SUPREME COURT MINUTES WEDNESDAY, MARCH 22, 2017 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S239397 F072310 Fifth Appellate District

NATIONAL SHOOTING SPORTS FOUNDATION, INC. v. STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Petition for review granted

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Werdegar, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

S239434 B268963 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 MOALEM (MODY) v. GERARD (JULIA)

Petition for review granted; issues limited

The petition for review is granted. The issues to be briefed and argued are limited to the following:

- (1) Is negligent or intentional action a necessary element of a cause of action for abatement of a natural condition-private nuisance based on a failure, or omission to act and, if so, should tree encroachment cases be exempted from this rule?
- (2) Assuming negligence is required, can negligence be demonstrated under the circumstances of this case? Does it matter that defendant owned both parcels of land when the tree was maturing?
- (3) Who should bear the expense of tree removal when it is infeasible to remove only the encroaching parts of an otherwise healthy tree that overhangs a neighbor's premises? Should the tree owner be compensated for the loss of an otherwise healthy tree that is found to create a nuisance?
- (4) When, if ever, is it proper for a defendant to raise the issue of comparative negligence in private nuisance actions? (See *Tint v. Sanborn* (1989) 211 Cal.App.3d 1225, and *Kafka v. Bozio* (1923) 191 Cal. 746, 748.) Is the fact that part of the subject tree was encroaching on the property before plaintiffs purchased it a relevant consideration?

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Werdegar, Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

S239510

PITZER COLLEGE v. INDIAN HARBOR INSURANCE COMPANY

Request for certification granted

The court grants the request, made pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.548, that this court decide a question of California law presented in a matter pending in the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit. Pursuant to rule 8.548(f)(5) of the California Rules of Court, this court restates the certified questions as follows: (1) "Is California's common law notice-

prejudice rule a fundamental public policy for the purpose of choice-of-law analysis?" (2) "If the notice-prejudice rule is a fundamental public policy for the purpose of choice-of-law analysis, can the notice-prejudice rule apply to the consent provision in this case?"

For the purposes of briefing and oral argument, plaintiff Pitzer College is deemed the petitioner in this court. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.520(a)(6).)

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Werdegar, Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

S239777 G052660 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3

NEWPORT HARBOR VENTURES, LLC v. MORRIS CERULLO WORLD EVANGELISM

Petition for review granted

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Werdegar, Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

S239436 F070324 Fifth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. MENDOZA (BENJAMIN)

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Ruiz*, S235556 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Werdegar, Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

S239442 B245657 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 PEOPLE v. MERAZ (JUAN RAMON)

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

Bibiano's and Meraz's petitions for review are granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of related issues in *People v. Arzate*, S238032, and *People v. Padilla*, S239454 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court. Chambasis's petition for review is denied. It is ordered that the opinion in the above-titled appeal, published at 6 Cal.App.5th 1162, remain precedential. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(e)(3).)

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Werdegar, Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

S239567 B267494 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 PEOPLE v. LOPEZ (JEFFREY RENE)

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Maita*, S230957 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule

8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Werdegar, Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

S239594 B261370 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 PEOPLE v. CORDER (BRIAN BOSEMAN)

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

Crutchfield's petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Mateo*, S232674 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court. Corder's and Carmouche's petitions for review are denied.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Werdegar, Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

S239630 F069302 Fifth Appellate District

STAND UP FOR CALIFORNIA! v. STATE OF CALIFORNIA (NORTH FORK RANCHERIA OF MONO INDIANS)

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The application to appear pro hac vice is granted.

The petitions for review are granted. Further action is this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *United Auburn Indian Community of Auburn Rancheria v. Brown* (S238544) (see Cal. rules of Court, rule 8.524 (c)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.528, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Werdegar, Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

S239744 B263067 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. MARTINEZ (FRANK REYNALDO)

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Page*, S230793 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Werdegar, Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

S239826 B259708 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. GARCIA (LUIS ERASMO)

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Canizales*, S221958 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Werdegar, Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

S240025 B264913 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 PEOPLE v. CAMPBELL (RAYMOND)

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Chaney*, S223676, and *People v. Valencia*, S223825 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Werdegar, Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

S240053 F071015 Fifth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. BENNETT (JOEL ALONZO)

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Chaney*, S223676, and *People v. Valencia*, S223825 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Werdegar, Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

