SUPREME COURT MINUTES
TUESDAY, MAY 3, 2022
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S274341 A158457 First Appellate District, Div. 4 **JACKSON (CURTIS RENEE) v. DOVIE (C.)**

Time for ordering review extended on the court's own motion

The time for ordering review on the court's own motion is hereby extended to July 6, 2022. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(c).)

S141519 **PEOPLE v. HIN (MAO)**

Extension of time granted

Based upon Deputy Attorney General Jeffrey A. White's representation that the sixth supplemental respondent's brief is anticipated to be filed by May 31, 2022, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to May 31, 2022. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S182161 **PEOPLE v. JACKSON (LLOYD EARL)**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to July 5, 2022.

S201205 **PEOPLE v. MOORE (RYAN T.)**

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Michael R. Snedeker's representation that the appellant's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by March 1, 2023, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to June 28, 2022. After that date, only four further extensions totaling about 245 days are contemplated.
An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S207945 **PEOPLE v. CANALES (OSMAN ALEX)**

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Patricia A. Scott's representation that the appellant's reply brief is anticipated to be filed by March 31, 2023, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to June 24, 2022. After that date, only five further extensions totaling about 279 additional days are contemplated.
An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S210054 **PEOPLE v. CERVANTES (DANIEL) & CONTRERAS (CARLOS)**

Extension of time granted

Based upon Senior Deputy State Public Defender Andrea Asaro's representation that appellant Carlos Contreras' reply brief is anticipated to be filed by December 22, 2022, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to June 24, 2022. After that date, only three further extensions totaling about 178 additional days are contemplated.
An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S212030 **PEOPLE v. PEREZ (JOHN MICHAEL) & RUIZ (RUDY ANTHONY)**

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Supervising Deputy State Public Defender C. Delaine Renard's representation that appellant John Michael Perez's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by August 1, 2022, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to June 30, 2022. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 33 additional days is contemplated.
An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S222619 **PEOPLE v. SYKES (KESAUN KEDRON)**

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel David P. Lampkin's representation that the appellant's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by June 28, 2022, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to June 28, 2022. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S223978 **PEOPLE v. FULLER (ROBERT DALE)**

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Pamala Sayasane's representation that the appellant's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by June 27, 2022, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to June 27, 2022. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S224635 **PEOPLE v. CABALLERO (ROBERT LOUIS)**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to June 27, 2022.

S224710 **PEOPLE v. ESPARZA (ANGEL ANTHONY)**

Extension of time granted

Upon application of appellant, an extension of time in which to serve and file the appellant's opening brief is granted to June 28, 2022. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 77 additional days are contemplated.
An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S226781 **PEOPLE v. FELIX (MIGUEL ENRIQUE)**

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Barry L. Morris' representation that the appellant's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by June 27, 2022, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to June 27, 2022. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.
An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).

S232318 **PEOPLE v. MERCADO (JOSEPH)**

Extension of time granted

Based upon Deputy Attorney General Kristen J. Inberg's representation that the respondent's brief is anticipated to be filed by July 5, 2022, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to July 5, 2022. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.
An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S269212 B304217 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 **CALIFORNIA MEDICAL ASSOCIATION v. AETNA HEALTH OF CALIFORNIA, INC.**

Extension of time granted

It is ordered that the time to serve and file the amicus curiae briefs is extended to June 15, 2022.

S272113 **RATTAGAN (MICHAEL R.) v. UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the opening brief on the merits is extended to June 9, 2022.

S272632 A162891 First Appellate District, Div. 3 **HARRIS, JR., (JOHN) ON H.C.**

Extension of time granted

On application of non-title respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer brief on the merits is extended to June 8, 2022.

