

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES  
MONDAY, JUNE 28, 2021  
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

**S256914**      A155955 First Appellate District, Div. 3      **FRIEND (JACK WAYNE) ON  
H.C.**

Opinion filed: Judgment reversed

The Court of Appeal's order denying a certificate of appealability is reversed, and the matter is remanded to that court for it to address the successiveness question under the standard and procedures we have described. For each claim of the petition, the Court of Appeal is to determine whether petitioner has made a substantial showing that the claim is not successive within the meaning of section 1509(d), as we have construed it here (see pt. II., *ante*), and is to issue a certificate of appealability on any claim or claims as to which that showing has been made.

Majority Opinion by Kruger, J.

-- joined by Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Groban, and Jenkins, JJ.

**S258212**      B291510 Second Appellate District, Div. 2      **P. (K.), CONSERVATORSHIP  
OF**

Opinion filed: Judgment affirmed in full

Majority Opinion by Corrigan, J.

-- joined by Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, Groban, and Jenkins, JJ.

**S268801**      F078799 Fifth Appellate District      **RIVERA (PAIGE ANN) v.  
FIDELITY NATIONAL TITLE  
INSURANCE COMPANY**

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer to petition for review is extended to July 6, 2021.

**S269206**      B292672 Second Appellate District, Div. 7      **MARTINEZ (MARIA) v. RITE  
AID CORPORATION**

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer to petition for review is extended to July 6, 2021. Any reply to answer to petition for review is to be filed on or before July 16, 2021.

**S269523****ECKES (DANIEL AARON) v.  
S.C. (PEOPLE)**

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Third Appellate District

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Third Appellate District, for consideration in light of *Hagan v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition must be denied.