



JUDICIAL COUNCIL
OF CALIFORNIA

TRIAL COURT BUDGET
ADVISORY COMMITTEE

TRIAL COURT BUDGET ADVISORY COMMITTEE
FUNDING METHODOLOGY SUBCOMMITTEE

MATERIALS FOR MARCH 26, 2018

Meeting Contents

Agenda	1
Minutes	
Draft Minutes from the November 11, 2017 Meeting	3
Discussion and Possible Action Items	
Item 1 – Allocation of Proposed \$47.8 million (Action Required)	5
Item 2 – Funding Methodology Subcommittee Work Plan (Action Required)	
Attachment A: 2017-18 Funding Methodology Subcommittee WAFM Work Plan	7
Attachment B: Civil Assessments and Local Revenues – Pooled and Reducing Need.....	8
Attachment C: TCTF and IMF Self-Help Allocations.....	16
Attachment D: All Trial Court Funding Sources.....	19
Attachment E: Cluster 2	32
Attachment F: Special Circumstances Case Funding.....	35



JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA

TRIAL COURT BUDGET
ADVISORY COMMITTEE

www.courts.ca.gov/tcbac.htm
tcbac@jud.ca.gov

TRIAL COURT BUDGET ADVISORY COMMITTEE FUNDING METHODOLOGY SUBCOMMITTEE

OPEN MEETING AGENDA

Open to the Public (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 10.75(c)(1))

THIS MEETING IS BEING RECORDED

Date: March 26, 2018
Time: 10:00 a.m. – 3:00 p.m.
Location: 455 Golden Gate Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94102, Sequoia Room
Public Call-In Number 1-877-820-7831 Passcode: 1884843 (listen only)

Meeting materials will be posted on the advisory body web page on the California Courts website at least three business days before the meeting.

Agenda items are numbered for identification purposes only and will not necessarily be considered in the indicated order.

I. OPEN MEETING (CAL. RULES OF COURT, RULE 10.75(C)(1))

Call to Order and Roll Call

Approval of Minutes

Approve minutes of the November 14, 2017, Funding Methodology Subcommittee meeting.

II. PUBLIC COMMENT (CAL. RULES OF COURT, RULE 10.75(K)(2))

Public Comment

Members of the public requesting to speak during the public comment portion of the meeting must place the speaker's name, the name of the organization that the speaker represents if any, and the agenda item that the public comment will address, on the public comment sign-up sheet. The sign-up sheet will be available at the meeting location at least 30 minutes prior to the meeting start time. The Chair will establish speaking limits at the beginning of the public comment session. While the advisory body welcomes and encourages public comment, time may not permit all persons requesting to speak to be heard at this meeting.

Written Comment

In accordance with California Rules of Court, rule 10.75(k)(1), written comments pertaining to any agenda item of a regularly noticed open meeting can be submitted up to one complete business day before the meeting. For this specific meeting, comments should be e-mailed to tcbac@jud.ca.gov or mailed or delivered to 455 Golden Gate Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94102, attention: Lucy Fogarty. Only written comments received by March 23, 2017 at 10:00 a.m. will be provided to advisory body members prior to the start of the meeting.

III. DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION ITEMS (ITEMS 1-3)

Item 1

Allocation of \$47.8 million in Governor's Proposed Budget (Action Required)

Determine allocation methodology for the proposed \$47.8 million intended to equalize funding among courts by bringing all trial courts up to the statewide average funding level.

Presenter(s)/Facilitator(s): Hon. Jonathan B. Conklin, Chair, Funding Methodology Subcommittee
Ms. Rebecca Fleming, Co-Chair, Funding Methodology Subcommittee
Ms. Kristin Greenaway, Supervising Research Analyst, Judicial Council Budget Services

Item 2

Funding Methodology Subcommittee Work Plan (Action Required)

Prioritize and take possible action on the Funding Methodology Subcommittee Work Plan items.

Presenter(s)/Facilitator(s): Hon. Jonathan B. Conklin
Ms. Rebecca Fleming

IV. ADJOURNMENT

Adjourn



JUDICIAL COUNCIL
OF CALIFORNIA

TRIAL COURT BUDGET
ADVISORY COMMITTEE

www.courts.ca.gov/tcbac.htm
tcbac@jud.ca.gov

TRIAL COURT BUDGET ADVISORY COMMITTEE
FUNDING METHODOLOGY SUBCOMMITTEE

MINUTES OF OPEN MEETING

November 14, 2017

12:00 p.m. – 1:30 p.m.

Conference Call: 1-877-820-7831, Listen only code: 1884843

Advisory Body Members Present: Judges: Hon. Jonathan B. Conklin (Co-Chair), Hon. Mark Ashton Cope, Hon. Joyce D. Hinrichs and Hon. Paul M. Marigonda.

Executive Officers: Ms. Rebecca Fleming (Co-Chair), Ms. Sherri R. Carter, Ms. Kimberly Flener, Mr. Jeffrey E. Lewis, Mr. Michael D. Planet, Mr. Michael M. Roddy, and Ms. Tania Ugrin-Capobianco.

Advisory Body Members Absent:

Others Present: Mr. Zlatko Theodorovic, Ms. Lucy Fogarty, Ms. Brandy Sanborn, Ms. Leah Rose-Goodwin, Ms. Kristin Greenaway, Mr. James Baird and Ms. Amanda Eberhart.

OPEN MEETING

Call to Order and Roll Call

The chair called the meeting to order at 12:00 p.m. and roll was called.

Approval of Minutes

The advisory body reviewed and approved the minutes of the October 26, 2017 Funding Methodology Committee Meeting.

ACTION ITEMS (ITEMS 1-3)

Item 1 – Historical Review of Workload-Based Allocation and Funding Methodology (WAFM) (Action Required)

A report providing an historical review of WAFM since its implementation in 2013-14.

Presenter(s)/Facilitator(s): Ms. Leah Rose-Goodwin, Manager, Judicial Council Budget Services

Action: The Funding Methodology Subcommittee unanimously agreed to adopt the report and send it to the Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee for its December 4, 2017 meeting.

Item 2 – WAFM Funding Floor Computations (Action Required)

Clarification of the recommendations of the subcommittee regarding WAFM funding floor computations.

Presenter(s)/Facilitator(s): Ms. Leah Rose-Goodwin, Manager, Judicial Council Budget Services

Action: The Funding Methodology Subcommittee unanimously approved the following recommendations:

- 1: Retain the base funding floor of \$750,000 to courts whose WAFM allocation falls below this amount.*
- 2: Retain the graduated funding floors until such time that those impacted courts are at 100 percent.*
- 3: Review the base and graduated funding floors annually.*

Item 3 – WAFM 2018-19 and Beyond (Action Required)

Review of the recommendations to the Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee regarding the structure of WAFM in 2018-19 and beyond.

An edit was suggested to modify the last sentence of the first paragraph to include the words “based on workload.”

A clarification was made to Section 3b (page 23 of the packet) to include the phrase “cluster one courts” in the following: “No allocation adjustment will occur for those courts within the band or cluster one courts.”

Presenter(s)/Facilitator(s): Hon. Jonathan B. Conklin, Co-Chair, Funding Methodology Subcommittee Ms. Rebecca Fleming, Co-Chair, Funding Methodology Subcommittee

Action: The Funding Methodology Subcommittee unanimously approved the proposal with the recommended edits indicated above, for submission to the Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee for its December 4, 2017 meeting.

A D J O U R N M E N T

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 12:43 p.m.

Approved by the advisory body on enter date.

Allocation of \$47.8 million

The Governor's proposed budget for 2018-19 budget includes \$47.8 million to bring trial courts that are below the statewide average to the statewide average (76.9%). This dollar amount was based on preliminary numbers, prior to updates to the Resource Assessment Study (RAS) and the Workload-based Allocation and Funding Methodology (WAFM), and no longer represents the dollar amount needed to bring courts below the statewide average to the updated statewide average.

Presuming the dollar amount in the proposed 2018-19 budget remains the same, to best allocate the \$47.8 million—with the original intent in mind—two options were developed. Both options begin with bringing all courts below 76.9% to 76.9%. The dollar amount needed to do that is \$35.9 million. The options differ regarding how to allocate the remaining \$11.9 million of the 47.8 million.

Option 1

In January 2018, courts were sent a preliminary version of 2018-19 allocations based on this methodology. This option targets the funding to the courts that are the greatest distance from the statewide average, but not all courts below the new statewide average are allocated a portion of the \$47.8 million. This option would bring all courts to 78.3% of need or above and establishes a new statewide average funding level of 80.8%. The following steps are taken:

- Bring courts below 76.9% of need to 76.9% (*to bring courts to 76.9% it takes \$35.9 million of the \$47.8 million*);
- To determine the allocation of the remaining \$11.9 million, total the allocation of all courts below the new statewide average and add the remaining \$11.9 million to be allocated and divide by total need of those courts below the average. This creates a percentage floor of 78.3%;
- There are two courts that were below the statewide average) but above 78.3% (floor average): Los Angeles (78.4%) and Sacramento (78.5%); under this scenario, these courts do not get any of the \$47.8 million.

Option 2

This option assumes that the intent of the \$47.8 million is to provide some amount of funding to all courts that are below the statewide average. This would bring all courts to 78.1% of need or above and establishes a new statewide average of 80.8%. The following steps are taken:

- Bring courts below 76.9% of need to 76.9% (*to bring courts to 76.9% it takes \$35.9 million of the \$47.8 million*);
- Then allocate the remaining funding to all courts below the statewide average. First, allocate a portion of \$11.9 million to bring Los Angeles and Sacramento to the original statewide average before \$47.8 million (78.6%);
- Then, allocate the remainder of the \$11.9 million to create a funding floor (78.1%) for the courts that are below the statewide average.

