# SUPREME COURT MINUTES WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 16, 2020 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S263180 B290675 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 BOERMEESTER

(MATTHEW) v. CARRY (AINSLEY)

Petition for review granted

The petition for review is granted.

The request for an order directing depublication of the opinion in the above-entitled appeal is granted. The Reporter of Decisions is directed not to publish in the Official Appellate Reports the opinion in the above-entitled appeal filed May 28, 2020, which appears at 49 Cal.App.5th 682. (Cal. Const., art. VI, section 14; Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.1125(c)(1).)

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

S263734 B295181/B295315 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 HILL RHF HOUSING
PARTNERS, L.P. v. CITY OF
LOS ANGELES

Petition for review granted; issues limited

The petition for review is granted. The issues to be briefed and argued are limited to the following:

- 1. In order to bring a judicial action challenging the validity of an assessment imposed pursuant to article XIII D, section 4 of the California Constitution, must a property owner articulate at the public hearing on the proposed assessment the reason or reasons it alleges the assessment is invalid?
- 2. If so, should this rule apply only prospectively?

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

S263309 B299605 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 HORNE (SHERRY) v. AHERN RENTALS, INC.

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending the consideration and disposition of *Sandoval v. Qualcomm Incorporated*, S252796 and *Gonzalez v. Mathis*, S247667 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

S263396 B300438 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 PEOPLE v. DOUGLAS (RAJOHN CHARLES)

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of related issues in *People v. Tirado*, S257658 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court. Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

S263559 G057141 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. ALONZO (JOSE RAFAEL)

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of related issues in *People v. Tirado*, S257658 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court. Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

S263584 H046921 Sixth Appellate District CHAVEZ (FRANK RAY) ON H.C.

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted, and further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *In re Gadlin*, S254599 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court. Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

S263709 C089819 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. TELLEZ (ELPIDIO)

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of related issues in *People v. Tirado*, S257658 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

**S263743** F077849 Fifth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. CHACON (ANTHONY)

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of related issues in *People v. Tirado*, S257658 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court. Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

S263784 B298207 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. MAYES (JAMES DELANO)

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Lewis*, S260598 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court. Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

S263830 E072645 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. GARRISON (TRACY LEEAN)

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Lewis*, S260598 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court. Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

S263899 C089392 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. VILLA (ADAM)
Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Lopez*, S258175 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court. Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

**S263900** C089482 Third Appellate District

PEOPLE v. CARBAJAL (CLEMENTE SANCHEZ)

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Lewis*, S260598 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court. Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

S263904 E073611 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. DUBARR (CHRISTEN R.)

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Esquivel*, S262551 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court. Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

S262987 G057264/G057420 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 SANDLIN (BILL) v.

McLAUGHLIN (MOLLY)/

(McGILL)

Petition for review denied

S263291 B290929 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 KON (ALEKSANDR) v. CITY OF LOS ANGELES

Petition for review & depublication request(s) denied

S263306 B293290 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 HARRIS (ADRIAN) v. UNIVERSITY VILLAGE

THOUSAND OAKS, CCRC,

LLC

Petition for review & depublication request(s) denied The request for judicial notice is granted.

S263363 F077663 Fifth Appellate District **BROWNLEE (TERRENCE)** 

ON H.C.

The petition for review is denied without prejudice to any relief to which petitioner might be entitled after this court decides In re Howerton, S261157.

S263371 C090370 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. DURAN

(SAMUEL)

Petition for review denied

S263458 B296516 Second Appellate District, Div. 8

HERNANDEZ (WENDY Y.) v.

FCA US LLC

Petition for review denied

B296129 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 S263549

PEOPLE v. HIGHLEY

(JONATHAN DAVID)

Petition for review denied

S263625 C088038 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. GARDNER

(WILLIE)

The petition for review is denied without prejudice to any relief to which defendant might be entitled after this court decides People v. Kopp, S257844.

S263629 C088435 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. REED (BRYAN

THOMAS)

The petition for review is denied without prejudice to any relief to which defendant might be entitled after this court decides People v. Kopp, S257844.

