SUPREME COURT MINUTES THURSDAY, NOVEMBER 14, 2019 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S246541

WISHNEV (SANFORD J.) v. THE NORTHWESTERN MUTUAL LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY

Opinion filed

We answer the Ninth Circuit's first question as follows. The provision in section 1916-2 prohibiting lenders from assessing compound interest "unless an agreement to that effect is clearly expressed in writing and signed by the party to be charged therewith" does not apply to lenders exempt under article XV.

Majority Opinion by Corrigan, J.

-- joined by Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Chin, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

S258038 B283588 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 PEOPLE v. VALENCIA (MANUEL DE JESUS)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to December 13, 2019.

S258509 E073341 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 **PEOPLE v. S.C. (GREEN)** Extension of time granted

On application of petitioner and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply to answer to petition for review is extended to November 26, 2019. No further extensions are contemplated.

S252035 H041870 Sixth Appellate District

VILLANUEVA (MANNY) v. FIDELITY NATIONAL TITLE COMPANY

Order filed

The application of appellants to file an untimely reply brief on the merits is hereby granted. The application of appellants to file a reply brief on the merits that exceeds the 8,400-word limit prescribed by the California Rules of Court, rule 8.520(c) is hereby granted.

S258943

RAY, JR., (EDWARD VINCENT) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, for consideration in light of *Hagan v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition must be denied.

S258957

MEDLOCK, JR., (GILES JERRY) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District.

S259112

WARSAW (CHRISTOPHER D.) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, for consideration in light of *Hagan v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition must be denied.