Cluster	Court	Total 2018-19 WAFM-Related Allocation (Before New Money)	Total 2018-19 WAFM Need	% Need	Option 1: Additional Funding Needed	Option 1: Final Total 2018-19 WAFM-Related Allocation	Option 1: New % Need	Option 2: Additional Funding Needed	Option 2: Final Total 2018-19 WAFM-Related Allocation	Option 2: New % Need
4	Alameda	\$ 71,216,156	\$ 83,101,281	85.7%	\$ -	\$ 71,216,156	85.7%	\$ -	\$ 71,216,156	85.7%
1	Alpine	\$ 750,000	\$ 423,378	177.1%	\$ -	\$ 750,000	177.1%	\$ -	\$ 750,000	177.1%
1	Amador	\$ 2,241,405	\$ 2,875,338	78.0%	\$ 8,876	\$ 2,250,281	78.3%	\$ 2,928	\$ 2,244,333	78.1%
2	Butte	\$ 9,426,399	\$ 13,374,586	70.5%	\$ 1,040,743	\$ 10,467,143	78.3%	\$ 1,013,078	\$ 10,439,477	78.1%
1	Calaveras	\$ 2,086,027	\$ 2,611,213	79.9%	\$ -	\$ 2,086,027	79.9%	\$ -	\$ 2,086,027	79.9%
1	Colusa	\$ 1,924,378	\$ 1,994,918	96.5%	\$ -	\$ 1,924,378	96.5%	\$ -	\$ 1,924,378	96.5%
3	Contra Costa	\$ 38,944,771	\$ 51,871,341	75.1%	\$ 1,650,483	\$ 40,595,254	78.3%	\$ 1,543,185	\$ 40,487,957	78.1%
1	Del Norte	\$ 2,409,926	\$ 2,821,677	85.4%	\$ -	\$ 2,409,926	85.4%	\$ -	\$ 2,409,926	85.4%
2	El Dorado	\$ 6,488,321	\$ 8,706,791	74.5%	\$ 325,738	\$ 6,814,060	78.3%	\$ 307,728	\$ 6,796,049	78.1%
3	Fresno	\$ 46,755,051	\$ 63,106,468	74.1%	\$ 2,632,974	\$ 49,388,025	78.3%	\$ 2,502,436	\$ 49,257,487	78.1%
1	Glenn	\$ 1,913,843	\$ 2,131,424	89.8%	\$ -	\$ 1,913,843	89.8%	\$ -	\$ 1,913,843	89.8%
2	Humboldt	\$ 6,057,587	\$ 7,859,201	77.1%	\$ 93,136	\$ 6,150,723	78.3%	\$ 76,879	\$ 6,134,466	78.1%
2	Imperial	\$ 8,284,100	\$ 10,646,871	77.8%	\$ 48,293	\$ 8,332,393	78.3%	\$ 26,270	\$ 8,310,370	78.1%
1	Inyo	\$ 1,887,466	\$ 2,005,208	94.1%	\$ -	\$ 1,887,466	94.1%	\$ -	\$ 1,887,466	94.1%
3	Kern	\$ 44,807,319	\$ 64,925,575	69.0%	\$ 6,004,365	\$ 50,811,684	78.3%	\$ 5,870,065	\$ 50,677,384	78.1%
2	Kings	\$ 6,455,083	\$ 8,937,534	72.2%	\$ 539,559	\$ 6,994,642	78.3%	\$ 521,072	\$ 6,976,154	78.1%
2	Lake	\$ 3,168,841	\$ 4,564,560	69.4%	\$ 403,450	\$ 3,572,290	78.3%	\$ 394,008	\$ 3,562,848	78.1%
1	Lassen	\$ 1,874,990	\$ 2,147,967	87.3%	\$ -	\$ 1,874,990	87.3%	\$ -	\$ 1,874,990	87.3%
4	Los Angeles	\$ 500,585,968	\$ 638,818,504	78.4%	\$ -	\$ 500,585,968	78.4%	\$ 1,690,540	\$ 502,276,508	78.6%
2	Madera	\$ 7,217,836	\$ 9,793,233	73.7%	\$ 446,488	\$ 7,664,324	78.3%	\$ 426,231	\$ 7,644,067	78.1%
2	Marin	\$ 11,889,816	\$ 12,566,813	94.6%	\$ -	\$ 11,889,816	94.6%	\$ -	\$ 11,889,816	94.6%
1	Mariposa	\$ 1,250,000	\$ 1,345,390	92.9%	\$ -	\$ 1,250,000	92.9%	\$ -	\$ 1,250,000	92.9%
2	Mendocino	\$ 5,177,238	\$ 7,193,346	72.0%	\$ 452,378	\$ 5,629,616	78.3%	\$ 437,498	\$ 5,614,736	78.1%
2	Merced	\$ 11,118,343	\$ 15,841,209	70.2%	\$ 1,279,214	\$ 12,397,557	78.3%	\$ 1,246,446	\$ 12,364,789	78.1%
1	Modoc	\$ 875,002	\$ 1,028,453	85.1%	\$ -	\$ 875,002	85.1%	\$ -	\$ 875,002	85.1%
1	Mono	\$ 1,874,999	\$ 1,921,934	97.6%	\$ -	\$ 1,874,999	97.6%	\$ -	\$ 1,874,999	97.6%
3	Monterey	\$ 16,656,950	\$ 23,133,666	72.0%	\$ 1,447,788	\$ 18,104,739	78.3%	\$ 1,399,935	\$ 18,056,886	78.1%
2	Napa	\$ 6,805,669	\$ 8,401,485	81.0%	\$ -	\$ 6,805,669	81.0%	\$ -	\$ 6,805,669	81.0%
2	Nevada	\$ 4,485,467	\$ 5,843,488	76.8%	\$ 87,730	\$ 4,573,197	78.3%	\$ 75,642	\$ 4,561,110	78.1%
4	Orange	\$ 130,557,838	\$ 158,475,388	82.4%	\$ -	\$ 130,557,838	82.4%	\$ -	\$ 130,557,838	82.4%
2	Placer	\$ 15,381,448	\$ 20,277,183	75.9%	\$ 487,765	\$ 15,869,213	78.3%	\$ 445,821	\$ 15,827,269	78.1%
1	Plumas	\$ 1,098,234	\$ 1,248,151	88.0%	\$ -	\$ 1,098,234	88.0%	\$ -	\$ 1,098,234	88.0%
4	Riverside	\$ 83,795,307	\$ 115,864,478	72.3%	\$ 6,881,892	\$ 90,677,199	78.3%	\$ 6,642,223	\$ 90,437,530	78.1%
4	Sacramento	\$ 74,207,648	\$ 94,570,263	78.5%	\$ -	\$ 74,207,648	78.5%	\$ 149,029	\$ 74,356,677	78.6%
1	San Benito	\$ 2,490,581	\$ 3,296,299	75.6%	\$ 89,149	\$ 2,579,730	78.3%	\$ 82,331	\$ 2,572,912	78.1%
4	San Bernardino	\$ 90,489,654	\$ 122,745,316	73.7%	\$ 5,572,587	\$ 96,062,241	78.3%	\$ 5,318,685	\$ 95,808,369	78.1%
4	San Diego	\$ 131,053,036	\$ 149,938,144	87.4%	\$ -	\$ 131,053,036	87.4%	\$ -	\$ 131,053,036	87.4%
4	San Francisco	\$ 51,680,101	\$ 50,233,195	102.9%	\$ -	\$ 51,680,101	102.9%	\$ -	\$ 51,680,101	102.9%
3	San Joaquin	\$ 33,539,705	\$ 44,763,531	74.9%	\$ 1,492,875	\$ 35,032,580	78.3%	\$ 1,400,280	\$ 34,939,985	78.1%
2	San Luis Obispo	\$ 12,320,922	\$ 16,966,674	72.6%	\$ 957,440	\$ 13,278,362	78.3%	\$ 922,344	\$ 13,243,265	78.1%
3	San Mateo	\$ 32,338,069	\$ 44,666,431	72.4%	\$ 2,618,519	\$ 34,956,588	78.3%	\$ 2,526,126	\$ 34,864,194	78.1%
3	Santa Barbara	\$ 20,368,953	\$ 27,024,093	75.4%	\$ 780,489	\$ 21,149,442	78.3%	\$ 724,589	\$ 21,093,542	78.1%
4	Santa Clara	\$ 74,824,230	\$ 84,089,003	89.0%	\$ -	\$ 74,824,230	89.0%	\$ -	\$ 74,824,230	89.0%
2	Santa Cruz	\$ 11,476,219	\$ 15,685,523	73.2%	\$ 799,496	\$ 12,275,715	78.3%	\$ 767,050	\$ 12,243,269	78.1%
2	Shasta	\$ 10,247,416	\$ 14,686,813	69.8%	\$ 1,246,694	\$ 11,494,110	78.3%	\$ 1,216,314	\$ 11,463,730	78.1%
1	Sierra	\$ 750,000	\$ 384,424	195.1%	\$ -	\$ 750,000	195.1%	\$ -	\$ 750,000	195.1%
2	Siskiyou	\$ 2,797,889	\$ 2,947,579	94.9%	\$ -	\$ 2,797,889	94.9%	\$ -	\$ 2,797,889	94.9%
3	Solano	\$ 19,959,262	\$ 26,313,149	75.9%	\$ 633,784	\$ 20,593,047	78.3%	\$ 579,355	\$ 20,538,617	78.1%
3	Sonoma	\$ 22,498,598	\$ 26,973,505	83.4%	\$ -	\$ 22,498,598	83.4%	\$ -	\$ 22,498,598	83.4%
3	Stanislaus	\$ 21,175,789	\$ 31,118,112	68.0%	\$ 3,177,690	\$ 24,353,480	78.3%	\$ 3,113,322	\$ 24,289,111	78.1%
2	Sutter	\$ 4,842,312	\$ 6,637,590	73.0%	\$ 352,361	\$ 5,194,673	78.3%	\$ 338,631	\$ 5,180,943	78.1%
2	Tehama	\$ 3,854,151	\$ 5,482,510	70.3%	\$ 436,540	\$ 4,290,691	78.3%	\$ 425,199	\$ 4,279,350	78.1%
1	Trinity	\$ 1,383,823	\$ 1,577,454	87.7%	\$ -	\$ 1,383,823	87.7%	\$ -	\$ 1,383,823	87.7%
3	Tulare	\$ 18,410,572	\$ 26,630,928	69.1%	\$ 2,431,174	\$ 20,841,746	78.3%	\$ 2,376,087	\$ 20,786,659	78.1%
2	Tuolumne	\$ 3,054,903	\$ 4,353,128	70.2%	\$ 351,918	\$ 3,406,821	78.3%	\$ 342,913	\$ 3,397,816	78.1%
3	Ventura	\$ 33,385,142	\$ 44,626,190	74.8%	\$ 1,539,953	\$ 34,925,095	78.3%	\$ 1,447,642	\$ 34,832,784	78.1%
2	Yolo	\$ 9,273,164	\$ 13,540,159	68.5%	\$ 1,323,558	\$ 10,596,722	78.3%	\$ 1,295,549	\$ 10,568,714	78.1%
2	Yuba	\$ 4,450,227	\$ 5,960,508	74.7%	\$ 214,552	\$ 4,664,779	78.3%	\$ 202,222	\$ 4,652,450	78.1%
Total		\$ 1,746,334,148	\$ 2,221,068,575	78.6%	\$ 47,849,651	\$ 1,794,183,799	80.8%	\$ 47,849,651	\$ 1,794,183,799	80.8%

FUNDING METHODOLOGY SUBCOMMITTEE (FMS) WORK PLAN
Updated on October 26 and November 14, 2017

2017-18

1. Plans for FY 2018–2019 and year 6 and beyond
 - a. Simplify display of worksheets for after year 5
 - b. Review and evaluate funding methodology

2018-19

2. Address new judgeship staffing complement funding when necessary.
3. Evaluate the impact of civil assessments as it relates to the Workload-based Allocation and Funding Methodology (WAFM).
4. Review TCTF and IMF self-help funding allocation.
5. Identify all funding sources and determine allocation models.
6. Evaluate special circumstances cases funding.
7. Evaluate impact of JCC and other provided services.
8. Evaluate the cluster 2 Bureau of Labor Statistics and small court adjustment contributions.

2019-20

9. Evaluate how to include unfunded costs – courthouse construction.

Annual Updates

10. Review the base and graduated funding floor amounts annually to determine whether an inflationary adjustment is needed.
11. Track technology funding streams (quarterly updates from JCTC and CITMF).
12. Track joint working group with Family and Juvenile Law Advisory Committee to evaluate the allocation methodology for Child Support Commissioner and Family Law Facilitator Program funding. Subsequent to receiving information from working group, FMS will continue to review AB 1058 revenue as an offset to WAFM funding need.

2017-18 Civil Assessments & MOE Pooled and Allocated Via WAFM
(New Caseweights, Need Remains Constant)

