SUPREME COURT MINUTES THURSDAY, MARCH 2, 2017 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S218066 H039498 Sixth Appellate District

SAN JOSE, CITY OF v. S.C. (SMITH)

Opinion filed: Judgment reversed

The judgment is reversed, and the case is remanded for further proceedings consistent with this opinion.

Majority Opinion by Corrigan, J.

-- joined by Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Werdegar, Chin, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

S226779 D066959 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1

FLETHEZ (FRANK) v. SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY EMPLOYEES RETIREMENT ASSOCIATION

Opinion filed: Judgment affirmed in full

The judgment of the Court of Appeal is affirmed. The matter is remanded to the Court of Appeal with directions that it remand the matter to the superior court for a determination of the date SBCERA wrongfully denied Flethez's application for a retroactive disability retirement allowance under the inability to ascertain permanency clause of Government Code section 31724 and a recalculation of the amount of prejudgment interest owed based on such date. Majority Opinion by Cantil-Sakauye, C. J.

-- joined by Werdegar, Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

Concurring Opinion by Cuéllar, J.

-- joined by Werdegar and Liu, JJ.

S238929 C074138 Third Appellate District

PEOPLE v. CHAVEZ (LORENZO)

Issues ordered limited

The issues to be briefed and argued are limited to the following: 1. Does Penal Code section 1203.4 eliminate a trial court's discretion under Penal Code section 1385 to dismiss a matter in the interests of justice? 2. Do trial courts have authority to grant relief under Penal Code section 1385 after sentence has been imposed, judgment has been rendered, and any probation has been completed? (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.520(a)(6).)

S190636

PEOPLE v. HALVORSEN (ARTHUR HANS)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Assistant State Public Defender Jessica K. McGuire's representation that the appellant's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by December 15, 2017, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to May 8, 2017. After that date, only four further extensions totaling about 222 additional days are contemplated. An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S224408

PEOPLE v. RAMIREZ (RICHARD RAYMOND)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to April 28, 2017.

BAR MISC. 4186 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE COMMITTEE OF BAR EXAMINERS OF THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA FOR ADMISSION OF ATTORNEYS (MOTION NO. 1,300)

The written motion of the Committee of Bar Examiners that the following named applicants, who have fulfilled the requirements for admission to practice law in the State of California, be admitted to the practice of law in this state is hereby granted, with permission to the applicants to take the oath before a competent officer at another time and place:

(SEE ORIGINAL APPLICATION FOR THE LIST OF NAMES ATTACHED.)



SUPREME COURT OF CALIFORNIA ORAL ARGUMENT CALENDAR LOS ANGELES SESSION APRIL 4 and 5, 2017

The following cases are placed upon the calendar of the Supreme Court for hearing at its courtroom in the Ronald Reagan State Office Building, 300 South Spring Street, Third Floor, North Tower, Los Angeles, California on April 4 and 5, 2017.

TUESDAY, APRIL 4, 2017 — 1:30 P.M.

- (1) People v. Superior Court of Riverside County (Hossain Sahlolbei, Real Party in Interest), S232639
- (2) Jacks (Rolland) et al. v. City of Santa Barbara, S225589
- (3) People v. Chaney (Clifford Paul) S223676, and People v. Valencia (David John) S223825 (Consolidated Cases)

WEDNESDAY, APRIL 5, 2017 — 9:00 A.M.

- (4) Ryan (Steve) v. Rosenfeld (Mitchell), S232582
- (5) Parrish (William) et al. v. Latham & Watkins, et al., S228277
- (6) 926 North Ardmore Avenue v. County of Los Angeles, S222329

1:30 P.M.

- (7) In re Bell (Steven M.) on Habeas Corpus [Automatic Appeal], S151362
- (8) K.R. v. Superior Court of Sacramento County (People, Real Party in Interest), S231709
- (9) People v. Hopson (Ruthetta Lois), S228193

CANTIL-SAKAUYE	
Chief Justice	

If exhibits are to be transmitted to this court, counsel must apply to the court for permission. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.224(c).