SUPREME COURT MINUTES WEDNESDAY, OCTOBER 22, 2014 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S220891 G048132 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. SHAPIRO (MARK IRVING)

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *Johnson v. California Department of Justice*, S209167 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Baxter, Werdegar, Chin, Corrigan, and Liu, JJ.

S221063 B257934 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 WILLIAMS (BERNARD) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

Petition for review granted; transferred to Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Five, with directions to issue an alternative writ

The petition for review is granted. The matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Five, with directions to vacate its order denying mandate and to issue an alternative writ to be heard before that court when the proceeding is ordered on calendar. Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Baxter, Werdegar, Corrigan, and Liu, JJ.

S216351

GREATER LOS ANGELES AGENCY ON DEAFNESS, INC. v. CABLE NEWS NETWORK, INC.

Grant of request for certification vacated

Based on the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit's "Notice of Withdrawal of Request for Certification" filed October 10, 2014, the order filed on March 26, 2014, granting the Ninth Circuit's request to decide a question of California law made pursuant to rule 8.548 of the California Rules of Court is vacated.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Baxter, Werdegar, Chin, Corrigan, and Liu, JJ.

(AA)

S193440

SAMUELS (MARY ELLEN) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

The petition for a writ of habeas corpus, filed on May 26, 2011, is denied.

All of the claims are barred as untimely under In re Robbins (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780.

Claim I is procedurally barred as successive under *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-768.

Claim II is procedurally barred as repetitive under *In re Miller* (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734, 735.

Claim III is procedurally bared as repetitive under *In re Waltreus* (1965) 62 Cal.2d 218, 225.

Claim IV is procedurally barred for the reasons stated regarding Claims I through III.

S220087 E056433 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2

PINEDA (ABRAHAM) ON

H.C.

Petition for review denied

S220254 G047495/G047588 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 HASSO (RONALD) v. HAPKE

(JOHN)/(CHARLES FISH INVESTMENTS, INC.)

Petition for review denied

S220307

SHALANT ON REINSTATEMENT

Petition for review denied – JOSEPH L. SHALANT

S220405

A136116 First Appellate District, Div. 4

PEOPLE v. FIORE (BRIAN

COLE)

Petition for review denied

S220554

B252446 Second Appellate District, Div. 5

CHODOS (HILLEL) v. BORMAN (NAVABEH P.)

Petition for review denied

S220652 A137828 First Appellate District, Div. 4

CITIZENS FOR A
SUSTAINABLE TREASURE
ISLAND v. CITY & COUNTY
OF SAN FRANCISCO
(TREASURE ISLAND
COMMUNITY
DEVELOPMENT LLC)

Petition for review & depublication request(s) denied

S220667 B251575 Second Appellate District, Div. 8

PEOPLE v. MADRID (MOISES ENCARNACION)

Petition for review denied

S220684 F065964 Fifth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. REED (JOE

TODD)

Petition for review denied

S220749 C076413 Third Appellate District

Petition for review denied

L. (**C.**) **v. S.C.** (**PEOPLE**)

S220756 B252004 Second Appellate District, Div. 5

PEOPLE v. HANNIGAN (LINA DELILAH)

Petition for review denied

S220760 C076264 Third Appellate District

Petition for review denied

S. (**R.**) **v. S.C.** (**PEOPLE**)

S220761 C076131 Third Appellate District

Petition for review denied

V. (L.) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

S220814 C077052 Third Appellate District

JORDAN (RANDY LEE) v.

S.C. (PEOPLE)

Petition for review denied

S220837 F065631 Fifth Appellate District PEOPLE v. SIMMONS (DENNIS LEE)

Petition for review denied

S220853 B253327 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 VELASQUEZ (JORGE) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

Petition for review denied

S220899 A137390 First Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. HARRIS (ANTOINE W.)