S273179 B278091 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 **TRUCK INSURANCE EXCHANGE v. KAISER CEMENT & GYPSUM CORP.; LONDON MARKET INSURERS; INSURANCE COMPANY OF THE STATE OF PENNSYLVANIA; GRANITE STATE INSURANCE COMPANY**

Extension of time granted

On application of counsel for all parties and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the briefs on the merits is extended as follows:
Appellant Truck Insurance Exchange's Opening Brief on the Merits: June 27, 2022;
Respondent Insurers' Answer Brief on the Merits: September 30, 2022;
Respondent Kaiser Cement's Answer Brief on the Merits: September 30, 2022;
Appellant Truck Insurance Exchange's Reply Brief on the Merits: November 21, 2022.

S252702 F075101 Fifth Appellate District **PEOPLE v. HENSON (CODY WADE)**

Motion for judicial notice granted

The requests for judicial notice filed on August 6, 2019, and October 10, 2019, are granted.

S273467 H047399 Sixth Appellate District **W. (D.), CONSERVATORSHIP OF**

Order filed

Appellant's motion for leave to file supplemental argument to petition for review, submitted on May 3, 2022, is hereby granted.

S274296 **PENN (STEVEN) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)**

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District.

SUPREME COURT MINUTES
TUESDAY, MAY 3, 2022
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

The Supreme Court of California convened in the courtroom of the Earl Warren Building, 350 McAllister Street, Fourth Floor, San Francisco, California, on May 3, 2022, at 9:00 a.m.

Present: Chief Justice Tani Cantil-Sakauye, presiding, and Associate Justices Corrigan, Liu, Kruger, Groban, Jenkins, and Guerrero.

Officer present: Jorge Navarrete, Clerk and Executive Officer.

S258574 County of Butte, Plaintiff and Appellant,
v.
Department of Water Resources, Defendant and Respondent;
State Water Contractors, Inc., et al., Real Parties in Interest and Respondents.

County of Plumas et al., Plaintiffs and Appellants,
v.
Department of Water Resources, Defendant and Respondent;
State Water Contractors, Inc., et al., Real Parties in Interest and Respondents.

Cause called. Edward T. Scheznayder argued for Appellants.
Joshua Patashnik argued for Respodent.
Jolie-Anne Ansley argued for Real Parties in Interest.

Mr. Schexnayder replied.
Cause submitted.

S262081 Siry Investment, L.P., Plaintiff and Appellant,
v.
Saeed Farkhondehpour et al., Defendants and Appellants.

And Consolidated Cases

Cause called. Robert Cooper argued for Plaintiff and Appellant.
Richard L. Knickerbocker argued for Defendants and Appellants.
Mohammad Fakhreddine argued for Defendants and Appellants.

Mr. Cooper replied.
Cause submitted.

S252702 The People, Plaintiff and Appellant,
v.
Cody Wade Henson, Defendant and Respondent.

Cause called. Barbara A. Smith, Court-Appointed Counsel, argued for Respondent.
Douglas O. Treisman, Office of the District Attorney, argued for Appellant.

Ms. Smith replied.
Cause submitted.

Court recessed until 1:30 p.m. this date.

Court reconvened pursuant to recess.
Members of the court and officer present as first shown.

S138052 The People, Plaintiff and Respondent,
v.
Tupoutoe Mataele, Defendant and Appellant.

Cause called. Stephen Lathrop, Court-Appointed Counsel, argued for Appellant.
Donald Ostertag, Office of the Attorney General, argued for Respondent.

Mr. Lathrop replied.
Cause submitted.

The Honorable Stuart R. Pollak, Presiding Justice, Court of Appeal, First Appellate District, Division Three, sitting on the following case, under assignment by the Chairperson of the Judicial Council, joined the court at the bench.

S080276 The People, Plaintiff and Respondent,
v.
Charles Chitat Ng, Defendant and Appellant.

Cause called. Eric Multhaup, Court-Appointed Counsel, argued for Appellant.
Kenneth N. Sokoler, Office of the Attorney General, argued for Respondent.

Mr. Multhaup replied.
Cause submitted.

Court adjourned.