

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES
THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 10, 2015
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

S093803**PEOPLE v. SEUMANU
(ROPATI)**

Time extended to consider modification or rehearing

The time for granting or denying rehearing in the above-entitled case is hereby extended to November 20, 2015, or the date upon which rehearing is either granted or denied, whichever occurs first.

S227831 H040779 Sixth Appellate District**IN RE J.S.**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to October 13, 2015.

S227881 B254084 Second Appellate District, Div. 6**PEOPLE v. ALLEN (DEIDRA)**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to October 16, 2015.

S134792**PEOPLE v. HUGHES
(MERVIN RAY)**

Extension of time granted

The application of appellant for relief from default for the failure to timely file appellant's request for extension of time is granted.

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to October 30, 2015.

S195568**PEOPLE v. BELL
(CIMARRON BERNARD)**

Extension of time granted

The application of appellant for relief from default for the failure to timely file appellant's request for extension of time is granted.

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to October 27, 2015.

S196994**BOOKER (RICHARD
LONNIE) ON H.C.**

Extension of time granted

The application of petitioner for relief from default for the failure to timely file petitioner's request for extension of time is granted.

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Robert M. Sanger's representation that the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is anticipated to be filed by November 27, 2015, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to October 27, 2015. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 35 additional days is contemplated.

S105403**PEOPLE v. CHHOUN (RUN
PETER) & PAN (SAMRETH
SAM)**

Order filed

The order filed in the above matter on July 6, 2015, is amended to read as follows:

Good cause appearing, and based upon Supervising Deputy State Public Defender Kent Barkhurst's representation that appellant Run Peter Chhoun's reply brief is anticipated to be filed by March 15, 2016, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to September 4, 2015. After that date, only three further extensions totaling about 187 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S226123**WENZEL ON DISCIPLINE**

Order filed

The order filed on June 26, 2015, suspending MARK DANIEL WENZEL is hereby amended to read in its entirety:

"The court orders that MARK DANIEL WENZEL, State Bar Number 96673, is suspended from the practice of law in California for two years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for three years subject to the following conditions:

1. MARK DANIEL WENZEL is suspended from the practice of law for a minimum of the first two years of probation, and he will remain suspended until he provides proof to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.2(c)(1).)
2. MARK DANIEL WENZEL must also comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Review Department of the State Bar Court in its Opinion filed on January 26, 2015.

3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if MARK DANIEL WENZEL has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

MARK DANIEL WENZEL must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination during the period of his suspension and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

MARK DANIEL WENZEL must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment."

S229131

**VON STAICH (IVAN) v. S.C.
(PEOPLE)**

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division Three

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division Three.