Court	WAFM Allocation	WAFM Need	Proportion No CA	Allocation 2014-15 Civil Assessments	Proportion 2014-15 CA	MOE Allocated Via WAFM	Allocation 2014-15 Civil Assessments & MOE	Proportion 2014-15 CA & MOE
Alameda	69,423,732	80,894,723	85.8%	73,553,504	90.9%	1,780,088	75,333,592	93.1%
Alpine	752,515	417,426	180.3%	773,850	185.4%	9,185	783,035	187.6%
Amador	2,333,680	2,811,990	83.0%	2,477,231	88.1%	61,878	2,539,109	90.3%
Butte	10,128,145	13,360,970	75.8%	10,810,157	80.9%	294,008	11,104,165	83.1%
Calaveras	2,170,920	2,553,036	85.0%	2,301,254	90.1%	56,180	2,357,434	92.3%
Colusa	1,996,862	1,950,155	102.4%	2,096,440	107.5%	42,913	2,139,353	109.7%
Contra Costa	39,941,893	52,019,183	76.8%	42,597,246	81.9%	1,144,682	43,741,928	84.1%
Del Norte	2,633,917	2,937,168	89.7%	2,783,870	94.8%	64,632	2,848,502	97.0%
El Dorado	6,898,007	8,840,195	78.0%	7,349,267	83.1%	194,528	7,543,796	85.3%
Fresno	46,722,720	61,314,052	76.2%	49,852,513	81.3%	1,349,215	51,201,729	83.5%
Glenn	1,994,914	2,040,804	97.8%	2,099,114	102.9%	44,908	2,144,022	105.1%
Humboldt	6,329,803	8,006,601	79.1%	6,738,516	84.2%	176,185	6,914,701	86.4%
Imperial	8,277,498	10,922,650	75.8%	8,835,045	80.9%	240,353	9,075,398	83.1%
Inyo	1,894,526	1,908,757	99.3%	1,991,986	104.4%	42,002	2,033,988	106.6%
Kern	45,873,297	66,240,477	69.3%	49,254,280	74.4%	1,457,621	50,711,901	76.6%
Kings	6,762,943	9,306,718	72.7%	7,237,987	77.8%	204,794	7,442,781	80.0%
Lake	3,447,329	4,313,454	79.9%	3,667,521	85.0%	94,918	3,762,438	87.2%
Lassen	1,874,999	2,163,657	86.7%	1,962,129	90.7%	47,611	2,009,741	92.9%
Los Angeles	496,032,886	640,263,041	77.5%	528,715,801	82.6%	14,088,982	542,804,783	84.8%
Madera	7,760,812	9,855,677	78.7%	8,263,914	83.8%	216,874	8,480,788	86.0%
Marin	11,965,452	12,633,317	94.7%	12,610,467	99.8%	277,996	12,888,463	102.0%
Mariposa	1,243,717	1,262,034	98.5%	1,273,721	100.9%	27,771	1,301,492	103.1%
Mendocino	5,620,410	7,061,958	79.6%	5,980,904	84.7%	155,398	6,136,303	86.9%
Merced	11,890,078	16,139,935	73.7%	12,713,920	78.8%	355,159	13,069,079	81.0%
Modoc	960,611	986,505	97.4%	1,010,981	102.5%	21,708	1,032,689	104.7%
Mono	1,881,938	1,961,566	95.9%	1,982,091	101.0%	43,164	2,025,255	103.2%
Monterey	17,619,851	23,099,032	76.3%	18,798,949	81.4%	508,294	19,307,243	83.6%
Napa	6,964,994	8,722,319	79.9%	7,410,246	85.0%	191,935	7,602,181	87.2%
Nevada	4,789,554	5,854,733	81.8%	5,088,431	86.9%	128,833	5,217,265	89.1%
Orange	130,453,247	154,900,834	84.2%	138,360,967	89.3%	3,408,591	141,769,558	91.5%
Placer	15,382,066	20,246,448	76.0%	16,415,549	81.1%	445,523	16,861,072	83.3%
Plumas	1,250,000	1,253,444	99.7%	1,265,021	100.9%	27,582	1,292,603	103.1%
Riverside	82,369,490	109,519,907	75.2%	87,959,900	80.3%	2,409,984	90,369,884	82.5%
Sacramento	70,324,988	89,634,369	78.5%	74,900,525	83.6%	1,972,403	76,872,929	85.8%
San Benito	2,594,306	3,098,436	83.7%	2,752,481	88.8%	68,181	2,820,662	91.0%
San Bernardino	91,479,919	121,782,947	75.1%	97,696,284	80.2%	2,679,833	100,376,117	82.4%
San Diego	127,869,356	146,616,131	87.2%	135,354,410	92.3%	3,226,287	138,580,696	94.5%
San Francisco	49,054,331	50,461,164	97.2%	51,630,786	102.3%	1,110,397	52,741,184	104.5%
San Joaquin	33,351,007	44,058,576	75.7%	35,599,976	80.8%	969,509	36,569,485	83.0%
San Luis Obispo	13,368,993	17,129,574	78.0%	14,243,396	83.2%	376,936	14,620,332	85.4%
San Mateo	33,328,759	42,197,967	79.0%	35,482,838	84.1%	928,566	36,411,404	86.3%
Santa Barbara	20,895,811	26,492,770	78.9%	22,248,186	84.0%	582,973	22,831,159	86.2%
Santa Clara	75,410,964	84,524,519	89.2%	79,726,218	94.3%	1,859,961	81,586,179	96.5%
Santa Cruz	12,210,330	15,561,528	78.5%	13,004,694	83.6%	342,431	13,347,126	85.8%
Shasta	11,144,004	14,457,142	77.1%	11,881,981	82.2%	318,129	12,200,110	84.4%
Sierra	750,000	369,308	203.1%	768,590	208.1%	8,127	776,717	210.3%
Siskiyou	2,909,607	3,017,344	96.4%	3,063,666	101.5%	66,397	3,130,063	103.7%
Solano	20,396,304	25,745,549	79.2%	21,710,541	84.3%	566,531	22,277,071	86.5%
Sonoma	22,791,067	27,508,479	82.9%	24,195,358	88.0%	605,324	24,800,682	90.2%
Stanislaus	21,825,176	30,356,818	71.9%	23,374,669	77.0%	668,001	24,042,670	79.2%
Sutter	4,882,233	6,422,178	76.0%	5,210,054	81.1%	141,320	5,351,374	83.3%
Tehama	4,144,949	5,540,390	74.8%	4,427,755	79.9%	121,916	4,549,671	82.1%
Trinity	1,442,688	1,547,004	93.3%	1,521,672	98.4%	34,042	1,555,714	100.6%
Tulare	19,505,357	26,437,801	73.8%	20,854,843	78.9%	581,764	21,436,606	81.1%
Tuolumne	3,286,698	4,157,951	79.0%	3,498,950	84.2%	91,496	3,590,445	86.4%
Ventura	32,841,489	43,150,716	76.1%	35,044,128	81.2%	949,531	35,993,659	83.4%
Yolo	9,513,065	12,939,471	73.5%	10,173,543	78.6%	284,733	10,458,276	80.8%
Yuba	4,590,611	6,109,612	75.1%	4,902,474	80.2%	134,442	5,036,916	82.4%
	1,745,554,822	2,195,080,508	79.5%	1,857,500,791	84.6%	48,302,725	1,905,803,516	86.8%

2017-18 Civil Assessments & MOE Pooled and Allocated \Via WAFM
(New Caseweights, Need Remains Constant)

Court	WAFM Allocation	WAFM Need	Proportion No CA	Allocation 2015-16 Civil Assessments	Proportion 2015-16 CA	MOE Allocated Via WAFM	Allocation 2015-16 Civil Assessments & MOE	Proportion 2015-16 CA & MOE
Alameda	69,423,732	80,894,723	85.8%	72,349,769	89.4%	1,780,088	74,129,857	91.6%
Alpine	752,515	417,426	180.3%	767,638	183.9%	9,185	776,823	186.1%
Amador	2,333,680	2,811,990	83.0%	2,435,388	86.6%	61,878	2,497,266	88.8%
Butte	10,128,145	13,360,970	75.8%	10,611,345	79.4%	294,008	10,905,353	81.6%
Calaveras	2,170,920	2,553,036	85.0%	2,263,265	88.6%	56,180	2,319,444	90.9%
Colusa	1,996,862	1,950,155	102.4%	2,067,420	106.0%	42,913	2,110,333	108.2%
Contra Costa	39,941,893	52,019,183	76.8%	41,823,197	80.4%	1,144,682	42,967,878	82.6%
Del Norte	2,633,917	2,937,168	89.7%	2,740,164	93.3%	64,632	2,804,796	95.5%
El Dorado	6,898,007	8,840,195	78.0%	7,217,724	81.6%	194,528	7,412,253	83.8%
Fresno	46,722,720	61,314,052	76.2%	48,940,156	79.8%	1,349,215	50,289,371	82.0%
Glenn	1,994,914	2,040,804	97.8%	2,068,746	101.4%	44,908	2,113,654	103.6%
Humboldt	6,329,803	8,006,601	79.1%	6,619,377	82.7%	176,185	6,795,562	84.9%
Imperial	8,277,498	10,922,650	75.8%	8,672,516	79.4%	240,353	8,912,868	81.6%
Inyo	1,894,526	1,908,757	99.3%	1,963,582	102.9%	42,002	2,005,585	105.1%
Kern	45,873,297	66,240,477	69.3%	48,268,626	72.9%	1,457,621	49,726,247	75.1%
Kings	6,762,943	9,306,718	72.7%	7,099,503	76.3%	204,794	7,304,297	78.5%
Lake	3,447,329	4,313,454	79.9%	3,603,336	83.5%	94,918	3,698,254	85.7%
Lassen	1,874,999	2,163,657	86.7%	1,929,934	89.2%	47,611	1,977,545	91.4%
Los Angeles	496,032,886	640,263,041	77.5%	519,188,627	81.1%	14,088,982	533,277,609	83.3%
Madera	7,760,812	9,855,677	78.7%	8,117,260	82.4%	216,874	8,334,134	84.6%
Marin	11,965,452	12,633,317	94.7%	12,422,477	98.3%	277,996	12,700,473	100.5%
Mariposa	1,243,717	1,262,034	98.5%	1,254,942	99.4%	27,771	1,282,713	101.6%
Mendocino	5,620,410	7,061,958	79.6%	5,875,822	83.2%	155,398	6,031,220	85.4%
Merced	11,890,078	16,139,935	73.7%	12,473,758	77.3%	355,159	12,828,917	79.5%
Modoc	960,611	986,505	97.4%	996,301	101.0%	21,708	1,018,009	103.2%
Mono	1,881,938	1,961,566	95.9%	1,952,902	99.6%	43,164	1,996,066	101.8%
Monterey	17,619,851	23,099,032	76.3%	18,455,234	79.9%	508,294	18,963,528	82.1%
Napa	6,964,994	8,722,319	79.9%	7,280,457	83.5%	191,935	7,472,392	85.7%
Nevada	4,789,554	5,854,733	81.8%	5,001,312	85.4%	128,833	5,130,145	87.6%
Orange	130,453,247	154,900,834	84.2%	136,056,007	87.8%	3,408,591	139,464,598	90.0%
Placer	15,382,066	20,246,448	76.0%	16,114,280	79.6%	445,523	16,559,803	81.8%
Plumas	1,250,000	1,253,444	99.7%	1,250,000	99.7%	27,582	1,277,582	101.9%
Riverside	82,369,490	109,519,907	75.2%	86,330,238	78.8%	2,409,984	88,740,223	81.0%
Sacramento	70,324,988	89,634,369	78.5%	73,566,756	82.1%	1,972,403	75,539,159	84.3%
San Benito	2,594,306	3,098,436	83.7%	2,706,376	87.3%	68,181	2,774,557	89.5%
San Bernardino	91,479,919	121,782,947	75.1%	95,884,148	78.7%	2,679,833	98,563,981	80.9%
San Diego	127,869,356	146,616,131	87.2%	133,172,720	90.8%	3,226,287	136,399,006	93.0%
San Francisco	49,054,331	50,461,164	97.2%	50,879,900	100.8%	1,110,397	51,990,297	103.0%
San Joaquin	33,351,007	44,058,576	75.7%	34,944,382	79.3%	969,509	35,913,890	81.5%
San Luis Obispo	13,368,993	17,129,574	78.0%	13,988,506	81.7%	376,936	14,365,443	83.9%
San Mateo	33,328,759	42,197,967	79.0%	34,854,927	82.6%	928,566	35,783,493	84.8%
Santa Barbara	20,895,811	26,492,770	78.9%	21,853,970	82.5%	582,973	22,436,944	84.7%
Santa Clara	75,410,964	84,524,519	89.2%	78,468,465	92.8%	1,859,961	80,328,426	95.0%
Santa Cruz	12,210,330	15,561,528	78.5%	12,773,137	82.1%	342,431	13,115,568	84.3%
Shasta	11,144,004	14,457,142	77.1%	11,666,857	80.7%	318,129	11,984,987	82.9%
Sierra	750,000	369,308	203.1%	763,094	206.6%	8,127	771,221	208.8%
Siskiyou	2,909,607	3,017,344	96.4%	3,018,766	100.0%	66,397	3,085,163	102.2%
Solano	20,396,304	25,745,549	79.2%	21,327,444	82.8%	566,531	21,893,974	85.0%
Sonoma	22,791,067	27,508,479	82.9%	23,786,026	86.5%	605,324	24,391,350	88.7%
Stanislaus	21,825,176	30,356,818	71.9%	22,922,960	75.5%	668,001	23,590,961	77.7%
Sutter	4,882,233	6,422,178	76.0%	5,114,492	79.6%	141,320	5,255,812	81.8%
Tehama	4,144,949	5,540,390	74.8%	4,345,313	78.4%	121,916	4,467,230	80.6%
Trinity	1,442,688	1,547,004	93.3%	1,498,652	96.9%	34,042	1,532,693	99.1%
Tulare	19,505,357	26,437,801	73.8%	20,461,448	77.4%	581,764	21,043,211	79.6%
Tuolumne	3,286,698	4,157,951	79.0%	3,437,079	82.7%	91,496	3,528,574	84.9%
Ventura	32,841,489	43,150,716	76.1%	34,402,042	79.7%	949,531	35,351,573	81.9%
Yolo	9,513,065	12,939,471	73.5%	9,981,004	77.1%	284,733	10,265,737	79.3%
Yuba	4,590,611	6,109,612	75.1%	4,811,563	78.8%	134,442	4,946,005	81.0%
	1,745,554,822	2,195,080,508	79.5%	1,824,841,327	83.1%	48,302,725	1,873,144,053	85.3%

2017-18 Civil Assessments & MOE Pooled and Allocated \Via WAFM
(New Caseweights, Need Remains Constant)

