#### SUPREME COURT MINUTES TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 25, 2014 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

#### S221554 C074515 Third Appellate District

#### McLEAN (JANIS S.) v. STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Petition for review granted

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Baxter, Werdegar, Chin, Corrigan, and Liu, JJ.

# S221852 B248316 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 PEOPLE v. MACABEO (PAUL)

Petition for review granted

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Baxter, Werdegar, Chin, Corrigan, and Liu, JJ.

#### S220851 B258062 Second Appellate District, Div. 3

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The application to appear as counsel pro hac vice granted.

The application of Stephen Brake for admission pro hac vice to appear on behalf of Hollingsworth and Vose Company is hereby granted. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.40.)

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *Bristol-Myers Squibb Co. v. Superior Court*, S221038 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Baxter, Chin, and Corrigan, JJ., were recused and did not participate.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Werdegar, Liu, and Rivera, JJ.\*

\* Justice Rivera, Associate Justice of the Court of Appeal, First Appellate District, Division Four, assigned by the Chief Justice pursuant to article VI, section 6 of the California Constitution.

#### HOLLINGSWORTH & VOSE COMPANY v. S.C. (ELLIS)

## **S221650** E052469 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2

HUGHES (TRENT) v. PHAM (CHRISTOPHER)

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted.

Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *Rashidi v. Moser*, S214430 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Baxter, Werdegar, Chin, Corrigan, and Liu, JJ.

#### S221661B258784 Second Appellate District, Div. 3

#### HOLLINGSWORTH & VOSE COMPANY v. S.C. (SCOTT)

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *Bristol-Myers Squibb Co. v. Superior Court*, S221038 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Baxter, Chin, and Corrigan, JJ., were recused and did not participate.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Werdegar, Liu, and Rivera, JJ.\*

\* Justice Rivera, Associate Justice of the Court of Appeal, First Appellate District, Division Four, assigned by the Chief Justice pursuant to article VI, section 6 of the California Constitution.

S221665 C073404 Third Appellate District

### SCHINKEL, JR., (LARRY STEVEN) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted.

Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of related issues in *Braziel v. Superior Court*, S218503, and *People v. Machado*, S219819 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court. Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Baxter, Werdegar, Chin, Corrigan, and Liu, JJ.

S221144 A135750 First Appellate District, Div. 3

KAO (JOHN S.) v. UNIVERSITY OF SAN FRANCISCO

S221163	E058567 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2	DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION v.	
Petition for re	view denied	CONSTANT (JAMES)	
S221245	A137872 First Appellate District, Div. 1	FAYERWEATHER (GABRIEL) v. COMCAST	
Petition for re	view & publication request(s) denied	CORPORATION	
S221302 A13	38733/A139887 First Appellate District, Div. 1	WELLMAN (LAURA) & ZIINO (ROBERT),	
Petition for re	view denied	MARRIAGE OF	
S221319	B247160 Second Appellate District, Div. 2	COCHRAN (COLIN) v. SCHWAN'S HOME SERVICE,	
Petition for re	view & depublication request(s) denied	INC.	
S221377	H038643 Sixth Appellate District	HOFFMAN (STEVEN) v. 162 NORTH WOLFE LLC	
Petition for re	view denied		
S221455	B254800 Second Appellate District, Div. 3	PASADENA, CITY OF v. S.C. (MERCURY CASUALTY	
Petition for re	view & depublication request(s) denied	COMPANY)	
S221460	A136340 First Appellate District, Div. 1	PEOPLE v. JENKINS (JEFFREY BERNARD)	
Petitions for re	eview denied		
S221467	C073654 Third Appellate District	PASADENA, CITY OF v. COHEN (MICHAEL)	

SAN FRAN	CISCO	NOVEMBER 25, 2014	1941	
<b>S221472</b> Petition for re	B250097 Second Ap	pellate District, Div. 5 uest(s) denied	PLADOTT (ALEX) v. BLANKSTEIN (JOSEF)	
<b>S221520</b> B23 Petition for re		Appellate District, Div.	3 HAGER (DARREN) v. COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES	
S221549	D063027 Fourth App	pellate District, Div. 1	ANGELICA TEXTILE SERVICES, INC. v. PARK	
Petition for re	view denied		(JAYE)	
<b>S221564</b>	B244502 Second Ap	pellate District, Div. 5	<b>BODE (GEORGIA) v. LOS ANGELES DOCTORS</b>	
Petition for review denied HOSPITAL CORPORATION			HUSPITAL CORPORATION	
<b>S221589</b> Petition for re	_	pellate District, Div. 6	PEOPLE v. ATKINSON (JOHN S.)	
<b>S221622</b> Petition for re		pellate District, Div. 4	VELAZQUEZ (RAUL) v. DUBROVA (ALEKSANDRA)	
<b>S221626</b> Petition for re		pellate District, Div. 2	IN RE I.E.	
S221658	F067763 Fifth Appe	llate District	UNITED HEALTH CENTERS OF THE SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY, INC. v. S.C. (VRADENBURG-HAWORTH)	
Petition for re	Petition for review denied			

