

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES
WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 23, 2020
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

S062417

**PEOPLE v. SILVERIA
(DANIEL TODD) & TRAVIS
(JOHN RAYMOND)**

Rehearing denied; opinion modified

THE COURT:

The opinion in this matter filed on August 13, 2020, and appearing at 10 Cal.5th 195 is modified as follows:

1. Add a footnote after the sentence spanning pages 209 and 210 reading, “On a Tuesday in January 1991, Silveria showed his friend Gregg Orlando a wad of cash, and said, ‘We killed somebody last night.’ ” The new footnote should read:

At the guilt phase, this portion of Orlando’s testimony was heard only by Silveria’s jury. Orlando also gave substantially similar testimony at defendants’ joint penalty retrial.

2. In the first full paragraph on page 305, delete the sentence and citation that read: “We conclude there is no reasonable likelihood that the court’s error misled the jury. (See *People v. Mitchell* (2019) 7 Cal.5th 561, 579 [248 Cal. Rptr. 3d 587, 443 P.3d 1] [“In reviewing a claim of instructional error, the court must consider whether there is a reasonable likelihood that the trial court’s instructions caused the jury to misapply the law in violation of the Constitution.”].) As modified, the replacement sentence and citation now read:

We conclude there is no reasonable possibility that the court’s error affected the verdict. (See *People v. Gomez* (2018) 6 Cal.5th 243, 309-310; see also *id.* at p. 310 [“We find no reasonable possibility that the instructional error affected the jury’s penalty determination.”].)

3. Delete the sentence spanning pages 305 and 306 that reads: “Given these instructions at the end of the penalty retrial, there is no reasonable likelihood that the jurors who may have heard the challenged language at the outset of trial failed to understand that they ‘bore the ultimate responsibility for choosing between death and life imprisonment without parole’ (*Ray, supra*, 13 Cal.4th at p. 355), and that they could consider pity and sympathy for the defendants.” As modified, the replacement sentence now reads:

The instructions given at the end of the penalty retrial correctly informed them that they “bore the ultimate responsibility for choosing between death and life imprisonment without parole” (*Ray, supra*, 13 Cal.4th at p. 355), and that they could consider pity and sympathy for the defendants. We therefore conclude there is no reasonable possibility that without the erroneous instruction on CALJIC 1.00 to some of the jurors during voir dire Silveria would have received a more favorable verdict.

These modifications do not affect the judgment.
The petitions for rehearing are denied.

S263654 C080799 Third Appellate District

**PEOPLE v. FLORES
(DAMIAN LUIS)**

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petitions for review are granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Garcia*, S250670, and *People v. Valencia*, S250218 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

S263689 C089753 Third Appellate District

**PEOPLE v. DeGROFF
(MATTHEW)**

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Lewis*, S260598 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

S263701 B292561 Second Appellate District, Div. 8

**DOMONDON (MARY ALYN
ABAD) v. THREE OLIVES
INC.**

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *Sheen v. Wells Fargo, N.A.*, S258019 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

S263875 C087324 Third Appellate District**PEOPLE v. VILLALPANDO
(MACARIO)**

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Tirado*, S257658 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

S263910 B300314 Second Appellate District, Div. 8**PEOPLE v. LAZOS (JOSHUA
JONATHAN)**

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Lewis*, S260598 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

S263921 B297663 Second Appellate District, Div. 7**PEOPLE v. STATEN, JR.,
(CURTIS)**

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Lewis*, S260598 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

S263924 B298071 Second Appellate District, Div. 3**PEOPLE v. DURAN (ELVIN
MAREL)**

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Lewis*, S260598 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

S263939 H047581 Sixth Appellate District
Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

PEOPLE v. SOTO (MARTIN)

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Lewis*, S260598 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

S263947 B298823 Second Appellate District, Div. 8
Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

**PEOPLE v. ROBINSON
(AARON)**

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Lewis*, S260598 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

S263980 E072781 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2
Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

**PEOPLE v. CARRERA (TONY
MARTINEZ)**

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Lewis*, S260598 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

S264032 D076944 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1
Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

