# SUPREME COURT MINUTES WEDNESDAY, MARCH 27, 2019 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S253593

YAHOO! INC. v. NATIONAL UNION FIRE INSURANCE COMPANY OF PITTSBURGH, PENNSYLVANIA

Request for certification granted

The court grants the applications for pro hac vice admission of Richard H. Nicolaides, Jr., and Daniel I. Graham, Jr.

The request, made pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.548, for this court to decide a question of California law presented in a matter pending in the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit, is granted.

For the purposes of briefing and oral argument, appellant Yahoo! Inc., is deemed the petitioner in this court. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.520(a)(6).)

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

# **S253677** D071865 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1

# DONOHUE (KENNEDY) v. AMN SERVICES, LLC

Petition for review granted

The request for an order directing depublication of the opinion denied. Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C.J., Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuellar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

# S253783 A156100 First Appellate District, Div. 4

# STANCIL (EDWARD) v. S.C. (REDWOOD CITY)

Petition for review granted

The petition for review is granted, and the City of Redwood City is ordered to show cause before this court, when the above entitled matter is placed on calendar, why the relief sought by petitioner should not be granted.

The issue to be briefed and argued in this matter is limited to the following: Whether a motion to quash service of summons is the proper remedy to test whether a complaint states a cause of action for unlawful detainer.

The return shall be filed on or before April 29, 2019.

A reply may be filed on or before May 14, 2019.

Any application to file an amicus curiae brief, accompanied by the proposed brief, must be served

and filed on or before May 24, 2019.

Any reply to an amicus brief must be served and filed on or before May 31, 2019. The court does not anticipate granting any extensions of time regarding the due dates set forth above.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C.J., Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuellar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

# S253629 B245657 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 PEOPLE v. MERAZ (JUAN RAMON)

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petitions for review are granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Perez*, S248730 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court. Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

**S253694** A153952 First Appellate District, Div. 2

# **PEOPLE v. OBIE (JUSTIN LOWELL)**

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of related issues in *In re Ricardo P.*, S230923 and *People v. Trujillo*, S244650 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

# S253757 A156109 First Appellate District, Div. 4

# **BEHREND (MARK) v. S.C.** (**REDWOOD CITY**)

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of *Stancil v. S.C. (Redwood City)*, S253783 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court. Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuellar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

# S253762 A156108 First Appellate District, Div. 4

# CHAMBERS (JOHN) v. S.C. (REDWOOD CITY)

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of *Stancil v. S.C. (Redwood City)*, S253783 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court. Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

S253766 A156105 First Appellate District, Div. 4

# FLEMING (WILLIAM MICHAEL) v. S.C. (REDWOOD CITY)

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of *Stancil v. S.C. (Redwood City)*, S253783 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court. Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

S253767 A156104 First Appellate District, Div. 4

# GROCE (AIMEE) v. S.C. (REDWOOD CITY)

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of *Stancil v. S.C. (Redwood City)*, S253783 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court. Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

**S253769** A156107 First Appellate District, Div. 4

# ict, Div. 4 **DIAZ (EMILIO) v. S.C.** (**REDWOOD CITY**)

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of *Stancil v. S.C. (Redwood City)*, S253783 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court. Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

**S253770** A156102 First Appellate District, Div. 4

# **PESCHCKE-KOEDT (NINA)** v. S.C. (REDWOOD CITY)

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

# 426

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of *Stancil v. S.C. (Redwood City)*, S253783 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court. Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

# **S253771** A156103 First Appellate District, Div. 4

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of *Stancil v. S.C. (Redwood City)*, S253783 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court. Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

# **S253774** A156101 First Appellate District, Div. 4

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of *Stancil v. S.C. (Redwood City)*, S253783 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court. Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

**S253778** A156131 First Appellate District, Div. 4

# Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of *Stancil v. S.C. (Redwood City)*, S253783 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court. Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

# **S253781** A156111 First Appellate District, Div. 4

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

# MADDEN (ALISON) v. S.C. (REDWOOD CITY)

# REID (JON) v. S.C. (REDWOOD CITY)

SLANKER (DAN) v. S.C. (REDWOOD CITY)

HUMPHRIES (JED) v. S.C.

