SUPREME COURT MINUTES TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 17, 2015 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S228944 B254035 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. RAMIREZ (BRYAN)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to December 18, 2015.

S228964 B243201 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 PEOPLE v. VIRTO (AGUSTIN BERNARDO)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to December 22, 2015.

S229428 B256075 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 CONNOR (EILEEN) v. FIRST STUDENT INC.

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to December 18, 2015.

S229481 D064114 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. PODGURSKI (WANDA)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to December 23, 2015.

S229489 F068239 Fifth Appellate District PEOPLE v. NASH
(ANGELIQUE ELANDRA)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to December 23, 2015.

S229500 A139356 First Appellate District, Div. 5 PEOPLE v. WILLIAMS (ANDERSON)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to December 23, 2015.

PEOPLE v. BERTSCH (JOHN ANTHONY) & HRONIS (JEFFERY LEE)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Thomas Lundy's representation that appellant John Anthony Bertsch's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by January 31, 2016, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to January 19, 2016. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 13 additional days is contemplated.

S111336

BENAVIDES FIGUEROA (VICENTE) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

On application of petitioner and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file traverse to return to order to show cause is extended to January 12, 2016.

S127621

PEOPLE v. ERSKINE (SCOTT THOMAS)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Robin Urbanski's representation that the respondent's brief is anticipated to be filed by December 16, 2015, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to December 16, 2015. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S140894

PEOPLE v. MIRACLE (JOSHUA MARTIN)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Senior Deputy State Public Defender Andrea G. Asaro's representation that the appellant's reply brief is anticipated to be filed by June 1, 2016, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to January 15, 2016. After that date, only three further extensions totaling about 137 additional days are contemplated. An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

PEOPLE v. ARGUETA (CARLOS MARVIN)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Supervising Deputy State Public Defender Nina Wilder's representation that the appellant's reply brief is anticipated to be filed by March 17, 2016, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to January 15, 2016. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 60 additional days is contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S151493

PEOPLE v. CARDENAS (REFUGIO RUBEN)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Tia M. Coronado's representation that the respondent's brief is anticipated to be filed by July 13, 2016, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to January 15, 2016. After that date, only three further extensions totaling about 180 additional days are contemplated. An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S155617

PEOPLE v. SILVA (MAURICIO)

Extension of time granted

The application of appellant for relief from default for the failure to timely file appellant's request for extension of time is granted.

Good cause appearing, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file the appellant's opening brief is granted to December 18, 2015. The court anticipates that after that date, only one further extension totaling 41 additional days will be granted. Counsel is ordered to inform his or her assisting attorney or entity, if any, and any assisting attorney or entity of any separate counsel of record, of this schedule, and to take all steps necessary to meet it.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

PEOPLE v. BELTRAN (JULIAN ARTURO)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Joseph Baxter's representation that the appellant's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by February 22, 2016, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to January 11, 2016. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 41 additional days is contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S162197

PEOPLE v. VICTORIANNE (JAVIER WILLIAM)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to January 12, 2016.

S162506

PEOPLE v. CHAVEZ (JUAN JOSE)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to January 12, 2016.

S169689

PEOPLE v. EVANS (STEVE CARL)

Extension of time granted

The application of appellant for relief from default for the failure to timely file appellant's request for extension of time is granted.

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel William J. Kopeny's representation that the appellant's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by March 30, 2016, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to January 4, 2016. After that date, only two further extension totaling about 85 additional days is contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

PEOPLE v. McGHEE (TIMOTHY JOSEPH)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Seth P. McCutcheon's representation that the respondent's brief is anticipated to be filed by May 6, 2016, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to January 12, 2016. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 114 additional days are contemplated. An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S170957

PEOPLE v. BECERRADA (RUBEN)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Senior Deputy State Public Defender Arnold A. Erickson's representation that the appellant's reply brief is anticipated to be filed by May 16, 2016, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to January 12, 2016. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 124 additional days will be granted.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S171998

PEOPLE v. DELEON (SKYLAR JULIUS)

Extension of time granted

The application of appellant for relief from default for the failure to timely file appellant's request for extension of time is granted.