S221670	H039251 Sixth Appellate District	PEOPLE v. FORD (DARREN
Petition for re-	view denied	VINCENT)
<b>S221697</b> Petition for re	G048425 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 view denied	PEOPLE v. ALVAREZ, JR., (DANIEL)
S221733 Petition for re	F066648 Fifth Appellate District	EMANUEL MEDICAL CENTER, INC. v. DOMINIQUE (SUSAN)
S221760 Petition for re	D062824 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1	PEOPLE v. MORRIS (TAMOYIA DUSHAWN)
S221774 Petition for re-	F066555 Fifth Appellate District view denied	PEOPLE v. NEWELL (JOHN HOWARD)
<b>S221776</b> Petition for re-	B248364 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 view denied	<b>PEOPLE v. SHUMEY</b> (CHRISTOPHER JOHN)
S221804 Petition for re-	B249950 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 view denied	PEOPLE v. WILLIAMS (ARNOLD BERNARD)
S221805 Petition for re	B248767 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 view denied	PEOPLE v. PEYTON (LEE EDWARD)

S221813 Petition for rev Baxter and Ch	B257738 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 view denied in, JJ., were recused and did not participate.	PETROLEUM CASUALTY COMPANY v. WORKERS' COMPENSATION APPEALS BOARD & BARRIOS (RAYMOND)	
S221842 Petition for rev	F066162 Fifth Appellate District view denied	PEOPLE v. MAHMOOD (SAMIE JAMIL)	
S221847 Petition for rev	B253101 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 view denied	IN RE ROGER D., JR.	
S221853	B248649 Second Appellate District, Div. 6	RICHARDSON (BENNING A.) v. BANK OF NEW YORK MELLON CORPORATION	
Petition for review denied			
S221854 Petition for rev	A138797 First Appellate District, Div. 2 view denied	PEOPLE v. ARBUCKLE (KEVIN)	
S221856B248270 Second Appellate District, Div. 8CUTLER (FRANK) v. FRANCHISE TAX BOARDPetition for review denied; CA opinion decertified The petition for review is denied.Franchise trax boardThe Reporter of Decisions is directed not to publish in the Official Appellate Reports the opinion in the above entitled appeal filed September 2, 2014, which appears at 229 Cal.App.4th 419. (Cal. Const., art. VI, section 14; rule 8.1125(c)(1), Cal. Rules of Court.)			
S221858	E057627 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2	KAABINEJADIAN (MASSOUD) v. MILLER (KATHALEEN E.)	

C072815 Third Appellate District	HARTNETT (JONATHAN W. & STACEY V.), MARRIAGE OF
iew denied	<b>O</b> F
B249041 Second Appellate District, Div. 2	KAPLAN STAHLER AGENCY, INC. v. GUMER
iew denied	(ROBERT)
F069035 Fifth Appellate District	RUIZ (JORGE DIMAS) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)
3615/G048616 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 iew & depublication request(s) denied	PEOPLE v. CHRISTENSEN (SCOTT ANDREW)
	PEOPLE v. BANISTER (STEVEN ARTHUR)
B242069 Second Appellate District, Div. 4	LANCASTER (WALTER) v. LAW OFFICES OF GENE W.
iew denied	СНОЕ
B251634 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 iew denied	PEOPLE v. BELL (DONELL ROBERT)
	iew denied B249041 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 iew denied F069035 Fifth Appellate District iew denied B615/G048616 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 iew & depublication request(s) denied G049837 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 view denied B242069 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 iew denied