Court	WAFM Allocation	WAFM Need	Proportion No CA	Allocation 2016-17 Civil Assessments	Proportion 2016-17 CA	MOE Allocated Via WAFM	Allocation 2016-17 Civil Assessments & MOE	Proportion 2016-17 CA & MOE
Alameda	69,423,732	80,894,723	85.8%	71,901,687	88.9%	1,780,088	73,681,775	91.1%
Alpine	752,515	417,426	180.3%	765,302	183.3%	9,185	774,487	185.5%
Amador	2,333,680	2,811,990	83.0%	2,419,817	86.1%	61,878	2,481,695	88.3%
Butte	10,128,145	13,360,970	75.8%	10,537,417	78.9%	294,008	10,831,425	81.1%
Calaveras	2,170,920	2,553,036	85.0%	2,249,124	88.1%	56,180	2,305,304	90.3%
Colusa	1,996,862	1,950,155	102.4%	2,056,599	105.5%	42,913	2,099,512	107.7%
Contra Costa	39,941,893	52,019,183	76.8%	41,535,337	79.8%	1,144,682	42,680,019	82.0%
Del Norte	2,633,917	2,937,168	89.7%	2,723,888	92.7%	64,632	2,788,520	94.9%
El Dorado	6,898,007	8,840,195	78.0%	7,168,798	81.1%	194,528	7,363,327	83.3%
Fresno	46,722,720	61,314,052	76.2%	48,600,883	79.3%	1,349,215	49,950,098	81.5%
Glenn	1,994,914	2,040,804	97.8%	2,057,428	100.8%	44,908	2,102,335	103.0%
Humboldt	6,329,803	8,006,601	79.1%	6,575,060	82.1%	176,185	6,751,245	84.3%
Imperial	8,277,498	10,922,650	75.8%	8,612,079	78.8%	240,353	8,852,432	81.0%
Inyo	1,894,526	1,908,757	99.3%	1,952,994	102.3%	42,002	1,994,997	104.5%
Kern	45,873,297	66,240,477	69.3%	47,902,366	72.3%	1,457,621	49,359,987	74.5%
Kings	6,762,943	9,306,718	72.7%	7,048,025	75.7%	204,794	7,252,819	77.9%
Lake	3,447,329	4,313,454	79.9%	3,579,459	83.0%	94,918	3,674,376	85.2%
Lassen	1,874,999	2,163,657	86.7%	1,941,276	89.7%	47,611	1,988,887	91.9%
Los Angeles	496,032,886	640,263,041	77.5%	515,645,331	80.5%	14,088,982	529,734,313	82.7%
Madera	7,760,812	9,855,677	78.7%	8,062,710	81.8%	216,874	8,279,584	84.0%
Marin	11,965,452	12,633,317	94.7%	12,352,434	97.8%	277,996	12,630,430	100.0%
Mariposa	1,243,717	1,262,034	98.5%	1,282,376	101.6%	27,771	1,310,147	103.8%
Mendocino	5,620,410	7,061,958	79.6%	5,836,731	82.7%	155,398	5,992,129	84.9%
Merced	11,890,078	16,139,935	73.7%	12,384,474	76.7%	355,159	12,739,633	78.9%
Modoc	960,611	986,505	97.4%	990,830	100.4%	21,708	1,012,538	102.6%
Mono	1,881,938	1,961,566	95.9%	1,942,025	99.0%	43,164	1,985,189	101.2%
Monterey	17,619,851	23,099,032	76.3%	18,327,418	79.3%	508,294	18,835,712	81.5%
Napa	6,964,994	8,722,319	79.9%	7,232,174	82.9%	191,935	7,424,109	85.1%
Nevada	4,789,554	5,854,733	81.8%	4,968,896	84.9%	128,833	5,097,729	87.1%
Orange	130,453,247	154,900,834	84.2%	135,198,147	87.3%	3,408,591	138,606,738	89.5%
Placer	15,382,066	20,246,448	76.0%	16,002,252	79.0%	445,523	16,447,775	81.2%
Plumas	1,250,000	1,253,444	99.7%	1,288,395	102.8%	27,582	1,315,977	105.0%
Riverside	82,369,490	109,519,907	75.2%	85,724,288	78.3%	2,409,984	88,134,273	80.5%
Sacramento	70,324,988	89,634,369	78.5%	73,070,656	81.5%	1,972,403	75,043,059	83.7%
San Benito	2,594,306	3,098,436	83.7%	2,689,217	86.8%	68,181	2,757,398	89.0%
San Bernardino	91,479,919	121,782,947	75.1%	95,210,356	78.2%	2,679,833	97,890,189	80.4%
San Diego	127,869,356	146,616,131	87.2%	132,360,480	90.3%	3,226,287	135,586,767	92.5%
San Francisco	49,054,331	50,461,164	97.2%	50,600,050	100.3%	1,110,397	51,710,448	102.5%
San Joaquin	33,351,007	44,058,576	75.7%	34,700,603	78.8%	969,509	35,670,111	81.0%
San Luis Obispo	13,368,993	17,129,574	78.0%	13,893,703	81.1%	376,936	14,270,640	83.3%
San Mateo	33,328,759	42,197,967	79.0%	34,621,361	82.0%	928,566	35,549,927	84.2%
Santa Barbara	20,895,811	26,492,770	78.9%	21,707,334	81.9%	582,973	22,290,307	84.1%
Santa Clara	75,410,964	84,524,519	89.2%	78,000,107	92.3%	1,859,961	79,860,068	94.5%
Santa Cruz	12,210,330	15,561,528	78.5%	12,687,008	81.5%	342,431	13,029,440	83.7%
Shasta	11,144,004	14,457,142	77.1%	11,586,853	80.1%	318,129	11,904,982	82.3%
Sierra	750,000	369,308	203.1%	761,313	206.1%	8,127	769,439	208.3%
Siskiyou	2,909,607	3,017,344	96.4%	3,002,034	99.5%	66,397	3,068,431	101.7%
Solano	20,396,304	25,745,549	79.2%	21,184,938	82.3%	566,531	21,751,469	84.5%
Sonoma	22,791,067	27,508,479	82.9%	23,633,703	85.9%	605,324	24,239,027	88.1%
Stanislaus	21,825,176	30,356,818	71.9%	22,755,062	75.0%	668,001	23,423,063	77.2%
Sutter	4,882,233	6,422,178	76.0%	5,078,956	79.1%	141,320	5,220,276	81.3%
Tehama	4,144,949	5,540,390	74.8%	4,314,661	77.9%	121,916	4,436,577	80.1%
Trinity	1,442,688	1,547,004	93.3%	1,490,076	96.3%	34,042	1,524,118	98.5%
Tulare	19,505,357	26,437,801	73.8%	20,315,196	76.8%	581,764	20,896,959	79.0%
Tuolumne	3,286,698	4,157,951	79.0%	3,414,064	82.1%	91,496	3,505,560	84.3%
Ventura	32,841,489	43,150,716	76.1%	34,163,276	79.2%	949,531	35,112,807	81.4%
Yolo	9,513,065	12,939,471	73.5%	9,909,425	76.6%	284,733	10,194,158	78.8%
Yuba	4,590,611	6,109,612	75.1%	4,777,760	78.2%	134,442	4,912,202	80.4%
	1,745,554,822	2,195,080,508	79.5%	1,812,794,211	82.6%	48,302,725	1,861,096,936	84.8%

2017-18 Civil Assessments Reducing Need
(New Caseweights, Allocation Remains Constant)

Court	WAFM Allocation	WAFM Need	Proportion No CA	Need No CA 2014-15	Proportion 2014-15 CA	MOE	Need Less CA & MOE	Proportion 2014-15 CA & MOE
Alameda	69,423,732	80,894,723	85.8%	72,938,570	95.2%	1,796,656	71,141,914	97.6%
Alpine	752,515	417,426	180.3%	410,953	183.1%	0	410,953	183.1%
Amador	2,333,680	2,811,990	83.0%	2,766,905	84.3%	0	2,766,905	84.3%
Butte	10,128,145	13,360,970	75.8%	13,068,490	77.5%	365,845	12,702,645	79.7%
Calaveras	2,170,920	2,553,036	85.0%	2,445,022	88.8%	0	2,445,022	88.8%
Colusa	1,996,862	1,950,155	102.4%	1,827,168	109.3%	0	1,827,168	109.3%
Contra Costa	39,941,893	52,019,183	76.8%	45,909,344	87.0%	1,045,423	44,863,921	89.0%
Del Norte	2,633,917	2,937,168	89.7%	2,860,186	92.1%	0	2,860,186	92.1%
El Dorado	6,898,007	8,840,195	78.0%	8,409,339	82.0%	251,264	8,158,075	84.6%
Fresno	46,722,720	61,314,052	76.2%	55,832,056	83.7%	0	55,832,056	83.7%
Glenn	1,994,914	2,040,804	97.8%	2,010,107	99.2%	67,848	1,942,259	102.7%
Humboldt	6,329,803	8,006,601	79.1%	7,004,603	90.4%	57,562	6,947,041	91.1%
Imperial	8,277,498	10,922,650	75.8%	9,602,854	86.2%	0	9,602,854	86.2%
Inyo	1,894,526	1,908,757	99.3%	1,822,103	104.0%	0	1,822,103	104.0%
Kern	45,873,297	66,240,477	69.3%	61,719,496	74.3%	161,109	61,558,388	74.5%
Kings	6,762,943	9,306,718	72.7%	8,775,847	77.1%	201,707	8,574,140	78.9%
Lake	3,447,329	4,313,454	79.9%	4,313,454	79.9%	231,464	4,081,990	84.5%
Lassen	1,874,999	2,163,657	86.7%	2,069,874	90.6%	41,842	2,028,032	92.5%
Los Angeles	496,032,886	640,263,041	77.5%	631,350,362	78.6%	19,046,032	612,304,330	81.0%
Madera	7,760,812	9,855,677	78.7%	9,242,935	84.0%	0	9,242,935	84.0%
Marin	11,965,452	12,633,317	94.7%	11,966,466	100.0%	0	11,966,466	100.0%
Mariposa	1,243,717	1,262,034	98.5%	1,184,994	105.0%	0	1,184,994	105.0%
Mendocino	5,620,410	7,061,958	79.6%	6,973,870	80.6%	246,643	6,727,227	83.5%
Merced	11,890,078	16,139,935	73.7%	14,810,104	80.3%	83,772	14,726,332	80.7%
Modoc	960,611	986,505	97.4%	980,295	98.0%	0	980,295	98.0%
Mono	1,881,938	1,961,566	95.9%	1,898,883	99.1%	0	1,898,883	99.1%
Monterey	17,619,851	23,099,032	76.3%	21,652,600	81.4%	563,067	21,089,533	83.5%
Napa	6,964,994	8,722,319	79.9%	8,158,495	85.4%	0	8,158,495	85.4%
Nevada	4,789,554	5,854,733	81.8%	5,321,741	90.0%	0	5,321,741	90.0%
Orange	130,453,247	154,900,834	84.2%	145,959,002	89.4%	2,797,167	143,161,835	91.1%
Placer	15,382,066	20,246,448	76.0%	19,129,653	80.4%	333,386	18,796,267	81.8%
Plumas	1,250,000	1,253,444	99.7%	1,248,370	100.1%	34,162	1,214,208	102.9%
Riverside	82,369,490	109,519,907	75.2%	92,756,259	88.8%	0	92,756,259	88.8%
Sacramento	70,324,988	89,634,369	78.5%	85,036,036	82.7%	3,651,494	81,384,542	86.4%
San Benito	2,594,306	3,098,436	83.7%	2,977,101	87.1%	10,088	2,967,013	87.4%
San Bernardino	91,479,919	121,782,947	75.1%	119,109,099	76.8%	4,202,181	114,906,918	79.6%
San Diego	127,869,356	146,616,131	87.2%	134,590,037	95.0%	1,503,534	133,086,503	96.1%
San Francisco	49,054,331	50,461,164	97.2%	44,670,375	109.8%	0	44,670,375	109.8%
San Joaquin	33,351,007	44,058,576	75.7%	44,002,124	75.8%	1,239,420	42,762,704	78.0%
San Luis Obispo	13,368,993	17,129,574	78.0%	16,478,201	81.1%	212,950	16,265,251	82.2%
San Mateo	33,328,759	42,197,967	79.0%	40,538,259	82.2%	2,106,535	38,431,724	86.7%
Santa Barbara	20,895,811	26,492,770	78.9%	24,588,981	85.0%	34,950	24,554,031	85.1%
Santa Clara	75,410,964	84,524,519	89.2%	80,981,611	93.1%	2,500,000	78,481,611	96.1%
Santa Cruz	12,210,330	15,561,528	78.5%	14,595,651	83.7%	331,940	14,263,711	85.6%
Shasta	11,144,004	14,457,142	77.1%	14,457,142	77.1%	401,580	14,055,562	79.3%
Sierra	750,000	369,308	203.1%	360,159	208.2%	0	360,159	208.2%
Siskiyou	2,909,607	3,017,344	96.4%	2,864,858	101.6%	125,243	2,739,615	106.2%
Solano	20,396,304	25,745,549	79.2%	24,481,950	83.3%	549,745	23,932,205	85.2%
Sonoma	22,791,067	27,508,479	82.9%	26,248,753	86.8%	734,695	25,514,059	89.3%
Stanislaus	21,825,176	30,356,818	71.9%	29,430,824	74.2%	600,860	28,829,964	75.7%
Sutter	4,882,233	6,422,178	76.0%	6,004,229	81.3%	0	6,004,229	81.3%
Tehama	4,144,949	5,540,390	74.8%	5,377,121	77.1%	4,941	5,372,180	77.2%
Trinity	1,442,688	1,547,004	93.3%	1,545,640	93.3%	32,126	1,513,514	95.3%
Tulare	19,505,357	26,437,801	73.8%	24,528,256	79.5%	405,601	24,122,655	80.9%
Tuolumne	3,286,698	4,157,951	79.0%	4,041,286	81.3%	65,664	3,975,622	82.7%
Ventura	32,841,489	43,150,716	76.1%	41,991,452	78.2%	1,898,388	40,093,063	81.9%
Yolo	9,513,065	12,939,471	73.5%	12,003,739	79.3%	365,844	11,637,895	81.7%
Yuba	4,590,611	6,109,612	75.1%	5,811,254	79.0%	0	5,811,254	79.0%
	1,745,554,822	2,195,080,508	79.5%	2,083,134,539	83.8%	48,302,729	2,034,831,810	85.8%