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Wesley A. Van Winkle's representation that the appellant's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by March 5, 2016, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to January 4, 2016. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 60 additional days is contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

PEOPLE v. KENNEDY (JOHN FITZGERALD)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Theodore M. Cropley's representation that the respondent's brief is anticipated to be filed by May 17, 2016, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to January 15, 2016. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 120 additional days are contemplated. An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S174232

PEOPLE v. KEMP (DARRYL THOMAS)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel William D. Farber's representation that the appellant's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by September 1, 2016, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to January 8, 2016. After that date, only four further extensions totaling about 237 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S174709

PEOPLE v. SARINANA (CATHY LYNN) & SARINANA (RAUL RICARDO)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant Cathy Lynn Sarinana and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to January 8, 2016.

S174709

PEOPLE v. SARINANA (CATHY LYNN) & SARINANA (RAUL RICARDO)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant Raul Ricardo Sarinana and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to January 8, 2016.

PEOPLE v. MARTIN (VALERIE DEE)

Extension of time granted

The application of appellant for relief from default for the failure to timely file appellant's request for extension of time is granted.

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to January 4, 2016.

S182059

PEOPLE v. VARNER (SCOTT PAUL)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to January 15, 2016.

S182278

PEOPLE v. NELSON (TANYA JAIME)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to January 8, 2016.

S185140

PEOPLE v. HEARD (JAMES MATTHEW)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to January 19, 2016.

S185447

ROLDAN (RICARDO) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Rama R. Maline's representation that the supplemental informal response is anticipated to be filed by January 19, 2016, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to January 19, 2016. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

PEOPLE v. REED (DAVID JOHN)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to January 12, 2016.

S199667

PEOPLE v. GRAHAM (JAWAUN DEION)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to January 15, 2016.

S212038

MERRIMAN (JUSTIN JAMES) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, counsel Susan Garvey's request for an extension of time in which to file the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is granted to January 14, 2016. After that date, no further extension will be granted.

S214543

EDWARDS (ROBERT MARK) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Fred Renfroe's representation that the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is anticipated to be filed by December 14, 2015, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to December 14, 2015. After that date, no further extension will be granted.

S217244

CHATMAN (ERIK SANFORD) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Assistant Federal Defender Bruce D. Livingston's representation that the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is anticipated to be filed by February 13, 2016, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to January 15, 2016. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 33 additional days will be granted.

ZAMBRANO (ENRIQUE) ON

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Deborah Anne Czuba's representation that the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is anticipated to be filed by January 20, 2016, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to January 20, 2016. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S222737

JOHNSON (JOE EDWARD) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Margo Hunter's representation that the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is anticipated to be filed by October 17, 2016, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to January 8, 2016. After that date, only five further extensions totaling 282 additional days will be granted.

S225919

CARRERA (TONY M.) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

On application of petitioner and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply to the informal response is extended to December 4, 2015.

S228653 C070238 Third Appellate District

PEOPLE v. SORIA (THEODORE)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, A. M. Weisman is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S229782

MORGAN (BERNEL W.) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, for consideration in light of *Hagan v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition must be denied.

GERSHFELD ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that ALANA GERSHFELD, State Bar Number 196454, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that her name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

ALANA GERSHFELD must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S228882

ELWELL ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that DAVID A. ELWELL, State Bar Number 122091, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

DAVID A. ELWELL must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S228886

KARTOON ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that DERON ADAM KARTOON, State Bar Number 155925, is suspended from the practice of law in California for two years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for three years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. DERON ADAM KARTOON is suspended from the practice of law for the first 60 days of probation;
- 2. DERON ADAM KARTOON must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Decision filed on July 1, 2015; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if DERON ADAM KARTOON has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

GILLEN ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that THOMAS WILLIAM GILLEN, State Bar Number 152569, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys. THOMAS WILLIAM GILLEN must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S228896

MORRISON ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that GEOFFREY CARL MORRISON, State Bar Number 172059, is suspended from the practice of law in California for two years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for three years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. GEOFFREY CARL MORRISON is suspended from the practice of law for a minimum of the first two years of probation, and he will remain suspended until the following conditions are satisfied:
 - i. He makes restitution to the following payees (or reimburses the Client Security Fund, to the extent of any payment from the fund to the payees, in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.5) and furnishes proof to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles:
 - (1) Robert Jensen in the amount of \$15,000 plus 10 percent interest per year from December 15, 2011;
 - (2) Martin Alvarez in the amount of \$10,000 plus 10 percent interest per year from December 1, 2011; and
 - (3) Maximiano Mendoza in the amount of \$15,000 plus 10 percent interest per year from October 21, 2011.
 - ii. He provides proof to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the general law. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.2(c)(1).)
- 2. GEOFFREY CARL MORRISON must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Decision filed on July 10, 2015.
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if GEOFFREY CARL MORRISON has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