**S221922** A137861/A137991 First Appellate District, Div. 5 W. (KEISHA) v. M. (MARVIN) Petition for review denied

NOVEMBER 25, 2014

S221949	B243876 Second Appellate District, Div. 2	VALLADARES (LETICIA) v. CALLIANCE REALTY FUND,
Petition for rev	view denied	LLC
S221965 Petition for rev	F066419 Fifth Appellate District view denied	PEOPLE v. YANG (SOR)
S221967 Petition for rev	H038943 Sixth Appellate District view denied	PEOPLE v. QUINONES (MARTIN)
<b>S221976</b> Petition for rev	B258664 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 view denied	PURNELL (DEBORAH A.) v. S.C. (ST. MARY'S HOSPITAL)
S221985 Petition for rev	B259254 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 view denied	ZERMENO (GREGORY) ON H.C.
S221988 Petition for rev	E058728 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 view denied	PEOPLE v. DAWSON (DAVID)
<b>S221990</b> Petitions for re	A140697 First Appellate District, Div. 1 eview denied	IN RE L.R.
S222000 Petition for rev	C075031 Third Appellate District view denied	PEOPLE v. NICHOLS (GERALD EDMUND)
S222004 Petition for rev	B251308 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 view denied	PEOPLE v. CLEVELAND (ROBERT)

A138871 First Appellate District, Div. 1

**PEOPLE v. KIRK** 

(LYNWOOD ALLAN) Petition for review denied H040929 Sixth Appellate District JOHNSON (MARCUS E.) v. S222009 S.C. (PEOPLE) Petition for review denied **PEOPLE v. CHRISTMAN** S222010 A138287 First Appellate District, Div. 4 (CHARLES LEROY) Petition for review denied S222013 G048756 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 **PEOPLE v. MONTGOMERY** (JOSEPH RODRIGUEZ) Petition for review denied S222030 D064681 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 **PEOPLE v. McCULLOUGH** (ROBERT EDWARD) Petition for review denied S222034 C073701 Third Appellate District **PEOPLE v. THOMAS, JR.,** (DAVID EUGENE) Petition for review denied S222039 G047282 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 **PEOPLE v. NAPOSKI (ERIC ANDREW**) Petition for review denied S222044 B249702 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 **PEOPLE v. GONZALEZ** (JESUS) Petition for review denied S222045 B247085 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 **KELKAR (ANAND & MARY B.), MARRIAGE OF** Petition for review denied

Petition for review denied

Petition for review denied

Petition for review denied

S222046

S222047

S222048

S222049

S222051

B245460 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 view denied	PEOPLE v. JOHNSON (JEFFERY L.)
C066873 Third Appellate District view denied	PEOPLE v. HERNANDEZ (JOSE SANTIAGO)
E061956 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 view denied	RAMIREZ (PEDRO) ON H.C.
H039590 Sixth Appellate District	PEOPLE v. HARPE (DANIEL BRANDON)
or writ of mandamus/prohibition and other relief	THOMAS (RAYSHON) v. S.C. (PEOPLE) is denied.

The petition for writ of mandamus/

S222061 Petition for re	D064060 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 view denied	PEOPLE v. DUNHAM (STEVEN)
S222067 Petition for re	B259178 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 view denied	CLAUS (IVAN) ON H.C.
S222072 Petition for re	B254109 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 view denied	PEOPLE v. PALACIOS (PEDRO)

#### S222077

THOMAS (RAYSHON) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

The petition for writ of mandamus/prohibition and other relief is denied.

#### S222078 C073576 Third Appellate District

#### PEOPLE v. ANDERSON (MELVIN W.)

Petition for review denied; CA opinion decertified The petition for review is denied.

The Reporter of Decisions is directed not to publish in the Official Appellate Reports the opinion in the above entitled appeal filed September 12, 2014, which appears at 229 Cal.App.4th 925. (Cal. Const., art. VI, section 14; rule 8.1125(c)(1), Cal. Rules of Court.) Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., was recused and did not participate.

S222082	A140821 First Appellate District, Div. 2	PRADO (LUIS CARLOS) v.
		S.C. (PEOPLE)

Petition for review denied

#### S222085

# THOMAS (RAYSHON) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

The petition for writ of mandamus/prohibition and other relief is denied.

S222087 Petition for re	C077498 Third Appellate District view denied	BURTON (HARRISON) ON H.C.
S222092	B258923 Second Appellate District, Div. 7	EUGENE (DEMETRIUS) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)
Petition for re	view denied	
<b>S222094</b> Petition for re	B248859 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 view denied	PEOPLE v. PATTERSON (HARUM)
<b>S222102</b> Petition for re	E061979 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 view denied	HAUGHTON (JACOB LEE) ON H.C.
S222103	B247663 Second Appellate District, Div. 2	PEOPLE v. SARAVIA (VINCENT G.)