C087087 Third Appellate District S263630

PEOPLE v. AVILA (ISABEL)

Petition for review denied

S263637 B297970 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 PEOPLE v. CANETE (RALPH

**N.**)

S263649 G056781 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. TRUONG (JIMMY HOANG)

Petition for review denied

S263652 C087347 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. BRADLEY (STEPHEN R.)

Petition for review denied

S263653 B306511 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 HERRERA (RUBEN) v. S.C.

(PEOPLE)

Petition for review denied

S263655 H046693 Sixth Appellate District PEOPLE v. B. (E.)

Petition for review denied

S263685 F076279 Fifth Appellate District PEOPLE v. PHOMVILAY

(VONG)

Petition for review denied

S263686 C089558 Third Appellate District MORENO (ERNEST H.) v.

CALIFORNIA STATE

TEACHERS' RETIREMENT

**SYSTEM** 

Petition for review denied

S263687 B289498 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 ATALLA (EHAB) v.

**AMBARTSUMYAN** 

(ARTASHES)

Petition for review denied

S263727 A160236 First Appellate District, Div. 5 GT'S LIVING FOODS, LLC v.

S.C. (CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL

HEALTH)

S263739 C090775 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. GIER (RYAN STEPHEN)

Petition for review denied

S263745 E075396 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 VINKOV (SERGEI) v. S.C.

(SMITH)

Petition for review denied

S263747 C090407 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. WORKMAN (GAVIN JAMES)

The petition for review is denied without prejudice to any relief to which defendant might be entitled after this court decides *People v. Kopp*, S257844.

S263777 A158301 First Appellate District, Div. 4 PEOPLE v. WILLIAMS, JR., (ROBERT WALTER)

The petition for review is denied without prejudice to any relief to which defendant might be entitled after this court decides *People v. Kopp*, S257844.

S263780 B295041 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. GILLIG (TRAVIS

**ANTHONY**)

Petition for review denied

S263800 F076622 Fifth Appellate District PEOPLE v. PIPES (STANLEY

KEITH)

Petition for review denied

S263803 C089310 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. GREEN

(JARVON)

Petition for review denied

S263807 G057490 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. TON (LONG

KHOI)

S263815 C088348 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. CAMPBELL (ISIAH)

Petition for review denied

S263817 F077808 Fifth Appellate District PEOPLE v. RODRIGUEZ

(ESTEVEN)

Petition for review denied

S263820 G056329 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. CABRERA (DAVID ARZATE)

Petition for review denied

S263821 C089367 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. DONOHUE, JR.,

(MICHAEL JOHN)

The petition for review is denied without prejudice to any relief to which defendant might be entitled after this court decides *People v. Kopp*, \$257844.

S263823 A152553 First Appellate District, Div. 4 PEOPLE v. DODSON, JR., (ANTHONY)

Petition for review denied

S263825 D076547 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. GARCIA (DANNY

RAY)

Petition for review denied

S263826 A152555 First Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. FELTUS-CURRY

(LUTHER)

Petition for review denied

S263838 B287987 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 REAVES (GALE) v. COUNTY

OF LOS ANGELES

Petition for review denied

S263839 B297527 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 PEOPLE v. RADCLIFFE

(ANTHONY MICHAEL)

S263843 F081447 Fifth Appellate District SILVA (HELIODORO A.) ON H.C.

Petition for review denied

S263854 A157321 First Appellate District, Div. 5 PEOPLE v. MORALES (RICARDO RAFAEL)

Petition for review denied

S263856 E075244 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 OGLETREE (JEFFREY D.) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

Petition for review denied

S263861 D076288 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. BARRAZA

(FELIX)

Petition for review denied

S263863 H046055 Sixth Appellate District PEOPLE v. CRUZ (FREDDY GILBERTO)

The petition for review is denied without prejudice to any relief to which defendant might be entitled after this court decides *People v. Kopp*, S257844.

S263876 H046794 Sixth Appellate District PEOPLE v. CRUZ (FREDDY

GILBERTO)

Petition for review denied

S263883 B306690 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 MONTGOMERY (GERALD)

v. S.C. (WALGREENS

**COMPANY**)

Petition for review denied

S263884 A155167 First Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. ALLEN, JR.,

(MELVIN)

S263886 D076289 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. NETT (EDWARD RAY)

Petition for review denied

S263887 B296312 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 IN RE B.D.