S240119 H041939 Sixth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. SANDOVAL (BRAULIO CORTEZ)

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *In re Ricardo P.*, S230923 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Werdegar, Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

S239979 A150264 First Appellate District, Div. 1

NATIONWIDE BIWEEKLY ADMINISTRATION INC v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

Petition for review granted; transferred to Court of Appeal, First Appellate District, Division One, with directions to issue an order to show cause

The petition for review is granted. The matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, First Appellate District, Division One, with directions to vacate its February 1, 2017, order denying the petition for writ of mandate and to issue an order to show cause why defendant does not have a right to a jury trial where the government seeks to enforce the civil penalties authorized under Business and Professions Code sections 17206 and 17536 and Financial Code section 12105, subdivision (d).

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Werdegar, Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

S220280 H039825 Sixth Appellate District IN RE A.S.

Dismissed and remanded to Court of Appeal, Sixth Appellate District

Review in the above-captioned matter, which was granted and held for *People v. Hall* (2017) 63 Cal.4th 665, is hereby dismissed. (Cal. Rules of Court, Rule 8.528(b).)

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Werdegar, Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

S223526 B256407 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 BECKERING (WANDA L.) v. SHELL OIL COMPANY

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Three, after hold The matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Three, with directions to vacate its decision and to reconsider the cause in light of *Kesner v. Superior Court* (2016) 1 Cal.5th 1132. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(d).)

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Werdegar, Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

S227665 C075352 Third Appellate District

PEOPLE v. FISCH (SEAN MICHAEL)

Dismissed and remanded to Court of Appeal, Third Appellate District Review in the above-captioned matter, which was granted and held for *People v. Hall* (2017) 63 Cal.4th 665, is hereby dismissed. (Cal. Rules of Court, Rule 8.528(b).) Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Werdegar, Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

S229205 A140435 First Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. GUZMAN (JESUS MACIAS)

Dismissed and remanded to Court of Appeal, First Appellate District, Division Two Review in the above-captioned matter, which was granted and held for *People v. Hall* (2017) 63 Cal.4th 665, is hereby dismissed. (Cal. Rules of Court, Rule 8.528(b).)

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Werdegar, Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

S229265 C077746 Third Appellate District

PEOPLE v. ENDICOTT, JR., (TIMOTHY EUGENE)

Dismissed and remanded to Court of Appeal, Third Appellate District Review in the above-captioned matter, which was granted and held for *People v. Hall* (2017) 63 Cal.4th 665, is hereby dismissed. (Cal. Rules of Court, Rule 8.528(b).) Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Werdegar, Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

S229271 C075960 Third Appellate District

PEOPLE v. ACCREDITED SURETY & CASUALTY CO. INC.

Dismissed and remanded to Court of Appeal, Third Appellate District Review in the above-captioned matter is hereby dismissed. (Cal. Rules of court, rule 8.528(b).) Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Werdegar, Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

S230301 A143437 First Appellate District, Div. 1 IN RE JONATHAN L.

Dismissed and remanded to Court of Appeal, First Appellate District, Division One Review in the above-captioned matter, which was granted and held for *People v. Hall* (2017) 63 Cal.4th 665, is hereby dismissed. (Cal. Rules of Court, Rule 8.528(b).)

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Werdegar, Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

S230961 H040838 Sixth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. CHIANG (SHENG HUANG)

Dismissed and remanded to Court of Appeal, Sixth Appellate District Review in the above-captioned matter, which was granted and held for *People v. Hall* (2017) 63 Cal.4th 665, is hereby dismissed. (Cal. Rules of Court, Rule 8.528(b).) Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Werdegar, Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

S231723 A141836 First Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. GAINES (DERON DIAMOND)

Dismissed and remanded to Court of Appeal, First Appellate District, Division One Review in the above-captioned matter, which was granted and held for *People v. Hall* (2017) 63 Cal.4th 665, is hereby dismissed. (Cal. Rules of Court, Rule 8.528(b).) Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Werdegar, Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

S232382 A144612 First Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. SCHNEIDER (MICHAEL THEODORE)

Dismissed and remanded to Court of Appeal, First Appellate District, Division One Review in the above-captioned matter, which was granted and held for *People v. Hall* (2017) 63 Cal.4th 665, is hereby dismissed. (Cal. Rules of Court, Rule 8.528(b).)