(REDWOOD CITY)

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of *Stancil v. S.C. (Redwood City)*, S253783 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court. Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

S254134D074294 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1IN RE EDDIE P.Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of related issues in *In re Ricardo P.*, S230923, and *People v. Trujillo*, S244650 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

**S253567** G054375 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 **IN RE E.P.** Review granted on the court's own motion; transferred to Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division Three

The court orders review on its own motion. The matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division Three, with directions to vacate its decision and reconsider the cause in light of *People v. Colbert* (2019) 6 Cal.5th 596. Defendant's petition for review is denied.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

S245810A144708 First Appellate District, Div. 3PEOPLE v. SULLIVAN<br/>(FRANK M.)Transferred to Court of Appeal, First Appellate District, Division Three, after hold

The matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, First Appellate District, Division Three, for reconsideration in light of *People v. Colbert* (2019) 6 Cal.5th 596. Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

# S250870E066674 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2PEOPLE v. KOBACK (BRIAN<br/>KEITH)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division Two, after hold

The above-captioned matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division Two, with directions to vacate its decision and reconsider the cause in in light of *In re* 

*B.M.* (2018) 6 Cal.5th 528. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(d).) Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

S251817	A147060 First Appellate District, Div. 4	PEOPLE v. OSOTONU (OSTON G.)	
Transferred to	Court of Appeal, First Appellate District, Divisi		
reconsideration	ransferred to the Court of Appeal, First Appellat n in light of <i>People v. Colbert</i> (2019) 6 Cal.5th 5 Sakauye, C. J., Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kru	96.	
S253303 A14 Petitions for re	2909/A143109 First Appellate District, Div. 4	PEOPLE v. WARE (DERRICK)	
S253357 Petition for rev	B270124 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 view denied	PEOPLE v. SOEUR (SAVOEUN)	
S253403 Petition for rev	B282540 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 view denied	PEOPLE v. VARGAS (PHILLIP LESTER)	
S253569 Petition for rev	A152871 First Appellate District, Div. 5 view denied	PEOPLE v. FREEMAN (PERRY)	
S253588 B275154/B280417 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. BITSON (MORGAN)			
Petitions for review denied			
S253590 Petition for rev	D074194 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1	<b>PEOPLE v. CONCEPCION</b> (SIGFREDO)	

<b>S253603</b> Petition for rev	B283831 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 iew denied	IN RE B.P.
<b>S253620</b> Petition for rev	A148418 First Appellate District, Div. 4 iew denied	PEOPLE v. JARQUIN (GERARDO)
<b>S253622</b> Petition for rev	G055352 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 iew denied	PEOPLE v. GOMEZ (PEDRO GARCIA)
<b>S253640</b> Petition for rev	B285577 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 iew denied	PEOPLE v. WILLIAMS (GERRY DEWAYNE)
<b>S253658</b> Petition for rev	H044214 Sixth Appellate District iew denied	PEOPLE v. MONTES (ADRIAN)
<b>S253661</b> Petition for rev	D072597 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 iew denied	PEOPLE v. LADUKE (RICHARD L.)
S253669	E066555 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2	W. (J.) v. WATCHTOWER BIBLE & TRACT SOCIETY
Petition for rev	iew & depublication request(s) denied	OF NEW YORK, INC.
<b>S253676</b> Petition for rev	B285432 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 iew denied	PEOPLE v. SALHA (BOYAN SAMI)
<b>S253680</b> Petition for rev	B283919 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 iew denied	PEOPLE v. McCABE (BRIAN)

S.C. v. PUBLIC **EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS BOARD (SERVICE EMPLOYEES** INTERNATIONAL UNION, LOCAL 521)

The request for judicial notice, filed on January 24, 2019, is denied. The motion, filed on February 1, 2019, is denied. The petitions for review are denied.

F075363 Fifth Appellate District

The requests for an order depublication of the opinion are denied.

