

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES
TUESDAY, MARCH 27, 2018
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

S130495**MASTERS (JARVIS J.) ON
H.C.**

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Richard I. Targow's representation that the petitioner's supplemental reply brief is anticipated to be filed by May 1, 2018, an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to May 1, 2018. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S137307**PEOPLE v. MORALES
(JOHNNY)**

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Senior Deputy State Public Defender C. Delaine Renard's representation that the appellant's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by October 31, 2018, an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to May 7, 2018. After that date, only three further extensions totaling 177 days will be granted. Counsel for appellant is ordered to inform her supervisor of this schedule and to take all steps necessary to meet it.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S151493**PEOPLE v. CARDENAS
(REFUGIO RUBEN)**

Extension of time granted

Based on counsel Deputy Attorney General Tia M. Coronado's representation that the respondent's brief is anticipated to be filed by July 5, 2018, an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to May 7, 2018. After that date, only one further extension totaling 59 additional days will be granted. Counsel for respondent is ordered to inform her supervising attorney of this schedule and to take all steps necessary to meet it.

S162506**PEOPLE v. CHAVEZ (JUAN
JOSE)**

Extension of time granted

Based upon Supervising Deputy State Public Defender Robin Kallman's representation that the appellant's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by May 8, 2018, an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to May 8, 2018. After that date, no further extension will be granted.

S164370**PEOPLE v. VOLARVICH
(BRENDT ANTHONY)**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to May 18, 2018.

S168441**PEOPLE v. McKNIGHT
(ANTHONY)**

Extension of time granted

Upon application of counsel, an extension of time in which to file the appellant's reply brief is granted to May 7, 2018. After that date, only two further extensions totaling 122 additional days will be granted. Counsel for appellant is ordered to inform his assisting attorney of this schedule and to take all steps necessary to meet it.

S169152**PEOPLE v. COLBERT
(TECUMSEH N.)**

Extension of time granted

Based upon Deputy Attorney General Christen Somerville's representation that the respondent's brief is anticipated to be filed by July 27, 2018, an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to May 29, 2018. After that date, only one further extensions totaling about 60 additional days is contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S175663**PEOPLE v. JONES (STEVEN
ANTHONY)**

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel David P. Lampkin's representation that the appellant's reply brief is anticipated to be filed by June 30, 2018, an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to May 18, 2018. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 46 additional days will be granted.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S185140**PEOPLE v. HEARD (JAMES
MATTHEW)**

Extension of time granted

Upon application of counsel, an extension of time in which to file the appellant's opening brief is granted to May 15, 2018. After that date, only one further extension totaling 50 additional days will be granted.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S185201**PEOPLE v. ALDANA
(ROMAN GABRIEL)**

Extension of time granted

Upon application of counsel, an extension of time in which to file the appellant's opening brief is granted to April 2, 2018. After that date, no further extension will be granted.

S185640**PEOPLE v. KELLEY (JIMMY
DALE)**

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Diane E. Berley's representation that the appellant's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by December 15, 2018, an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to May 15, 2018. After that date, only four further extensions totaling about 215 additional days will be granted.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S199311**GARCIA (RANDY EUGENE)
ON H.C.**

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Nisha K. Shah's representation that the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is anticipated to be filed by October 25, 2018, an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to May 29, 2018. After that date, only three further extensions totaling about 151 additional days are contemplated.

S200982**PEOPLE v. RONQUILLO
(GABRIEL ALEXANDER)**

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Conrad Petermann's representation that the appellant's reply brief is anticipated to be filed by August 1, 2018, an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to June 1, 2018. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 60 additional days is contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S206484**PEOPLE v. ESPINOZA
(PEDRO)**

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Debra S. Sabah Press's representation that the appellant's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by November 15, 2018, an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to May 18, 2018. After that date, only three further extensions totaling about 180 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S212699**PEOPLE v. MANZO (JESSE)**

Extension of time granted

Upon application of appellant, an extension of time in which to file the appellant's opening brief is granted to May 8, 2018. After that date only three further extensions totaling about 163 additional days will be granted.

S214649**PEOPLE v. WADE
(ANTHONY DARNELL)**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to May 29, 2018.

S222718**SMITH (DONALD
FRANKLIN) ON H.C.**

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Gary B. Wells's representation that the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is anticipated to be filed by September 27, 2018, an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to May 29, 2018. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 120 additional days will be granted.

S242630**BETTENCOURT (BENJAMIN
LEE) ON H.C.**

Extension of time granted

On application of petitioner and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply to the informal response is extended to April 16, 2018.