S222114	B248596 Second Appellate District, Div. 1	PEOPLE v. AHERN (KEVIN
		MICHAEL)

S222147	C077245 Third Appellate District	LOPEZ-GARNICA
		(ANDREW) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)
Petition for re	view denied	

S222248	D063326 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1	<b>PEOPLE v. BOYLE</b>
		(STEPHEN)

Petition for review denied

#### S222343

#### HULL (DUANE LEVAR) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

The petition for writ of mandate and application for stay are denied. (See *People v. Turner* (1998) 67 Cal.App.4th 1258, 1269.)

#### S222415

#### THOMAS (RAYSHON) v. SUPREME COURT OF CALIFORNIA (PEOPLE)

**GISPANSKI (KYLE DION)** 

WILLIAMS (MICHAEL DEONTRAY) ON H.C.

ON H.C.

The petition for writ of mandamus/prohibition and other relief is denied.

#### S215081

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied Werdegar and Liu, JJ., are of the opinion an order to show cause should be issued.

#### S216662

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

#### S219131

# MITCHELL (COREY A) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769.)

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

#### S220020

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

#### S220058

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See In re Clark (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769.)

#### S220229

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769; *In re Miller* (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734, 735.)

#### S220294

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

#### S220874

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

#### S220933

# HOBLEY (TIMOTHY) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769.)

#### S220937

#### GARZA (ALFONSO) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Miller* (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734, 735.)

#### S221020

LUCERO (ALBERT ANDREW) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Dixon* (1953) 41 Cal.2d 756, 759.)

## **ROBERTSON (ROGER** WAYNE) ON H.C.

#### WEBB (RICHARD) ON H.C.

**REYES, JR., (JULIO CESAR)** 

ON H.C.

## OLIVAS (JOADANUS JEROME) ON H.C.

**ROBERTSON (ROGER** 

WAYNE) ON H.C.

LY (SRUN) ON H.C.

1950

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

#### S221236

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

#### S221259

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

#### S221270

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

**S221314** Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

#### S221317

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474; *In re Dixon* (1953) 41 Cal.2d 756, 759; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304.)

**S221325** Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

#### S221329

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

#### S221360

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See In re Clark (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769.)

TRAN (HOA DIHN) ON H.C.

KERESTESY (XAVIER) ON H.C.

MAGEE (RUCHELL CINQUE) ON H.C.

WILLIAMS (JOSHUA D.) ON H.C.

CORRAL (DYLAN) ON H.C.

#### **ROBISON (GLEN W.) ON**

#### H.C.

## **REYES (RICARDO) ON H.C.**

ELLIS (RANDALL E.) ON H.C.

JONES (LAWRENCE LAMAR) ON H.C.

#### 1951

S221366 SOLIS (ROLANDO) ON H.C. The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See In re Clark (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 797-798; In re Robbins (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780.)

#### S221369

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

#### S221373

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

#### S221376

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied on the merits with regard to petitioner's claims of ineffective assistance of trial counsel and ineffective assistance of appellate counsel. (See Harrington v. Richter (2011) 562 U.S. [131 S.Ct. 770, 785], citing Ylst v. Nunnemaker (1991) 501 U.S. 797, 803.)

#### S221379

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

#### S221393

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See In re Swain (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304; People v. Duvall (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474.)

#### S221394

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See In re Clark (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 797-798; In re Dixon (1953) 41 Cal.2d 756, 759.)

## **SARMIENTO (ANTHONY M.)** ON H.C.

## **GONZALES (FRANK M.) ON** H.C.

**HERNANDEZ (LINO) ON** 

#### **RYMALOWICZ (PAUL) ON** H.C.

#### **DUNN (ROBIN ANDREW) ON** H.C.

#### 1952

## JONES (DARRELL ANDRE) ON H.C.

## H.C.

#### SAN FRANCISCO

#### S221395

**CECIL) ON H.C.** The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304; *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474.)

#### S221398

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

#### S221424

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

#### S221432

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

#### S221433

#### CLAIBORNE (DENNIS GERALD) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied without prejudice to any relief to which petitioner might be entitled after this court decides *Braziel v. Superior Court*, S218503, and *People v. Machado*, S219819.