Petition for review denied

S220902 C074829 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. WILLIAMS (WILLIAM LEE)

Petition for review denied

S220905 D064206 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. GOTELL (JOSEPH)

Petition for review denied

S220930 C073814 Third Appellate District MEAD (ROBERT M.) &

WILLIAMS-MEAD (CAROLYN INEZ), MARRIAGE OF

Petition for review denied

Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., was recused and did not participate.

S220942 G049804 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. RODRIGUEZ (RODOLFO MIGUEL)

Petitions for review denied

S220950 B247646 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 PEOPLE v. COOK (BRYAN)

Petitions for review denied

S220955 B247701 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 PEOPLE v. VIENS (DAVID ROBERT)

Petition for review denied

S220957 A137266 First Appellate District, Div. 2 FREMONT AUTOMOBILE DEALERSHIP LLC v. KIM (JANET)

Petition for review denied

S220960 B248941 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 GANOE (ROSE MARIE) v.
METALCLAD INSULATION
CORPORATION

Petition for review & depublication request(s) denied

S220964 B240595 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 OCHOA (JOAQUIN) v. DORADO (JESUS FELIPE)

Petition for review & depublication request(s) denied

S220965 H038896 Sixth Appellate District PEOPLE v. INIGUEZ (ANDRES)

Petition for review denied

S220988 B252111 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 PEOPLE v. ALCALDE (CALEB J.)

Petition for review denied

S220993 A136588 First Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. UBANDO (WILLIAM)

Petition for review denied

S220998 B246678 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. CRUZ (FRANCISCO ALEJANDRO)

Petition for review denied

S221022 B253529/B255210 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 ANCHOR PACIFICA

MANAGEMENT COMPANY v. GREEN (SHARON)

Petition for review denied

S221025 G049901 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3

PEOPLE v. JAMES (DAVIA

DAMANIQUE)

Petition for review denied

S221047 E058253 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2

PEOPLE v. MISSEY (AARON

STUART)

Petition for review denied

S221069 E055709 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2

PEOPLE v. SALCIDO

(FRANCISCO)

Petition for review denied

S221074 D064810 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1

PEOPLE v. EVANS

(MICHAEL)

Petition for review denied

S221075 D066319 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1

AYERS (TYNIA) v.

WORKERS'

COMPENSATION APPEALS

BOARD & SAN DIEGO

UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT

Petition for review denied

S221078 A135489 First Appellate District, Div. 3

PEOPLE v. MEYERS (MIKA

LEE)

The petition for review is denied without prejudice to any relief to which defendant might be entitled after this court decides *People v. Conley*, S211275.

S221110 G048156 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3

FEDERAL NATIONAL MORTGAGE ASSOCIATION v. ROTHMAN (LARRY)/ (BROOKHURST VILLAGE HOMEOWNERS ASSOCIATION)

Petition for review denied

S221122 G047750 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3

PEOPLE v. SINDAHA (SAMI MICHAEL)

Petition for review denied

S221131 B254711 Second Appellate District, Div. 4

LARA (JUAN) ON H.C.

Petition for review denied

S221135 B251905 Second Appellate District, Div. 4

PEOPLE v. THOMAS (MICHAEL DESHAWN)

Petition for review denied`

S221142 A137609/A139772 First Appellate District, Div. 5 CAL-MURPHY LLC v. HINES

INTERESTS LIMITED
PARTNERSHIP

Petition for review denied

S221157 D063582 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1

IN RE EDGAR Z.

Petition for review denied

S221173 E057558 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2

PEOPLE v. BARAHONA (ADOLFO JOSE MORALES)

Petition for review denied

B256947 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 S221187 **OUYANG (LIN) v.**

WORKERS'

COMPENSATION APPEALS

BOARD & ACHEM

INDUSTRY AMERICA, INC.

Petition for review denied

S221194 A138978 First Appellate District, Div. 5

Petition for review denied

PEOPLE v. WEST (SHEILA I.)