**PEOPLE v. McCULLOUGH
(BOOKER)**

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of related issues in *People v. Raybon*, S256978 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

S264036 B298078 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 **PEOPLE v. AMA (JACOB)**
Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Lewis*, S260598 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

S264051 G057516 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 **PEOPLE v. GOMEZ (JOSE CARMEN)**

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Lewis*, S260598 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

S237460 A139610 First Appellate District, Div. 2 **MARIN ASSOCIATION OF
PUBLIC EMPLOYEES v.
MARIN COUNTY
EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT
ASSOCIATION; STATE OF
CALIFORNIA**

Dismissed and remanded to Court of Appeal, First Appellate District, Division Two

Review in the above-entitled matter, which was granted and deferred pending further order of the court, is hereby dismissed in light of *Alameda County Deputy Sheriffs' Assn. v. Alameda County Employees' Retirement Assn.* (2020) 9 Cal.5th 1032. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(b)(1).)

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

S248513 A146855 First Appellate District, Div. 1 **McGLYNN (MATTHEW C.) v.
STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

Dismissed and remanded to Court of Appeal, First Appellate District, Division One

Review in the above-entitled matter, which was granted and deferred pending further order of the court, is hereby dismissed in light of *Alameda County Deputy Sheriffs' Assn. v. Alameda County Employees' Retirement Assn.* (2020) 9 Cal.5th 1032. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(b)(1).)

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

S250244 B276486 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 **HIPSHER (TOD) v. LOS ANGELES COUNTY EMPLOYEES RETIREMENT ASSOCIATION (COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES)**

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Four, after hold

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Four, with directions to vacate its decision and to reconsider the cause in light of *Alameda County Deputy Sheriffs' Assn. v. Alameda County Employees' Retirement Assn.* (2020) 9 Cal.5th 1032. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(d).)

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

S252517 D072265 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 **IN RE CERTIFIED TIRE & SERVICE CENTERS WAGE & HOUR CASES**

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division One, after hold

The above-captioned matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division One, with directions to vacate its decision and reconsider in light of *Oman v. Delta Air Lines, Inc.* (2020) 9 Cal.5th 762. (Cal Rules of Court, rule 8.528(d); see *Oman*, at p. 783 [whether a compensation scheme violates the no-borrowing principle “necessarily turns on the nature of [the employer’s] contractual commitments”]; *id.* at p. 788 [distinguishing *Gonzalez v. Downtown LA Motors, LP* (2013) 215 Cal.App.4th 36 on the ground that “the court understood the contract at issue to promise pay at a certain rate for certain tasks completed” and “[t]he minimum wage floor . . . did not alter the nature of that promise”]; *ibid.* [reserving the legality of “a scenario in which a minimum wage floor was written into a contract that otherwise promised to pay by the piece”].)

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

S252988 A152100 First Appellate District, Div. 2 **WILMOT (JON) v. CONTRA COSTA COUNTY EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT ASSOCIATION**

Transferred to Court of Appeal, First Appellate District, Division Two, after hold

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, First Appellate District, Division Two, with directions to vacate its decision and to reconsider the cause in light of *Alameda County Deputy Sheriffs' Assn. v. Alameda County Employees' Retirement Assn.* (2020) 9 Cal.5th 1032. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(d).) In doing so, the court is directed to address and resolve petitioner’s claim under the contract clause of the California Constitution.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

S260462 G056608 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 **PEOPLE v. HANDLEY (KYLE SHIRAKAWA)**

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division Three, after hold

The above-captioned matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division Three, with directions to vacate its decision and reconsider in light of *People v. Anderson* (2020) 9 Cal.5th 946. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(d).)

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

S261258 C083560 Third Appellate District **PEOPLE v. SCHAFER (DANIEL GENE)**

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Third Appellate District, after hold

The above-captioned matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Third Appellate District, with directions to vacate its decision and reconsider in light of *People v. Anderson* (2020) 9 Cal.5th 946. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.528(d).)

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.