S253708	A151732 First Appellate District, Div. 4	SIMS (MITCHELL) v. KERNAN (SCOTT)
Petition for rev	iew denied	

S253718	C086158 Third Appellate District	<b>PEOPLE v. WALLACE</b>
		(BYRON CHARLES)

Petition for review denied

S253732	D074580 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1	PEOPLE v. WYNN, JR.,
		(SHAWN ANTHONY)

Petition for review denied

S253849	B285815 Second Appellate District, Div. 3	<b>PEOPLE v. KAULICK</b>
		(STEVEN JOSEPH)

Petition for review denied

S253859	A156072 First Appellate District, Div. 5	MARAVILLA (OSCAR) ON H.C.
Petition for rev	iew denied	

S253872	B283946 Second Appellate District, Div. 7	PEOPLE v. SALDANA
		(VICTOR)
Petition for rev	view denied	

S253902	F074614 Fifth Appellate District	PEOPLE v. FOSTER (CRAIG LAMAR)
Petition for rev	view denied	
<b>S253919</b> Petition for rev	E071561 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 view denied	CONTRERAS (JOE DANIEL) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)
<b>S253922</b> Petition for rev	C085780 Third Appellate District view denied	<b>PEOPLE v. CECERE</b> (JENNIFER RENAE)
S253932 Petition for rev	D074192 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 view denied	PEOPLE v. MERENDON (GEORGE)
S253945 Petition for wr	it of mandate/prohibition denied	CAMPBELL (BETTY) v. APPELLATE DIVISION (HELD TRUST)
<b>S253970</b> Petition for rev	B294561 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 view denied	CERTAIN UNDERWRITERS AT LLOYD'S, LONDON SUBSCRIBING TO POLICY NO. 381/QC257600 v. S.C. (HEALTH NET, INC.)
S254002 Petition for rev	F074000 Fifth Appellate District view denied	PEOPLE v. WHITFIELD (DAVID EARL)
<b>S254068</b> Petition for rev	B294778 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 view denied	DURKALSKI (CAROLYN) v. S.C. (OFFIELD)

D074043 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 **PEOPLE v. ATWATER** 

Petitions for re	eview denied	(STEVEN GLEN)
S254089	B276074 Second Appellate District, Div. 3	PEOPLE v. JACE (MICHAEL ANDREW)
Petitions for re	eview denied	
8254093	C079200 Third Appellate District	JOHNSON (CLEO DIANE) v. SOUTH SAN JOAQUIN IRRIGATION DISTRICT
Petition for rev	view denied	IKKIGATION DISTRICT
S254096	F075321 Fifth Appellate District	PEOPLE v. BOYER (ROBIN DUANE)
Petition for rev	view denied	
<b>S254103</b> F07	3455/F073586 Fifth Appellate District	BERKELEY CEMENT, INC. v. REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
Petition for rev Groban, J., wa	view denied as recused and did not participate.	
8254106	B285247 Second Appellate District, Div. 6	PEOPLE v. CAZARES (JUAN GUILLERMO)
Petition for rev	view denied	
S254109		NATHAN (ERIC L.) v. COURT OF APPEAL, SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT, DIVISION FIVE (PEOPLE)
Petition for wr	rit of mandate/prohibition denied	
<b>S254113</b> Petition for rev	B276299 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 view denied	TSATRYAN (ARTHUR & POLINA), MARRIAGE OF

S254115	A153001 First Appellate District, Div. 2	YEE (BETTY T.) v. S.C. (THRIVENT FINANCIAL FOR LUTHERANS)
Petition for rev The requests to	view denied appear as counsel pro hac vice are granted.	
S254116	D075318 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1	MORENO (DINO ALLEN) ON H.C.
Petition for rev	view denied	
S254117	B270784 Second Appellate District, Div. 7	TSATRYAN (ARTHUR & POLINA), MARRIAGE OF
Petition for rev	view denied	
S254119	C083283 Third Appellate District	PEOPLE v. ABLES (CHRISTOPHER DALE)
Petition for rev	view denied	
S254121	F075083 Fifth Appellate District	WELLS FARGO BANK, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION v.
Petition for rev	view denied	AJIB (WAJIH)
S254122	C088668 Third Appellate District	FIDELITY INFORMATION SERVICES, LLC v. S.C. (OCWEN LOAN SERVICING,
	view denied appear as counsel pro hac vice is granted. r judicial notice is denied.	LLC)
S254127	G055651 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3	PEOPLE v. RAMIREZ (JULIO MONTES)

