SUPREME COURT MINUTES **TUESDAY, MAY 3, 2016** SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

PEOPLE v. CHHOUN (RUN PETER) & PAN (SAMRETH SAM)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, counsel Senior Deputy State Public Defender Denise Anton's request for an extension of time in which to file appellant Run Peter Chhoun's reply brief is granted to July 5, 2016. After that date, only two further extensions totaling 71 additional days will be granted.

S120382

S105403

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Pamela C. Hamanaka's representation that the respondent's brief is anticipated to be filed by August 2, 2016, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to July 5, 2016. After that date, only one further extensions totaling about 27 additional days is contemplated. An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S134792

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to June 28, 2016.

S151172

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Mark E. Cutler's representation that the appellant's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by July 2, 2016, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to July 5, 2016. After that date, no further extension will be granted.

PEOPLE v. SANCHEZ (VINCENT HENRY)

PEOPLE v. HUGHES (MERVIN RAY)

PEOPLE v. FORD (WAYNE ADAM)

735

S175663

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel David P. Lampkin's representation that the appellant's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by August 29, 2016, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to July 5, 2016. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 54 additional days will be granted.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S175851

PEOPLE v. RICES (JEAN PIERRE)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Alana Cohen Butler's representation that the respondent's brief is anticipated to be filed by July 5, 2016, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to July 5, 2016. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S218292

GHOBRIAL (JOHN SAMUEL) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Fred Renfroe's representation that the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is anticipated to be filed by July 3, 2016, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to July 5, 2016. After that date, no further extension will be granted.

S228049 D060969 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1

PEOPLE v. WHITE (BILLY CHARLES)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer brief on the merits is extended to May 31, 2016. No further extensions of time are contemplated.

S228193 D066684 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1

PEOPLE v. HOPSON (RUTHETTA LOIS)

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer brief on the merits is extended to June 1, 2016.

PEOPLE v. JONES (STEVEN ANTHONY)

S229080

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the opposition to Motion to Unseal is extended to May 23, 2016.

S231009 B257775 Second Appellate District, Div. 5

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the opening brief on the merits is extended to June 2, 2016.

S231405 B263164 Second Appellate District, Div. 8

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply brief on the merits is extended to May 16, 2016.

S231593

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the informal response is extended to June 1, 2016.

S232346

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the informal response is extended to July 2, 2016.

A144875 First Appellate District, Div. 2 S232849 IN RE MARK C.

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Peter Meadow is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

HALEY (DONYAE D.) ON H.C.

ESPARZA (JAVIER) ON H.C.

H.C.

BROWN (SHARRIEFF) ON

PEOPLE v. FARWELL (RANDOLPH D.)

PEOPLE v. ROMANOWSKI

(DANIEL)

S232951 E063471 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Valerie G. Wass is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S233055 H041979 Sixth Appellate District

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Elaine Forrester is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S234022 H040641 Sixth Appellate District

Order filed

The motion filed April 29, 2016, by appellant's counsel Peter Goldscheider, seeking permission to withdraw petition for review filed April 22, 2016, is hereby granted.

S233738

OWENS (CLAUDE LEE) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Third Appellate District

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Third Appellate District, for consideration in light of Hagan v. Superior Court (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition must be denied.

S233820

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, for consideration in light of Hagan v. Superior Court (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition must be denied.

PEOPLE v. NICHOLS (DANIEL LAWRENCE)

PEOPLE v. STATES (CHARLES LEWIS)

PEOPLE v. ARCHULETA (DAVID CLAIR)

SHELTON (MARQUETTE ANTONIO) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

S234176

GUZMAN (GUSTAVO) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, for consideration in light of *Hagan v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition must be denied.

BAR MISC. 4186 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE COMMITTEE OF BAR EXAMINERS OF THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA FOR ADMISSION OF ATTORNEYS (MOTION NO. 1,255)

The written motion of the Committee of Bar Examiners that the following named applicants, who have fulfilled the requirements for admission to practice law in the State of California, be admitted to the practice of law in this state is hereby granted, with permission to the applicants to take the oath before a competent officer at another time and place: (SEE ORIGINAL APPLICATION FOR THE LIST OF NAMES ATTACHED.)

SUPREME COURT MINUTES TUESDAY, MAY 3, 2016 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

The Supreme Court of California convened in the courtroom of the Earl Warren Building, 350 McAllister Street, Fourth Floor, San Francisco, California, on Tuesday, May 3, 2016, at 9:00 a.m.

Present: Chief Justice Tani Cantil-Sakauye, presiding, and Associate Justices Werdegar, Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger.

Officers present: Frank A. McGuire, Clerk, Jorge Navarrete, Assistant Clerk Administrator, and Gail Gray, Calendar Coordinator.

S217738	Property Reserve, Inc., Petitioner,
	v. Superior Court of San Joaquin County, Respondent,
	Department of Water Resources, Real Party in Interest.
	Cause called. Gregory D. Brown, Office of the Attorney General, argued for Real Party in Interest. Norman E. Matteoni argued for Petitioner Property Reserve, Inc Thomas H. Keeling argued for Petitioners Mark G. Scribner, Jr., et al
	Mr. Brown replied. Cause submitted.
S220812	Timothy Sandquist, Plaintiff and Appellant,
	v. Lebo Automotive, Inc. et al., Defendants and Respondents.
	Caused called. Wendy McGuire Coats argued for Respondents F. Paul Bland, Jr. argued for Appellant.
	Ms. McGuire Coats replied. Cause submitted.

S219109	The People, Plaintiff and Appellant,
	v. Alexis Alejandro Fuentes, Defendant and Respondent.
	Cause called. Kristen Kinnaird Chinelia, Office of the Attorney General, argued for Appellant. Miles Davis Jessup, Office of the Public Defender, argued for Respondent.
	Ms. Chenelia replied. Cause submitted.
Court recess	ed until 1:30 p.m. this date.
	vened pursuant to recess. the court and officers present as first shown.
\$221263	In re Isaiah W., a Person Coming Under the Juvenile Court Law.
	Los Angeles County Department of Children and Family Services, Plaintiff and Respondent, v.
	Ashlee R., Defendant and Appellant.
	Cause called. Patti L. Dikes, Court-appointed Counsel, argued for Appellant.Mark Radoff argued for Amicus Curiae California Indian Legal Services.Tracey F. Dodds, Office of the County Counsel, argued for Respondent.L. David Casey, Office of the County Counsel, argued for Amicus Curiae California State Association of Counties.
	Ms. Dikes replied. Cause submitted.

SAN FRANCISCO

S220187	In re Abbigail A. et al., Persons Coming Under the Juvenile Court Law.
	Sacramento County Department of Health and Human Services, Plaintiff and Appellant.
	v. Joseph A. et al., Defendants and Respondents.
	Cause called. Konrad Lee, Court-appointed Counsel,
	argued for Respondent. Mark Radoff argued for Amicus Curiae California Indian Legal Services. Lilly C. Frawley, Office of the County Counsel, for Appellant.
	Cause submitted.
S223651	In re William Joseph Richards on Habeas Corpus.
	Cause called. Jan Stiglitz, Court-appointed Counsel, argued for Petitioner. Stephanie H. Zeitlin, Office of the District Attorney, argued for Respondent.
	Mr. Stiglitz replied. Cause submitted.
Court	recessed until Wednesday, May 4, 2016, at 9:00 a.m.