[NO MINUTES WERE GENERATED FOR MONDAY, MAY 18, 2020.]

672

SUPREME COURT MINUTES TUESDAY, MAY 19, 2020 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S164370

PEOPLE v. VOLARVICH (BRENDT ANTHONY)

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel John R. Grele's representation that the appellant's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by July 14, 2020, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to July 14, 2020. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S182278

PEOPLE v. NELSON (TANYA JAIME)

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Andrew Parnes's representation that the appellant's reply brief is anticipated to be filed by October 19, 2020, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to July 13, 2020. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 89 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S188156

TAYLOR (BRANDON ARNAE) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel John Lanahan's representation that the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is anticipated to be filed by September 9, 2020, an extension of time in which to serve and file that document is granted to July 13, 2020. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 57 additional days is contemplated.

S198378

PEOPLE v. RICHARDSON (JASON RUSSELL)

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Diane Nichols's representation that the appellant's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by September 28, 2020, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to July 7, 2020. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 83 additional days will be granted.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S210054

PEOPLE v. CERVANTES (DANIEL) & CONTRERAS (CARLOS)

Extension of time granted

Upon application of Richard Jay Moller, counsel for appellant Daniel Cervantes, an extension of time in which to serve and file appellant Cervantes's opening brief is granted to July 6, 2020. After that date only two further extensions totaling about 112 additional days are contemplated.

S210054

PEOPLE v. CERVANTES (DANIEL) & CONTRERAS (CARLOS)

Extension of time granted

Upon application of Supervising Deputy State Public Defender Nina Wilder, counsel for appellant Carlos Contreras, an extension of time in which to serve and file appellant Contreras's opening brief is granted to July 6, 2020. After that date only two further extensions totaling about 112 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S217774

PEOPLE v. THOMSON (JOHN WAYNE)

Extension of time granted

The application of appellant for relief from default for the failure to timely file appellant's application for extension of time is granted.

Based upon counsel Paul Couenhoven's representation that the appellant's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by September 5, 2021, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to July 6, 2020. After that date, only seven further extensions totaling about 427

additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S224393

PEOPLE v. HARTS (TYRONE LEVOID)

Extension of time granted

The application of appellant for relief from default for the failure to timely file appellant's application for extension of time is granted.

Based upon counsel Rudolph J. Alejo's representation that the appellant's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by September 8, 2020, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to July 13, 2020. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 56 additional days is contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S232318

PEOPLE v. MERCADO (JOSEPH)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to July 13, 2020.

S259364 C085906 Third Appellate District

NATARAJAN (SUNDAR) v. DIGNITY HEALTH

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer brief on the merits is extended to August 7, 2020.

S259633

PEOPLE v. CRESPO (MIGUEL)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing therefor, in light of the fact that the court reporters for the Kern Superior Court, indicate they have an excessive workload in addition to the Covid-19 pandemic and cannot complete the court reporter's record of trial proceedings by the current due date of May 6, 2020, the request of the Clerk of the Superior Court of Kern County for an extension of time to complete the court reporter's transcript on appeal is granted. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.616(d).)

The Clerk of the Superior Court of Kern County is directed to complete and deliver the court reporter's transcripts on appeal pursuant to rule 8.616(c) on or before July 6, 2020, and to notify the Clerk of this court in writing as soon as the transcripts have been completed and delivered.

S259954 B297354 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 MILTON (WILLIAM) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

On application of petitioner and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the opening brief on the merits is extended to June 16, 2020.

S260063 C077558 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. CARNEY (JAMES LEO)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant James Leo Carney for appointment of counsel, Stephen Greenberg is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S261093 C086895 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. SCHWEITZER (SCOTT ALLEN)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Denise Rudasill is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S162197 PEOPLE v. VICTORIANNE (JAVIER WILLIAM)

Order filed

Good cause appearing, Appellant's "Motion to Strike and Replace Appellant's Reply Brief," filed on May 13, 2020, is granted. The Clerk is directed to strike the filing of the appellant's reply brief filed on May 8, 2020, and file the new reply brief received on May 13, 2020.

S261157 F076546 Fifth Appellate District

HOWERTON (KEVIN) ON H.C.

Order filed

The order filed May 7, 2020, extending time to grant or deny review in the above-entitled matter is hereby amended in its entirety to read as follows:

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to July 8, 2020.

S262105

RUSHING (MATUA MONGO) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Third Appellate District

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division Three.

SUPREME COURT MINUTES TUESDAY, MAY 19, 2020 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

The Supreme Court of California convened in the courtroom of the Earl Warren Building, 350 McAllister Street, Fourth Floor, San Francisco, California, on May 19, 2020, at 9:00 a.m.

Present: Chief Justice Tani Cantil-Sakauye, presiding, and Associate Justices Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban.

Officers present: Jorge Navarrete, Clerk and Executive Officer, and Gail Gray, Calendar Coordinator.

S241431 Janice Jarman, Plaintiff and Appellant,

v.

HCR Manorcare, Inc., et al., Defendants and Appellants.

Cause called. Barry S. Landsberg argued for Defendants and Appellants. Jay-Allen Eisen argued for Plaintiff and Appellant.

Mr. Landsberg replied.

Cause submitted.

S245203 Facebook, Inc., Petitioner,

v.

Superior Court of San Diego County, Respondent;

Lance Touchstone, Real Party in Interest;

Summer Stephan, Intervener.

Cause called. Katherine Tesch argued for Real Party in Interest.

Karl Husoe argued for Intervener San Diego County District Attorney.

Joshua S. Lipshutz argued for Petitioner.

Ms. Tesch replied. Cause submitted.

S246444

Allen Kirzhner, Plaintiff and Appellant,

Mercedes-Benz USA, LLC, Defendant and Respondent.

Cause called. Martin W. Anderson argued for Appellant.

James P. Mayo argued for Respondent.

Mr. Anderson replied.

Cause submitted.

Court recessed until 1:30 p.m. this date.

Court reconvened pursuant to recess.

Members of the court and officer present as first shown.

S228137

Julius M. Robinson, Petitioner and Appellant,

G.W. Lewis, Respondent.

Cause called. Carolyn M. Wiggin, Office of the Federal Defender, argued for Appellant.

David Andrew Eldridge, Office of the Attorney General, argued for Respondent.

Ms. Wiggin replied. Cause submitted.

S254938

Conservatorship of the Person of O.B.

T.B. et al., Petitioners and Respondents,

O.B., Objector and Appellant.

Cause called. Gerald J. Miller argued for Appellant.

Shaun P. Martin argued for Respondents.

Mr. Miller replied.

Cause submitted.

S253227 The People, Plaintiff and Respondent,

V.

Vernon Anderson, Defendant and Appellant.

Cause called. John Ward, Court Appointed Counsel, argued for Appellant. Samuel P. Siegel, Office of the Attorney General, argued for Respondent.

Mr. Ward replied. Cause submitted.

Court recessed until Wednesday, May 20, 2020, at 9:00 a.m.