Petition for review denied

S254128 F072	2990/F073783 Fifth Appellate District	MIKKELSEN (KERI) v. HANSEN (MICHAEL)		
Petition for rev	view denied			
S254132	B286669 Second Appellate District, Div. 2	BAKER (CLARA VESELIZA) v. BAKER (ALEXANDER		
Petition for rev	view denied	COLLIN)		
S254139	F073235 Fifth Appellate District	PEOPLE v. PERRY (DESIRAE)		
Petition for rev	view denied			
S254142	G055291 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3	PEOPLE v. STRAND (CHRISTOPHER ALLEN)		
Petition for rev	Petition for review denied			
S254148	E069451 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2	PEOPLE v. HERNANDEZ, JR., (SALVADOR		
Petition for review denied ALCANTAR)				
S254155	E068849 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2	PEOPLE v. CHAVEZ, JR., (JULIO)		
Petition for rev	view denied			
<b>S254164</b> Petition for rev	B295220 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 view denied	WRIGHT (DONALD) ON H.C.		
S254171	G055157 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3	PEOPLE v. GONZALEZ (JARIN ALBERT)		
Petition for review denied				
8254194	H045206 Sixth Appellate District	PEOPLE v. GONZALEZ (JUAN FRANCISCO)		

Petition for review denied

S254212	B284251 Second Appellate District, Div. 3	PEOPLE v. BRICE (KENNETH DANIEL)
Petition for re	eview denied	
S254259 Petition for re	B282676 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 eview denied	PEOPLE v. VELA (MANUEL)
S254603 Petition for re	B295655 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 eview & application for stay denied	LOPEZ (JENNIFER LETICIA) v. S.C. (ROBLERO)
S251273 Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied		GUTIERREZ (JOSEPH) ON H.C.
S251581		GORDON (CHARLES DAVID)

ON H.C. The "Ex Parte Motion for Authorization to Incur Expenses for Expert Services" is denied. The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See In re Miller (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734, 735 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are repetitive].)

### S251766

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S251820 Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

# S251861

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S252010 Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

# **MOON (STEVEN ROBERT)** ON H.C.

# **BELANT (ANDREW) ON H.C.**

# SCHWARTZMILLER (DEAN A.) ON H.C.

# THOMAS (FRANK) ON H.C.

# SUAREZ (JUAN CARLOS) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Dexter* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 921, 925-926 [a habeas corpus petitioner must exhaust available administrative remedies].)

### S252030

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

# S252032

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

# S252092

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must include copies of reasonably available documentary evidence].)

# S252093

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

# S252110

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied without prejudice to any relief to which petitioner might be entitled after this court decides *In re Palmer*, S252145.

# S252116

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. Individual claims are denied, as applicable. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must include copies of reasonably available documentary evidence]; *In re Waltreus* (1965) 62 Cal.2d 218, 225 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that were rejected on appeal]; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must allege sufficient facts with particularity].)

# BARTH (SHAWN DAMON)

VASQUEZ (CHRISTOPHER)

ON H.C.

H.C.

ON H.C.

# GREGG (RICHARD) ON H.C.

**MITCHELL (KESHAN K.) ON** 

HINTON (MONTRELL DEPRICE) ON H.C.

CONCEPCION (CODY JAMES) ON H.C.

# DEERE, SR., (ARTHUR RAY) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are untimely]; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are successive]; *In re Miller* (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734, 735 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are repetitive].)

# S252175

# WHEATLEY (RYAN CHRISTOPHER) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. Individual claims are denied, as applicable. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must include copies of reasonably available documentary evidence]; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must allege sufficient facts with particularity]; *In re Waltreus* (1965) 62 Cal.2d 218, 225 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that were rejected on appeal].)

# S252205

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

# S252300

# COMPTON (WALTER GLENN) ON H.C.

McCURDY (GLENN) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are successive]; *In re Waltreus* (1965) 62 Cal.2d 218, 255 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that were rejected on appeal].)

# S252301

# SUNDAY, SR., (ROMAN) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must include copies of reasonably available documentary evidence].)

# S252311

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

# S252319

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

# THOMPSON (MICHAEL) ON H.C.

PIERCE (DONALD R.) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S252323 Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

# S252324

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

# S252326

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are untimely]; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are successive].)

# S252371

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

# S252420

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are successive]; *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must include copies of reasonably available documentary evidence]; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must allege sufficient facts with particularity].)

# S252426

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Dexter* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 921, 925-926 [a habeas corpus petitioner must exhaust available administrative remedies]; *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must include copies of reasonably available documentary evidence].)