S242835 A144500 First Appellate District, Div. 1

**SAN FRANCISCO, CITY &
COUNTY OF v. REGENTS OF
THE UNIVERSITY OF
CALIFORNIA**

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent Board of Trustees of the California State University and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer brief on the merits is extended to March 27, 2018.

S243178

**CUMMINGS III (MILTON)
ON H.C.**

Extension of time granted

On application of petitioner and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply to informal response is extended to April 23, 2018.

S243443

**BROWN (STERLING) ON
H.C.**

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the informal response is extended to April 4, 2018.

S243964

**PUCKETT (JEREMY
PHILLIP) ON H.C.**

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the informal response is extended to May 25, 2018.

S244311

ADAMS (CEDRIC) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the informal response is extended to April 27, 2018.

S244630 A147564 First Appellate District, Div. 1

**OTO, L.L.C. v. KHO (KEN);
SU (JULIE A.)**

Extension of time granted

On application of intervener and appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the opening brief on the merits is extended to April 10, 2018.

S246490 A143026 First Appellate District, Div. 4**NOEL (DIANA NIEVES) v.
THRIFTY PAYLESS, INC.**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the opening brief on the merits is extended to May 14, 2018.

S246758**PANAH (HOOMAN ASHKAN)
ON H.C.**

Extension of time granted

Based upon Deputy Attorney General Ana R. Duarte's representation that the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is anticipated to be filed by April 25, 2018, an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to April 25, 2018. After that date, no further extension is contemplated. A reply may be served and filed 21 days after the informal response is filed.

S245912 A144079 First Appellate District, Div. 4**PEOPLE v. TABRON
(JOSEPH DANIEL)**

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant Joseph Daniel Tabron for appointment of counsel, Dirck Newbury is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S245912 A144079 First Appellate District, Div. 4**PEOPLE v. TABRON
(JOSEPH DANIEL)**

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant Joseph Robert Silva for appointment of counsel, Joseph Shipp is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S245912 A144079 First Appellate District, Div. 4**PEOPLE v. TABRON
(JOSEPH DANIEL)**

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant Joseph Manuel Castro for appointment of counsel, Janice Lagerlof is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S246037**PEOPLE v. MARTINEZ-
CARREON (WILLIAMS)**

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant Williams Martinez-Carreon for appointment of counsel, Robert Derham is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S246037**PEOPLE v. MARTINEZ-
CARREON (WILLIAMS)**

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant Armando Gil for appointment of counsel, Janet Gray is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S246465 A148997 First Appellate District, Div. 1**PEOPLE v. SHAW (LINDA)**

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Robert Vallandigham is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S246914**PEOPLE v. McCURDY
(JAMES CLAYTON)**

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Meredith Fahn is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S246983**WERTHEIMER (M. DAVID)
v. BECERRA (XAVIER)**

Transferred to Court of Appeal, First Appellate District

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, First Appellate District, for consideration in light of *Hagan v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition must be denied.

S246986**MEHTA (RAM) v. S.C.
(PEOPLE)**

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District.

S247002**ARRIOLA (ALBERT E.) v.
S.C. (PEOPLE)**

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, for consideration in light of *Hagan v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition must be denied.

S247104**BERETEH (MOHAMED) v.
S.C. (SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY
DEPARTMENT OF CHILD
SUPPORT SERVICES)**

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Third Appellate District

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Third Appellate District.

S247244**DAVIS (KENNARD LEE) v.
CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT
OF CORRECTIONS &
REHABILITATION
(PARAMO)**

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District.

S247361**CORREIA (JOE) v. S.C.
(PEOPLE)**

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Third Appellate District

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Third Appellate District.

S246437**ACHORD ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that DAVID THADDEUS ACHORD, State Bar Number 200703, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for one year subject to the following conditions:

1. DAVID THADDEUS ACHORD is suspended from the practice of law for the first 90 days of probation (with credit given for the period of his interim suspension which commenced on August 14, 2017);
2. DAVID THADDEUS ACHORD must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on November 29, 2017; and
3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if DAVID THADDEUS ACHORD has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

DAVID THADDEUS ACHORD must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).) Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S246439**HERNANDEZ ON
DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that ABEL HERNANDEZ, State Bar Number 159902, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

ABEL HERNANDEZ must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S246440**JODZIO ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that FRANK MARTIN JODZIO, State Bar Number 48978, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

FRANK MARTIN JODZIO must make restitution to the following payees:

- (1) Don Hart and Nancy Savattere in the amount of \$3,750 plus 10 percent interest per year from March 30, 2014;
- (2) Raymond Vales in the amount of \$3,300 plus 10 percent interest per year from January 4, 2013;
- (3) Anthony Papa and Kimberly Papa in the amount of \$2,400 plus 10 percent interest per year from June 20, 2014; and
- (4) Mike Thyssen in the amount of \$3,750 plus 10 percent interest per year from December 5, 2013.

