# SUPREME COURT MINUTES FRIDAY, MARCH 24, 2017 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S107653

PEOPLE v. CRAWFORD (CHARLES EDWARD)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel David Joseph Macher's representation that the appellant's reply brief is anticipated to be filed by November 20, 2017, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to May 22, 2017. After that date, only three further extensions totaling about 183 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S121365

SNOW (PRENTICE JUAN) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Fred Renfroe's representation that the traverse is anticipated to be filed by May 7, 2018, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to May 26, 2017. After that date, only six further extensions totaling about 345 additional days will be granted.

S164370

PEOPLE v. VOLARVICH (BRENDT ANTHONY)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to May 22, 2017.

S178464

PEOPLE v. MARTINEZ (SANTIAGO)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Mark E. Cutler's representation that the appellant's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by June 15, 2017, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to May 19, 2017. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 26 additional days is contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

# PEOPLE v. CAIN (ANTHONY DEONDREA)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to May 20, 2017.

S180174

PEOPLE v. ALVAREZ (ALBERTO)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to May 15, 2017.

S185201

PEOPLE v. ALDANA (ROMAN GABRIEL)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Patricia A. Scott's representation that the appellant's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by October 31, 2017, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to May 19, 2017. After that date, only three further extensions totaling about 164 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S189296

PEOPLE v. PANIAGUA, JR., (RODRIGO ORTIZ)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to May 19, 2017.

S193669

NELSON (BERNARD ALBERT) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Geraldine S. Russell's representation that the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is anticipated to be filed by April 26, 2017, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to April 26, 2017. After that date, no further extension will be granted.

# BIVERT (KENNETH RAY) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Alice B. Lustre's representation that the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is anticipated to be filed by September 1, 2017, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to May 26, 2017. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 97 additional days are contemplated.

S217150

COOK (JOSEPH LLOYD) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Federal Public Defender Michael Parente's representation that the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is anticipated to be filed by June 26, 2017, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to May 26, 2017. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 30 additional days is contemplated.

S221227

RODRIGUEZ (ANGELINA) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General William H. Shin's representation that the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is anticipated to be filed by June 26, 2017, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to May 26, 2017. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 30 additional days is contemplated.

S229111

BELL (MICHAEL LEON) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Gary B. Wells's representation that the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is anticipated to be filed by May 19, 2017, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to May 19, 2017. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

# KENNEDY (JERRY NOBLE) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Alice Su's representation that the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is anticipated to be filed by April 29, 2017, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to May 1, 2017. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

#### S238372

MOLANO (CARL EDWARD) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Juliet B. Haley's representation that the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is anticipated to be filed by November 1, 2017, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to May 26, 2017. After that date, only three further extensions totaling about 158 additional days are contemplated.

#### S239513

SUAREZ (ARTURO JUAREZ) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Leif M. Dautch's representation that the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is anticipated to be filed by September 29, 2017, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to May 26, 2017. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 125 additional days are contemplated.

S041008

PEOPLE v. HOYOS (JAIME ARMANDO)

Motion for access to sealed record granted

The "Motion . . . For Permission to Inspect and Copy the Applications of State Habeas Counsel for Investigation Funds and This Court's Orders in Response," filed on February 16, 2017, is granted. Counsel for Jaime Armando Hoyos, Eric S. Multhaup, is granted access to the materials in the record of *People v. Hoyos*, No. S041008, related to appointed counsel's requests for payment of habeas corpus investigative expenses and this court's orders in response. Counsel must supply the personnel and equipment necessary to undertake the review and copying of the records, which must occur on the court's premises. Counsel must not release or cause to be released any of the sealed or confidential material or any of the information contained therein obtained pursuant to this order to anyone other than counsel's agents without a prior order of this court.

PEOPLE v. ALCALA (RODNEY JAMES)

Motion for access to sealed record granted

Respondent's unopposed "Application for Copies of Sealed Materials," filed on February 17, 2017, is granted. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.47(b)(2).) The Clerk is directed to provide copies of the following sealed items in the record on appeal to counsel for Respondent: (1) 7 CT 1849-1877; (2) 7 CT 1902-1971; (3) 8 CT 2261-2262; (4) 1 RT 30-75; (5) 1 RT 165-202; (6) 5 RT 950-970; (7) 6 RT 974-1024; (8) 6 RT 1061-1068; (9) 8 RT 1316-1437; (10) 8 RT 1438-1459; (11) 8 RT 1585-1615; (12) 9 RT 1626-1634; (13) 9 RT 1735-1769; (14) 9 RT 1776-1797; (15) 10 RT 1822-1835; and (16) 11 RT 2052-2065.

**S223603** D063288 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1

CLEVELAND NATIONAL FOREST FOUNDATION v. SAN DIEGO ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS (PEOPLE)

Request for judicial notice granted

The People's request for judicial notice, filed on November 12, 2015, is granted. Respondent San Diego Association of Governments' request for judicial notice, filed on November 13, 2015, is denied.

S230051 A144315 First Appellate District, Div. 5 FACEBOOK INC. v. S.C. (HUNTER)

Order filed

The application of real parties in interest for permission to file the untimely response to supplemental amicus curiae brief by Google, Inc., is hereby granted.

S230104 B235892 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 SCHER (JAIME A.) v. BURKE (JOHN)

Order filed

The request of counsel for defendants, appellants and respondents in the above-referenced cause to allow three counsel to argue on behalf of defendants, appellants and respondents at oral argument is hereby granted.

The request of defendants, appellants and respondents to allocate to Robert Gerstein 10 minutes, Joshua Hopstone 10 minutes and Ryan Squire 10 minutes of defendants', appellants' and respondents' 30-minute allotted time for oral argument is granted.

#### MANZANO ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that CATALINA LOREDO MANZANO, State Bar Number 191928, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that her name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

CATALINA LOREDO MANZANO must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

## S239600

#### TAYLOR ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that LLOYD TAYLOR, State Bar Number 56157, is summarily disbarred from the practice of law and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

LLOYD TAYLOR must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S240692

CERVANTES ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of FELIPE CORTEZ CERVANTES, State Bar Number 149917, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

### S240700

#### **DENNIS ON RESIGNATION**

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of TERRY DENNIS, State Bar Number 143283, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

TERRY DENNIS must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

#### HABER ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of JAN WEISS HABER, State Bar Number 94519, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S240706

BRIGGS, JR., ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of TEMPLETON BRIGGS, JR., State Bar Number 59173, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

TEMPLETON BRIGGS, JR., must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.