SUPREME COURT MINUTES
WEDNESDAY, MAY 2, 2018
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S097668 **PEOPLE v. SHERMANTINE, JR., (WESLEY HOWARD)**

Extension of time granted
On application of appellant, an extension of time to file the appellant's opening brief is granted to June 29, 2018. After that date, only two further extensions totaling 120 additional days will be granted.

S127119 **PEOPLE v. GIVENS (TODD)**

Extension of time granted
Based upon counsel Ronald F. Turner's representation that the appellant's reply brief is anticipated to be filed by December 14, 2018, an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to June 26, 2018. After that date, only three further extensions totaling about 170 additional days will be granted.
An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S137307 **PEOPLE v. MORALES (JOHNNY)**

Extension of time granted
Based upon Senior Deputy State Public Defender C. Delaine Renard's representation that the appellant's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by October 31, 2018, an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to July 5, 2018. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 117 additional days will be granted.
An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S155617 **PEOPLE v. SILVA (MAURICIO)**

Extension of time granted
Based upon counsel Victor S. Haltom's representation that the appellant's reply brief is anticipated to be filed by January 28, 2019, an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to June 29, 2018. After that date, only four further extensions totaling about 212 additional days are contemplated.
An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the

anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S157458 **PEOPLE v. BELTRAN (JULIAN ARTURO)**

Extension of time granted
Based upon counsel Joseph Baxter's representation that the appellant's reply brief is anticipated to be filed by August 6, 2018, an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to June 26, 2018. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 40 additional days is contemplated.
An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S161909 **PEOPLE v. SHOVE III (THEODORE CHURCHILL)**

Extension of time granted
Good cause appearing, and based upon State Public Defender Mary K. McComb's representation that the appellant's reply brief is anticipated to be filed by November 2, 2018, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to July 3, 2018. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 123 additional days are contemplated.
An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S173784 **PEOPLE v. OYLER (RAYMOND LEE)**

Extension of time granted
Based upon counsel Michael Clough's representation that the appellant's reply brief is anticipated to be filed by August 30, 2018, an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to June 29, 2018. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 61 additional days is contemplated.

S182161 **PEOPLE v. JACKSON (LLOYD EARL)**

Extension of time granted
On application of appellant, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to July 6, 2018.

S195568 **PEOPLE v. BELL (CIMARRON BERNARD)**

Extension of time granted
Based upon counsel John L. Staley's representation that the appellant's reply brief is anticipated to be filed by September 1, 2018, an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to June 29, 2018. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 66 additional days is contemplated.
An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S202817 **PEOPLE v. FIERROS, JR., (EUSEBIO)**

Extension of time granted
Based upon counsel Danalynn Pritz's representation that the appellant's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by February 27, 2019, an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to June 29, 2018. After that date, only four further extensions totaling about 242 additional days are contemplated.
An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S208354 **PEOPLE v. HIRSCHFIELD (RICHARD JOSEPH)**

Extension of time granted
Based upon counsel Mark D. Lenenberg's representation that the appellant's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by August 30, 2019, an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to June 29, 2018. After that date, only seven further extensions totaling about 427 additional days are contemplated.
An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S211060 **PEOPLE v. GALVAN (ROBERT)**

Extension of time granted
On application of appellant, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to July 6, 2018.

S213242 **PEOPLE v. SMITH (CHARLES RAY)**

Extension of time granted
On application of appellant, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to June 29, 2018.

S246444 G052551 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 **KIRZHNER (ALLEN) v. MERCEDES-BENZ USA, LLC**

Extension of time granted
On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the opening brief on the merits is extended to May 7, 2018.
No further extensions are contemplated.

S247640 H042854 Sixth Appellate District **APTOS RESIDENTS ASSOCIATION v. COUNTY OF SANTA CRUZ (CROWN CASTLE, INC.)**

Extension of time granted
On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply to the answer to petition for review is extended to May 18, 2018.