**S221434 HERNANDEZ (JOSE) ON H.C.** The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304.)

#### S221436

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

#### S221477

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

# BURTON (DEREK TYRONE)

ON H.C.

MARTINEZ (SANTOS) ON H.C.

JACKSON (STANLEY RUSSELL) ON H.C.

# ON H.C.

**KNOX (ARTHUR FRANKLIN)** 

### LARIMER (TRAVIS) ON H.C.

**THORNTON (WILLIAM** 

#### 1953

NOVEMBER 25, 2014

#### S221486

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See In re Swain (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304; People v. Duvall (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474.)

#### S221487

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See In re Clark (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769.)

#### S221499

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See In re Miller (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734, 735; In re Swain (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304.)

#### S221502

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

#### S221505

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

In re Dixon (1953) 41 Cal.2d 756, 759.)

#### S221545

S221547 The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See In re Robbins (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; In re Clark (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769.)

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See In re Robbins (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780;

#### S221581

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See People v. Duvall (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304.)

## DANG (PHONG) ON H.C.

**IZQUIERDO (PEDRO) ON** 

H.C.

ON H.C.

### **RUCKER (CAROLE) ON H.C.**

**GARCIA (JAIME BASILIO)** 

## 1954

**KURBEGOVIC (MUHAREM)** ON H.C.

**ABDALLAH (MOHAMED S.)** 

ON H.C.

THOMAS (CLYDE) ON H.C.

McELROY (LATWAHN) ON H.C.

#### SAN FRANCISCO

#### S221582

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See People v. Duvall (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474.)

#### S221591

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

#### S221595

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

#### S221600

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See In re Robbins (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; In re Clark (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769.)

#### S221732

#### **MAGEE (RUCHELL** CINQUE) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See In re Robbins (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; In re Clark (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769; In re Dixon (1953) 41 Cal.2d 756, 759; In re Miller (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734, 735.)

#### S221862

D., SR., (ROGER) v. S.C. (LOS **ANGELES COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF CHILDREN & FAMILY SERVICES**)

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

#### S221871

#### HERNANDEZ (JOSE) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See In re Clark (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769; In re Swain (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304.)

HERSHAWN) ON H.C.

GARCIA (ARTURO) ON H.C.

NUNEZ (JOSE LUIS) ON H.C.

# LITTLE (DEMOND

**MICHAEL (DAVID** HOWARD) ON H.C.

# HERNANDEZ (LINO) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 797-798; *In re Dixon* (1953) 41 Cal.2d 756, 759.)

#### S222245 **CHRISTENSEN (SCOTT** ANDREW) ON H.C. Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied S221420 B253039 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 IN RE N.F. Publication request denied (case closed) S221438 B248502 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 **DeYOUNG (VINCENT) v. COMMISSION ON PROFESSIONAL COMPETENCE OF THE HUENEME ELEMENTARY** SCHOOL DISTRICT (HUENEME ELEMENTARY SCHOOL DISTRICT) Depublication request denied (case closed) S221470 B254121 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 IN RE M.Z.

Publication request denied (case closed)

S221579	B253195 Second Appellate District, Div. 8	IN RE DIA B.		
Publication request denied (case closed)				

S221716	B254060 Second Appellate District, Div. 2	RANDO (JOHN) v. HARRIS
		(KAMALA)/(QUINTERO)
Depublication	request denied (case closed)	

#### S222113 E057671 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2

Depublication request denied (case closed)

The request for an order directing depublication of the opinion in the above-entitled appeal is denied. The court declines to review this matter on its own motion. The matter is now final.

#### S195828

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Stephen M. Lathrop is hereby appointed to represent appellant Ricardo Villa for the direct appeal in the above automatic appeal now pending in this court.

#### S197781

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Timothy J. Foley is hereby appointed to represent appellant David John Reed for the direct appeal in the above automatic appeal now pending in this court.