S262976 C089508 Third Appellate District **IN RE Y.G.**
Petition for review denied

S263130 **YANEY (MICHELLE STOPYRA) v. THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA**

Petition for writ of mandate/prohibition denied

S263146 B299226 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 **UZIEL (YEHORAM) v. EMPLOYMENT LAWYERS GROUP**

Petition for review denied

Petitioner's requests for judicial notice are denied.

S263220 F076417 Fifth Appellate District **FRESNO, COUNTY OF v. FRESNO DEPUTY SHERIFF'S ASSOCIATION**

Petition for review & depublication request(s) denied

S263359 A158862 First Appellate District, Div. 4

Petition for review denied

**DREVALEVA (TATYANA E.)
v. ALAMEDA HEALTH
SYSTEM**

S263378 C085762 Third Appellate District

Petition for review & depublication request(s) denied

**STANFORD VINA RANCH
IRRIGATION COMPANY v.
STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

S263379 F077092 Fifth Appellate District

The petition for review is denied without prejudice to any relief to which defendant might be entitled after this court decides *People v. Kopp*, S257844.

**PEOPLE v. COKER (RICKY
LEE)**

S263399 A153044 First Appellate District, Div. 2

Petition for review denied

**RATLIFF (REGINALD) v.
TROJAN CAPITAL
INVESTMENTS, LLC**

S263435 G059209 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3

Petition for review denied

**MENDOZA (AZAEL
GONZALES) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)**

S263445

Petition for writ of certiorari denied
Cantil-Sakauye, C.J., was recused and did not participate.

**WHITFIELD (JOHN) v.
APPELLATE DIVISION
(MURREY)**

S263454 H044004 Sixth Appellate District

Petition for review & publication request(s) denied

**TAT CAPITAL PARTNERS,
LTD. v. FELDMAN (DAVID)**

S263456 B295487 Second Appellate District, Div. 5

Petition for review denied

**BARRETT (JOSEPH M.) v.
REEVES (MICAEL R.)**

S263470	B306525 Second Appellate District, Div. 5	MOON (ADRIAN D.) v. S.C. (MOON)
Petition for review denied		
S263479	B297152 Second Appellate District, Div. 5	PEOPLE v. JOHNSON (RENEY VICTOR)
Petition for review denied		
S263482	E073085 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2	JACKSON (ANTOINE DUPREE) ON H.C.
Petition for review denied		
S263537	B264402 Second Appellate District, Div. 7	RAYFORD (JUAN MARSHALL) ON H.C.
Petition for review denied		
S263538	B303007 Second Appellate District, Div. 7	GLASS (DUPREE ANTOINE) ON H.C.
Petition for review denied		
S263540	B294505/B294518/B294579 Second Appellate District, Div. 6	PEOPLE v. ROUGELY (LATASHA INEZ)
Petitions for review denied		
Liu, J., is of the opinion the petitions for review should be granted.		
S263622	F078278 Fifth Appellate District	PEOPLE v. MARTINEZ (GREGORIO RODRIGUEZ)
Petition for review denied		
S263624	D077666 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1	PEOPLE v. DUNSMORE (DARRYL)
Petition for review denied		

S263641 F077602 Fifth Appellate District

Petition for review denied

**KENCO INVESTMENTS, INC.
v. MARSH (MARTHA)**

S263657 C092066 Third Appellate District

Respondent's request for judicial notice filed on August 27, 2020, is granted.

Petitioner's Motion to Strike Nonconforming Answer is denied as moot.

The petition for review is denied.

M. (C.) ON H.C.

S263690 B293823 Second Appellate District, Div. 7

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. KOZUP (JASON
M.)**

S263705 F076635 Fifth Appellate District

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. RODRIGUEZ
(JOSE ENRIQUE)**

S263726 D075169 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. TORREZ, JR.,
(DIONICIO CRESPI)**

S263738 H046751 Sixth Appellate District

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. BOLTON
(CEDRIC DEWAYNE)**

S263787 D075847/D075851/D075852/D076191

Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1

Petition for review denied

G. (L.) v. G. (M.)

S263827 C088684 Third Appellate District

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. WATSON (DAVID
ALLEN)**

S263829 B300294 Second Appellate District, Div. 1

Petition for review denied

**FERRO (ERNEST) v. KAISER
FOUNDATION HEALTH
PLAN INC.**

S263832 H044978 Sixth Appellate District

Petition for review denied

**LE (KEVIN) v. PHAM (HIEP
X.)**

S263852 A152080 First Appellate District, Div. 3

Petition for review denied

**BEN-E-LECT v. ANTHEM
BLUE CROSS LIFE &
HEALTH INSURANCE
COMPANY**

S263860 B293942 Second Appellate District, Div. 4

Petition for review denied

The request for judicial notice is denied.