Any restitution owed to the Client Security Fund is enforceable as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.5, subdivisions (c) and (d).

FRANK MARTIN JODZIO must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S246441**WOOD ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that ROBERT LEE WOOD, State Bar Number 100515, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for one year subject to the following conditions:

1. ROBERT LEE WOOD must comply with the conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on November 13, 2017; and

2. At the expiration of the period of probation, if ROBERT LEE WOOD has complied with the terms of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S246482**MANISCALCO ON
DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that TOM FRANK MANISCALCO, State Bar Number 79308, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

TOM FRANK MANISCALCO must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S246483**O'REILLY ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that EDWARD JAMES O'REILLY, State Bar Number 241931, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for one year subject to the following conditions:

1. EDWARD JAMES O'REILLY is suspended from the practice of law for the first 30 days of probation;
2. EDWARD JAMES O'REILLY must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on December 14, 2017; and
3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if EDWARD JAMES O'REILLY has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

EDWARD JAMES O'REILLY must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period.

Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-half of the costs must be paid with his membership fees for each of the years 2019 and 2020. If EDWARD JAMES O'REILLY fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

S246485**QUEZADA ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that DAVID JAMES QUEZADA, State Bar Number 197439, is suspended from the practice of law in California for two years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for three years subject to the following conditions:

1. DAVID JAMES QUEZADA is suspended from the practice of law for the first one year of probation;
2. DAVID JAMES QUEZADA must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on November 16, 2017; and
3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if DAVID JAMES QUEZADA has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

DAVID JAMES QUEZADA must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period.

Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

DAVID JAMES QUEZADA must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-third of the costs must be paid with his membership fees for each of the years 2019, 2020, and 2021. If DAVID JAMES QUEZADA fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

S246488**SHELLABARGER ON
DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that JOHN FRANCIS SHELLABARGER, State Bar Number 132805, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

JOHN FRANCIS SHELLABARGER must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S246489**SMITH ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that PAUL FRANCIS SMITH, State Bar Number 42384, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

PAUL FRANCIS SMITH must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment

S246504**TAYLOR ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that KEVIN RENARD TAYLOR, State Bar Number 218711, is suspended from the practice of law in California for two years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for three years subject to the following conditions:

1. KEVIN RENARD TAYLOR is suspended from the practice of law for a minimum of the first one year of probation, and he will remain suspended until he provides proof to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.2(c)(1).)
2. KEVIN RENARD TAYLOR must also comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Decision filed on November 27, 2017.
3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if KEVIN RENARD TAYLOR has complied with all conditions of probation, the two-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

KEVIN RENARD TAYLOR must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S246507**VOGEL ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that JAY MITCHELL VOGEL, State Bar Number 136926, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

JAY MITCHELL VOGEL must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S246509**WEBB ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that WILLIAM WESLEY WEBB, State Bar Number 165121, is suspended from the practice of law in California for two years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

1. WILLIAM WESLEY WEBB is suspended from the practice of law for a minimum of the first year of probation, and he will remain suspended until he provides proof to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the general law. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.2(c)(1).)
2. WILLIAM WESLEY WEBB must also comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on November 14, 2017.
3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if WILLIAM WESLEY WEBB has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

WILLIAM WESLEY WEBB must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination during the period of his suspension and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

WILLIAM WESLEY WEBB must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-third of the costs must be paid with his membership fees for each of the years 2019, 2020, and 2021. If WILLIAM WESLEY WEBB fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

Second Appellate District

TRANSFER ORDERS

The following matters, now pending in the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, are transferred to the Fourth Appellate District, Division Two:

1. B286236 Said v. McCune & Harber et al.
Consolidated with B286258, Said v. McCune & Harber et al.
2. B288367 Said v. Yoka & Smith LLP et al.

3. B288368 Said v. Champagne & Shampoo Salon et al.
4. B288369 Said v. State Bar of California et al.
5. B288371 Said v. Yoka & Smith LLP et al.