

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES
FRIDAY, SEPTEMBER 25, 2015
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

S229522 D065557 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 **GUESS (CAROL) v.
BERNHARDSON (MARK E.)**

Time for ordering review extended on the court's own motion
The time for granting review on the court's own motion is hereby extended to December 19, 2015. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(c).)

S227443 D065565 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 **PEOPLE v. BECKEMEYER
(JOHN ROBERT)**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 2, 2015.

S227722 C072785 Third Appellate District **SANTOS (FREDERICO) v.
BROWN, JR., (EDMUND G.)**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to October 30, 2015.

S227987 H040481 Sixth Appellate District **PEOPLE v. AVILA
(FERNANDO ZOSIMO
BARRIOS)**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 12, 2015.

S228107 C077226 Third Appellate District **PEOPLE v. VASQUEZ
(ABRAN)**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 9, 2015.

S228162 B255494 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 **PEOPLE v. PENA
(GILDARDO)**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 10, 2015.

S228198 F066160/F066278 Fifth Appellate District

**PEOPLE v. NASH (KATILA
ANN JEAN)**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 6, 2015.

S228211 C075284 Third Appellate District

**PEOPLE v. LEACH (ERIC
CHARLES)**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to October 30, 2015.

S228259 H039966 Sixth Appellate District

**PEOPLE v. HAGEE (DAVID
JAMES)**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 2, 2015.

S228261 B255339 Second Appellate District, Div. 8

**PEOPLE v. NEWMAN
(MICHAEL A.)**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 2, 2015.

S228271 D064302 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1

**FARHOOMAND (KAVEH S.)
v. CAINE (JANET JUSTIN)**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 3, 2015.

S228277 B244841 Second Appellate District, Div. 3

**PARRISH (WILLIAM) v.
LATHAM & WATKINS**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 3, 2015.

S228365 E064092 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2

**SOLIS (ALFRED
HELIODORO) v. S.C.
(PEOPLE)**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 5, 2015.

S228367 B264734 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 **DAVIS (SABIAN MARCEL) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 5, 2015.

S228369 A139966 First Appellate District, Div. 1 **HENSON (FLOYD) v. C. OVERAA & COMPANY**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 5, 2015.

S228372 H040726 Sixth Appellate District **PEOPLE v. LOPEZ (JOHN ANTHONY)**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 5, 2015.

S228396 D067452 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 **PEOPLE v. GARCIA (JASON MICHAEL)**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 6, 2015.

S228407 C073853 Third Appellate District **PEOPLE v. MAYES (STEDVIENO)**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 6, 2015.

S228409 C071489 Third Appellate District **PEOPLE v. SIGUR (JASON STEPHEN)**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 9, 2015.

S228410 A145547 First Appellate District, Div. 4 **TAYLOR (KENNETH LEE) ON H.C.**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 6, 2015.

S228426 G052324 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 **HUDSON (BLAKE A.) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 9, 2015.

S228429 B253899 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 **ENGEL (STEVE) v. NEUTROGENA CORPORATION**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 9, 2015.

S228452 B251525 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 **PEOPLE v. PARKER (ANTHONY)**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 10, 2015.

S228463 G052326 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 **CIGLIANO (JOHN NICHOLAS) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 10, 2015.

S228474 D065743 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 **PEOPLE v. HERNANDEZ (ABEL)**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 10, 2015.

S228502 C073902 Third Appellate District **PEOPLE v. SPIVEY (XAVIER)**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 6, 2015.

S147335**PEOPLE v. MITCHELL, JR.,
(LOUIS)**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy State Public Defender Maria Morga's representation that the appellant's reply brief is anticipated to be filed by September 26, 2016, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to November 24, 2015. After that date, only five further extensions totaling about 306 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S179454**PEOPLE v. JASSO
(CHRISTOPHER GUY)**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Glen Niemy's representation that the appellant's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by November 4, 2015, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to November 4, 2015. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S217369**PEOPLES (LOUIS JAMES)
ON H.C.**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Phillip H. Cherney's representation that the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is anticipated to be filed by April 30, 2016, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to November 20, 2015. After that date, only three further extensions totaling about 163 additional days will be granted.

S222187**DANIELS (DAVID SCOTT)
ON H.C.**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Larenda R. Delaini's representation that the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is anticipated to be filed by October 28, 2015, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to October 28, 2015. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S223603 D063288 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1**CLEVELAND NATIONAL
FOREST FOUNDATION v.
SAN DIEGO ASSOCIATION
OF GOVERNMENTS
(PEOPLE)**

Extension of time granted

On application of intervener and appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the consolidated response to the amicus briefs is extended to November 13, 2015.

S225753**COMBS (GENE ALLEN) ON
H.C.**

Extension of time granted

On application of petitioner and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply to informal response is extended to October 8, 2015.

S226652 B248694 Second Appellate District, Div. 2**DISPUTESUITE.COM, LLC v.
SCOREINC.COM**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellants and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the opening brief on the merits is extended to October 28, 2015. No further extensions are contemplated.

S226779 D066959 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1**FLETHEZ (FRANK) v. SAN
BERNARDINO COUNTY
EMPLOYEES RETIREMENT
ASSOCIATION**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer brief on the merits is extended to October 13, 2015.