2017-18 Civil Assessments Reducing Need
(New Caseweights, Allocation Remains Constant)

Court	WAFM Allocation	WAFM Need	Proportion No CA	Need No CA 2015-16	Proportion 2015-16 CA	MOE	Need Less CA & MOE	Proportion 2015-16 CA & MOE
Alameda	69,423,732	80,894,723	85.8%	73,562,331	94.4%	1,796,656	71,765,675	96.7%
Alpine	752,515	417,426	180.3%	411,693	182.8%	0	411,693	182.8%
Amador	2,333,680	2,811,990	83.0%	2,766,630	84.4%	0	2,766,630	84.4%
Butte	10,128,145	13,360,970	75.8%	13,089,218	77.4%	365,845	12,723,373	79.6%
Calaveras	2,170,920	2,553,036	85.0%	2,461,104	88.2%	0	2,461,104	88.2%
Colusa	1,996,862	1,950,155	102.4%	1,838,821	108.6%	0	1,838,821	108.6%
Contra Costa	39,941,893	52,019,183	76.8%	47,511,754	84.1%	1,045,423	46,466,331	86.0%
Del Norte	2,633,917	2,937,168	89.7%	2,919,218	90.2%	0	2,919,218	90.2%
El Dorado	6,898,007	8,840,195	78.0%	8,548,986	80.7%	251,264	8,297,721	83.1%
Fresno	46,722,720	61,314,052	76.2%	56,807,025	82.2%	0	56,807,025	82.2%
Glenn	1,994,914	2,040,804	97.8%	1,859,768	107.3%	67,848	1,791,920	111.3%
Humboldt	6,329,803	8,006,601	79.1%	7,115,130	89.0%	57,562	7,057,568	89.7%
Imperial	8,277,498	10,922,650	75.8%	9,931,047	83.3%	0	9,931,047	83.3%
Inyo	1,894,526	1,908,757	99.3%	1,831,947	103.4%	0	1,831,947	103.4%
Kern	45,873,297	66,240,477	69.3%	62,813,483	73.0%	161,109	62,652,374	73.2%
Kings	6,762,943	9,306,718	72.7%	9,113,778	74.2%	201,707	8,912,071	75.9%
Lake	3,447,329	4,313,454	79.9%	4,313,454	79.9%	231,464	4,081,990	84.5%
Lassen	1,874,999	2,163,657	86.7%	2,085,342	89.9%	41,842	2,043,500	91.8%
Los Angeles	496,032,886	640,263,041	77.5%	638,375,698	77.7%	19,046,032	619,329,666	80.1%
Madera	7,760,812	9,855,677	78.7%	9,338,218	83.1%	0	9,338,218	83.1%
Marin	11,965,452	12,633,317	94.7%	12,097,856	98.9%	0	12,097,856	98.9%
Mariposa	1,243,717	1,262,034	98.5%	1,194,390	104.1%	0	1,194,390	104.1%
Mendocino	5,620,410	7,061,958	79.6%	7,008,168	80.2%	246,643	6,761,525	83.1%
Merced	11,890,078	16,139,935	73.7%	15,820,189	75.2%	83,772	15,736,417	75.6%
Modoc	960,611	986,505	97.4%	979,146	98.1%	0	979,146	98.1%
Mono	1,881,938	1,961,566	95.9%	1,870,134	100.6%	0	1,870,134	100.6%
Monterey	17,619,851	23,099,032	76.3%	22,000,808	80.1%	563,067	21,437,741	82.2%
Napa	6,964,994	8,722,319	79.9%	8,291,506	84.0%	0	8,291,506	84.0%
Nevada	4,789,554	5,854,733	81.8%	5,610,860	85.4%	0	5,610,860	85.4%
Orange	130,453,247	154,900,834	84.2%	148,692,547	87.7%	2,797,167	145,895,380	89.4%
Placer	15,382,066	20,246,448	76.0%	19,118,409	80.5%	333,386	18,785,022	81.9%
Plumas	1,250,000	1,253,444	99.7%	1,253,444	99.7%	34,162	1,219,282	102.5%
Riverside	82,369,490	109,519,907	75.2%	97,357,200	84.6%	0	97,357,200	84.6%
Sacramento	70,324,988	89,634,369	78.5%	86,012,919	81.8%	3,651,494	82,361,424	85.4%
San Benito	2,594,306	3,098,436	83.7%	3,005,247	86.3%	10,088	2,995,159	86.6%
San Bernardino	91,479,919	121,782,947	75.1%	120,871,456	75.7%	4,202,181	116,669,275	78.4%
San Diego	127,869,356	146,616,131	87.2%	135,423,800	94.4%	1,503,534	133,920,266	95.5%
San Francisco	49,054,331	50,461,164	97.2%	46,887,641	104.6%	0	46,887,641	104.6%
San Joaquin	33,351,007	44,058,576	75.7%	44,058,576	75.7%	1,239,420	42,819,155	77.9%
San Luis Obispo	13,368,993	17,129,574	78.0%	16,585,597	80.6%	212,950	16,372,647	81.7%
San Mateo	33,328,759	42,197,967	79.0%	41,369,566	80.6%	2,106,535	39,263,031	84.9%
Santa Barbara	20,895,811	26,492,770	78.9%	24,627,381	84.8%	34,950	24,592,431	85.0%
Santa Clara	75,410,964	84,524,519	89.2%	81,960,539	92.0%	2,500,000	79,460,539	94.9%
Santa Cruz	12,210,330	15,561,528	78.5%	14,995,044	81.4%	331,940	14,663,104	83.3%
Shasta	11,144,004	14,457,142	77.1%	14,457,142	77.1%	401,580	14,055,562	79.3%
Sierra	750,000	369,308	203.1%	362,033	207.2%	0	362,033	207.2%
Siskiyou	2,909,607	3,017,344	96.4%	2,900,949	100.3%	125,243	2,775,706	104.8%
Solano	20,396,304	25,745,549	79.2%	25,123,244	81.2%	549,745	24,573,499	83.0%
Sonoma	22,791,067	27,508,479	82.9%	26,739,953	85.2%	734,695	26,005,258	87.6%
Stanislaus	21,825,176	30,356,818	71.9%	29,708,236	73.5%	600,860	29,107,376	75.0%
Sutter	4,882,233	6,422,178	76.0%	5,996,054	81.4%	0	5,996,054	81.4%
Tehama	4,144,949	5,540,390	74.8%	5,334,617	77.7%	4,941	5,329,676	77.8%
Trinity	1,442,688	1,547,004	93.3%	1,547,004	93.3%	32,126	1,514,878	95.2%
Tulare	19,505,357	26,437,801	73.8%	24,863,214	78.5%	405,601	24,457,614	79.8%
Tuolumne	3,286,698	4,157,951	79.0%	4,097,535	80.2%	65,664	4,031,871	81.5%
Ventura	32,841,489	43,150,716	76.1%	42,740,057	76.8%	1,898,388	40,841,669	80.4%
Yolo	9,513,065	12,939,471	73.5%	12,269,371	77.5%	365,844	11,903,527	79.9%
Yuba	4,590,611	6,109,612	75.1%	5,867,529	78.2%	0	5,867,529	78.2%
	1,745,554,822	2,195,080,508	79.5%	2,115,794,003	82.5%	48,302,729	2,067,491,273	84.4%

2017-18 Civil Assessments Reducing Need
(New Caseweights, Allocation Remains Constant)

Court	WAFM Allocation	WAFM Need	Proportion No CA	Need No CA 2016-17	Proportion 2016-17 CA	MOE	Need Less CA & MOE	Proportion 2016-17 CA & MOE
Alameda	69,423,732	80,894,723	85.8%	75,341,424	92.1%	1,796,656	73,544,768	94.4%
Alpine	752,515	417,426	180.3%	409,790	183.6%	0	409,790	183.6%
Amador	2,333,680	2,811,990	83.0%	2,780,366	83.9%	0	2,780,366	83.9%
Butte	10,128,145	13,360,970	75.8%	13,033,206	77.7%	365,845	12,667,361	80.0%
Calaveras	2,170,920	2,553,036	85.0%	2,463,119	88.1%	0	2,463,119	88.1%
Colusa	1,996,862	1,950,155	102.4%	1,831,179	109.0%	0	1,831,179	109.0%
Contra Costa	39,941,893	52,019,183	76.8%	48,122,821	83.0%	1,045,423	47,077,398	84.8%
Del Norte	2,633,917	2,937,168	89.7%	2,885,314	91.3%	0	2,885,314	91.3%
El Dorado	6,898,007	8,840,195	78.0%	8,655,553	79.7%	251,264	8,404,289	82.1%
Fresno	46,722,720	61,314,052	76.2%	59,002,836	79.2%	0	59,002,836	79.2%
Glenn	1,994,914	2,040,804	97.8%	1,856,797	107.4%	67,848	1,788,949	111.5%
Humboldt	6,329,803	8,006,601	79.1%	7,248,260	87.3%	57,562	7,190,698	88.0%
Imperial	8,277,498	10,922,650	75.8%	10,073,518	82.2%	0	10,073,518	82.2%
Inyo	1,894,526	1,908,757	99.3%	1,831,983	103.4%	0	1,831,983	103.4%
Kern	45,873,297	66,240,477	69.3%	62,495,202	73.4%	161,109	62,334,093	73.6%
Kings	6,762,943	9,306,718	72.7%	9,158,882	73.8%	201,707	8,957,175	75.5%
Lake	3,447,329	4,313,454	79.9%	4,313,454	79.9%	231,464	4,081,990	84.5%
Lassen	1,874,999	2,163,657	86.7%	2,100,277	89.3%	41,842	2,058,435	91.1%
Los Angeles	496,032,886	640,263,041	77.5%	640,263,041	77.5%	19,046,032	621,217,009	79.8%
Madera	7,760,812	9,855,677	78.7%	9,328,381	83.2%	0	9,328,381	83.2%
Marin	11,965,452	12,633,317	94.7%	11,957,429	100.1%	0	11,957,429	100.1%
Mariposa	1,243,717	1,262,034	98.5%	1,199,803	103.7%	0	1,199,803	103.7%
Mendocino	5,620,410	7,061,958	79.6%	6,974,293	80.6%	246,643	6,727,650	83.5%
Merced	11,890,078	16,139,935	73.7%	15,696,737	75.7%	83,772	15,612,965	76.2%
Modoc	960,611	986,505	97.4%	977,370	98.3%	0	977,370	98.3%
Mono	1,881,938	1,961,566	95.9%	1,854,134	101.5%	0	1,854,134	101.5%
Monterey	17,619,851	23,099,032	76.3%	22,166,220	79.5%	563,067	21,603,153	81.6%
Napa	6,964,994	8,722,319	79.9%	8,298,045	83.9%	0	8,298,045	83.9%
Nevada	4,789,554	5,854,733	81.8%	5,682,449	84.3%	0	5,682,449	84.3%
Orange	130,453,247	154,900,834	84.2%	149,622,963	87.2%	2,797,167	146,825,797	88.8%
Placer	15,382,066	20,246,448	76.0%	19,108,190	80.5%	333,386	18,774,804	81.9%
Plumas	1,250,000	1,253,444	99.7%	1,252,365	99.8%	34,162	1,218,203	102.6%
Riverside	82,369,490	109,519,907	75.2%	97,497,792	84.5%	0	97,497,792	84.5%
Sacramento	70,324,988	89,634,369	78.5%	87,222,394	80.6%	3,651,494	83,570,900	84.2%
San Benito	2,594,306	3,098,436	83.7%	2,975,488	87.2%	10,088	2,965,400	87.5%
San Bernardino	91,479,919	121,782,947	75.1%	121,782,947	75.1%	4,202,181	117,580,766	77.8%
San Diego	127,869,356	146,616,131	87.2%	136,688,214	93.5%	1,503,534	135,184,680	94.6%
San Francisco	49,054,331	50,461,164	97.2%	47,954,427	102.3%	0	47,954,427	102.3%
San Joaquin	33,351,007	44,058,576	75.7%	44,058,576	75.7%	1,239,420	42,819,155	77.9%
San Luis Obispo	13,368,993	17,129,574	78.0%	16,665,274	80.2%	212,950	16,452,324	81.3%
San Mateo	33,328,759	42,197,967	79.0%	41,646,545	80.0%	2,106,535	39,540,010	84.3%
Santa Barbara	20,895,811	26,492,770	78.9%	24,826,625	84.2%	34,950	24,791,675	84.3%
Santa Clara	75,410,964	84,524,519	89.2%	81,277,096	92.8%	2,500,000	78,777,096	95.7%
Santa Cruz	12,210,330	15,561,528	78.5%	15,110,797	80.8%	331,940	14,778,857	82.6%
Shasta	11,144,004	14,457,142	77.1%	14,457,142	77.1%	401,580	14,055,562	79.3%
Sierra	750,000	369,308	203.1%	362,480	206.9%	0	362,480	206.9%
Siskiyou	2,909,607	3,017,344	96.4%	2,890,095	100.7%	125,243	2,764,852	105.2%
Solano	20,396,304	25,745,549	79.2%	25,249,947	80.8%	549,745	24,700,202	82.6%
Sonoma	22,791,067	27,508,479	82.9%	26,401,063	86.3%	734,695	25,666,369	88.8%
Stanislaus	21,825,176	30,356,818	71.9%	29,627,812	73.7%	600,860	29,026,952	75.2%
Sutter	4,882,233	6,422,178	76.0%	6,213,418	78.6%	0	6,213,418	78.6%
Tehama	4,144,949	5,540,390	74.8%	5,395,131	76.8%	4,941	5,390,190	76.9%
Trinity	1,442,688	1,547,004	93.3%	1,547,004	93.3%	32,126	1,514,878	95.2%
Tulare	19,505,357	26,437,801	73.8%	24,961,240	78.1%	405,601	24,555,639	79.4%
Tuolumne	3,286,698	4,157,951	79.0%	4,096,661	80.2%	65,664	4,030,997	81.5%
Ventura	32,841,489	43,150,716	76.1%	42,974,692	76.4%	1,898,388	41,076,304	80.0%
Yolo	9,513,065	12,939,471	73.5%	12,119,421	78.5%	365,844	11,753,577	80.9%
Yuba	4,590,611	6,109,612	75.1%	5,853,436	78.4%	0	5,853,436	78.4%
	1,745,554,822	2,195,080,508	79.5%	2,127,841,119	82.0%	48,302,729	2,079,538,390	83.9%