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Werdegar, Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

S232851 A143728 First Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. MOORE (MICHAEL STUART)

Dismissed and remanded to Court of Appeal, First Appellate District, Division One Review in the above-captioned matter, which was granted and held for *People v. Hall* (2017) 63 Cal.4th 665, is hereby dismissed. (Cal. Rules of Court, Rule 8.528(b).) Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Werdegar, Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

S234295 A143376 First Appellate District, Div. 5 IN RE R.C.

Dismissed and remanded to Court of Appeal, First Appellate District, Division Five Review in the above-captioned matter, which was granted and held for *People v. Hall* (2017) 63 Cal.4th 665, is hereby dismissed. (Cal. Rules of Court, Rule 8.528(b).) Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Werdegar, Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

S234928 A145340 First Appellate District, Div. 2 IN RE E.N.

Dismissed and remanded to Court of Appeal, First Appellate District, Division Two Review in the above-captioned matter, which was granted and held for *People v. Hall* (2017) 63 Cal.4th 665, is hereby dismissed. (Cal. Rules of Court, Rule 8.528(b).) Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Werdegar, Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

S235635 H041847 Sixth Appellate District PEOPLE v. NICE (STEVEN ANDREW)

Dismissed and remanded to Court of Appeal, Sixth Appellate District Review in the above-captioned matter, which was granted and held for *People v. Hall* (2017) 63 Cal.4th 665, is hereby dismissed. (Cal. Rules of Court, Rule 8.528(b).) Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Werdegar, Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

S236327 A144172/A145148 First Appellate District, Div. 2 IN RE LARRY N.

Dismissed and remanded to Court of Appeal, First Appellate District, Division Two Review in the above-captioned matter, which was granted and held for *People v. Hall* (2017) 63 Cal.4th 665, is hereby dismissed. (Cal. Rules of Court, Rule 8.528(b).) Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Werdegar, Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

S237208 A145411 First Appellate District, Div. 4 **IN RE ANA C.** Transferred to Court of Appeal, First Appellate District, Division Four, after hold

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, First Appellate District, Division Four, for reconsideration in light of the decision in *People v. Hall* (2017) 63 Cal.4th 665.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Werdegar, Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

S238034 A147225 First Appellate District, Div. 1 IN RE D.W. Dismissed and remanded to Court of Appeal, First Appellate District, Division One Review in the above-captioned matter, which was granted and held for *People v. Hall* (2017) 63 Cal.4th 665, is hereby dismissed. (Cal. Rules of Court, Rule 8.528(b).) Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Werdegar, Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

S238344 C079346/C079492 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. BISHOP (CYNTHIA JENNIFER)

Dismissed and remanded to Court of Appeal, Third Appellate District Review in the above-captioned matter, which was granted and held for *People v. Hall* (2017) 63 Cal.4th 665, is hereby dismissed. (Cal. Rules of Court, Rule 8.528(b).) Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Werdegar, Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

S238688 D070173 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 **PEOPLE v. PEREZ (BRIAN)** Dismissed and remanded to Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division One Review in the above-captioned matter, which was granted and held for *People v. Hall* (2017) 63 Cal.4th 665, is hereby dismissed. (Cal. Rules of Court, Rule 8.528(b).) Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Werdegar, Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

S239389 B259915 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 PEOPLE v. JACKSON III (AMOS)

Petitions for review denied

S239404 A137606 First Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. TOSCANO (EVARISTO)

Petition for review denied

S239487 H044081 Sixth Appellate District CHATMAN (ERIK SANFORD) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

The petition for review filed January 13, 2017, is denied. Petitioner's "Motion for Order Directing California Sixth District Court of Appeal to Transmit Sealed Records," filed February 8, 2017, is denied.

B278594 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 TRI-COUNTY MEDICAL S239496 GROUP, INC. v. WORKERS' **COMPENSATION APPEALS BOARD & TECH FLEX** Petition for review denied **S239522** A141572/A142786 First Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. McDANIEL (REMON MANWELL) Petition for review denied **569 EAST COUNTY** D068538 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 S239531 **BOULEVARD LLC v. BACKCOUNTRY AGAINST** THE DUMP, INC. Petition for review & depublication request(s) denied S239612 C080760 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. TRAMMEL (BRANDON ROBERT) Petition for review denied S239613 B263988 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 PEOPLE v. RHEE (RONALD) Petition for review denied S239625 B279269 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 STEVENSON (STEVIE J.) ON H.C. Petition for review denied S239629 D068957 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. LOPEZ (RAYMOND JOHN) Petition for review denied S239641 A144707 First Appellate District, Div. 5 T. (LACY) v. OAKLAND **RAIDERS (JENNY C.)**

S239646 B279752 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 STEVENSON (STEVIE J.) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

The petition for writ of mandate is denied.

