## SUPREME COURT MINUTES TUESDAY, MAY 7, 2019 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S151493

PEOPLE v. CARDENAS (REFUGIO RUBEN)

Extension of time granted

Based upon Assistant State Public Defender Jessica K. McGuire's representation that the appellant's reply brief is anticipated to be filed by September 4, 2019, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to July 5, 2019. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 61 additional days will be granted.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S178464

PEOPLE v. MARTINEZ (SANTIAGO)

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Mark E. Cutler's representation that the appellant's reply brief is anticipated to be filed by October 26, 2019, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to June 28, 2019. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 121 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S198309

PEOPLE v. FLETCHER (MARCUS)

Extension of time granted

Upon application of appellant's counsel, David P. Lampkin, an extension of time in which to serve and file the appellant's opening brief is granted to July 1, 2019. After that date, no further extension will be granted.

S199741

PEOPLE v. WILLIAMS (MANLING TSANG)

Extension of time granted

Upon application of appellant's counsel, Linda F. Robertson, an extension of time in which to serve and file the appellant's opening brief is granted to July 5, 2019. After that date, only three further extensions totaling about 160 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

**S249593** A149918 First Appellate District, Div. 2

REILLY (KERRIE) v. MARIN HOUSING AUTHORITY

Application to appear as counsel pro hac vice granted

The application of Brad Hinshelwood for admission pro hac vice to appear on behalf of U.S Department of Housing and Urban Development is hereby granted. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.40.)

S250734 B264946 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 B. (B.) v. COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

Application to appear as counsel pro hac vice granted

The application of Cary Silverman for admission pro hac vice to appear on behalf of amicus curiae Coalition for Litigation Justice, Inc., is hereby granted. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.40.)

S250734 B264946 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 B. (B.) v. COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

Application to appear as counsel pro hac vice granted

The application of Mark A. Behrens for admission pro hac vice to appear on behalf of amicus curiae Coalition for Litigation Justice, Inc., is hereby granted. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.40.)

## SUPREME COURT MINUTES TUESDAY, MAY 7, 2019 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

The Supreme Court of California convened in the courtroom of the Earl Warren Building, 350 McAllister Street, Fourth Floor, San Francisco, California, on May 7, 2019, at 9:00 a.m.

Present: Chief Justice Tani Cantil-Sakauye, presiding, and Associate Justices Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban.

Officers present: Jorge Navarrete, Clerk and Executive Officer, and Gail Gray, Calendar Coordinator.

S239686 Stanley Wilson, Plaintiff and Appellant,

v.

Cable News Network, Inc., et al., Defendants and Respondents.

Cause called. Adam Levin argued for Respondents.

Jill P. McDonell argued for Appellant.

Mr. Levin replied.

Cause submitted.

S245395 Angie Christensen, Plaintiff and Respondent,

V.

Will Lightbourne, as Director, etc., et al., Defendants and Appellants.

Cause called. Richard A. Rothschild argued for Respondent.

Michael J. Mongan argued for Appellants.

Mr. Rothschild replied.

Cause submitted.

S251392 Monster Energy Company, Plaintiff and Respondent,

v

Bruce L. Schechter et al., Defendants and Appellants.

Cause called. Frank C. Rothrock argued for Respondent.

Margaret M. Grignon argued for Appellants.

Mr. Rothrock replied.

Cause submitted.

Court recessed until 1:30 p.m. this date.

Court reconvened pursuant to recess.

Members of the court and officer present as first shown.

S242250 Rebecca Megan Quigley, Plaintiff and Appellant,

v.

Garden Valley Fire Protection District et al., Defendants and Respondents.

Cause called. Jay-Allen Eisen argued for Appellant.

Jeffry A. Miller argued for Respondents.

Mr. Eisen replied.

Cause submitted.

S240245 Hairu Chen et al., Plaintiffs and Appellants,

v.

Los Angeles Truck Centers, LLC, Defendant and Respondent.

Cause called. Kevin Underhill argued for Respondent.

Martin N. Buchanan argued for Appellants.

Mr. Underhill replied.

Cause submitted.

S084292 In re David Keith Rogers on Habeas Corpus.

Cause called. AJ Kutchins, Court Appointed Counsel, argued for

Petitioner.

Henry J. Valle, Office of the Attorney General, argued for

Respondent.

Mr. Kutchins replied.

Cause submitted.

Court recessed until Wednesday, May 8, 2019, at 9:00 a.m.