GEOFFREY CARL MORRISON must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination during the period of his suspension and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

GEOFFREY CARL MORRISON must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-third of the costs must be paid with his membership fees for each of the years 2017, 2018, and 2019. If GEOFFREY CARL MORRISON fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

S228915

NITSCHKE ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that PETER DAVID NITSCHKE, State Bar Number 174123, is summarily disbarred from the practice of law and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys. PETER DAVID NITSCHKE must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S228917

NORTHAM ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that SHON MICHAEL NORTHAM, State Bar Number 202912, is suspended from the practice of law in California for two years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. SHON MICHAEL NORTHAM must comply with the conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on July 16, 2015; and
- 2. At the expiration of the period of probation, if SHON MICHAEL NORTHAM has complied with the terms of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

SHON MICHAEL NORTHAM must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).) Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

MENDEZ ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that RICHARD CLAY MENDEZ, State Bar Number 199927, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for one year subject to the following conditions:

- 1. RICHARD CLAY MENDEZ is suspended from the practice of law for the first 30 days of probation;
- 2. RICHARD CLAY MENDEZ must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on July 2, 2015; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if RICHARD CLAY MENDEZ has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

RICHARD CLAY MENDEZ must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).) Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-third of the costs must be paid with his membership fees for each of the years 2016, 2017, and 2018. If RICHARD CLAY MENDEZ fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

S229377

McCABE ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that MICHAEL ROBERT McCABE, State Bar Number 137844, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. MICHAEL ROBERT McCABE is suspended from the practice of law for a minimum of the first 90 days of probation, and he will remain suspended until the following conditions are satisfied:
 - i. He makes restitution to John Shoemaker in the amount of \$2,000 plus 10 percent interest per year from February 24, 2014 (or reimburses the Client Security Fund, to the extent of any payment from the Fund to John Shoemaker, in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.5) and furnishes satisfactory proof to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles; and
 - ii. If he remains suspended for two years or more as a result of not satisfying the preceding condition, he must also provide proof to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law before his suspension will be terminated. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.2(c)(1).)

- 2. MICHAEL ROBERT McCABE must also comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on July 23, 2015.
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if MICHAEL ROBERT McCABE has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

MICHAEL ROBERT McCABE must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order, or during the period of his suspension, whichever is longer and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

MICHAEL ROBERT McCABE must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-third of the costs must be paid with his membership fees for each of the years 2017, 2018, and 2019. If MICHAEL ROBERT McCABE fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

S229379

SANITOA ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that DEANNA SINIRA FUIMAONO SANITOA, State Bar Number 139829, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and is placed on probation for one year subject to the following conditions:

- 1. DEANNA SINIRA FUIMAONO SANITOA is suspended from the practice of law for the first 30 days of probation;
- 2. DEANNA SINIRA FUIMAONO SANITOA must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on July 10, 2015; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if DEANNA SINIRA FUIMAONO SANITOA has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

DEANNA SINIRA FUIMAONO SANITOA must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-third of the costs must be paid with her membership fees for each of the years 2017, 2018, and 2019. If DEANNA SINIRA FUIMAONO SANITOA fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

S229404

SOBOTTA ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that TINA MARIE SOBOTTA, State Bar Number 216590, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that her name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

TINA MARIE SOBOTTA must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S229410

BRADY ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that ROBERT ARTHUR BRADY, State Bar Number 141223, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys. ROBERT ARTHUR BRADY must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S229412

La CUES ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that JERRY A. La CUES, State Bar Number 77088, is suspended from the practice of law in California for two years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. JERRY A. La CUES is suspended from the practice of law for the first one year of probation;
- 2. JERRY A. La CUES must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on July 20, 2015; and

3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if JERRY A. La CUES has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

JERRY A. La CUES must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).) JERRY A. La CUES must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension. Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S229431

HEFNER ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that JERRY LANE HEFNER, State Bar Number 216385, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. JERRY LANE HEFNER is suspended from the practice of law for the first 90 days of probation:
- 2. JERRY LANE HEFNER must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on July 21, 2015; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if JERRY LANE HEFNER has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

JERRY LANE HEFNER must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).) JERRY LANE HEFNER must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-third of the costs must be paid with his membership fees for each of the years 2017, 2018, and 2019. If JERRY LANE HEFNER fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.