S239668 C083743 Third Appellate District SIMMS (ROBERT LEE) ON H.C.

Petition for review denied

S239676 F069690 Fifth Appellate District PEOPLE v. ARGUELLO (ERIC)

Petitions for review denied

S239677 C071882 Third Appellate District MENDOZA (MARIA C.) v. JPMORGAN CHASE BANK,

N.A.

RUBEN)

Petition for review denied

S239682 H041520 Sixth Appellate District PEOPLE v. CASTILLO (JOSE

GUADALUPE)

Petition for review denied

S239687 G051068 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. BYERS (DAVID

SHEA)
Petition for review denied

S239711 E053314 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. URIBE (DAVID

Petition for review denied

S239751 B265137 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 PEOPLE v. CAYETANO (RENE)

Petition for review denied

S239757 A148567 First Appellate District, Div. 5 IN RE J.S.

TETER (LONNY) v. COUNTY S239763 A148642 First Appellate District, Div. 5 **OF LAKE** Petition for review denied S239772 B262118 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 SAINZ (STEVE) v. CITY OF LOS ANGELES Petition for review denied S239775 H042103 Sixth Appellate District WAN (WAYNE JENN-WEI) v. SOLARCITY CORPORATION Petition for review & publication request(s) denied S239780 F072989 Fifth Appellate District **ROSS (ALVIN R.) v. CASTRO** $(\mathbf{E}.)$ Petition for review denied S239795 B278873 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 TARKINGTON (ANTHONY) v. S.C. (PEOPLE) Petition for review denied S239798 F074722 Fifth Appellate District HERRERA (RUBEN) ON H.C. Petition for review denied S239799 E067426 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 HUSAIN (SYED) v. **WORKERS' COMPENSATION APPEALS BOARD & 3M COMPANY** Petition for review denied S239809 C083780 Third Appellate District CRAMER (DAVID) v. S.C (OTTO) Petition for review denied

B279669 Second Appellate District, Div. 6

LARIOS (CRYSTAL) v. S.C.

(PEOPLE)

Petition for review denied

S239852

S239872 C083745 Third Appellate District JOHNSON (TIMOTHY) ON H.C.

Petition for review denied

S239877 B279977 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 BROADBOOKS (CENTHIA) v. S.C. (POLIAKIN)

Petition for review denied

S239879 D068582 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. CADY (WILLIAM DANIEL)

Petition for review denied

S239885 B279888 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 LOS ANGELES, CITY OF v. S.C. (COLEMAN)

Petition for review denied

S239912 A145494 First Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. DAVIS (DON A.)

Petition for review denied

S239922 H041917 Sixth Appellate District PEOPLE v. RODEWALD (JOHN THEODORE)

Petition for review denied

S239934 B265018 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 VAUGHN (JACK) v. DARWISH (BARBARA)

Petition for review denied

S239952 F070763 Fifth Appellate District PEOPLE v. WHITE (ROBERT

JONATHAN)

Petition for review denied

S239981 B268828 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 PEOPLE v. GAO (XIN)

S239994	B254702 Second Appellate District, Div. 6	PEOPLE v. MADRIGAL (ANTONIO)
Petition for review denied		
S239999	B264240 Second Appellate District, Div. 7	GOMEZ (ROBERTO) v. REAL ESTATE CONSULTING & SERVICES, INC.
Petition for review denied		
S240001 Petition for re	B257741 Second Appellate District, Div. 6	PEOPLE v. FLORES (RANDOLPH)
Telidon for review defined		
S240009	D069745 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1	PEOPLE v. GARRETT (JAMES)
Petition for review denied		
S240011	E064047 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2	PEOPLE v. CARTER (ALFRED)
Petition for review denied		
S240022	D069187 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1	JOHN DOE v. MERRY GO AROUND CHILD
Petition for review denied DEVELOPMENT CENTER		
S240024	H042861 Sixth Appellate District	PEOPLE v. BURNETT
Petition for review denied (CARLOS)		
S240028	B267272 Second Appellate District, Div. 5	PEOPLE v. TOPPS
	••	(GREGORY DEMON)
Petition for review denied		