#### S221816

Petition denied

(accusation)

S221963 Petition denied

(accusation)

#### **PEOPLE v. LUJANO** (RICARDO)

#### **PEOPLE v. VILLA** (RICARDO)

**PEOPLE V. REED (DAVID** 

### **ACCUSATION OF QUINTERO**

JOHN)

#### **ACCUSATION OF INMAN**

#### 1957

#### Recommended discipline imposed

# The court orders that KIRSTEN ANDELMAN, State Bar Number 236347, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and she is placed on probation for one year subject to the following conditions:

- 1. KIRSTEN ANDELMAN is suspended from the practice of law for the first 30 days of probation;
- 2. KIRSTEN ANDELMAN must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on July 15, 2014; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if KIRSTEN ANDELMAN has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

KIRSTEN ANDELMAN must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-half of the costs must be paid with her membership fees for each of the years 2015 and 2016. If KIRSTEN ANDELMAN fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

#### S221968

#### **DEVLIN ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that STEPHEN THOMAS DEVLIN, State Bar Number 254543, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one-year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for one-year subject to the following conditions:

- 1. STEPHEN THOMAS DEVLIN is suspended from the practice of law for the first thirty days of probation;
- 2. STEPHEN THOMAS DEVLIN must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on July 21, 2014; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if STEPHEN THOMAS DEVLIN has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

STEPHEN THOMAS DEVLIN must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

ANDELMAN ON DISCIPLINE

**NOVEMBER 25, 2014** 

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One third of the costs must be paid with his membership fees for each of the years 2015, 2016, and 2017. If STEPHEN THOMAS DEVLIN fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

#### S221971

#### LAK ON DISCIPLINE

**LEUTERIO ON DISCIPLINE** 

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that DANIEL KRISTOF LAK, State Bar Number 216983, is suspended from the practice of law in California for three years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for four years subject to the following conditions:

- DANIEL KRISTOF LAK is suspended from the practice of law for a minimum of the first two years of probation, and he will remain suspended until he provides proof to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.2(c)(1).)
- 2. DANIEL KRISTOF LAK must also comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Decision filed on June 4, 2014.
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if DANIEL KRISTOF LAK has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

DANIEL KRISTOF LAK must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

#### S221977

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that CHRISTOPHER ALEXANDER LEUTERIO, State Bar Number 241993, is suspended from the practice of law in California for two years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. CHRISTOPHER ALEXANDER LEUTERIO is suspended from the practice of law for the first six months of probation;
- 2. CHRISTOPHER ALEXANDER LEUTERIO must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on July 15, 2014; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if CHRISTOPHER ALEXANDER LEUTERIO has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

CHRISTOPHER ALEXANDER LEUTERIO must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).) CHRISTOPHER ALEXANDER LEUTERIO must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-third of the costs must be paid with his membership fees for each of the years 2015, 2016, and 2017. If CHRISTOPHER ALEXANDER LEUTERIO fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

#### S221981

#### SMITH ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that PAUL ELLIOTT SMITH, State Bar Number 216644, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

PAUL ELLIOTT SMITH must make restitution to Linda Bagnall in the amount of \$1,500 plus 10 percent interest per year from September 19, 2012. Any restitution owed to the Client Security Fund is enforceable as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.5, subdivisions (c) and (d).

PAUL ELLIOTT SMITH must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

#### Recommended discipline imposed

# The court orders that SUZANNE FOLEY SPRAGUE, State Bar Number 213078, is suspended from the practice of law in California for two years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and she is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. SUZANNE FOLEY SPRAGUE is suspended from the practice of law for the first year of probation;
- 2. SUZANNE FOLEY SPRAGUE must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on July 14, 2014; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if SUZANNE FOLEY SPRAGUE has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

SUZANNE FOLEY SPRAGUE must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).) SUZANNE FOLEY SPRAGUE must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

#### S221989

#### TABACHNICK ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that ROBERT S. TABACHNICK, State Bar Number 147646, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys. ROBERT S. TABACHNICK must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

SPRAGUE ON DISCIPLINE

# BAR ADMISSION 2014 (JULY EXAM)

General Bar admission order filed

The written motion #1,177 of the Committee of Bar Examiners that the following named applicants, who have fulfilled the requirements for admission to practice law in the State of California, be admitted as attorneys at law in all courts of the State of California upon their taking the prescribed oath before a competent officer on or after November 25, 2014, and within the time limits specified by Title 4, Division 1 of the Rules of the State Bar of California, is hereby granted:

(SEE ORIGINAL APPLICATION FOR THE LIST OF NAMES ATTACHED.)

#### 1037346-14

The HONORABLE MARIA P. RIVERA, Associate Justice of the Court of Appeal, First Appellate District, Division Four, is hereby assigned to assist the Supreme Court, as a justice thereof, on November 25, 2014, and until completion and disposition of all causes and matters submitted pursuant to this assignment including, if necessary by reason of a vacancy or disqualification of a Supreme Court justice, petitions for rehearing arising out of such causes and matters.