

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES
FRIDAY, OCTOBER 9, 2020
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

S264661**MOON (ADRIAN D.) v. S.C.
(PEOPLE)**

Vexatious litigant application denied

The application of petitioner for leave to file a petition for writ of certiorari is hereby denied.

S264909

G055726 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3

PEOPLE v. OGAZ (IGNACIO)

Time for ordering review extended on the court's own motion

The time for ordering review on the court's own motion is hereby extended to December 8, 2020.
(Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(c).)

S156877**PEOPLE v. BROTHERS
(VINCENT EDWARD)**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant, it is ordered that the time to serve and file supplemental appellant's opening brief is extended to November 9, 2020.

S164370**PEOPLE v. VOLARVICH
(BRENDT ANTHONY)**

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel John R. Grele's representation that the appellant's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by November 13, 2020, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to November 13, 2020. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S170001**PEOPLE v. WATTA
(BENJAMIN WAYNE)**

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Jonathan P. Milberg's representation that the appellant's reply brief is anticipated to be filed by November 9, 2020, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to November 9, 2020. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S204700**THOMAS (REGIS DEON) ON
H.C.**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Samantha B. Jacobs's representation that the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is anticipated to be filed by March 11, 2022, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to serve and file that document is granted to November 10, 2020. After that date, only eight further extensions totaling about 485 additional days are contemplated.

S206484**PEOPLE v. ESPINOZA
(PEDRO)**

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Debra S. Sabah Press's representation that the appellant's reply brief is anticipated to be filed by November 23, 2020, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to November 23, 2020. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S208209**PEOPLE v. BURRIS
(NATHAN)**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Supervising Deputy State Public Defender Elias Batchelder's representation that the appellant's reply brief is anticipated to be filed by May 21, 2021, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to November 17, 2020. After that date, only three further extensions totaling about 184 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S212161**PEOPLE v. WALTERS
(MICHAEL J.)**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to November 17, 2020.

S212699**PEOPLE v. MANZO (JESSE)**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel William D. Farber's representation that the appellant's reply brief is anticipated to be filed by December 31, 2020, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to November 13, 2020. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 49 additional days is contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S217284**JONES (BRYAN MAURICE)
ON H.C.**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Shelley J. Sandusky's representation that the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is anticipated to be filed by April 9, 2021, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to serve and file that document is granted to November 10, 2020. After that date, only three further extensions totaling about 149 additional days are contemplated.

S224393**PEOPLE v. HARTS (TYRONE
LEVOID)**

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Rudolph J. Alejo's representation that the appellant's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by December 15, 2020, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to November 10, 2020. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 34 additional days is contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S229694**PEOPLE v. RODRIGUEZ
(LUIS JESUS)**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to November 13, 2020.

S232318**PEOPLE v. MERCADO
(JOSEPH)**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to November 10, 2020.

S233077**PEOPLE v. BROWN
(MICHAEL CHARLES)**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to November 9, 2020.

S239380**PEOPLE v. SANCHEZ
(GILBERT BERNARD)**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to December 11, 2020.

S259364

C085906 Third Appellate District

**NATARAJAN (SUNDAR) v.
DIGNITY HEALTH**

Extension of time granted

On application of amicus curiae Adventist Health System/West, Scripps Health, and Regents of the University of California and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the amici curiae application and amici curiae brief is extended to November 30, 2020.

S260736 B280526 Second Appellate District, Div. 2**SEROVA (VERA) v. SONY
MUSIC ENTERTAINMENT**

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply brief on the merits is extended to November 13, 2020.

S261812 A157280 First Appellate District, Div. 5**B. (E.), CONSERVATORSHIP
OF**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer brief on the merits is extended to November 20, 2020.

S264619 C086043 Third Appellate District**FELISILDA (DINA C.) v. FCA
US LLC**

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer to petition for review is extended to October 20, 2020.

S263375 B297213 Second Appellate District, Div. 4**PEOPLE v. PADILLA
(MARIO SALVADOR)**

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Jonathan Demson is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

Appellant's brief on the merits must be served and filed on or before thirty (30) days from the date respondent's opening brief on the merits is filed.

S264480**SINGH (RAGHVENDRA) v.
S.C. (PEOPLE)**

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Third Appellate District

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Third Appellate District, for consideration in light of *Hagan v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition must be denied.

S264634**MOON (ADRIAN D.) v. S.C.
(PEOPLE)**

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, for consideration in light of *Hagan v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition must be denied.

S264654**TAYLOR III (JOE A.) v. S.C.
(PEOPLE)**

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, for consideration in light of *Hagan v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition must be denied.

S264823**GREEN (LONZELL) v. S.C.
(PEOPLE)**

Transferred to Court of Appeal, First Appellate District

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, First Appellate District.