Petition for review denied

S263902 D074563 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. NAUTA (MICHAEL GERARD)

Petition for review denied

S263907 A151724 First Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. PEREZ (ALMA)

Petition for review denied

S263909 A153824 First Appellate District, Div. 1 SAW (KONG-BENG) v.

**AVAGO TECHNOLOGIES** 

LIMITED

Petition for review denied

S263911 E071242 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. VORISH (JUSTIN

ELIJAH)

Petition for review denied

S263916 C087506 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. SEQUEIRA (SCOT

**DOUGLAS**)

Petition for review denied

S263935 WARD (WILLIAM JOSEPH),

IN RE

Petition for review denied

S263961 D077327 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. BERRY-

VIERWINDEN (RYAN)

**S264275** G059371 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3

RICHARDS (ALICIA MARIE) v. S.C. (RICHARDS)

Petition for review & application for stay denied

S245518

MATTHEWS (LOVIE T.) ON

H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied without prejudice to any relief to which petitioner may be entitled after this court decides *People v. Garcia*, S250670 and *People v. Valencia*, S250218.

S258243

**ALVAREZ (RAMON) ON H.C.** 

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S258573

**POPE III (WILLIE JAMES)** 

ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S260274

JOHNSON (JOSEPH JAMAUL) ON H.C.

boi

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S260565

JOHNSON (JOSEPH

JAMAUL) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S262897

LESCALLETT (DARRELL

JUNIOR) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S262930

FONSECA (ANTHONY) ON

H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are untimely]; *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must include copies of reasonably available documentary evidence]; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must allege sufficient facts with particularity].)

# REYNOLDS (CHARLES MICHAEL) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must include copies of reasonably available documentary evidence]; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must allege sufficient facts with particularity].)

S262932 LEYBA (MANUEL PAUL) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S262937 ROBERSON (MARLON) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S262938 ROBERTS (DAVID) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Dexter* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 921, 925-926 [a habeas corpus petitioner must exhaust available administrative remedies].)

S262939 WELCH (ANTHONY L.) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied without prejudice to any relief to which petitioner might be entitled after this court decides *In re Palmer*, S256149.

S262999 REYNOLDS (CHARLES MICHAEL) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S263025 SWINT (JEVON RAMON) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

# RASBERRY (EDWARD NORMAN) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must include copies of reasonably available documentary evidence]; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must allege sufficient facts with particularity].)

S263036

**DELTORO (DANNY DANIEL) ON H.C.** 

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S263042

HICKS (DARYL ANTHONY) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are successive].) Individual claims are denied, as applicable. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must include copies of reasonably available documentary evidence]; *In re Dixon* (1953) 41 Cal.2d 756, 759 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that could have been, but were not, raised on appeal]; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must allege sufficient facts with particularity]; *In re Miller* (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734, 735 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are repetitive].)

S263097 JOHNSON (CEDRICK DAMONTAY) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S263106 JAMISON (DANNIE LEE) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S263119 NAVARRO (JUSTO MORA)

ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S263122 CAMPBELL (SCOTT MILTON) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied without prejudice to any relief to which petitioner might be entitled after this court decides *In re Palmer*, S256149.

MORENO (MANUEL ENRAINE) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S263248

LESCALLETT (DARRELL JUNIOR) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must include copies of reasonably available documentary evidence]; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must allege sufficient facts with particularity].)

S263295

GREEN, SR., (CLAUDELL) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied without prejudice to any relief to which petitioner might be entitled after this court decides *In re Mohammad*, S259999.

S263345

MORENO (ROBERTO CISNEROS) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S263347

**SMITH (EDDIE JAMES) ON** 

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S263354

**HENDERSON (DEMORA) ON** 

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S264223

HICKS (DARYL ANTHONY) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are successive].) Individual claims are denied, as applicable. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must include copies of reasonably available documentary evidence]; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must allege sufficient facts with particularity]; *In re Miller* (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734, 735 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are repetitive].)