S240029 H041971 Sixth Appellate District PEOPLE v. CARRERO (LUKE JAMES)

Petition for review denied

S240031 F070804 Fifth Appellate District WOODS (KARLA & TODD MATTHEW), MARRIAGE OF

Petition for review denied

S240032 RODRIGUEZ (PEDRO) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

Petition for writ of mandate/prohibition denied

S240040 D068136 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 SILVA (PAMELA) v. SEE'S CANDY SHOPS, INC.

Petition for review denied

S240041 A145297 First Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. HICKS (WILLIAM R.)

Petition for review denied

S240043 E064854 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. CRUZ (FRANCISCO JAVIER)

Petition for review denied

S240046 B264498 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. CRISHON (SEAN ANTHONY)

Petition for review denied

S240047 E065810 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. READY (PATRICK RUSSELL)

Petition for review denied

S240049 B268602 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. LIN (XIA)

S240051 B268524 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 PEOPLE v. KRATLIAN (SCOTT)

Petition for review denied

S240052 E063705 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. PEREZ (PEDRO)

Petition for review denied

S240054 B263940 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 PEOPLE v. PARKER (DERREL ANTHONY)

Petition for review denied

S240071 JOHN DOE v. STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Petition for writ of mandate/prohibition denied

Petitioner's application to file the unredacted petition for writ of mandate and the declaration in support of proceeding under a pseudonym under seal is granted. (Cal. Rules of Court, rules 2.550(d), 8.46(d).) In ordering the sealing, this court makes the findings required by California Rules of Court, rules 2.550(d) and 8.46(e). The clerk of this court is directed to file the unredacted petition for writ of mandate and the declaration in support of proceeding under a pseudonym under seal.

The petition for writ of mandate/prohibition is denied without prejudice to refiling in an appropriate court.

S240085 B266336 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 PEOPLE v. LAWRENCE

(RICHARD)

Petition for review denied

S240087 E064111 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. TATE, JR., (RICHARD DUANE)

Petition for review denied

S240091 H041051 Sixth Appellate District PEOPLE v. GEARHART

(KEVIN ALBERT)

S240207 STEVENSON (GENGHIS

KHAN ALI) v. COURT OF

APPEAL, FOURTH

APPELLATE DISTRICT, DIVISION ONE (PEOPLE)

Petition for writ of mandate/prohibition denied

S240258 RODRIGUEZ (PEDRO) v. S.C.

(PEOPLE)

Petition for writ of mandate/prohibition denied

S240260 WILLIS (ROBERT) v. COURT

OF APPEAL, SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT, DIVISION ONE (PEOPLE)

Petition for writ of mandate/prohibition denied

S240564 MURPHY (JOSHUA) v.

JOLLY (NICOLE)

The petition for writ of certiorari and application for stay are denied.

S235980 PHILLIP (JAMES E.) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S236669 STEWART (TRAVON) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S236673 FAIRLY (CHISI RAY) ON

H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769.)

S236689 McLAURIN (ANTHONY D.)

ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S236691 RODRIGUEZ (RAUL) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S236694 BRAY (DESHUN DARNELL)
ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769.)

S236709 BUCHANAN (JOHN ANTHONY) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474.)

S238735 MOORE (EARL KEVIN) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S238876 McKISSICK (RANDAL) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S239052 CRAVER (ANDRE) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S239161 GARCIA, JR., (OMAR) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S239322 ONTIVEROS (EDWARD) ON

Н.С.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S239332 JONES (DANIEL L.) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S239425 RODRIGUEZ (RAYMOND) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S239484 WOOD (BENJAMIN RANDOLPH) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S239495 McPHERSON (BARRY ROBERT) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S239550 CREW (ROBERT J.) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S239750 GAY (OMAR SHARRIEFF) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied without prejudice to any relief to which petitioner might be entitled after this court decides *Butler on Habeas Corpus*, S237014.

S239754 JOHNSON (WILLIAM) ON

H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Dexter* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 921, 925-926.)

S239762 WATKINS (NOEL KEITH)

ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S239764 BOWELL (JAMES EDWARD)

ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S239805 HARMON (DARYL) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769.)