# S252430

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

# **REED (MYCHAL) ON H.C.**

**REED (ANTOINE) ON H.C.** 

# LAWRENCE (RICHARD) ON H.C.

# BARTH (SHAWN DAMON) ON H.C.

# PRICE (ASHLEY LUTHER MURRAY) ON H.C.

# 439

FACUNDO (JOEY FRANCISCO) ON H.C.

ESTRADA (JORGE) ON H.C.

WRIGHT (DARRELL M.) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

# S252456

# The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Miller* (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734, 735 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are repetitive].)

# S252464

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

# S252554

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are untimely]; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are successive]; *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must include copies of reasonably available documentary evidence]; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must allege sufficient facts with particularity].)

# S252651

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

# S253133

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

**S253429** B290032/B290033 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 **IN RE J.S.** Publication request denied (case closed)

**S253552** B278076/B278122/B286536/B286537 Second Appellate District, Div. 3

# PACIFIC WESTERN BANK v. FAR OUT PRODUCTIONS, INC.

Publication requests denied (case closed)

# (]•)

LUA (HUGO) ON H.C.

MURRAY) ON H.C.

**PRICE (ASHLEY LUTHER** 

H.C.

# CONNOR (JAMES ALEXANDER) ON H.C.

# BARTH (SHAWN DAMON) ON H.C.

# BARTH (SHAWN DAMON) ON H.C.

# NOE (DAVID EUGENE) ON

S253716 B280725 Second Appellate District, Div. 4

Publication request denied (case closed)

S254210 B285645 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 Depublication request denied (case closed)

The request for an order directing depublication of the opinion in the above-entitled appeal is denied. The court declines to review this matter on its own motion. The matter is now final. Corrigan, J., is of the opinion the petition should be granted.

# S139702

Extension of time granted

Based upon Senior Deputy State Public Defender AJ Kutchins's representation that the appellant's reply brief is anticipated to be filed by September 13, 2019, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to May 14, 2019. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 123 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

# S162506

Extension of time granted

Based upon Deputy Attorney General Esther P. Kim's representation that the respondent's brief is anticipated to be filed by June 17, 2019, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to May 20, 2019. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 27 additional days is contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

On application of appellant, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is

# S164370

**PEOPLE v. VOLARVICH** (BRENDT ANTHONY)

Extension of time granted

extended to May 17, 2019.

# **PEOPLE v. BRACAMONTES** (MANUEL)

# **PEOPLE v. CHAVEZ (JUAN** JOSE)

**PEOPLE v. DUENAS (VELIA)** 

SCHAEFER (CLAUDIA) v.

**BLOCK (DENNIS P.)** 

# PEOPLE v. PENUELAS (JESUS GUADALUPE VELAZQUEZ)

Extension of time granted

Based upon Deputy Attorney General F. Matt Chen's representation that the respondent's brief is anticipated to be filed by August 4, 2019, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to May 15, 2019. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 82 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S165998

# PEOPLE v. PLATA (NOEL JESSE) & TRAN (RONALD TRI)

Extension of time granted

Based upon Supervising Deputy State Public Defender Jolie Lipsig's representation that appellant Noel Jesse Plata's reply brief is anticipated to be filed by June 18, 2019, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to May 28, 2019. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 20 additional days will be granted.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

# S186974

# GAMACHE (RICHARD CAMERON) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Fred Renfroe's representation that the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is anticipated to be filed by August 10, 2019, an extension of time in which to serve and file that document is granted to May 20, 2019. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 83 additional days are contemplated.

S188156

# TAYLOR (BRANDON ARNAE) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Based upon Deputy Attorney General Matthew Mulford's representation that the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is anticipated to be filed by July 22, 2019, an extension of time in which to serve and file that document is granted to May 21, 2019. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 61 additional days is contemplated.

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to May 14, 2019.

# S191346

Extension of time granted

Upon application of counsel Laura Schaefer, an extension of time in which to file the appellant's opening brief is granted to May 15, 2019. After that date only one further extension totaling 51 additional days will be granted.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

# S195943

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel E. Anne Hawkins's representation that the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is anticipated to be filed by November 21, 2019, an extension of time in which to serve and file that document is granted to May 13, 2019. After that date, only four further extensions totaling about 191 additional days are contemplated.