S221195 B250541 Second Appellate District, Div. 4

Petition for review denied

PEOPLE v. JORDAN (RICKY

TYREE)

S221207 C077216 Third Appellate District

Petition for review denied

FLYNN (CARRIE) v. S.C. (BRISTOL HOSPICE, LLC)

G048498 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 S221217

PEOPLE v. LINARES (ANTHONY OSORIO)

Petition for review denied

S221221 C071812 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. PERIMAN, JR.,

(ALLEN JOHN)

Petition for review denied

A140615 First Appellate District, Div. 1 S221228

Petition for review denied

IN RE E.N.

S221231 B250547 Second Appellate District, Div. 2

Petition for review denied

IN RE PETER K.

S221233 B242123 Second Appellate District, Div. 1

Petition for review denied

M. (J.) v. H. (G.)

S221243 F066495 Fifth Appellate District PEOPLE v. LEWIS (MELVIN CHARLES)

Petition for review denied

S221251 G050449 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 **D. (R) v. S.C. (M.S.)**

Petition for review denied

S221252 G050538 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 **D.** (**R.**) **v. S.C.** (**M.S.**)

Petition for review denied

S221255 G050301 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 **D.** (**R.**) **v. S.C.** (**M.S.**)

Petition for review denied

S221262 F066694 Fifth Appellate District PEOPLE v. ESHAYA (ESHAYA GILBERT)

Petition for review denied

S221264 B249039 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. CRUZ (OMAR)

Petition for review denied

S221267 B247139 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 PEOPLE v. QUEVADO (JESUS CUELLO)

Petition for review denied

S221268 B248885 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. MORA (AARON

MANUEL)

Petition for review denied

S221275 B247076 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. KENNEDY

(TYRONE)

Petition for review denied

S221292 A142526 First Appellate District, Div. 2 HAYWARD (TRACY) v. S.C. (OSUCH)

Petition for review denied

S221294 B258514 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 CLAY (ROY) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

Petition for review denied

S221316 C074347 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. EUSTED (ROSEMARY)

Petition for review denied

S221318 B248794 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. RINEY (ROBERT JOHN)

Petition for review denied

S221326 H037357 Sixth Appellate District PEOPLE v. ROJAS (DOMINGO SANTOS)

Petition for review denied

S221330 E055735 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. PEREZ, JR., (EZEKIEL)

Petition for review denied

S221337 A138214 First Appellate District, Div. 5 PEOPLE v. ELDER (COREY)

Petition for review denied

S221338 F066965 Fifth Appellate District PEOPLE v. WOODWARD (DEAMUS HEATH)

Petition for review denied

S221701 A142877 First Appellate District, Div. 4 PRINCIPAL BUILDERS, INC. v. S.C. (RODRIGUES)

Petition for review & application for stay denied

S221824 F070144 Fifth Appellate District

MOJAVE UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT v. S.C. (CONKLIN)

Petition for review & application for stay denied

S221983 C077520 Third Appellate District

JOHAL (JACK S.) v. S.C. (HAMMER LANE R.V. & MINI-STORAGE, L.P.)

Petition for review & application for stay denied

S212459

SMITH (DINO LOREN) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S219423

DEARING (MICHAEL) ON

H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied on the merits. (See *Harrington v. Richter* (2011) 562 U.S. __ [131 S.Ct. 770, 785], citing *Ylst v. Nunnemaker* (1991) 501 U.S. 797, 803.)

S219430

TRUJILLO (FERNANDO) ON

H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769.)

S219445

McCOY (KAVIN WINSON)

ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769.)

S219522

KLINEFELTER (JEFFREY

SCOTT) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S219806

HANKS (JONATHAN) ON

H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769.)

WYNN (NELSON) ON H.C.

The motion for a protective order is denied. The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied.