2017-18 Local Revenues Reducing Need
(Allocation Remains Constant)

Court	WAFM Allocation New Caseweights	WAFM Need New Caseweights		Need New Caseweights No Local Revenues 2014-15		Need New Caseweights No Local Revenues 2015-16		Need New Caseweights No Local Revenues 2016-17	
		Need	Proportion	Need	Proportion	Need	Proportion	Need	Proportion
Alameda	69,423,732	80,894,723	85.8%	78,092,470	88.9%	77,566,114	89.5%	77,633,070	89.4%
Alpine	752,515	417,426	180.3%	417,426	180.3%	417,426	180.3%	417,426	180.3%
Amador	2,333,680	2,811,990	83.0%	2,811,990	83.0%	2,810,390	83.0%	2,808,248	83.1%
Butte	10,128,145	13,360,970	75.8%	13,336,648	75.9%	13,340,063	75.9%	13,352,216	75.9%
Calaveras	2,170,920	2,553,036	85.0%	2,530,721	85.8%	2,532,338	85.7%	2,527,680	85.9%
Colusa	1,996,862	1,950,155	102.4%	1,921,897	103.9%	1,914,521	104.3%	1,920,241	104.0%
Contra Costa	39,941,893	52,019,183	76.8%	50,763,954	78.7%	50,392,386	79.3%	50,604,971	78.9%
Del Norte	2,633,917	2,937,168	89.7%	2,904,549	90.7%	2,905,125	90.7%	2,898,522	90.9%
El Dorado	6,898,007	8,840,195	78.0%	8,653,986	79.7%	8,562,772	80.6%	8,517,722	81.0%
Fresno	46,722,720	61,314,052	76.2%	60,248,469	77.6%	60,389,345	77.4%	60,534,953	77.2%
Glenn	1,994,914	2,040,804	97.8%	1,983,588	100.6%	1,985,534	100.5%	2,000,366	99.7%
Humboldt	6,329,803	8,006,601	79.1%	7,896,436	80.2%	7,915,641	80.0%	7,938,078	79.7%
Imperial	8,277,498	10,922,650	75.8%	10,686,536	77.5%	10,631,235	77.9%	10,679,594	77.5%
Inyo	1,894,526	1,908,757	99.3%	1,886,678	100.4%	1,886,597	100.4%	1,885,122	100.5%
Kern	45,873,297	66,240,477	69.3%	64,598,158	71.0%	64,682,841	70.9%	64,744,702	70.9%
Kings	6,762,943	9,306,718	72.7%	9,031,982	74.9%	9,130,431	74.1%	9,138,381	74.0%
Lake	3,447,329	4,313,454	79.9%	4,301,059	80.2%	4,303,387	80.1%	4,301,460	80.1%
Lassen	1,874,999	2,163,657	86.7%	2,144,352	87.4%	2,146,546	87.3%	2,149,681	87.2%
Los Angeles	496,032,886	640,263,041	77.5%	617,853,192	80.3%	617,130,997	80.4%	614,768,343	80.7%
Madera	7,760,812	9,855,677	78.7%	9,599,211	80.8%	9,651,018	80.4%	9,634,021	80.6%
Marin	11,965,452	12,633,317	94.7%	12,215,583	98.0%	12,277,771	97.5%	12,306,672	97.2%
Mariposa	1,243,717	1,262,034	98.5%	1,242,499	100.1%	1,243,008	100.1%	1,246,483	99.8%
Mendocino	5,620,410	7,061,958	79.6%	7,059,648	79.6%	7,028,190	80.0%	6,966,722	80.7%
Merced	11,890,078	16,139,935	73.7%	15,915,492	74.7%	15,864,833	74.9%	15,757,641	75.5%
Modoc	960,611	986,505	97.4%	983,465	97.7%	982,024	97.8%	982,687	97.8%
Mono	1,881,938	1,961,566	95.9%	1,930,840	97.5%	1,929,924	97.5%	1,931,273	97.4%
Monterey	17,619,851	23,099,032	76.3%	22,738,447	77.5%	22,765,811	77.4%	22,790,685	77.3%
Napa	6,964,994	8,722,319	79.9%	8,398,804	82.9%	8,433,119	82.6%	8,437,243	82.6%
Nevada	4,789,554	5,854,733	81.8%	5,802,720	82.5%	5,784,759	82.8%	5,763,959	83.1%
Orange	130,453,247	154,900,834	84.2%	150,016,878	87.0%	150,307,741	86.8%	150,552,434	86.6%
Placer	15,382,066	20,246,448	76.0%	20,059,534	76.7%	19,843,351	77.5%	19,929,558	77.2%
Plumas	1,250,000	1,253,444	99.7%	1,246,868	100.3%	1,246,977	100.2%	1,247,297	100.2%
Riverside	82,369,490	109,519,907	75.2%	103,679,803	79.4%	103,594,242	79.5%	103,899,939	79.3%
Sacramento	70,324,988	89,634,369	78.5%	87,898,715	80.0%	87,891,111	80.0%	86,563,673	81.2%
San Benito	2,594,306	3,098,436	83.7%	3,046,176	85.2%	3,033,190	85.5%	3,043,013	85.3%
San Bernardino	91,479,919	121,782,947	75.1%	118,857,664	77.0%	119,555,824	76.5%	119,774,159	76.4%
San Diego	127,869,356	146,616,131	87.2%	145,113,250	88.1%	144,917,474	88.2%	144,823,310	88.3%
San Francisco	49,054,331	50,461,164	97.2%	50,210,160	97.7%	50,288,605	97.5%	50,362,641	97.4%
San Joaquin	33,351,007	44,058,576	75.7%	41,750,198	79.9%	43,569,140	76.5%	43,781,354	76.2%
San Luis Obispo	13,368,993	17,129,574	78.0%	16,400,743	81.5%	16,575,532	80.7%	16,663,332	80.2%
San Mateo	33,328,759	42,197,967	79.0%	41,283,705	80.7%	41,273,676	80.8%	41,494,068	80.3%
Santa Barbara	20,895,811	26,492,770	78.9%	26,220,549	79.7%	26,263,981	79.6%	26,298,637	79.5%
Santa Clara	75,410,964	84,524,519	89.2%	82,873,675	91.0%	82,814,426	91.1%	82,467,658	91.4%
Santa Cruz	12,210,330	15,561,528	78.5%	15,300,466	79.8%	15,370,018	79.4%	15,371,082	79.4%
Shasta	11,144,004	14,457,142	77.1%	14,170,557	78.6%	14,137,700	78.8%	14,136,129	78.8%
Sierra	750,000	369,308	203.1%	369,308	203.1%	369,308	203.1%	369,308	203.1%
Siskiyou	2,909,607	3,017,344	96.4%	2,980,964	97.6%	2,971,640	97.9%	2,964,056	98.2%
Solano	20,396,304	25,745,549	79.2%	25,307,898	80.6%	25,278,135	80.7%	25,200,101	80.9%
Sonoma	22,791,067	27,508,479	82.9%	27,158,823	83.9%	27,112,156	84.1%	27,089,196	84.1%
Stanislaus	21,825,176	30,356,818	71.9%	29,596,619	73.7%	29,678,267	73.5%	29,754,602	73.4%
Sutter	4,882,233	6,422,178	76.0%	6,273,636	77.8%	6,283,050	77.7%	6,324,784	77.2%
Tehama	4,144,949	5,540,390	74.8%	5,523,108	75.0%	5,539,287	74.8%	5,541,492	74.8%
Trinity	1,442,688	1,547,004	93.3%	1,534,198	94.0%	1,535,537	94.0%	1,534,265	94.0%
Tulare	19,505,357	26,437,801	73.8%	25,670,688	76.0%	25,617,997	76.1%	25,864,860	75.4%
Tuolumne	3,286,698	4,157,951	79.0%	4,111,090	79.9%	4,116,785	79.8%	4,115,216	79.9%
Ventura	32,841,489	43,150,716	76.1%	41,889,349	78.4%	41,743,872	78.7%	41,736,137	78.7%
Yolo	9,513,065	12,939,471	73.5%	12,626,214	75.3%	12,702,980	74.9%	12,765,024	74.5%
Yuba	4,590,611	6,109,612	75.1%	5,970,086	76.9%	5,979,538	76.8%	6,052,603	75.8%
	1,745,554,822	2,195,080,508	79.5%	2,135,091,723	81.8%	2,136,215,685	81.7%	2,134,358,090	81.8%