WRIGHT (DONALD GENE) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769.)

S239831

SALINAS (JUAN MAURICIO)

ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S239833

CRAMER (JAMES) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S239839

COOPER (TRAVIS) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769.)

S239849

HILL (KENNETH) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769.)

S239850

VENABLE, JR., (ELVIS) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S239883

SINGH (HARMEET) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied without prejudice to any relief to which petitioner might be entitled after this court decides *Butler on Habeas Corpus*, S237014.

S239903

GUTIERREZ (GERARD J.)

ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S239909

NARCISSE (DJOLIBA) ON

H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769.)

GARCIA (MARIO) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S240064

CRAMER (JAMES) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767—769.)

S240130

RIVERA (CORNICE W.) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S240165

SOKOLSKY (MARK S.) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus & application for stay denied

S240210

AGUIRRE (CESAR JULIAN)

ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus & application for stay denied

S240524

B280609 Second Appellate District, Div. 5

SALAZAR (BRYAN) v. S.C.

(PEOPLE)

Stay order filed

To permit consideration of the petition for review filed herein, all further proceedings in *People v. Salazar*, Los Angeles County Superior Court No. PA086432, are hereby stayed pending further order of this court.

S239465

B259619 Second Appellate District, Div. 7

COMCON PRODUCTION

SERVICES I, INC. v.

CALIFORNIA FRANCHISE

TAX BOARD

Publication request denied (case closed)

S239529

B270165 Second Appellate District, Div. 1

IN RE C.W.

Publication request denied (case closed)

S239574 D069068 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 GORE (WILLIAM D.) v. SAN

DIEGO COUNTY CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION (KNIGHT)

Publication request denied (case closed)

S239769 B276363 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 IN RE ZOEY T.

Publication request denied (case closed)

S239783 B257704 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 CADY (KIMBERLEY) v.

COOPER (HELEN)

Publication request denied (case closed)

S240217 G050759 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 NAVIGATORS SPECIALTY

INSURANCE COMPANY v.

MOOREFIELD

CONSTRUCTION, INC.

Depublication request denied (case closed)

The request for an order directing depublication of the opinion in the above-entitled appeal is denied. The court declines to review this matter on its own motion. The matter is now final.

S239226 B269374 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 McNEAL (PETER) ON H.C. The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to

April 27, 2017.

S239560 B258927 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. DEAN (LAMARR

D.)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to April 27, 2017.

S239664 D071546 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 **HARRISON (JOHN) ON H.C.** The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to April 21, 2017.

S239685 B270796 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 VEERA (SAJIID) v. BANANA REPUBLIC, LLC

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to April 24, 2017.

S239691 A149877 First Appellate District, Div. 1 **TYSON (MORGAN) ON H.C.** The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to April 25, 2017.

S239697 F070832 Fifth Appellate District GONZALES (GENOVEVO) v. CITY OF ATWATER;
CARRIZALES (MICHELLE)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to April 25, 2017.

S239737 B264137 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 RIVERA (RUTH) v. FOSTER FARMS

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to April 27, 2017.

S239745 D071585 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 COLLINS (JOE ALAN) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to April 27, 2017.

S052210 PEOPLE v. RODRIGUEZ (JERRY)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Cliff Gardner's representation that the appellant's reply brief is anticipated to be filed by July 10, 2017, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to May 1, 2017. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 69 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

PEOPLE v. SHERMANTINE, JR., (WESLEY HOWARD)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to May 15, 2017.

S162197

PEOPLE v. VICTORIANNE (JAVIER WILLIAM)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Senior Deputy State Public Defender Sara Theiss's representation that the appellant's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by September 15, 2017, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to May 15, 2017. After that date, only two further extensions totaling 122 additional days will be granted. Counsel is ordered to inform her supervising attorney of this schedule and to make all steps necessary to meet it.

S178464

PEOPLE v. MARTINEZ (SANTIAGO)

Application to file over-length brief granted

Good cause appearing, appellant's "Request for Permission to File Oversized Appellant's Opening Brief," filed March 15, 2017, is granted. Appellant's opening brief must not exceed 135,000 words.