S219897

SCOTT (LIONEL A.) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S219902

McCAW (MICHAEL) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S219941

HOSELTON (ROBERT) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S219943

SEMIEN (ANTHONY

MARVELL) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S219944

DACOSTA (CHRISTOPHER

D.) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Dixon* (1953) 41 Cal.2d 756, 759.)

S219945

RODRIGUEZ (NASSER) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S219950

JACKSON (FRED JAY) ON

H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474.)

S219989

ROSAS (ABEL) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

WATSON (ANDRE ERIC) ON

H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied without prejudice to any relief to which petitioner might be entitled after this court decides *Braziel v. Superior Court*, S218503.

S220126

GASTON (ANTHONY) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S220389

JOHNSON (FRANK) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S220406

YOUNG (JAMES O.) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474.)

S220437

AVALOS (FRANCISCO) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S220481

CATANIO (MARIO) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S220482

ZAVALA (KEITH) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S220489

RILEY (STEVEN E.) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Dexter* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 921, 925-926.)

S220490

PENA (MIGUEL) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780.)

THOMPSON (RONALD JOE) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474.)

S220492

JOHNSON (ANTHONY L.) ON

H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780.)

S220494

CHESSMAN (KELVIN SCOTT) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780.)

S220495

ORTIZ (ENRIQUE) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769.)

S220496

WILHELM (STEVE) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769.)

S220502

WALTON (KEVIN LAVELLE)

ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S220519

BARBEE (MARK K.) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769; *In re Miller* (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734, 735.)

S220542

YOUNG (GERALD JEROME)

ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769.)

S220639

SALAS (RAFAEL) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

MARCUS (MICHAEL) ON

H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304.)

S221031

CLARK (RAYMOND) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S221340

DOTSON (TRACY LEE) ON

H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780.)

S221635

DOTSON (TRACY LEE) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S221800

LOPER (JAMES ALDEN) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

The request for judicial notice, filed in this court on October 10, 2014, is granted.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus, filed in this court the same day, is denied without prejudice to the prisoner seeking compassionate release in the trial court pursuant to Penal Code section 1170, subdivision (e), or medical parole from the Board of Parole Hearings pursuant to Penal Code section 3550.

S220514

A138402 First Appellate District, Div. 2

CHAN (SUSAN) v. DELTA DENTAL OF CALIFORNIA

Publication request denied (case closed)

S220600

G049494 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3

SUNCAL LA QUINTA, LLC v. ESTON (JACQUELINE M.)

Publication request denied (case closed)

S220620 A137612 First Appellate District, Div. 4

CITIZENS FOR A GREEN SAN MATEO v. SAN MATEO COUNTY COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT

Depublication requests denied (case closed)

S220673 A138098 First Appellate District, Div. 2

ATKINSHIN (WALTER) v. BANK OF AMERICA, N.A.

Publication requests denied (case closed) Chin, J., was recused and did not participate.

S220765 D061295 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1

ATLAS ALLIED, INC. v. SAN DIEGO COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT

Publication request denied (case closed)

S220966 A140107 First Appellate District, Div. 4

Depublication request denied (case closed)

IN RE G.B.

PEOPLE v. GOMEZ (RUBEN PEREZ)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Lynne S. Coffin's representation that the appellant's reply brief is anticipated to be filed by November 19, 2014, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to November 19, 2014. After that date, no further extension will be granted.

S091898

S087773

PEOPLE v. RUBIO (GILBERT RAUL)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy State Public Defender Christina A. Spaulding's representation that the appellant's reply brief is anticipated to be filed by February 24, 2015, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to December 26, 2014. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 60 additional days will be granted. An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

PEOPLE v. PENUNURI (RICHARD)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Stephen M. Lathrop's representation that the appellant's reply brief is anticipated to be filed by November 11, 2014, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to November 12, 2014. After that date, no further extension will be granted.