**2017-18 Civil Assessment and Local Revenues Reducing Need
(Allocation Remains Constant)**

Court	WAFM Allocation New Caseweights	WAFM Need New Caseweights		Need New Caseweights No Civil Assessments & Local Revenues 2014-15		Need New Caseweights No Civil Assessments & Local Revenues 2015-16		Need New Caseweights No Civil Assessments & Local Revenues 2016-17	
		Need	Proportion	Need	Proportion	Need	Proportion	Need	Proportion
Alameda	69,423,732	80,894,723	85.8%	70,136,317	99.0%	70,233,723	98.8%	75,155,114	92.4%
Alpine	752,515	417,426	180.3%	410,953	183.1%	411,693	182.8%	404,639	186.0%
Amador	2,333,680	2,811,990	83.0%	2,766,905	84.3%	2,765,030	84.4%	2,722,112	85.7%
Butte	10,128,145	13,360,970	75.8%	13,044,169	77.6%	13,068,312	77.5%	12,942,944	78.3%
Calaveras	2,170,920	2,553,036	85.0%	2,422,706	89.6%	2,440,406	89.0%	2,449,476	88.6%
Colusa	1,996,862	1,950,155	102.4%	1,798,911	111.0%	1,803,188	110.7%	1,860,504	107.3%
Contra Costa	39,941,893	52,019,183	76.8%	44,654,115	89.4%	45,884,957	87.0%	49,011,527	81.5%
Del Norte	2,633,917	2,937,168	89.7%	2,827,567	93.2%	2,887,174	91.2%	2,808,551	93.8%
El Dorado	6,898,007	8,840,195	78.0%	8,223,130	83.9%	8,271,563	83.4%	8,246,930	83.6%
Fresno	46,722,720	61,314,052	76.2%	54,766,474	85.3%	55,882,318	83.6%	58,656,790	79.7%
Glenn	1,994,914	2,040,804	97.8%	1,952,890	102.2%	1,804,498	110.6%	1,937,852	102.9%
Humboldt	6,329,803	8,006,601	79.1%	6,894,438	91.8%	7,024,170	90.1%	7,692,821	82.3%
Imperial	8,277,498	10,922,650	75.8%	9,366,740	88.4%	9,639,633	85.9%	10,345,013	80.0%
Inyo	1,894,526	1,908,757	99.3%	1,800,024	105.3%	1,809,787	104.7%	1,826,653	103.7%
Kern	45,873,297	66,240,477	69.3%	60,077,178	76.4%	61,255,847	74.9%	62,715,634	73.1%
Kings	6,762,943	9,306,718	72.7%	8,501,111	79.6%	8,937,490	75.7%	8,853,299	76.4%
Lake	3,447,329	4,313,454	79.9%	4,301,059	80.2%	4,303,387	80.1%	4,169,330	82.7%
Lassen	1,874,999	2,163,657	86.7%	2,050,568	91.4%	2,068,232	90.7%	2,083,404	90.0%
Los Angeles	496,032,886	640,263,041	77.5%	608,940,513	81.5%	615,243,654	80.6%	595,155,898	83.3%
Madera	7,760,812	9,855,677	78.7%	8,986,469	86.4%	9,133,559	85.0%	9,332,123	83.2%
Marin	11,965,452	12,633,317	94.7%	11,548,733	103.6%	11,742,310	101.9%	11,919,690	100.4%
Mariposa	1,243,717	1,262,034	98.5%	1,165,459	106.7%	1,175,364	105.8%	1,207,825	103.0%
Mendocino	5,620,410	7,061,958	79.6%	6,971,560	80.6%	6,974,401	80.6%	6,750,401	83.3%
Merced	11,890,078	16,139,935	73.7%	14,585,661	81.5%	15,545,088	76.5%	15,263,245	77.9%
Modoc	960,611	986,505	97.4%	977,256	98.3%	974,665	98.6%	952,468	100.9%
Mono	1,881,938	1,961,566	95.9%	1,868,157	100.7%	1,838,492	102.4%	1,871,187	100.6%
Monterey	17,619,851	23,099,032	76.3%	21,292,014	82.8%	21,667,587	81.3%	22,083,119	79.8%
Napa	6,964,994	8,722,319	79.9%	7,834,980	88.9%	8,002,306	87.0%	8,170,062	85.3%
Nevada	4,789,554	5,854,733	81.8%	5,269,727	90.9%	5,540,885	86.4%	5,584,617	85.8%
Orange	130,453,247	154,900,834	84.2%	141,075,046	92.5%	144,099,454	90.5%	145,807,534	89.5%
Placer	15,382,066	20,246,448	76.0%	18,942,738	81.2%	18,715,311	82.2%	19,309,372	79.7%
Plumas	1,250,000	1,253,444	99.7%	1,241,794	100.7%	1,246,977	100.2%	1,208,901	103.4%
Riverside	82,369,490	109,519,907	75.2%	86,916,154	94.8%	91,431,534	90.1%	100,545,141	81.9%
Sacramento	70,324,988	89,634,369	78.5%	83,300,382	84.4%	84,269,660	83.5%	83,818,006	83.9%
San Benito	2,594,306	3,098,436	83.7%	2,924,842	88.7%	2,940,001	88.2%	2,948,102	88.0%
San Bernardino	91,479,919	121,782,947	75.1%	116,183,816	78.7%	118,644,332	77.1%	116,043,721	78.8%
San Diego	127,869,356	146,616,131	87.2%	133,087,157	96.1%	133,725,143	95.6%	140,332,185	91.1%
San Francisco	49,054,331	50,461,164	97.2%	44,419,371	110.4%	46,715,082	105.0%	48,816,922	100.5%
San Joaquin	33,351,007	44,058,576	75.7%	41,693,747	80.0%	43,569,140	76.5%	42,431,759	78.6%
San Luis Obispo	13,368,993	17,129,574	78.0%	15,749,370	84.9%	16,031,555	83.4%	16,138,622	82.8%
San Mateo	33,328,759	42,197,967	79.0%	39,623,998	84.1%	40,445,275	82.4%	40,201,466	82.9%
Santa Barbara	20,895,811	26,492,770	78.9%	24,316,760	85.9%	24,398,592	85.6%	25,487,114	82.0%
Santa Clara	75,410,964	84,524,519	89.2%	79,330,766	95.1%	80,250,446	94.0%	79,878,515	94.4%
Santa Cruz	12,210,330	15,561,528	78.5%	14,334,589	85.2%	14,803,535	82.5%	14,894,403	82.0%
Shasta	11,144,004	14,457,142	77.1%	14,170,557	78.6%	14,137,700	78.8%	13,693,280	81.4%
Sierra	750,000	369,308	203.1%	360,159	208.2%	362,033	207.2%	357,995	209.5%
Siskiyou	2,909,607	3,017,344	96.4%	2,828,478	102.9%	2,855,244	101.9%	2,871,629	101.3%
Solano	20,396,304	25,745,549	79.2%	24,044,298	84.8%	24,655,829	82.7%	24,411,467	83.6%
Sonoma	22,791,067	27,508,479	82.9%	25,899,097	88.0%	26,343,629	86.5%	26,246,560	86.8%
Stanislaus	21,825,176	30,356,818	71.9%	28,670,626	76.1%	29,029,686	75.2%	28,824,716	75.7%
Sutter	4,882,233	6,422,178	76.0%	5,855,687	83.4%	5,856,926	83.4%	6,128,061	79.7%
Tehama	4,144,949	5,540,390	74.8%	5,359,840	77.3%	5,333,514	77.7%	5,371,780	77.2%
Trinity	1,442,688	1,547,004	93.3%	1,532,834	94.1%	1,535,537	94.0%	1,486,877	97.0%
Tulare	19,505,357	26,437,801	73.8%	23,761,142	82.1%	24,043,410	81.1%	25,055,021	77.9%
Tuolumne	3,286,698	4,157,951	79.0%	3,994,425	82.3%	4,056,368	81.0%	3,987,851	82.4%
Ventura	32,841,489	43,150,716	76.1%	40,730,085	80.6%	41,333,213	79.5%	40,414,350	81.3%
Yolo	9,513,065	12,939,471	73.5%	11,690,482	81.4%	12,032,880	79.1%	12,368,664	76.9%
Yuba	4,590,611	6,109,612	75.1%	5,671,729	80.9%	5,737,455	80.0%	5,865,454	78.3%
	1,745,554,822	2,195,080,508	79.5%	2,023,145,754	86.3%	2,056,929,179	84.9%	2,067,118,701	84.4%

(Information Only)

Title: Self-help Funding Sources and Methodology
Date: 3/13/2018
Contact: James Baird, Senior Research Analyst, Office of Court Research

Self Help

The 2007 Budget Act included \$11.2 million in funding (\$5 million from Improvement and Modernization Fund (IMF) and \$6.2 million from the Trial Court Trust Fund (TCTF)) to be allocated for court-based, self-help programs. Self-help programs provide assistance, information and education to self-represented litigants or others who require this resource. These funds are in addition to the Family Law Facilitator Program (AB 1058) which uses Title IV-D funds to provide assistance in general family law or custody matters.

Funding Sources

Of the \$11.2 million, a portion (\$6.2 million) are TCTF funds (\$3.7 million is built into the TCTF base (as part of WAFM-related allocation) and an additional \$2.5 million is available outside of the TCTF base once the base funds have been expended).¹ The remaining portion (\$5 million) are IMF funds and are separate and apart from WAFM-related TCTF base.

As stated above, a portion of self-help funding is part of the TCTF. TCTF dollars are largely allocated via the Workload-based Allocation and Funding Methodology (WAFM) which is based on the Resource Assessment Study (RAS) to determine full-time equivalent (FTE) need in the trial courts. Although workload associated with self-help is captured in RAS, the dollars specifically designated for self-help are distributed to the trial courts using a distinct and separate allocation methodology.

Allocation Methodology

The \$11.2 million in funding has remained the same from year to year. The methodology for annual allocation includes \$34,000 per court as a baseline. The remainder is then proportionally allocated based on the population in the county (based on 2005 U.S. Census data). The Judicial Council requires that at least 80 percent of the funding be used for staff, and that the courts make a provision to provide services to persons with limited English proficiency. Additionally, courts

¹ The \$2.5 million in TCTF funding that is not included in the base and is therefore removed before WAFM calculations are completed. Once the WAFM allocations have been completed, the \$2.5 million is added back into the total allocations.

that receive money for small claims advisors from filing fees will add self-help funds and provide small claims assistance at the self-help center.

2018-19

There is an additional \$19.1 million of general fund money proposed to be allocated in the 2018-19 Governor's Budget. With the proposed additional funds, trial courts could receive up to \$30.3 million for self-help services in 2018-19.

The following table shows the 2017-18 allocation of the \$11.2 million in Self-Help Funding:

IMF and TCTF Funding for Self-Help in Fiscal Year 2017-18

Court	IMF Portion	TCTF Portion - One-time	TCTF Portion - Ongoing	TCTF TOTAL	Total Self-Help Funding
Alameda	203,151	101,575	104,209	205,784	408,935
Alpine	166	83	34,058	34,141	34,307
Amador	5,129	2,564	35,773	38,337	43,466
Butte	29,216	14,609	44,097	58,706	87,922
Calaveras	6,149	3,074	36,125	39,199	45,348
Colusa	2,894	1,447	35,000	36,447	39,341
Contra Costa	138,460	69,231	81,852	151,083	289,543
Del Norte	3,927	1,964	35,357	37,321	41,248
El Dorado	23,701	11,851	42,191	54,042	77,743
Fresno	120,993	60,497	75,815	136,312	257,305
Glenn	3,854	1,927	35,332	37,259	41,113
Humboldt	17,826	8,913	40,161	49,074	66,900
Imperial	22,407	11,204	41,744	52,948	75,355
Inyo	2,490	1,245	34,861	36,106	38,596
Kern	104,900	52,450	70,253	122,703	227,603
Kings	19,871	9,936	40,867	50,803	70,674
Lake	8,623	4,311	36,980	41,291	49,914
Lassen	4,769	2,384	35,648	38,032	42,801
Los Angeles	1,378,130	689,064	510,282	1,199,346	2,577,476
Madera	19,422	9,712	40,712	50,424	69,846
Marin	34,077	17,038	45,777	62,815	96,892
Mariposa	2,450	1,225	34,847	36,072	38,522
Mendocino	12,166	6,083	38,204	44,287	56,453
Merced	33,190	16,595	45,471	62,066	95,256
Modoc	1,323	662	34,457	35,119	36,442
Mono	1,828	915	34,632	35,547	37,375
Monterey	57,146	28,573	53,749	82,322	139,468
Napa	18,084	9,042	40,250	49,292	67,376
Nevada	13,460	6,730	38,652	45,382	58,842
Orange	413,259	206,630	176,822	383,452	796,711
Placer	42,574	21,287	48,713	70,000	112,574
Plumas	2,885	1,442	34,997	36,439	39,324

Riverside	262,743	131,370	124,804	256,174	518,917
Sacramento	186,378	93,189	98,412	191,601	377,979
San Benito	7,751	3,876	36,679	40,555	48,306
San Bernardino	267,920	133,961	126,593	260,554	528,474
San Diego	412,517	206,259	176,566	382,825	795,342
San Francisco	107,430	53,715	71,128	124,843	232,273
San Joaquin	89,889	44,944	65,065	110,009	199,898
San Luis Obispo	35,408	17,705	46,237	63,942	99,350
San Mateo	97,399	48,700	67,661	116,361	213,760
Santa Barbara	56,713	28,356	53,600	81,956	138,669
Santa Clara	238,521	119,260	116,433	235,693	474,214
Santa Cruz	35,289	17,644	46,196	63,840	99,129
Shasta	24,411	12,205	42,437	54,642	79,053
Sierra	471	235	34,163	34,398	34,869
Siskiyou	6,208	3,104	36,145	39,249	45,457
Solano	56,878	28,438	53,657	82,095	138,973
Sonoma	64,555	32,278	56,310	88,588	153,143
Stanislaus	69,188	34,594	57,911	92,505	161,693
Sutter	12,301	6,151	38,251	44,402	56,703
Tehama	8,277	4,139	36,860	40,999	49,276
Trinity	1,886	943	34,652	35,595	37,481
Tulare	56,577	28,289	53,553	81,842	138,419
Tuolumne	7,833	3,916	36,707	40,623	48,456
Ventura	109,941	54,970	71,996	126,966	236,907
Yolo	25,603	12,802	42,848	55,650	81,253
Yuba	9,393	4,696	37,246	41,942	51,335
Total	5,000,000	2,500,000	3,700,000	6,200,000	11,200,000