S262737 A156166 First Appellate District, Div. 3 PALOMBI (TIFFANY) v. CHRYSLER GROUP LLC

Publication requests denied (case closed)

S264471 E073302 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. SCHAFFER (ANDRAS PETER)

Time for ordering review extended on the court's own motion

The time for ordering review on the court's own motion is hereby extended to December 14, 2020. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(c).)

S263149 C076191/C076607 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. JOHNSON, JR., (CONRAD J.)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to October 23, 2020.

S263334 B295836 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 AGUILAR (GUILLERMINA)
v. SPECIALIZED LOAN
SERVICING, LLC

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to October 23, 2020.

S263514 G057288 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 LEVY (MORTON & SELMA), MARRIAGE OF

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to October 23, 2020.

S263547 F079041 Fifth Appellate District GARCIA (GUILLERMO) v. LACEY (B.A.)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to October 23, 2020.

S263550

B303308 Second Appellate District, Div. 3

AIDS HEALTHCARE
FOUNDATION v. CITY OF
LOS ANGELES (CH
PALLADIUM, LLC)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to October 23, 2020.

S263552 D076018 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 TRADER JOE'S COMPANY v. AAP HOLDING COMPANY, INC.

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to October 23, 2020.

S263569 B299184 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 SEGAL (MICKEY) v. ASICS AMERICA CORPORATION

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to October 23, 2020.

S263576 B299307 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 MOORE (ROOSEVELT) ON H.C.

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to October 26, 2020.

S263593 F075772/F076362 Fifth Appellate District MASELLIS (KRISTA) v. LAW OFFICE OF LESLIE F.
JENSEN

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to October 27, 2020.

S263615 E071652 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 DRIVETRAIN, LLC v. DESERT MECHANICAL, INC.

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to October 28, 2020.

S263623 D077714 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 GARCIA (ELVIA) v. S.C. (MARTINEZ)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to October 29, 2020.

S263633 D077716 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 DUNSMORE (DARRYL LEE) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to October 29, 2020.

PEOPLE v. VARNER (SCOTT PAUL)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to November 10, 2020.

S182278

PEOPLE v. NELSON (TANYA JAIME)

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Andrew Parnes's representation that the appellant's reply brief is anticipated to be filed by November 10, 2020, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to November 10, 2020. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S188067

PEOPLE v. WHITESIDE (GREGORY C.)

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Kimberly J. Grove's representation that the appellant's reply brief is anticipated to be filed by December 14, 2020, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to November 10, 2020. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 33 additional days is contemplated.

S188156

TAYLOR (BRANDON ARNAE) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel John Lanahan's representation that the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is anticipated to be filed by December 9, 2020, an extension of time in which to serve and file that document is granted to November 9, 2020. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 29 additional days is contemplated.

S189296

PEOPLE v. PANIAGUA, JR., (RODRIGO ORTIZ)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to November 10, 2020.

PEOPLE v. BERNOUDY (KEVIN)

Extension of time granted

Based upon counsel Laura Schaefer's representation that the appellant's reply brief is anticipated to be filed by December 21, 2020, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to November 9, 2020. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 41 additional days is contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S219382

PEOPLE v. THOMAS (HILBERT PINEIL)

Extension of time granted

Based upon Deputy Attorney General Dina Petrushenko's representation that the respondent's brief is anticipated to be filed by February 10, 2021, an extension of time in which to serve and file that brief is granted to November 12, 2020. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 89 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S226653

PEOPLE v. DUNSON (ROBERT L.)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to November 9, 2020.

S226760

PEOPLE v. LIGHTSEY (CHRISTOPHER CHARLES)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to November 10, 2020.

S262229 D074098 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1

PEOPLE v. WILLIAMS (JEREMIAH IRA)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the opening brief on the merits is extended to October 14, 2020.

S263774

CUELLAR (TRAVIS JUSTIN)
v. SUPREME COURT OF
CALIFORNIA (CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF
CORRECTIONS &
REHABILITATION)

Extension of time granted

On application of real party in interest and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the preliminary opposition is extended to September 30, 2020. No further extensions of time will be contemplated.