S107900

PEOPLE v. WRIGHT, JR., (WILLIAM LEE)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Senior Deputy State Public Defender Alison Bernstein's representation that the appellant's reply brief is anticipated to be filed by April 25, 2015, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to December 22, 2014. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 120 additional days will be granted.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S130659

PEOPLE v. ARMSTRONG (CRAIGEN LEWIS)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Patricia A. Scott's representation that the appellant's reply brief is anticipated to be filed by December 10, 2014, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to December 10, 2014. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S137307

PEOPLE v. MORALES (JOHNNY)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to January 2, 2015.

PEOPLE v. LUTHER (JOHNATHAN ROSS)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Collette C. Cavalier's representation that the respondent's brief is anticipated to be filed by June 20, 2015, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to December 19, 2014. After that date, only three further extensions totaling about 180 additional days are contemplated. An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S154459

PEOPLE v. TURNER (CHESTER DEWAYNE)

Extension of time granted

The application of appellant for relief from default for the failure to timely file appellant's request for extension of time is granted.

Good cause appearing, and based upon Supervising Deputy State Public Defender Mary K. McComb's representation that the appellant's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by November 19, 2014, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to November 19, 2014. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S169090

PEOPLE v. CHOYCE (WILLIAM JENNINGS)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to December 26, 2014.

S169689

PEOPLE v. EVANS (STEVE CARL)

Extension of time granted

The application of appellant for relief from default for the failure to timely file appellant's request for extension of time is granted.

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel William J. Kopeny's representation that the appellant's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by June 15, 2015, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to November 7, 2014. After that date, only four further extensions totaling about 220 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

PEOPLE v. McDANIEL (DONTE LAMONT)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Supervising Deputy State Public Defender Peter R. Silten's representation that the appellant's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by August 1, 2015, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to December 22, 2014. After that date, only four further extensions totaling about 225 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S173875

RIGGS (BILLY RAY) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Federal Public Defender Rose D. Angulo's representation that the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is anticipated to be filed by January 13, 2015, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to December 9, 2014. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 30 additional days is contemplated.

S176413

PEOPLE v. DENT (ANTHONY R.)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel John F. Schuck's representation that the appellant's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by February 4, 2015, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to December 19, 2014. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 45 additional days is contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S176812

PEOPLE v. YONKO (TONY RICKY)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to December 23, 2014.

PEOPLE v. ROTTIERS (BROOKE MARIE)

Extension of time granted

The application of appellant for relief from default for the failure to timely file appellant's request for extension of time is granted.

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to December 15, 2014.

S188525

THOMPSON (JAMES ALVIN) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Supervising Deputy Attorney General Holly D. Wilkens's representation that the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is anticipated to be filed by February 26, 2015, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to December 23, 2014. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 60 additional days is contemplated.

S206006

PARKER (GERALD) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Fred Renfroe's representation that the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is anticipated to be filed by February 12, 2015, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to December 16, 2014. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 58 additional days will be granted.

S208154

POWELL (CARL D.) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Gary B. Wells's representation that the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is anticipated to be filed by November 20, 2015, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to December 19, 2014. After that date, only six further extensions totaling about 335 additional days will be granted.

PEOPLES (LOUIS JAMES) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Donna M. Provenzano's representation that the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is anticipated to be filed by May 31, 2015, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to December 23, 2014. After that date, only three further extensions totaling about 160 additional days are contemplated.

S218288 H039404 Sixth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. FRIDAY (JEFFREY DAVID ALLEN)

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply brief on the merits is extended to November 19, 2014.

S218551

JONES (KIONGOZI) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Viet H. Nguyen's representation that the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is anticipated to be filed by May 11, 2015, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to December 22, 2014. After that date, only three further extensions totaling about 150 additional days are contemplated.

S218736

SMITH (ROBERT LEE) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Dorian Jung's representation that the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is anticipated to be filed by January 2, 2015, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to January 2, 2015. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S219819 B249557 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. MACHADO (OSCAR)

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the opening brief on the merits is extended to November 13, 2014.