S203514

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Randall Bookout's representation that the appellant's reply brief is anticipated to be filed by November 12, 2019, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to May 20, 2019. After that date, only three further extensions totaling about 177 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

# S215177

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel John N. Aquilina's representation that the reply to the informal response to

# **PEOPLE v. HUGHES** (MICHAEL)

# **PEOPLE v. BERNOUDY**

**PEOPLE v. PANIAGUA, JR.,** 

(RODRIGO ORTIZ)

(KEVIN)

# NUNEZ (DANIEL) ON H.C.

# JONES (ALBERT) ON H.C.

the petition for writ of habeas corpus is anticipated to be filed by September 9, 2019, an extension of time in which to serve and file that document is granted to May 7, 2019. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 124 additional days are contemplated.

### S245518

# MATTHEWS (LOVIE T.) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

On application of petitioner and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply to the informal response is extended to April 2, 2019.

**S251709** F073634 Fifth Appellate District

# PROTECTING OUR WATER & ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES v. STANISLAUS COUNTY

Extension of time granted

On application of respondents and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply brief on the merits is extended to April 11, 2019.

**S252057** H043281 Sixth Appellate District Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer brief on the merits is extended to April 24, 2019.

# S082101

# PEOPLE v. RHOADES (ROBERT BOYD)

Order filed

Appellant's "Application for Permission to File a Second Supplemental Opening Brief," filed on March 26, 2019, is granted. The second supplemental respondent's brief must be served and filed within 21 days of the filing of this order. Appellant may serve and file a second supplemental reply brief within 14 days of the filing of the second supplemental respondent's brief.

PEOPLE v. BELTRAN (JULIAN ARTURO)

Order filed

S157458

IN RE G.C.

444

The Clerk is directed to strike appellant's "Request for Relief in Conjunction with Filing of Appellant's Reply Brief," filed on December 20, 2018. Appellant's "Application for Relief in Conjunction with Filing of Appellant's Reply Brief," filed on January 18, 2019, is granted insofar as it requests relief from default for appellant's failure to timely file the appellant's reply brief. The Clerk is directed to file the appellant's reply brief received on December 20, 2018. In all other respects the application is denied.

# S254080

Petition denied

(accusation)

### S252756

DeAGUILERA ON DISCIPLINE

**ACCUSATION OF KIMBALL** 

Remanded to State Bar

On February 27, 2019, this court filed an order disbarring JAMES DeAGUILERA, State Bar Number 166315, from the practice of law in California. Pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 9.18(a), the order is final 30 days after its filing unless the court grants a request for rehearing prior to that date.

On March 13, 2019, JAMES DeAGUILERA filed a motion for production of additional evidence pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 909 and California Rules of Court, rule 8.252(c), a petition for rehearing pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.532(b), a writ of supersedeas, and a request for a stay of the disbarment order pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure 923 and California Rules of Court, rule 8.112. Attached separately to the motion and petition was a declaration purportedly signed by complainant Carlos Jaramillo on March 5, 2019, recanting portions of his oral testimony given under penalty of perjury in the State Bar Court's Hearing Department on July 11, 2017.

The motion for production of additional evidence pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 909 and California Rules of Court, rule 8.252(c) is denied.

The petition is deemed a request for rehearing pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 9.18(a), and is granted. The order of disbarment filed on February 27, 2019, is hereby stayed and JAMES DeAGUILERA remains involuntarily enrolled as an inactive licensee of the State Bar of California pending completion of further proceedings.

Pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 9.17, the court remands this matter to the State Bar Court's Review Department with instructions to conduct further proceedings as it deems necessary to determine the authenticity and credibility of the statements contained in declaration purportedly signed by complainant Carlos Jaramillo. Based on its factual findings, the State Bar Court must consider whether to modify or augment its culpability determinations with regard to misconduct charges filed in State Bar Court case number 15-O-15856 (the Jaramillo matter). The State Bar Court must also consider whether it wishes to revise or reaffirm its recommendation for appropriate discipline. Upon completion of these additional proceedings, the State Bar Court is instructed to submit its findings and recommendation to this court.

JAMES DeAGUILERA has not challenged the State Bar Court's culpability determination with

regard charges filed in State Bar Court case number 16-O-10804 (the Chavez/Ghazaryan matter). Thus, that matter is beyond the scope of this order and those findings and conclusions shall remain undisturbed.