S228352 H040617 Sixth Appellate District**PEOPLE v. MARTIN
(LAWRENCE)**

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Edward Mahler is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S228402

H040337 Sixth Appellate District

**PEOPLE v. LANDRY
(ERNEST)**

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, the Sixth District Appellate Program is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S226140**ANYIAM ON DISCIPLINE**

Order filed

The order filed on July 7, 2015, suspending CHRISTIAN UCHECHUKWU ANYIAM is hereby amended to read in its entirety:

“The court orders that CHRISTIAN UCHECHUKWU ANYIAM, State Bar Number 217326, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

1. CHRISTIAN UCHECHUKWU ANYIAM is suspended from the practice of law for the first 30 days of probation;
2. CHRISTIAN UCHECHUKWU ANYIAM must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Review Department of the State Bar Court in its Opinion filed on March 16, 2015; and
3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if CHRISTIAN UCHECHUKWU ANYIAM has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

CHRISTIAN UCHECHUKWU ANYIAM must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar’s Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).) Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.”

S227377**WESSELS ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that STEVEN LEE WESSELS, State Bar Number 96982, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

1. STEVEN LEE WESSELS is suspended from the practice of law for the first six months of probation;
2. STEVEN LEE WESSELS must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on April 24, 2015; and

3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if STEVEN LEE WESSELS has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

STEVEN LEE WESSELS must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period.

Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

STEVEN LEE WESSELS must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-third of the costs must be paid with his membership fees for each of the years 2016, 2017, and 2018. If STEVEN LEE WESSELS fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

S227381**TEAL ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that KIMBERLY PERRY TEAL, State Bar Number 109382, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and she is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

1. KIMBERLY PERRY TEAL is suspended from the practice of law for the first 30 days of probation;
2. KIMBERLY PERRY TEAL must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on April 30, 2015; and
3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if KIMBERLY PERRY TEAL has complied with all conditions of probation, the one-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

KIMBERLY PERRY TEAL must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period.

Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-half of the costs must be paid with her membership fees for each of the years 2016 and 2017. If KIMBERLY PERRY TEAL fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

S227390**SCOVILL ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that MICHAEL CHRISTOPHER SCOVILL, State Bar Number 277128, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

MICHAEL CHRISTOPHER SCOVILL must make restitution to the following payees:

- (1) Mildred Hudspeth in the amount of \$1,150 plus 10 percent interest per year from July 9, 2013; and
- (2) Michael Heymans in the amount of \$1,306 plus 10 percent interest per year from August 15, 2013.

Any restitution owed to the Client Security Fund is enforceable as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.5, subdivisions (c) and (d).

MICHAEL CHRISTOPHER SCOVILL must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S227419**SINGH ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that PREETINDER SINGH, State Bar Number 183686, is suspended from the practice of law in California for three years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for three years subject to the following conditions:

1. PREETINDER SINGH is suspended from the practice of law for a minimum of the first two years of probation, and he will remain suspended until he provides proof to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.2(c)(1).)
2. PREETINDER SINGH must also comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on May 6, 2015.
3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if PREETINDER SINGH has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

PREETINDER SINGH must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination during the period of his suspension and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

PREETINDER SINGH must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S227471**ROYLE ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that GARY P. ROYLE, State Bar Number 212199, is suspended from the practice of law in California for two years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for three years subject to the following conditions:

1. GARY P. ROYLE is suspended from the practice of law for the first six months of probation;
2. GARY P. ROYLE must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on May 5, 2015; and
3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if GARY P. ROYLE has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

GARY P. ROYLE must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

GARY P. ROYLE must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension. Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-third of the costs must be paid with his membership fees for each of the years 2016, 2017, and 2018. If GARY P. ROYLE fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

S227488**DHAND ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that SANJEEV KUMAR DHAND, State Bar Number 211288, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for one year subject to the following conditions:

1. SANJEEV KUMAR DHAND must comply with the conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on May 14, 2015; and
2. At the expiration of the period of probation, if SANJEEV KUMAR DHAND has complied with the terms of probation, the one-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

SANJEEV KUMAR DHAND must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S227709**SMITH ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that JASON ALLAN SMITH, State Bar Number 237584, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

JASON ALLAN SMITH must make restitution to the following payees:

- (1) Bjorn Olsson in the amount of \$3,531.00 plus 10 percent interest per year from January 25, 2013;
- (2) Stephanie Poe in the amount of \$1,500.00 plus 10 percent interest per year from December 23, 2013; and
- (3) Paul Nelson in the amount of \$1,806.00 plus 10 percent interest per year from August 3, 2013.

Any restitution owed to the Client Security Fund is enforceable as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.5, subdivisions (c) and (d).

JASON ALLAN SMITH must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S227714**OZEKHOME ON
DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that ILUGBEKHAI JOHN OZEKHOME, State Bar Number 272470, is suspended from the practice of law in California for three years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for four years subject to the following conditions:

1. ILUGBEKHAI JOHN OZEKHOME is suspended from the practice of law for the first two years of probation, and he will remain suspended until the following conditions are satisfied:
 - i. He makes restitution to the following payees (or reimburses the Client Security Fund, to the extent of any payment from the fund to the payees, in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.5) and furnishes proof to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles:
 - (1) Aaron Darpinian in the amount of \$2,300 plus 10 percent interest per year from December 30, 2011;
 - (2) Anush Darpinian in the amount of \$2,100 plus 10 percent interest per year from December 30, 2011;
 - (3) Arthur Darpinian in the amount of \$2,000 plus 10 percent interest per year from December 30, 2011;
 - (4) Jose Hernandez in the amount of \$2,000 plus 10 percent interest per year from December 14, 2011; and
 - ii. He provides proof to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.2(c)(1).)
2. ILUGBEKHAI JOHN OZEKHOME must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Review Department of the State Bar Court in its Opinion filed on May 18, 2015; and
3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if ILUGBEKHAI JOHN OZEKHOME has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

ILUGBEKHAI JOHN OZEKHOME must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

ILUGBEKHAI JOHN OZEKHOME must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.