No further extensions of time are contemplated.

S219889 G049037/G049038 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. JUAREZ (GERARDO)

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the opening brief on the merits is extended to November 14, 2014.

No further extensions of time are contemplated.

S219891

ALVAREZ (JUAN BOSCO) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the informal response is extended to November 17, 2014.

S221247

DELGADO (ANTHONY GILBERT) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Tia M. Coronado's representation that the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is anticipated to be filed by May 15, 2015, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to December 8, 2014. After that date, only three further extensions totaling about 150 additional days are contemplated.

S055474

PEOPLE v. PERRY (CLIFTON)

Withdrawal of counsel allowed by order

Good cause appearing, the application of appointed habeas corpus/executive clemency counsel for permission to withdraw as attorney of record for condemned inmate Clifton Perry, filed October 6, 2014, is granted.

The order appointing Gary B. Wells as habeas corpus/executive clemency counsel of record for condemned inmate Clifton Perry, filed August 3, 2001, is hereby vacated.

D. Jay Ritt and Verna Wefald are hereby appointed as lead and associate counsel of record, respectively, for condemned inmate Clifton Perry. Counsel are appointed for purposes of all postconviction proceedings in this court, and for subsequent proceedings, including the preparation and filing of a petition for clemency with the Governor of California, as appropriate.

PEOPLE v. SPENCER (CHRISTOPHER ALAN)

Order filed

Appellant's request for relief from default to file appellant's reply brief is granted.

S093944

PEOPLE v. BERTSCH (JOHN ANTHONY) & HRONIS (JEFFERY LEE)

Order filed

The order filed October 21, 2014, granting an extension of time in which to file the appellant Hronis's opening brief is corrected nunc pro tunc as to the case title.

S211840 D062693 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. LOPER (JAMES ALDEN)

Order filed

The "Motion to Consolidate Petition for a Writ of Habeas Corpus With This Appeal," filed in this court on October 10, 2014, is denied as moot. The "Request for Expedited Processing, etc.," filed in this court the same day, is hereby granted as consistent with (1) attention to matters entitled to greater preference and (2) application of those provisions of the Internal Operating Practices and Procedures of the California Supreme Court that may necessarily affect scheduling of the case for oral argument (see Supreme Ct. Intern. Operating Practices & Procedures, section V, VI).

S219534 A136378/A136416 First Appellate District, Div. 3 KESNER, JR., (JOHNNY BLAINE) v. S.C. (PNEUMO ABEX LLC)

Order filed

The motion for calendar preference (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.240) made by plaintiff and respondent Johnny Kesner, under Code of Civil Procedure sections 36 and 187, is granted as consistent with (1) attention to matters entitled to greater preference by law and (2) application of those provisions of the Internal Operating Practices and Procedures of the California Supreme Court that may necessarily affect scheduling of the case for oral argument (see Supreme Ct. Intern. Operating Practices & Procedures, §§ V, VI).

S219919 B246527 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 HAVER (JOSHUA) v. BNSF RAILWAY COMPANY

Order filed

The motion for calendar preference (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.240) made by plaintiffs and appellants Joshua Haver, Christopher Haver, Kyle Haver, and Jennifer Morris, under Code of Civil Procedure sections 36 and 187, is granted as consistent with (1) attention to matters entitled to greater preference by law and (2) application of those provisions of the Internal Operating Practices and Procedures of the California Supreme Court that may necessarily affect scheduling of the case for oral argument (see Supreme Ct. Intern. Operating Practices & Procedures, §§ V, VI).

S220376 RULE 3.829

Rule adopted as recommended

The proposed amendments to the State Bar rules 3.158, 3.159, 3.170, 3.172, 3.179, and 3.829, for the lawyer referral services, law corporations, and limited liability partnerships, recommended for approval by the Board of Trustees of the State Bar of California, are hereby approved.

