#### SUPREME COURT MINUTES THURSDAY, JUNE 8, 2017 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

#### S151362

#### **BELL (STEVEN M.) ON H.C.**

Opinion filed: Order to show cause discharged

The testimony of M.H. and S.H. provides substantial evidence to support the referee's conclusion the alleged jury misconduct did not occur. Giving great weight to the referee's factual findings based on her determination of witness credibility, we adopt those findings and, on that basis, conclude that petitioner has failed to prove by a preponderance of the evidence his claim that trial Juror M.H. committed misconduct by discussing the case with her husband during the period of the penalty deliberations.

Because our order to show cause and our reference order were limited to this claim, we do not here address any other claim set forth in the petition. The remaining claims will be resolved by a separately filed order.

The order to show cause is discharged.

Majority Opinion by Werdegar, J.

-- joined by Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

#### S242318

#### LUDINGTON (ALAN) v. CALIFORNIA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION (SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY)

Petition for writ of review & application for stay denied

#### S084292

#### **ROGERS (DAVID KEITH) ON H.C.**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel's representation that the parties' replies to the exceptions and briefs on the merits are anticipated to be filed by August 15, 2017, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file those documents is granted to August 15, 2017. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

#### S120382

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Michael R. Snedeker's representation that the appellant's reply brief is anticipated to be filed by October 2, 2017, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to August 1, 2017. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 63 additional days is contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

#### S159120

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Supervising Deputy State Public Defender Jolie Lipsig's representation that the appellant's reply brief is anticipated to be filed by December 17, 2017, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to August 14, 2017. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 125 additional days will be granted. An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

#### S166737

#### Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Dana M. Ali's representation that the respondent's brief is anticipated to be filed by September 1, 2017, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to August 8, 2017. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 23 additional days is contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

#### S182232

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to August 4, 2017.

## **PEOPLE v. FLORES (RALPH STEVEN)**

PEOPLE v. EVANS (CHRISTOPHER)

#### **PEOPLE v. SANCHEZ** (VINCENT HENRY)

#### PEOPLE v. DEMOLLE (ALEX)

#### 784

#### S182341

#### Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel R. Clayton Seaman, Jr., representation that the appellant Jeffree Jay Buettner's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by June 15, 2018, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to August 15, 2017. After that date, only five further extensions totaling about 303 additional days will be granted. An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

#### S182341

## Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Joanna McKim's representation that appellant Glen Joseph Jones's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by June 15, 2018, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to August 15, 2017. After that date, only five further extensions totaling about 303 additional days will be granted. An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the

anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

#### S185221

#### PEOPLE v. LEWIS (TRAVIS JEREMY)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Tara K. Hoveland's representation that the appellant's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by September 23, 2017, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to August 7, 2017. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 49 additional days is contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

PEOPLE v. BUETTNER (JEFFREE JAY) & JONES

(GLEN JOSEPH)

#### PEOPLE v. BUETTNER (JEFFREE J.) & JONES (GLEN JOSEPH)

#### S189992

## **PEOPLE v. SHORTS** (DONALD) & TUCKER (JAMAR)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Senior Deputy State Public Defender Andrea G. Asaro's representation that appellant Donald Shorts's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by February 28, 2018, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to August 8, 2017. After that date, only four further extensions totaling about 203 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S189992

#### Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Glen Niemy's representation that appellant Jamar Tucker's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by August 8, 2017, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to August 8, 2017. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

#### S196185

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to July 31, 2017.

#### S200982

#### Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Conrad Petermann's representation that the appellant's reply brief is anticipated to be filed by June 1, 2018, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to August 1, 2017. After that date, only five further extensions totaling about 303 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

# **PEOPLE v. RONQUILLO**

## (DONALD) & TUCKER (JAMAR)

**PEOPLE v. SHORTS** 

**PEOPLE v. MACIAS** 

(ARMANDO)

(GABRIEL ALEXANDER)

#### S208348

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to August 11, 2017.

#### S234954

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Federal Public Defender Ajay V. Kusnoor's representation that the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is anticipated to be filed by October 6, 2017, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to August 7, 2017. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 59 additional days is contemplated.

S235903 A142858/A143428 First Appellate District, Div. 1

UNITED EDUCATORS OF SAN FRANCISCO AFT/CFT, AFL-CIO, NEA/CTA v. CALIFORNIA UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BOARD (SAN FRANCISCO UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT)

Extension of time granted

On application of California Unemployment Insurance Appeals Board and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file responses to amicus curiae briefs by all parties is extended to and including June 30, 2017.

#### **S237602** E064099 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2

#### PEOPLE v. ADELMANN (STEVEN ANDREW)

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the response to amicus curiae brief is extended to August 3, 2017.

## S237801 A146277 First Appellate District, Div. 3 IN RE C.B.

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply brief on the merits is extended to July 3, 2017.

## PEOPLE v. MARENTES (DESI ANGEL)

**CARRASCO (ROBERT) ON** 

H.C.

#### S238354 F069020 Fifth Appellate District

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply brief on the merits is extended to July 5, 2017.

#### S241863

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Amit Kurlekar's representation that the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is anticipated to be filed by February 2, 2018, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to August 11, 2017. After that date, only three further extensions totaling about 174 additional days are contemplated.

S239373 B268722 Second Appellate District, Div. 4

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, John Staley is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S240118 B267255 Second Appellate District, Div. 8

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Mark Devore is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

#### S240712 C082426 Third Appellate District

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, John Schuck is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

## S240795 B266967 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. WILSON

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Susan L. Jordan is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

# (ALFREDO)

PEREZ, JR., (JOSEPH ANDREW) ON H.C.

**PEOPLE v. PEREZ, JR.,** 

**PEOPLE v. DIXON III** 

(PARIS)

## (PHILLIP)

**PEOPLE v. TUCKER** 

#### PEOPLE v. SMITH (MICHAEL LENOIR)

(GERALD JAY)

## 787

**S241204** B268143 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 **PEOPLE v. HARO (TOMMY)** Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, the California Appellate Project is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

#### S241989

#### POSLOF, JR., (LONNIE LEE) v. CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS & REHABILITATION (WARNKE)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Fifth Appellate District The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Fifth Appellate District.

S242405

#### LUJAN (STEVEN) v. DISTRICT ATTORNEY OF MERCED COUNTY (S.C.)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Fifth Appellate District The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Fifth Appellate District.

#### BAR MISC. 4186 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE COMMITTEE OF BAR EXAMINERS OF THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA FOR ADMISSION OF ATTORNEYS (MOTION NO. 1,315)

The written motion of the Committee of Bar Examiners that the following named applicants, who have fulfilled the requirements for admission to practice law in the State of California, be admitted to the practice of law in this state is hereby granted, with permission to the applicants to take the oath before a competent officer at another time and place: (SEE ORIGINAL APPLICATION FOR THE LIST OF NAMES ATTACHED.)