**ROSEN (JONATHAN C.) v.
REICHENEDER (DALE)**

S263874 B299960 Second Appellate District, Div. 6

Petition for review denied

IN RE O.T.

S263881 C088555 Third Appellate District

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. BLOCK (XAVIER
EZEKIEL)**

S263917 D077750 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1

Petition for review denied

**ANNEN (RICHARD J.) v. S.C.
(HILLSBOROUGH
DEVELOPMENT COMPANY,
LLC)**

S263920 B294448 Second Appellate District, Div. 6

Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. MORALES
(JOSEPH BRIAN)**

S263929 C090015 Third Appellate District

PEOPLE v. JENSEN (KEITH HUGH)

Petition for review denied

S263934 D075627 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1

PEOPLE v. SANCHEZ (TORIBIO MARTINEZ)

The petition for review is denied without prejudice to any relief to which defendant might be entitled after this court decides *People v. Kopp*, S257844.

S263941 E072354 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2

PEOPLE v. IVERSEN (IAN PETER)

Petition for review denied

S263952 B300094 Second Appellate District, Div. 2

HOBLEY (TIMOTHY) ON H.C.

The petition for review is denied without prejudice to any relief to which petitioner might be entitled after this court decides *Milton on Habeas Corpus*, S259954.

S263968 B295988 Second Appellate District, Div. 3

GOLDEN STATE ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE ALLIANCE v. CITY OF LOS ANGELES (DOUGLAS EMMETT MANAGEMENT, LLC)

Petition for review denied

S263970 C083878 Third Appellate District

PEOPLE v. MILLER (DANIEL B.)

Petition for review denied

S263971 B295327 Second Appellate District, Div. 4

PEOPLE v. BEDFORD (WELDON)

Petition for review denied

S263978 E071304 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 **CHANDLER (ATREUS) v.
CALIFORNIA STATE
PERSONNEL BOARD**

Petition for review denied

S263994 D077775 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 **PEOPLE v. DUNSMORE
(DARRYL)**

Petition for review denied

S264002 B294317 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 **PEOPLE v. SUARES (JESSE)**
Petition for review denied

S264014 E075459 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 **INGRAM (TYRONE) ON H.C.**
Petition for review denied

S264017 A158841 First Appellate District, Div. 4 **IN RE A.S.**
Petition for review denied

S264024 B291125 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 **PEOPLE v. AJTUN (ABEL)**
Petition for review denied

S264029 C090132 Third Appellate District **PEOPLE v. MORALES
(ERNEST LANE)**

The petition for review is denied without prejudice to any relief to which defendant might be entitled after this court decides *People v. Kopp*, S257844.

S264031 B295947 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 **PEOPLE v. LACEY
(LINDSEY)**

Petition for review denied

S264034 C089719 Third Appellate District **PEOPLE v. MARTINEZ
(ERNESTO)**

Petition for review denied

S264042 D076158 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1
Petition for review denied

IN RE RAYMUNDOM.

S264046 D075781 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1
Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. RIVERA, JR.,
(JORGE ALBERT)**

S264059 B300436 Second Appellate District, Div. 2
Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. SORENSON
(SHAWN MICHAEL)**

S264150 D076664 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1
Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. JENKINS II
(JAMES ARTHUR)**

S264176 D074397 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1
Petition for review denied

**PEOPLE v. THINN (CLINTON
FORBEL)**

S264358

**IN RE TEMPORARY
WAIVER OF THE BAR
EXAM REQUIREMENT FOR
ADMISSION TO THE STATE
BAR OF CALIFORNIA &
PROVISION OF
EMERGENCY DIPLOMA
PRIVILEGE**

Petition for writ of mandate/prohibition denied

The court has considered petitioners' request for an emergency order waiving the California bar examination requirement for admission to the practice of law and granting immediate diploma privilege admission to applicants who have graduated from law school with either a J.D. or an LL.M degree; who are currently registered for the October 2020 California Bar Examination; and who otherwise meet all qualifications for admission to the State Bar of California. The request is denied.