S185140

PEOPLE v. HEARD (JAMES MATTHEW)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Jonathan P. Milberg's representation that the appellant's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by December 1, 2017, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to May 16, 2017. After that date, only four further extensions totaling about 198 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S191346

PEOPLE v. BERNOUDY (KEVIN)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to May 15, 2017.

DUONG (ANH THE) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Susan Garvey's representation that the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is anticipated to be filed by May 19, 2017, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to May 19, 2017. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S235357 B256792 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 LOPEZ (DOMINQUE) v. SONY ELECTRONICS, INC.

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply brief on the merits is extended to April 17, 2017

S237734 B270503 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 **HOPKINS (TERENCE** WILLIAM) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

Extension of time granted

On application of petitioner and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the opening brief on the merits is extended to April 19, 2017.

B259259 Second Appellate District, Div. 8

PEOPLE v. ARZATE (ALBERT)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the appellant's opening brief on the merits is extended to April 4, 2017.

S238309

S238032

BRIGGS (RON) v. BROWN (JERRY)

Extension of time denied

The application of interveners filed on March 20, 2017, for an extension of time to file replies to amicus briefs, is denied.

Please be advised that the provisions of rule 8.25(b)(3) of California Rules of Court do not apply. (See California Rules of Court, rule 8.25(b)(4).)

S238488

FROST (SHAWN KEVIN) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the informal response is extended to April 24, 2017.

S240044 C078960 Third Appellate District

PEOPLE v. GONZALES (CRAIG DANNY)

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the opening brief on the merits is extended to April 17, 2017.

S188035

PEOPLE v. JENNINGS (GLENN WADE)

Counsel appointment order filed

On the court's own motion, the order appointing Joseph Schlesinger, in his capacity as the Executive Director of the California Appellate Project in San Francisco, as interim appellate counsel of record for appellant Glenn Wade Jennings, filed December 14, 2016, is hereby vacated. Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Sharon Fleming is hereby appointed to represent appellant Glenn Wade Jennings for the direct appeal in the above automatic appeal now pending in this court.

S219382

PEOPLE v. THOMAS (HILBERT PINEIL)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Jeanne Keevan-Lynch is hereby appointed to represent appellant Hilbert P. Thomas for the direct appeal in the above automatic appeal now pending in this court.

S238634 B266328 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 **PEOPLE v. GARCIA (ISAAC)** Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Janet Gray is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S238945 B278777 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 GARCIA (JAIME) ON H.C.

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of petitioner for appointment of counsel, Verna Wefald is hereby appointed to represent petitioner on the appeal now pending in this court.

S239269 E065166 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. AVALOS (EDWARD LEVI)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Athena Shudde is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

PEOPLE v. YOUNG (DONALD RAY) & YOUNG (TIMOTHY JAMES)

Motion to unseal record denied

Appellant Donald Ray Young's "Motion to Unseal Portions of Clerk's Transcript for Record Correction and Inclusion in Appellant's Opening Brief," filed on January 31, 2017, is denied without prejudice to filing in the superior court a motion to correct the record under California Rules of Court, rule 8.622(a) and (b).

S156877

PEOPLE v. BROTHERS (VINCENT EDWARD)

Record augmentation denied

Respondent's "Motion to Augment the Record," and "Motion to Unseal Affidavits, Application, and Orders for Wiretap Numbers 03-08, 03-09, and 03-10," both filed on January 10, 2017, are denied without prejudice to respondent filing in the superior court a notice of omission (Cal. Rules of Court, rules 8.634(c) and 8.155(b)) and a motion to unseal.

S065233

PEOPLE v. SMITH (FLOYD DANIEL)

Order filed

Appellant's "Motion for Leave to File Appellant's Supplemental Opening Brief," filed on March 17, 2017, is granted. The Clerk is directed to file the "Appellant's Supplemental Opening Brief," received on March 17, 2017. Because this is not a supplemental brief authorized by rules 8.630(d) and 8.520(d) of the California Rules of Court, appellant's "Motion for Leave to File Oversized Supplemental Opening Brief," filed on March 17, 2017, is denied as unnecessary. Respondent may serve and file a supplemental respondent's brief no later than 30 days from the date of this order. Appellant may serve and file a supplemental reply brief no later than 30 days after the date respondent's supplemental brief is filed.

