

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES  
THURSDAY, JULY 26, 2018  
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

**S141210****MANRIQUEZ (ABELINO) ON  
H.C.**

Opinion filed

We discharge the order to show cause. Because our order to show cause and our reference order were limited to this claim, we do not here address any other claims set forth in the habeas corpus petition, but instead resolve them by separate order. (See *Crew, supra*, 52 Cal.4th at pp. 153-154.)

Majority Opinion by Cantil-Sakauye, C. J.

-- joined by Chin, Corrigan, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

Dissenting Opinion by Liu, J.

-- joined by Franson, J.\*

Dissenting Opinion by Franson, J.\*

-- joined by Liu, J.

\* Associate Justice of the Court of Appeal, Fifth Appellate District, assigned by the Chief Justice pursuant to article VI, section 6 of the California Constitution.

**S234969****TROESTER (DOUGLAS) v.  
STARBUCKS  
CORPORATION**

Opinion filed

We hold that the relevant California statutes and wage order have not incorporated the de minimis doctrine found in the FLSA. We further conclude that although California has a de minimis rule that is a background principle of state law, the rule is not applicable here. The relevant statutes and wage order do not allow employers to require employees to routinely work for minutes off-the-clock without compensation. We leave open whether there are wage claims involving employee activities that are so irregular or brief in duration that it would not be reasonable to require employers to compensate employees for the time spent on them.

Majority Opinion by Liu, J.

-- Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Chin, Corrigan, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Grimes\*, JJ.

Concurring Opinion by Cuéllar, J.

Concurring Opinion by Kruger, J.

-- joined by Grimes, J.\*

\* Associate Justice of the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Eight, assigned by the Chief Justice pursuant to article VI, section 6 of the California Constitution.

**S245783****EWING (CHRISTOPHER) ON  
H.C.**

Extension of time granted

On application of petitioner and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply to the informal response is extended to August 22, 2018.

**S246490**

A143026 First Appellate District, Div. 4

**NOEL (DIANA NIEVES) v.  
THRIFTY PAYLESS, INC.**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply brief on the merits is extended to August 30, 2018.

**S249817**

A152434 First Appellate District, Div. 1

**IN RE DANIELA G.**

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer to petition for review is extended to August 3, 2018.

**S236628**

A145399 First Appellate District, Div. 4

**IN RE J.E.**

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, the First District Appellate Project is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

**S236628**

A145399 First Appellate District, Div. 4

**IN RE J.E.**

Order filed

The order filed on November 8, 2016, appointing Sejal Patel as counsel for appellant J.E. is hereby vacated.

**S241471** B265011/B265029 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 **McCLAIN (MICHAEL) v.  
SAV-ON DRUGS**

Order filed

The application of Consumer Attorneys of California for permission to file an untimely amicus curiae brief is hereby denied.

**S246213**

**MILTON (WILLIAM) ON  
H.C.**

Order filed

Due to clerical error, the order filed in the above matter on July 20, 2018, granting extension of time to file the informal response, is amended to reflect the title above.