S264593 A160949 First Appellate District, Div. 5

**GHOSHAL LIVING TRUST v.
S.C. (IRON OAK HOME
LOANS, INC.)**

Petition for review & application for stay denied

S259941

ARELLANO (RAUL) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S260187

HILL (BRIAN T.) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must include copies of reasonably available documentary evidence].)

S261204

THOMAS (JAMAAL) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S261343

**AMBURN (DANIEL LEE) ON
H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S261799

**ABLES (MELVIN KING) ON
H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S261905

**THOMAS (KEITH PERZELL)
ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are untimely]; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are successive]; *In re Miller* (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734, 735 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are repetitive].)

S261907

**THOMAS (KEITH PERZELL)
ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are untimely]; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are successive].)

S261922**CLARK (STEPHEN EUGENE)
ON H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S261985**BUTLER (JEFFREY
GORDON) ON H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S262226**CARROLL III (JAMES
EDWARD) ON H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S262526**BELYEW (LISA) ON H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S262549**STEPHEN (JIMMIE EARL)
ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. Individual claims relating to conditions of confinement due to COVID-19 are denied, as applicable. (See *In re Dexter* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 921, 925-926.)

S262800**SERRANO, SR., (GILBERT
ISRAEL) ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Dexter* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 921, 925-926.)

S262840**HILL (NICHOLAS) ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are untimely].)

S263045**DINGLE (DAVID WILLIAM)
ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are untimely]; *In re Dixon* (1953) 41 Cal.2d 756, 759 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that could have been, but were not, raised on appeal].)

S263123**HILL (BRIAN T.) ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must include copies of reasonably available documentary evidence].)

S263206**SEFELDEEN (KAMAL A.) ON H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S263207**JORDAN (ANTOINE) ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are untimely]; *In re Miller* (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734, 735 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are repetitive].)

S263212**HERNANDEZ (JOSE ARTURO) ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must include copies of reasonably available documentary evidence]; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304 [a petition for writ of habeas corpus must allege sufficient facts with particularity].)

S263229**BROWN (KENNY M.) ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are untimely]; *In re Miller* (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734, 735 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are repetitive].)

S263231**GRISSOM, JR., (JOHN LEWIS) ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are untimely]; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are successive].)

S263264**HERNANDEZ (JOSIAH) ON H.C.**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are untimely]; *In re Miller* (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734, 735 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are repetitive].)

S263447

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

ARELLANO (RAUL) ON H.C.**S263696**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. Individual claims relating to conditions of confinement due to COVID-19 are denied, as applicable. (See *In re Dexter* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 921, 925-926.)

**SEKERKE (KEITH WAYNE)
ON H.C.****S263806**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. Individual claims relating to conditions of confinement due to COVID-19 are denied, as applicable. (See *In re Dexter* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 921, 925-926.)

**BROWN (KENYON
DARRELL) ON H.C.****S263943**

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are untimely]; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769 [courts will not entertain habeas corpus claims that are successive].)

**REYNOLDS (CHARLES
MICHAEL) ON H.C.****S263525** D075148/D075424/D075428

Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1

Publication request denied (case closed)

**VOICE OF SAN DIEGO v.
TEACHER 1****S263601**

B295278 Second Appellate District, Div. 7

Publication requests denied (case closed)

**COLLANTES (JOVANA) v.
ELEMENTIS CHEMICALS,
INC.****S263717**

B294249 Second Appellate District, Div. 3

Extension of time granted

**WFG NATIONAL TITLE
INSURANCE COMPANY v.
WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A.**

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply to answer to petition for review is extended to September 29, 2020.

S263877 G059284 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 **LOPEZ (DANIEL) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)**

Extension of time granted

On application of real party in interest, The People, and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer to petition for review is extended to October 2, 2020. It is also ordered that the time to serve and file the reply to answer to petition for review is extended to October 9, 2020. No further extensions of time will be granted.