S186360

PEOPLE v. RODRIGUEZ (ANTONIO)

Record ordered unsealed

Appellant's "Motion to Unseal Portions of the Record," filed on June 19, 2020, is granted in part. The Clerk is directed to unseal pages 3751 and 3754 of the sealed Volume 15 of the Clerk's Transcript.

Appellant's "Motion to File Supplemental Brief," filed on June 19, 2020, is granted. Respondent must serve and file a supplemental respondent's brief within 30 days from the date of this order. Any supplemental reply brief must be served and filed within 30 days after the supplemental respondent's brief is filed.

S262850

**SMART ON DISCIPLINE** 

Order filed – DEAN EDWARD SMART

The order filed on September 9, 2020, is hereby amended as to the title to include the State Bar Court number:

State Bar Court No. 17-C-03687

S263269 ACCUSATION OF SKERSTON

Petition denied (accusation)

S263729 ACCUSATION OF RAMIREZ

Petition denied (accusation)

## S262862 HEISEY ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that JAMES RICHARD HEISEY (Respondent), State Bar Number 104526, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that Respondent's name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

Respondent must comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20 and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S262863 KAGIANARIS ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that ALEXANDROS KAGIANARIS (Respondent), State Bar Number 218852, is suspended from the practice of law in California for two years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and Respondent is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. Respondent is suspended from the practice of law for the first one year of probation;
- 2. Respondent must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on May 26, 2020; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if Respondent has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

Respondent must provide to the State Bar's Office of Probation proof of taking and passing the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination as recommended by the Hearing Department in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on May 26, 2020. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Respondent must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after

the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension. Respondent must also maintain the records of compliance as required by the conditions of probation.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

#### S262864

## LINDSEY ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that MICHIKO LISA LINDSEY (Respondent), State Bar Number 203014, is suspended from the practice of law in California for two years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and Respondent is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. Respondent is suspended from the practice of law for the first year of probation (with credit given for the period of interim suspension which commenced on January 6, 2020);
- 2. Respondent must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on April 15, 2020; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if Respondent has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

Respondent must provide to the State Bar's Office of Probation proof of taking and passing the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination as recommended by the Hearing Department in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on April 15, 2020. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Respondent must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20 and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension. Respondent must also maintain the records of compliance as required by the conditions of probation.

#### MATHIS ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that LEE R. MATHIS (Respondent), State Bar Number 55890, is summarily disbarred from the practice of law and that Respondent's name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

Respondent must comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

#### S262878

#### PEEK III ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that LON EARL PEEK III (Respondent), State Bar Number 231303, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that Respondent's name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

Respondent must comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20 and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

#### S262880

ROSENBAUM ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that KEITH A. ROSENBAUM (Respondent), State Bar Number 106839, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that Respondent's name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

Respondent must comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

### TERBEEK ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that MARC LAWRENCE TERBEEK (Respondent), State Bar Number 166098, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that Respondent's name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

Respondent must comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

#### S262886

#### MILLER ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that LARRY HOWARD MILLER (Respondent), State Bar Number 46991, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that Respondent's name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

Respondent must comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

#### S262888

## RICHMOND ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that MICHAEL ALBERT RICHMOND (Respondent), State Bar Number 211164, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that Respondent's name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

Respondent must comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

#### BLASKEY ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that RICHARD JAY BLASKEY (Respondent), State Bar Number 89223, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that Respondent's name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

Respondent must comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

#### S263211

#### KASPER ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that TRAVIS P. GUEVARA KASPER (Respondent), State Bar Number 264553, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that Respondent's name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

Respondent must comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

#### S263214

## LAPHAM ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that MARK WHITNEY LAPHAM (Respondent), State Bar Number 146352, is suspended from the practice of law in California for five years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and Respondent is placed on probation for five years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. Respondent is suspended from the practice of law for a minimum of the first three years of probation, and Respondent will remain suspended until providing proof to the State Bar Court of rehabilitation, fitness to practice, and present learning and ability in the general law. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.2(c)(1).)
- 2. Respondent must also comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on April 27, 2020.
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if Respondent has complied with all conditions

of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

Respondent must provide to the State Bar's Office of Probation proof of taking and passing the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination as recommended by the Hearing Department in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on April 27, 2020. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Respondent must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension. Respondent must also maintain the records of compliance as required by the conditions of probation.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

