# [NO MINUTES WERE GENERATED FOR MONDAY, OCTOBER 21, 2019]

SUPREME COURT MINUTES TUESDAY, OCTOBER 22, 2019 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S142959

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant Donald Young, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to December 16, 2019.

S142959

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant Timothy Young, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to December 16, 2019.

S212161

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to December 20, 2019.

S212477

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant Kenneth Nowlin, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to December 23, 2019.

PEOPLE v. YOUNG (DONALD RAY) & YOUNG (TIMOTHY JAMES)

(MICHAEL J.)

**PEOPLE v. WALTERS** 

# PEOPLE v. YOUNG (DONALD RAY) & YOUNG (TIMOTHY JAMES)

PEOPLE v. FRAZIER (TRAVIS) & NOWLIN (KENNETH LEE)

#### 1493

# S212477

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant Travis Frazier, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to December 23, 2019.

#### S224393

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to December 13, 2019.

#### S232318

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to December 13, 2019.

#### S253462

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the informal response is extended to November 18, 2019.

# S252271

Order filed

On application of the Governor and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to resubmit the record in the manner prescribed by California Rules of Court, rules 8.45, 8.46(d)(2)-(5) is extended to November 15, 2019.

Groban, J., was recused and did not participate.

# PEOPLE v. FRAZIER (TRAVIS) & NOWLIN (KENNETH LEE)

# PEOPLE v. MERCADO (JOSEPH)

**MORALES (CESAR R.) ON** 

H.C.

# WONG (ELAINE) ON CLEMENCY

# PEOPLE v. HARTS (TYRONE LEVOID)

#### 1495

#### S252277

#### Order filed

On application of the Governor and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to resubmit the record in the manner prescribed by California Rules of Court, rules 8.45, 8.46(d)(2)-(5) is extended to November 15, 2019.

Groban, J., was recused and did not participate.

#### S252279

# **RODRIGUEZ (RAMON) ON CLEMENCY**

HARRIS (JAMES) ON

**CLEMENCY** 

Order filed

On application of the Governor and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to resubmit the record in the manner prescribed by California Rules of Court, rules 8.45, 8.46(d)(2)-(5) is extended to November 15, 2019.

Groban, J., was recused and did not participate.

#### S252284

# FLOWERS (RICHARD) ON CLEMENCY

Order filed

On application of the Governor and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to resubmit the record in the manner prescribed by California Rules of Court, rules 8.45, 8.46(d)(2)-(5) is extended to November 15, 2019.

Groban, J., was recused and did not participate.

# S252285

# GUZMAN (ANTHONY) ON CLEMENCY

# Order filed

On application of the Governor and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to resubmit the record in the manner prescribed by California Rules of Court, rules 8.45, 8.46(d)(2)-(5) is extended to November 15, 2019.

Groban, J., was recused and did not participate.

#### S255392

# BURTON (SUSAN H.) ON CLEMENCY

Order filed

On application of the Governor and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to resubmit the record in the manner prescribed by California Rules of Court, rules 8.45, 8.46(d)(2)-(5) is extended to November 15, 2019.

# S258446

# FOSTER (RICKY TYRONE) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Fifth Appellate District

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Fifth Appellate District, for consideration in light of *Hagan v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition must be denied.

# BAR MISC. 4186 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE COMMITTEE OF BAR EXAMINERS OF THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA FOR ADMISSION OF ATTORNEYS (MOTION NO. 1,439)

The written motion of the Committee of Bar Examiners that the following named applicants, who have fulfilled the requirements for admission to practice law in the State of California, be admitted to the practice of law in this state is hereby granted, with permission to the applicants to take the oath before a competent officer at another time and place: (SEE ORIGINAL APPLICATION FOR THE LIST OF NAMES ATTACHED.)