive].)

#### S248309

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

#### S248310

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

#### S248347

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

#### S248365

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Waltreus* (1965) 62 Cal.2d 218, 225 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that were rejected on appeal].)

#### S248366

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

#### S248367

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

#### IBARRA (GUILLERMO REYES) ON H.C.

#### LONG (KEVIN MICHAEL) ON H.C.

#### ELESON (ERIC RICHARD) ON H.C.

### CABRERA (KEVIN) ON H.C.

# WRTAZA (JIMMY F.) ON H.C.

**OGBEIWI (OGBEMUDIA) ON** 

H.C.

#### ARCIGA (HECTOR) ON H.C.

NUÑO (GUILLERMO) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

#### S248738

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must include copies of reasonably available documentary evidence]; In re Swain (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must allege sufficient facts with particularity].)

#### S249496

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

#### S245049

Order: (dispositive)

Petitioner's "Notice of Abandonment of Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus," received on December 4, 2017, is hereby granted. The Clerk of the Court is directed to dismiss the petition for writ of habeas corpus, and to return habeas counsel's unredacted letters, lodged conditionally under seal on December 15, 2017, and on May 9, 2018.

S248361 B276887 Second Appellate District, Div. 2

Depublication request denied (case closed)

The request for an order directing depublication of the opinion in the above-entitled appeal is denied.

The court declines to review this matter on its own motion. The matter is now final.

S248783	A146819 First Appellate District, Div. 3	PEREZ (SERVANDO) v. STANDARD DRYWALL, INC.
Publication rec	uest denied (case closed)	

#### S248785 D072134 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 IN RE 346 EL MONTE ROAD, EL CAJON, CA 92020-3022

Publication request denied (case closed)

### ON H.C.

WYATT (MICHAEL E.) ON

LONG (KEVIN MICHAEL)

**SPRINGFIELD** (CIRON B.)

ON H.C.

H.C.

#### ESPINOZA (PEDRO) ON H.C.

**SOUIRE (MATTHEW) v. COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES** 

S248811	B279158 Second Appellate District, Div. 5	GODOY (IMELDA) v. UNIVERSAL SWITCHING
Publication rec	quest denied (case closed)	CORPORATION
S248860	B277571 Second Appellate District, Div. 1	CLAYTON (RICHARD) v. HAWK (HOLLY)
Publication rec	uest denied (case closed)	
S248914	A152923 First Appellate District, Div. 3	BIRTS, JR., (WILLARD) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)
Depublication	request denied (case closed)	
-	r an order directing depublication of the opinion ourt declines to review this matter on its own mo	
S248922	A148990 First Appellate District, Div. 1	SPENCER (EUGENE) v. SAFEGUARD PROPERTIES, LLC
	uest denied (case closed) ecused and did not participate.	
S249036	B278723 Second Appellate District, Div. 1	FOSTER (MARGARET CAROL) v. INTERINSURANCE EXCHANGE OF THE AUTOMOBILE CLUB
Publication rec	quests denied (case closed)	
S249126	B281742 Second Appellate District, Div. 2	BUCKLEY (NORMAN) v. THE W HOLLYWOOD HOTEL
Publication rec	juests denied (case closed)	
S079925		PEOPLE v. MORA (JOSEPH ADAM) & RANGEL (RUBEN)

Time extended to consider modification or rehearing

The time for granting or denying rehearing in the above-entitled case is hereby extended to

September 30, 2018, or the date upon which rehearing is either granted or denied, whichever occurs first.

S250020 A154236 First Appellate District, Div. 1

#### McCORMICK (CARI) v. CALIFORNIA PUBLIC EMPLOYEES RETIREMENT SYSTEM

Time for ordering review extended on the court's own motion

The time for ordering review on the court's own motion is hereby extended to October 5, 2018. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(c).)

### S248879 B281596 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 PEOPLE v. MANRIQUEZ (ELIGIO A.)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to August 17, 2018.

S248880 H041951 Sixth Appellate District

#### PEOPLE v. HANWAY (STEVEN JAMES)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to August 20, 2018.

**S248898** A145654 First Appellate District, Div. 4 **IN RE A.M.** The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to August 17, 2018.

**S248899** E068247 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 **IN RE I.C.** The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to August 17, 2018.

S248900 B289584 Second Appellate District, Div. 6

SANTA CLARA WASTE WATER COMPANY v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to August 17, 2018.

S248904 B269297 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. GENEL (ROBERT)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to August 23, 2018.

#### S248918 H042638 Sixth Appellate District

#### PEOPLE v. MENDEZ (JUAN CARLOS)

**PEOPLE v. GRAHAM** 

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to August 20, 2018.

#### S248939 C083076 Third Appellate District

#### (DEVONTA BOBBY) The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to August 22, 2018.

### S248968 B281348 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 PEOPLE v. SHAW, JR., (ALVIN RAY)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to August 22, 2018.

### S248969 G056194 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 FLORES (JOSAFAT) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to August 22, 2018.

## S248971D072339 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1PEOPLE v. CRUZ (JOSE<br/>MANUEL)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to August 23, 2018.

### S248973G056190 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3DUNNING (RODERICK) v.S.C. (PEOPLE)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to August 22, 2018.

#### S248975 H042931 Sixth Appellate District

#### PEOPLE v. RODRIGUEZ (ANDREW DANIEL)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to August 23, 2018.

#### S248976 G056191 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 McDONALD (MICHAEL) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to August 23, 2018.

### S248977 G056189 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 BRAHAM (MICHAEL) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to August 23, 2018.