S263882 G059297 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 **ESTRELLA (MICHAEL) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)**

Extension of time granted

On application of real party in interest and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer to petition for review is extended to October 2, 2020. It is also ordered that the time to serve and file the reply to answer to petition for review is extended to October 9, 2020. No further extensions of time will be granted.

S087533 **PEOPLE v. WILSON (BYRON)**

Order filed

It has come to the court's attention that the clerk's transcript in the public record on appeal and the copies that were sent to the parties and others under rules 8.619(f) and 8.622(e) of the California Rules of Court include the personal identifying information of trial jurors in violation of the Code of Civil Procedure, section 237, subdivision (a), and rules 8.332 and 8.610 of the California Rules of Court. (See also Code of Civ. Proc., § 237, subd. (f) [prohibiting disclosure of unlawfully secured personal juror identifying information].) Within 30 days from the date of this order, counsel for both parties, the Habeas Corpus Resource Center, the Office of the State Public Defender, the California Appellate Project, and the Governor must return to the Clerk of the superior court Supplement IV, Volume 1, pages 2 and 3 of the clerk's transcript on appeal. Within 60 days from the date of this order, the Clerk of the superior court must (1) prepare a new version of these volumes of the clerk's transcript in which all personal identifying information of any trial juror has been removed and replaced by appropriate identifying numbers, as required by section 237, subdivision (a), and rules 8.332 and 8.610, in particular such information appearing on pages 2 and 3; and (2) send the original of the new redacted Supplement IV, Volume 1 to this court and copies to counsel for the parties and others as required in rules 8.619(f) and 8.622(e). The Clerk of this court is directed to file under seal the copy of Supplement IV, Volume 1 of the clerk's transcript filed in this court on June 11, 2007.

S105876**PEOPLE v. SUAREZ
(ARTURO JUAREZ)**

Order filed

It has come to the court's attention that the reporter's transcript in the public record on appeal and the copies that were sent to the parties and others under rules 8.619(f) and 8.622(e) of the California Rules of Court include the personal identifying information of trial jurors in violation of the Code of Civil Procedure, section 237, subdivision (a), and rules 8.332 and 8.610 of the California Rules of Court. (See also Code of Civ. Proc., § 237, subd. (f) [prohibiting disclosure of unlawfully secured personal juror identifying information].) Within 30 days from the date of this order, counsel for both parties, the Habeas Corpus Resource Center, the California Appellate Project, and the Governor must return to the Clerk of the superior court Volume XX, pages 5765-5766, 5771-5772, 5785, 5789-5790 and Volume XXIV, pages 6633-6635, of the Reporter's Transcript on Appeal. Within 60 days from the date of this order, the Clerk of the superior court must (1) prepare a new version of these volumes of the Reporter's Transcript in which all personal identifying information of any trial juror has been removed and replaced by appropriate identifying numbers, as required by section 237, subdivision (a), and rules 8.332 and 8.610, in particular such information appearing on pages 5765-5766, 5771-5772, 5785, 5789-5790, 6633-6635; and (2) send the original of the new redacted Volumes XX and XXIV to this court and copies to counsel for the parties and others as required in rules 8.619(f) and 8.622(e). The Clerk of this court is directed to file under seal the copies of Volume XX and XXIV of the Reporter's Transcript filed in this court on May 16, 2011.

S264593

A160949 First Appellate District, Div. 5

**GHOSHAL LIVING TRUST v.
S.C. (IRON OAK HOME
LOANS, INC.)**

Order filed

The order filed on September 23, 2020, signed by Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., is hereby amended in its entirety:

The petition for review and application for stay are denied.

S263198 H044395/H044782 Sixth Appellate District**HOOKE MEDIA GROUP,
INC. v. APPLE, INC.**

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Sixth Appellate District

At the request of the Court of Appeal, review is ordered on this court's own motion. The cause is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Sixth Appellate District, for further proceedings.

Plaintiff Hooked Media Group, Inc.'s petition for review is denied.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, Kruger, and Groban, JJ.