S221037 ACCUSATION OF MIALE

Petition denied (accusation)

S221258 ACCUSATION OF BROOKS

Petition denied (accusation)

S219597 ALEXANDER ON DISCIPLINE

Petition for writ of review denied; disbarred

The petition for review is denied.

The court orders that JON MICHAEL ALEXANDER, State Bar Number 129207, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys. JON MICHAEL ALEXANDER must comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

HEWITT ON DISCIPLINE

Petition for writ of review denied; disbarred

The petition for review is denied.

The court orders that ROBBIN van HERR WAARDEN HEWITT, State Bar Number 158751, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

ROBBIN van HERR WAARDEN HEWITT must make restitution to James and Sebastian Maggi, in the amount of 109,380 plus 10 percent per year from December 5, 2008. Any restitution owed to the Client Security Fund is enforceable as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.5, subdivisions (c) and (d).

ROBBIN van HERR WAARDEN HEWITT must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S220469

BLOCH ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that SCOTT J. BLOCH, State Bar Number 264559, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. SCOTT J. BLOCH is suspended from the practice of law for the first 30 days of probation;
- 2. SCOTT J. BLOCH must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Decision filed on April 8, 2014, as amended by its June 4, 2014, "Order (1) Re Motion for Reconsideration; and (2) Amending Decision;" and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if SCOTT J. BLOCH has complied with all conditions of probation, the one-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

SCOTT J. BLOCH must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section.

GERSHFELD ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that ALANA GERSHFELD, State Bar Number 196454, is suspended from the practice of law in California for two years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and she is placed on probation for three years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. ALANA GERSHFELD is suspended from the practice of law for the first 90 days of probation;
- 2. ALANA GERSHFELD must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on June 17, 2014; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if ALANA GERSHFELD has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

ALANA GERSHFELD must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S220477

HARMS ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that WARREN ARTHUR HARMS, State Bar Number 76689, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

WARREN ARTHUR HARMS must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

HARVEY ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that GERARD BRENNAN HARVEY, State Bar Number 152669, is suspended from the practice of law in California for two years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

- GERARD BRENNAN HARVEY is suspended from the practice of law for the first 90 days of probation;
 2.GERARD BRENNAN HARVEY must comply with the other conditions of probation
 - recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Decision filed on May 29, 2014; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if GERARD BRENNAN HARVEY has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

GERARD BRENNAN HARVEY must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).) GERARD BRENNAN HARVEY must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S220721

JONES ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that SUSAN CLARE-VERRIER JONES, State Bar Number 149446, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that her name is stricken from the roll of attorneys. SUSAN CLARE-VERRIER JONES must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

O'MAHONEY ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that DENIS ALEXANDER O'MAHONEY, State Bar Number 77635, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. DENIS ALEXANDER O'MAHONEY must comply with the conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on June 24, 2014; and
- 2. At the expiration of the period of probation, if DENIS ALEXANDER O'MAHONEY has complied with the terms of probation, the one-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

DENIS ALEXANDER O'MAHONEY must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).) Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S220724

SMETHURST ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that BRIAN WILLIAM SMETHURST, State Bar Number 179453, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for three years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. BRIAN WILLIAM SMETHURST must comply with the conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on June 18, 2014; and
- 2. At the expiration of the period of probation, if BRIAN WILLIAM SMETHURST has complied with the terms of probation, the one-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

BRIAN WILLIAM SMETHURST must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-third of the costs must be paid with his membership fees for each of the years 2015, 2016, and 2017. If BRIAN WILLIAM SMETHURST fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

S221249

BEALL ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that DIANE JOAN BEALL, State Bar Number 86877, is suspended from the practice of law in California for two years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and she is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. DIANE JOAN BEALL is suspended from the practice of law for the first 90 days of probation;
- 2. DIANE JOAN BEALL must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on July 1, 2014; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if DIANE JOAN BEALL has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