### S248980 G056196 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 CURRY (ROBERT) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to August 23, 2018.

S248981G056197 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3SPONSELLOR (AARON) v.

**S.C. (PEOPLE)** The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to August 23, 2018.

### S248984 G056193 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 FOUVALE (FIAINU) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to August 23, 2018.

### S248985 G056192 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 MASTACHE (JUAN) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to August 23, 2018.

S248989A148996 First Appellate District, Div. 5PEOPLE v. BEARD (SEAN)The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to

JULY 18, 2018

1027

August 23, 2018.

#### S248995 B270964 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 STEPP (JESSICA A.) v. FIDELITY NATIONAL TITLE GROUP, INC.

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to August 23, 2018.

S248997	C084042 Third Appellate District
---------	----------------------------------

### PEOPLE v. FULKERSON (HAROLD)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to August 23, 2018.

S248999 G056195 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 P

#### PENALOZA (HUMBERTO) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to August 23, 2018.

S249026 B278519 Second Appellate District, Div. 1

#### LOS ANGELES, COUNTY OF v. LOS ANGELES COUNTY CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION (ARELLANO)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to August 23, 2018.

**S249078** C087033 Third Appellate District **FIELDS (CARLTON) ON H.C.** The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to August 23, 2018.

#### S130263

## GAY (KENNETH EARL) ON H.C.

Order filed

Because petitioner is represented by counsel, the Clerk is directed to return to petitioner the pro se submission received on February 21, 2018. (*In re Barnett* (2003) 31 Cal.4th 466.)

#### 1028

#### S189049

### TATE (GREGORY O.) ON H.C.

Order filed

Because petitioner is represented by counsel, the Clerk is directed to return to petitioner the pro se submission received on April 11, 2018. (*In re Barnett* (2003) 31 Cal.4th 466.)

### S249166 B287280 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 WRIGHT (JOSEFF JAMES) ON H.C.

Order filed

Due to clerical error, the order filed in the above matter on July 11, 2018, denying the petition for review, is amended to reflect the title above.

#### S249879 B278022/B281129 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 MALDONADO (OLVIN) v. EPSILON PLASTICS, INC.

Order filed

The order filed on July 9, 2018, is hereby amended in its entirety to read as follows: The time for ordering review on the court's own motion is hereby extended to September 5, 2018. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(c).)

S249902 B278537 Second Appellate District, Div. 1

#### HACKER (RON) v. HOMEWARD RESIDENTIAL, INC.

Order filed

Due to clerical error, the order filed in the above matter on July 11, 2018, extending the time for ordering review, is amended to reflect the title above.

#### S121365

### SNOW (PRENTICE JUAN) ON H.C.

Referee appointed

Based on the record in this matter and good cause appearing:

The Honorable William C. Ryan, Judge of the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, is appointed to serve as a referee in this proceeding. He will take evidence and make findings of fact on the following questions regarding the case of *People v. Prentice Juan Snow* (Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles No. A560682; Judge Jack B. Tso):

1. Why did petitioner's trial counsel decide not to take any actions on petitioner's behalf at the

penalty phase of his trial? On what investigation, discussions with petitioner or others, and other factors did trial counsel base their decision?

- 2. What would a reasonably competent attorney have decided to do or not do with regard to representing petitioner at the penalty phase based on the investigation, discussions with petitioner or others, and other factors that trial counsel considered in making their decision not to take any action on petitioner's behalf?
- 3. Would a reasonably competent attorney have conducted other investigation or discussions, taken any other actions, or considered any other factors that petitioner's trial counsel did not do or consider before making a decision regarding the defense's penalty phase strategy? If so, as a result of those other actions and considerations, what decision would a reasonably competent attorney have made regarding the defense's penalty phase strategy?
- 4. If a reasonably competent attorney would have made different decisions regarding the defense's penalty phase strategy, what different actions would a reasonably competent attorney have taken?
- 5. How might have the different actions a reasonably competent attorney would have taken affected the outcome of the penalty phase of petitioner's trial in light of the strength and credibility of any evidence that might have been presented by the defense, the potential to undermine the prosecution's case in aggravation through cross-examination or rebuttal evidence, the potential rebuttal evidence the prosecution might have presented in response to the defense's actions, the persuasiveness of any arguments trial counsel might have made, and any other factors and considerations?

It is further ordered that the referee prepare and submit to this court a report of the proceedings conducted pursuant to this appointment, of the evidence adduced, and the findings of fact made. Any requests for discovery in this matter must be addressed to the referee.

**S249307** Petition denied

(accusation)

ACCUSATION OF UY

S249423 Petition denied

(accusation)

#### ACCUSATION OF MEDAL

**S249426** Petition denied

(accusation)

#### ACCUSATION OF DIONES

BAR MISC. 4186 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE COMMITTEE OF BAR EXAMINERS OF THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA FOR ADMISSION OF ATTORNEYS (MOTION NO. 1,376)

The written motion of the Committee of Bar Examiners that the following named applicants, who have fulfilled the requirements for admission to practice law in the State of California, be

1030

admitted to the practice of law in this state is hereby granted, with permission to the applicants to take the oath before a competent officer at another time and place: (SEE ORIGINAL APPLICATION FOR THE LIST OF NAMES ATTACHED.)

#### McFADDEN (JACK) ON CLEMENCY

Letter sent to Governor with the recommendation required by article V, section 8 of the California Constitution for the Governor to grant a pardon

#### S247544

#### GISON (GREGORY) ON CLEMENCY

Letter sent to Governor with the recommendation required by article V, section 8 of the California Constitution for the Governor to grant a pardon