#### S263216

# MENDELSOHN ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that RICHARD JAMES MENDELSOHN (Respondent), State Bar Number 57788, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that Respondent's name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

Respondent must comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

#### S263324

## ANDERSON ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that AMY SOMMER ANDERSON (Respondent), State Bar Number 282634, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that Respondent's name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

Respondent must make restitution to the following individuals, or such other recipient as may be designated by the Office of Probation or the State Bar Court:

- (1) Hugo Valdez, in the amount of \$2,250 plus 10 percent interest per year from October 4, 2018;
- (2) Hugo Valdez, in the amount of \$600 plus 10 percent interest per year from August 17, 2016:
- (3) Christine Serrano, in the amount of \$7,627.45 plus 10 percent interest per year from

April 10, 2018; and

(4) Christine Serrano, in the amount of \$750 plus 10 percent interest per year from May 10, 2016.

Any restitution owed to the Client Security Fund is enforceable as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.5, subdivisions (c) and (d).

Respondent must comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

#### S263325

#### APPLBAUM ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that MARC STEVEN APPLBAUM (Respondent), State Bar Number 222511, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and Respondent is placed on probation for one year subject to the following conditions:

- 1. Respondent is suspended from the practice of law for the first 30 days of probation;
- 2. Respondent must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on June 3, 2020; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if Respondent has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

Respondent must provide to the State Bar's Office of Probation proof of taking and passing the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination as recommended by the Hearing Department in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on June 3, 2020. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-half of the costs must be paid with Respondent's annual fees for each of the years 2021 and 2022. If Respondent fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified in writing by the State Bar or the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

### MARCIN ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that JOHN BERNARD MARCIN (Respondent), State Bar Number 148715, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that Respondent's name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

Respondent must comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

#### S262859

#### **ALBERTS ON RESIGNATION**

Resignation declined

This court, having considered the request, declines to accept the voluntary resignation with charges pending of LESLIE MICHAEL ALBERTS (Attorney), State Bar Number 194907, as an attorney of the State Bar of California. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.21(d).) Attorney remains on inactive status. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.21(a).) Attorney may move the State Bar Court to be restored to active status, at which time the Office of Chief Trial Counsel may demonstrate any basis for Attorney's continued ineligibility to practice law. The State Bar Court will expedite the resolution of any request by Attorney to be restored to active status. Any return to active status will be conditioned on Attorney's payment of any fees, penalty payments, and restitution owed by Attorney. The underlying disciplinary matter should proceed promptly.

#### S263209

BRISTOW ON RESIGNATION

Resignation accepted with disciplinary proceeding pending

The voluntary resignation with charges pending of TERESA FAYE BRISTOW (Attorney), State Bar Number 241075, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted. If Attorney subsequently seeks reinstatement, the State Bar may consider all disciplinary charges that are currently pending against Attorney.

Attorney must comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may be considered in any future reinstatement proceeding.

# HILLIARD ON RESIGNATION

Resignation accepted with disciplinary proceeding pending

The voluntary resignation with charges pending of BRIAN KEMP HILLIARD (Attorney), State Bar Number 244193, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted. If Attorney subsequently seeks reinstatement, the State Bar may consider all disciplinary charges that are currently pending against Attorney.

Attorney must comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may be considered in any future reinstatement proceeding.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

#### S263406

#### **BONIS ON RESIGNATION**

Resignation declined

This court, having considered the request, declines to accept the voluntary resignation with charges pending of PETER HENRY BONIS (Attorney), State Bar Number 122016, as an attorney of the State Bar of California. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.21(d).) Attorney remains on inactive status. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.21(a).) Attorney may move the State Bar Court to be restored to active status, at which time the Office of Chief Trial Counsel may demonstrate any basis for Attorney's continued ineligibility to practice law. The State Bar Court will expedite the resolution of any request by Attorney to be restored to active status. Any return to active status will be conditioned on Attorney's payment of any fees, penalty payments, and restitution owed by Attorney. The underlying disciplinary matter should proceed promptly.