S224779 C071906 Third Appellate District **CITIZENS FOR FAIR REU RATES v. CITY OF REDDING**

Motion for judicial notice denied
The City of Redding's requests for judicial notice, filed on March 3, 2015, and April 3, 2015, are denied.
Plaintiffs' request for judicial notice, filed on July 2, 2015, is denied.
The City of Redding's request for judicial notice, filed on July 21, 2015, is granted.

S247159 **DIAZ ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred
The court orders that MAYVELYN GARVIDA DIAZ, State Bar Number 253182, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that her name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.
MAYVELYN GARVIDA DIAZ must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.
Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S247160 **GUFFEY ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that WILLIAM ORVAL GUFFEY, State Bar Number 132965, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

WILLIAM ORVAL GUFFEY must make restitution to Stewart Rawson in the amount of $25,000. Any restitution owed to the Client Security Fund is enforceable as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.5, subdivisions (c) and (d).

WILLIAM ORVAL GUFFEY must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S247168 **REUM ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that DOUGLAS ELLIOTT REUM, State Bar Number 268490, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for one year subject to the following conditions:

1. DOUGLAS ELLIOTT REUM is suspended from the practice of law for the first 30 days of probation;
2. DOUGLAS ELLIOTT REUM must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on January 8, 2018; and
3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if DOUGLAS ELLIOTT REUM has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

DOUGLAS ELLIOTT REUM must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-third of the costs must be paid with his membership fees for each of the years 2019, 2020, and 2021. If DOUGLAS ELLIOTT REUM fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

S247282 **GENTINO ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that ROBERT E. GENTINO, State Bar Number 93808, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he

is placed on probation for one year subject to the following conditions:

1. ROBERT E. GENTINO must comply with the conditions of probation recommended by the Review Department of the State Bar Court in its Opinion filed on December 27, 2017; and
2. At the expiration of the period of probation, if ROBERT E. GENTINO has complied with the terms of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

ROBERT E. GENTINO must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S247286 KIM ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that ESTHER M. KIM, State Bar Number 271155, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and she is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

1. ESTHER M. KIM is suspended from the practice of law for the first 90 days of probation;
2. ESTHER M. KIM must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on January 12, 2018; and
3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if ESTHER M. KIM has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

ESTHER M. KIM must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-third of the costs must be paid with her membership fees for each of the years 2019, 2020, and 2021. If ESTHER M. KIM fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

BAR MISC. 4186 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE COMMITTEE OF BAR EXAMINERS OF THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA FOR ADMISSION OF ATTORNEYS (MOTION NO. 1,362)

The written motion of the Committee of Bar Examiners that the following named applicants, who have fulfilled the requirements for admission to practice law in the State of California, be

admitted to the practice of law in this state is hereby granted, with permission to the applicants to take the oath before a competent officer at another time and place:
(SEE ORIGINAL APPLICATION FOR THE LIST OF NAMES ATTACHED.)

BAR MISC. 4186 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE COMMITTEE OF BAR EXAMINERS OF THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA FOR ADMISSION OF ATTORNEYS (MOTION NO. 1,363)

The written motion of the Committee of Bar Examiners that the following named applicants, who have fulfilled the requirements for admission to practice law in the State of California, be admitted to the practice of law in this state is hereby granted, with permission to the applicants to take the oath before a competent officer at another time and place:
(SEE ORIGINAL APPLICATION FOR THE LIST OF NAMES ATTACHED.)

BAR MISC. 4186 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE COMMITTEE OF BAR EXAMINERS OF THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA FOR ADMISSION OF ATTORNEYS (MOTION NO. 1,364)

The written motion of the Committee of Bar Examiners that the following named applicants, who have fulfilled the requirements for admission to practice law in the State of California, be admitted to the practice of law in this state is hereby granted, with permission to the applicants to take the oath before a competent officer at another time and place:
(SEE ORIGINAL APPLICATION FOR THE LIST OF NAMES ATTACHED.)