DIANE JOAN BEALL must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).) DIANE JOAN BEALL must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One third of the costs must be paid with her membership fees for each of the years 2015, 2016, and 2017. If DIANE JOAN BEALL fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

BRIFMAN ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that MARK ALAN BRIFMAN, State Bar Number 75923, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. MARK ALAN BRIFMAN must comply with the conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on July 2, 2014; and
- 2. At the expiration of the period of probation, if MARK ALAN BRIFMAN has complied with the terms of probation, the one-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S221253

FELDMAN ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that STEPHEN MARK FELDMAN, State Bar Number 65773, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. STEPHEN MARK FELDMAN is suspended from the practice of law for 90 days (with credit given for the period of interim suspension which commenced on February 3, 2014);
- 2. STEPHEN MARK FELDMAN must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on June 24, 2014; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if STEPHEN MARK FELDMAN has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

STEPHEN MARK FELDMAN must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-half of the costs must be paid with his membership fees for each of the years 2015 and 2016. If STEPHEN MARK FELDMAN fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

GARCIA II ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that GASPAR ROBERTO GARCIA II, State Bar Number 215762, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys. GASPAR ROBERTO GARCIA II must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S221260

McKIM ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that DAVID MATTHEW McKIM, State Bar Number 77396, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. DAVID MATTHEW McKIM is suspended from the practice of law for the first 60 days of probation;
- 2. DAVID MATTHEW McKIM must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its decision filed on June 16, 2014; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if DAVID MATTHEW McKIM has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

DAVID MATTHEW McKIM must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

POMERY ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that ADRIAN MATTHEW POMERY, State Bar Number 249664, is suspended from the practice of law in California for two years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for three years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. ADRIAN MATTHEW POMERY must comply with the conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on July 2, 2014; and
- 2. At the expiration of the period of probation, if ADRIAN MATTHEW POMERY has complied with the terms of probation, the two-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One half of the costs must be paid with his membership fees for each of the years 2015 and 2016. If ADRIAN MATTHEW POMERY fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

S222016

McGREW ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of TERRI MICHELLE McGREW, State Bar Number 137962, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

TERRI MICHELLE McGREW must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 20 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

S222017

REDD ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of SHERLEEN MILANA REDD, State Bar Number 119307, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

SHERLEEN MILANA REDD must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 20 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

SIEPMANN ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of WILLI HANS SIEPMANN, State Bar Number 102224, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

WILLI HANS SIEPMANN must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 20 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

S222019

WODTKE ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of ALEXIS KENNEY WODTKE, State Bar Number 189946, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

ALEXIS KENNEY WODTKE must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 20 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

S222020

CAETANO ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of NORMAN ARTHUR CAETANO, State Bar Number 88864, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

NORMAN ARTHUR CAETANO must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

S222021

GUNKEL ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of DEBRA MARIE GUNKEL, State Bar Number 147008, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

DEBRA MARIE GUNKEL must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

HALL ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of DONNA LEOTA HALL, State Bar Number 114908, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

DONNA LEOTA HALL must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

S222023

DUNLAP ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of JAMES W. DUNLAP, State Bar Number 130994, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

JAMES W. DUNLAP must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

S222024

HOBBY ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of JILL C. HOBBY, State Bar Number 137518, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

JILL C. HOBBY must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

S222025

JONES ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of GILBERT FRANKLIN JONES, State Bar Number 31335, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

GILBERT FRANKLIN JONES must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

S222026

HAUGH ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of VERA GENEVIEVE HAUGH, State Bar Number 163869, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

VERA GENEVIEVE HAUGH must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

GIBBS ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of MARTHA ELLEN GIBBS, State Bar Number 151997, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

MARTHA ELLEN GIBBS must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

S222028

GOLDMAN ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of MICHAEL KENT GOLDMAN, State Bar Number 70986, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

MICHAEL KENT GOLDMAN must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.