#### S263408

# TUSTANIWSKY ON RESIGNATION

Resignation accepted with disciplinary proceeding pending

The voluntary resignation with charges pending of OLEH R. TUSTANIWSKY (Attorney), State Bar Number 123975, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted. If Attorney subsequently seeks reinstatement, the State Bar may consider all disciplinary charges that are currently pending against Attorney.

Attorney must comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may be considered in any future reinstatement proceeding.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section

6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S264288

ANDERSON, JR., ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of MALCOLM ANDERSON, JR., State Bar Number 178047, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S264289

CONDON ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of WILHEMINA MARION CONDON, State Bar Number 88121, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S264290

**DEMOSS ON RESIGNATION** 

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of ANA NICOLE DEMOSS, State Bar Number 316044, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S264291

GALLUCCI ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of JOSEPH ROBERT GALLUCCI, State Bar Number 33824, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S264292

JONES ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of ANDREW JONES, State Bar Number 188375, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

#### ONG ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of GEORGE E. ONG, State Bar Number 48435, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S264295

**SEAMAN ON RESIGNATION** 

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of LOUIS DAVID SEAMAN, State Bar Number 149178, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S264297

SHORTZ ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of RICHARD ALAN SHORTZ, State Bar Number 49016, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S264298

TORRES, JR., ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of SAMUEL TORRES, JR., State Bar Number 96657, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S264393

ALLFORD ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of MICHAEL GLEN ALLFORD, State Bar Number 129959, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S264394

**BEATTIE ON RESIGNATION** 

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of THOMAS HUDD BEATTIE, State Bar Number 70104, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

#### **BLOOM ON RESIGNATION**

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of JOYCE BLOOM, State Bar Number 103289, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S264396

**EPSTEIN ON RESIGNATION** 

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of STANLEY OWEN EPSTEIN, State Bar Number 32125, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S264398

JUSTICE-MOORE ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of KATHLEEN ELEANOR JUSTICE-MOORE, State Bar Number 154453, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S264403

KING ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of RAYMOND KING, State Bar Number 94026, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S264405

**NOLL ON RESIGNATION** 

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of LAURA J. FISCHER NOLL, State Bar Number 169347, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S264408

PATISON ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of ROBERT EUGENE PATISON, State Bar Number 117700, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

# POSNER ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of ALAN BURT POSNER, State Bar Number 91400, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.

# S264411

## **PUGH ON RESIGNATION**

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of ELIZABETH HENGEVELD PUGH, State Bar Number 137243, as an attorney of the State Bar of California is accepted.



# SUPREME COURT OF CALIFORNIA ORAL ARGUMENT CALENDAR SAN FRANCISCO SESSION OCTOBER 7, 2020

Due to the COVID-19 coronavirus pandemic and related public health directives from state and local authorities, the procedures specified by Administrative Orders Nos. 2020-3-13 (Mar. 16, 2020), 2020-03-27 (March 27, 2020), and 2020-08-19 (August 19, 2020) apply. Counsel will appear remotely and courtroom seating for the press will be strictly limited to achieve appropriate distancing. The public will continue to have access to argument via live-streaming on the judicial branch website: http://www.courts.ca.gov/.


The following cases are placed upon the calendar of the Supreme Court for hearing at its courtroom in the Ronald M. George State Office Complex, Earl Warren Building, 350 McAllister Street, Fourth Floor, San Francisco, California, on October 7, 2020.

# WEDNESDAY, OCTOBER 7, 2020 — 9:00 A.M.

- (1) In re Gadlin (Gregory) on Habeas Corpus, S254599 (justice pro tempore to be assigned)
- (2) People v. Gentile (Joseph, Jr.), S256698 (justice pro tempore to be assigned)
- (3) Sass (Deborah) v. Cohen (Theodore), S255262 (justice pro tempore to be assigned)

# 1:30 P.M.

(4) People v. Moses III (Antonio Chavez), S258143 (justice pro tempore to be assigned)



If exhibits are to be transmitted to this court, counsel must apply to the court for permission. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.224(c).)