S222329 B248536 Se

B248536 Second Appellate District, Div. 7

926 NORTH ARDMORE AVENUE v. COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

Order filed

The request of respondent to allocate to amici curiae County of Tehama and Jennifer A. Vise 20 minutes of respondent's 30-minute allotted time for oral argument is granted.

PEOPLE v. STANLEY (GERALD FRANK)

Order filed

The Clerk is directed to strike the pro se document filed on February 2, 2017, and return it to appellant. (*In re Barnett* (2003) 31 Cal.4th 466, 474.)

S236164

H041615 Sixth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. SOTO (JUAQUIN GARCIA)

Order filed

Due to clerical error, the order filed in the above matter on March 14, 2017, is amended to read as follows:

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply brief on the merits is extended to April 10, 2017. No further extensions of time are contemplated

S240209 C075937/C076323 Third Appellate District

PEOPLE v. STEARMAN (DANNY JOE)

Order filed

The application of appellant David Earl Bristow to withdraw his March 9, 2017, Petition for Review to Exhaust State Remedies, is granted. The Petition for Review submitted on March 20, 2017, in its stead, is filed with permission.

S240033 ACCUSATION OF NISHIMURA

Petition denied (accusation)

S240036 ACCUSATION OF PAGE

Petition denied (accusation)

S240075 ACCUSATION OF GARCIA

Petition denied (accusation)

S240187 ACCUSATION OF

GUTANSKY, JR.

Petition denied (accusation)

SKLAR ON DISCIPLINE

Petition for review denied; recommended discipline imposed

Petitioner's request for judicial notice is granted in part and denied in part. The court grants petitioner's request that judicial notice be taken of the following pages of the volume of documents submitted with the request: 1-254, 257-265, 267-288, 293-298, and 300-305. The court denies petitioner's request that judicial notice be taken of the other pages of the volume of documents submitted with the request.

The petition for review is denied.

The court orders that LORI JO SKLAR, State Bar Number 170218, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and she is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. LORI JO SKLAR is suspended from the practice of law for the first 30 days of probation;
- 2. LORI JO SKLAR must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Review Department of the State Bar Court in its opinion filed on October 28, 2016; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if LORI JO SKLAR has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

LORI JO SKLAR must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S239369

WARD ON DISCIPLINE

Petition for review denied; recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that WILLIAM JOSEPH WARD, State Bar Number 113849, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. WILLIAM JOSEPH WARD is suspended from the practice of law for the first six months of probation. He is given credit for the six months of actual suspension that he served from April 18, 2016 to October 18, 2016.
- 2. WILLIAM JOSEPH WARD must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on October 19, 2016, except for condition F(2). Ward need not comply with condition F(2), which appears on page 6 of the stipulation, under the heading "Other Conditions Negotiated by the Parties."
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if WILLIAM JOSEPH WARD has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

WILLIAM JOSEPH WARD must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of

such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-third of the costs must be paid with his membership fees for each of the years 2018, 2019, and 2020. If WILLIAM JOSEPH WARD fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

The petition for review filed on January 20, 2017, is denied as moot.

S239410

REINER ON DISCIPLINE

Petition for review denied; disbarred

The petition for review and request for judicial notice are denied.

The court orders that MARTIN BARNETT REINER, State Bar Number 144024, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys. MARTIN BARNETT REINER must comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S204763

DOZORSKY ON DISCIPLINE

Motion denied – WOLODYMYR Y. DOZORSKY

The Petition to Reopen Case for a Statement of Decision, filed on February 14, 2017, is denied.

BAR MISC. 4186 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE COMMITTEE OF BAR EXAMINERS OF THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA FOR ADMISSION OF ATTORNEYS (MOTION NO. 1,303)

The written motion of the Committee of Bar Examiners that the following named applicants, who have fulfilled the requirements for admission to practice law in the State of California, be admitted to the practice of law in this state is hereby granted, with permission to the applicants to take the oath before a competent officer at another time and place:

(SEE ORIGINAL APPLICATION FOR THE LIST OF NAMES ATTACHED.)

A146000

First Appellate District

FAULKNER (SAMANTHA) v. HUGHES (ALEXANDER)

The above-entitled matter, now pending in the Court of Appeal, First Appellate District is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District.

A147234

First Appellate District

FAULKNER (SAMANTHA) v. HUGHES (ALEXANDER)

The above-entitled matter, now pending in the Court of Appeal, First Appellate District is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District.