2016-17 TRIAL COURT REVENUES

State Financing Sources	
Trial Court Trust Fund	1,887,873,117
IMF	5,623,929
Judges' Compensation	115,434,219
Court Interpreters	106,707,783
Civil Coordination Reimbursement	505,440
MOU Reimbursements	61,532,169
Other Miscellaneous	68,849,817
Subtotal	2,246,526,474
Grants	
AB 1058	51,441,722
Other JC Grants	12,155,347
Non-JC Grants	6,525,455
Subtotal	70,122,524
Other Financing Sources	
Interest	4,939,584
Donations	59,408
Local Fees	60,722,416
Non-Fee Revenues	9,451,382
Enhanced Collections	58,407,514
Escheatment	1,614,040
Prior Year Revenue	(1,309,567)
County Programs	18,999,992
Other Reimbursements	27,491,148
Sale of Fixed Assets	43,446
Other Miscellaneous	11,304,331
Subtotal	191,723,694
Total	2,508,372,692

2016-17 TRIAL COURT REVENUES

STATE FINANCING SOURCES - TRIAL COURT TRUST FUND				
Account Number and Name		Description	Legislation	Amount
812110	TCTF-OPERATIONS	Used to record Program 0150010 operations revenue. This revenue is received through the monthly allocation.		1,782,304,561
812140	TCTF-SMALL CLAIMS SERVICE BY MAIL	Used to record revenue received for Small Claims - Service by mail. Revenue is reported on the TC145 and returned 100% to the court through the monthly allocation.	CCP 116.232	230,893
812141	TCTF-ADMIN CHRG RETURNED CHECK	Used to record revenue received for Administrative charge for processing returned checks related to filing fees. Revenue is reported on the TC145 and returned 100% to the court through the monthly allocation.	CCP 411.20(g)	64,553
812142	TCTF-ADMIN CHRG PARTIAL PAYMENT	Used to record revenue received for Administrative charge for processing partial payments related to filing fees. Revenue is reported on the TC145 and returned 100% to the court through the monthly allocation.	CCP 411.21(g)	17,515
812143	TCTF-FEE WAIVER TO INDIGENT PARTY	Used to record revenue received for Administrative charge for collection of fees where fee waiver was previously granted. Revenue is reported on the TC145 and returned 100% to the court through the monthly allocation.	GC 68511.3(d)	19,056
812144	TCTF-CLERKS TRANSCRIPT ON APPEAL	Used to record revenue received for charges related to the preparation of the clerk's transcript for cases where an appeal is filed. Revenue is reported on the TC145 and returned 100% to the court through the monthly allocation.	GC 68926.1	1,734,705
812145	TCTF-EXTRA COURT REPORTER	Used to record revenue received for when an additional court reporter is requested. Revenue is reported on the TC145 and returned 100% to the court through the monthly allocation.	GC 69953.5	6,950
812146	TCTF-COPY PREPARATION	Used to record revenue received for preparing copies of any record, proceeding or paper on file. Revenue is reported on the TC145 and returned 100% to the court through the monthly allocation.	GC 70627(a)	6,476,146
812147	TCTF-COMPARISON OF PAPER	Used to record revenue received for the comparison of any paper copy presented for certification that is prepared by another to the original on file. Revenue is reported on the TC145 and returned 100% to the court through the monthly allocation.	GC 70627(b)	6,983

2016-17 TRIAL COURT REVENUES

STATE FINANCING SOURCES - TRIAL COURT TRUST FUND				
Account Number and Name		Description	Legislation	Amount
812148	TCTF-MANUAL SEARCH OF RECORDS	Used to record revenue received for manual search of records or files. Revenue is reported on the TC145 and returned 100% to the court through the monthly allocation.	GC 70627(c)	404,020
812149	TCTF-REIMBURSEMENT OF OTHER COSTS	Used to record revenue received for reimbursement of costs where no fee is specified. Revenue is reported on the TC145 and returned 100% to the court through the monthly allocation.	GC 70631	2,338,267
812150	TCTF-ESTATE PLANNING DOC SEARCH	Used to record revenue received for searching stored estate planning documents. Revenue is reported on the TC145 and returned 100% to the court through the monthly allocation.	GC 70661 GC 70627	15,059
812151	TCTF-CUSTODY/VISITATION-MEDIATION	Used to record revenue received for additional charges for filing a motion or order to show cause to modify or enforce custody or visitation to cover the costs of maintaining mediation services. Revenue is reported on the TC145 and returned 100% to the court through the monthly allocation.	GC 70678	356,706
812152	TCTF-RETURNED CHECK	Used to record revenue received for Administrative charge for processing returned checks. Revenue is reported on the TC145 and returned 100% to the court through the monthly allocation.	GC 71386	129,835
812153	TCTF-GUARDIANSHIP INVESTIGATION	Used to record revenue received for the investigation of guardianship. Revenue is reported on the TC145 and returned 100% to the court through the monthly allocation.	Probate 1513.1	366,039
812154	TCTF-INFO PACKAGE FOR CONSERVATORS	Used to record revenue received for information packages that are provided to conservators. Revenue is reported on the TC145 and returned 100% to the court through the monthly allocation.	Probate 1835	20,593
812155	TCTF-CONSERVATORSHIP INVESTIGATION	Used to record revenue received for the assessment for conservatorship investigation. Revenue is reported on the TC145 and returned 100% to the court through the monthly allocation.	Probate 1851.5	2,894,436
812156	TCTF-ANNUAL FILING FEE	Used to record revenue received from the annual filing fee for registration of private professional conservator or guardian. Revenue is reported on the TC145 and returned 100% to the court through the monthly allocation.	Probate 2343	435

2016-17 TRIAL COURT REVENUES

STATE FINANCING SOURCES - TRIAL COURT TRUST FUND				
Account Number and Name		Description	Legislation	Amount
812157	TCTF-CHILDREN'S WAITING ROOM	Used to record revenue allocated for the purpose of providing children's waiting rooms within the courthouse. Revenue is received through the monthly allocation and is restricted for the use of expenses related to the establishment and maintenance of the children's waiting room.	GC 70640	2,242,932
812158	TCTF-CUSTODY/VISITATION-FAMILY LAW FACILITATORS	Used to record the portion of revenue received for additional charges for filing a motion or order to show cause to modify or enforce custody or visitation to cover the costs of services provided by the family law facilitator. Revenue is reported on the TC145 and returned 100% to the court through the monthly allocation.	GC 70678	233,813
812159	TCTF-CIVIL ASSESSMENTS	Used to record civil assessment revenue received by the court per Penal Code 1214.1 as updated by AB 139. Revenue is reported on the TC145 and returned to the court through the monthly allocation. The total amount received per fiscal year is less the annual undesignated fee MOE reduction amount.	PC 1214.1 AB 139	67,853,903
812160	TCTF-AUTOMATED RECORDKEEPING AND MICROGRAPHICS	Used to record revenue related to automated recordkeeping and micrographics (ARM) distributed by Judicial Council after 12/31/05 collection period. Revenue is received through the monthly allocation.		2,577,931
812162	TCTF-CHILD CUSTODY EVALUATION	Used to record revenue received for reimbursement of costs for the investigation or evaluation of a parent, guardian or other person in a custody case. Revenue is reported on the TC145 and returned 100% to the court through the monthly allocation.	FC 3112	1,379,485
812163	TCTF-COURT APPOINTED COUNSEL FOR CHILD	Used to record revenue received for reimbursement from the parties for the costs associated with the counsel appointed by the court to represent a child. Revenue is reported on the TC145 and returned 100% to the court through the monthly allocation.	FC 3153	293,012

2016-17 TRIAL COURT REVENUES

STATE FINANCING SOURCES - TRIAL COURT TRUST FUND				
Account Number and Name		Description	Legislation	Amount
812164	TCTF-PETITION TO DECLARE CHILD FREE FROM PARENTAL CONTROL	Used to record revenue received for compensation of expenses related to investigation costs for petitions to declare child free from parental control. Revenue is reported on the TC145 and returned 100% to the court through the monthly allocation.	FC 7851.5	63,978
812165	TCTF-STEP PARENT ADOPTION INVESTIGATION	Used to record revenue received for reimbursement from the prospective adoptive parent for costs incurred for the investigation required by Family Code Section 9001. Revenue is reported on the TC145 and returned 100% to the court through the monthly allocation.	FC 9002	223,130
812166	TCTF-ADMIN CHRG PUBLIC ENTITY	Used to record revenue received for administrative charge, for recovering as part of judgment, fees not paid by public entity. Revenue is reported on the TC145 and returned 100% to the court through the monthly allocation.	GC 6103.5(d)	58,713
812167	TCTF-GC 77207.5 REPLACEMENT OF 2% AUTOMATION ALLOCATION	Used to record revenue pursuant to GC 77207.5 and use restricted pursuant to GC 68090.8. Revenue is received through the monthly allocation.	GC 77207.5	11,078,509
812168	TCTF-COURT REPORTER FOR PROCEEDINGS UNDER ONE HOUR	Used to record revenue received for cost of services of an official court reporter pursuant to Section 269 of the Code of Civil Procedure for each civil proceeding lasting less than one hour. Revenue is reported on the TC145 and returned 100% to the court through the monthly allocation.	GC 68086	4,480,960
			Subtotal	1,887,873,118

2016-17 TRIAL COURT REVENUES

STATE FINANCING SOURCES - ALL OTHER					
Account Number and Name		Description	Legislation	Amount	Subtotal
837011	STATE TRIAL COURT IMPROVEMENT AND MODERNIZATION FUND REIMBURSEMENT	These reimbursements are related to agreements (MOUs or IBA) between the Judicial Council and the courts which are issued from the State Trial Court Improvement and Modernization fund (IMF). This activity as well as expenditures associated with these agreements should be tracked by a project.		5,623,927	5,623,927
833010	TCTF-JUDGES SALARIES	Used to record Program 0150019 reimbursements for Superior Court Judges' salaries. This reimbursement is associated with expenditures recorded in GL 906311. Revenue is received through the monthly allocation.		115,434,219	115,434,219
834010	TCTF-COURT INTERPRETER	Used to record Program 0150037 reimbursements of allowable costs related to the Court Interpreter Program. Revenue is received through the monthly allocation. Additional reimbursements identified on the annual court interpreter survey are received as a warrant.		106,707,781	106,707,781
835010	TCTF-CIVIL COORDINATION	Used to record Program 0150091 reimbursements for complex civil cases.		505,440	505,440
831010	GENERAL FUND-AB2030/AB2695 SERVICE OF PROCESSING	These reimbursements are related to AB2030/AB2695 Service of Processing (Expenditure recorded under GL 941101).	The cost to the court for the sheriff to serve protective orders in certain types of civil and family law cases.	1,909,751	
831011	GENERAL FUND-EXTRAORDINARY HOMICIDE	These reimbursements are related to Extraordinary Homicide Costs. These should be tracked by a project.		22,427	
831012	GENERAL FUND-PRISONER HEARING COSTS	These reimbursements are related to Prisoner Hearing Costs. These should be tracked by a project.	Necessary and reasonable costs connected with state prisons, California Youth Authority institutions, prisoners, and wards, consistent with Penal Code Sections 4750-4755 and 6005.	2,392,716	
832010	TCTF-MOU REIMBURSEMENTS	These reimbursements are related to agreements (MOU or IBA) between the Judicial Council and the courts which are issued from the Trial Court Trust fund. This activity as well as expenditures associated with these agreements should be tracked by a project. Revenue is received through the monthly allocation.		7,005,151	

2016-17 TRIAL COURT REVENUES

STATE FINANCING SOURCES - ALL OTHER					
Account Number and Name		Description	Legislation	Amount	Subtotal
832011	TCTF-JURY	Used to record reimbursement of Criminal and non-reimbursed Civil Jury expense. This reimbursement is associated with expenditures recorded in GL 965101-965103 and 965110. Revenue is received through the monthly allocation.		12,839,635	
832012	TCTF-COURT APPOINTED COUNSEL	Used to record Program 0150011 reimbursement of Court Appointed Counsel expenses. This reimbursement is associated with expenditures recorded in GL 938801-938802. Revenue is received through the monthly allocation.		36,773,895	
832013	TCTF-ELDER ABUSE	Funding to reimburse courts for the costs of processing these orders which was received through the Budget Act of 2000. Reimbursement requests for actual expenses should be submitted on a quarterly basis. Revenue is received through the monthly allocation.		346,856	
832014	TCTF-OTHER	Used to record Program 0150010 reimbursements which is not otherwise classified in any other account. Revenue is received through the monthly allocation.		115,916	
832015	TCTF-JUVENILE DEPENDENCY COUNSEL COLLECTIONS PROGRAM (JDCCP)	Used to record Program 0150010 reimbursement of Court Appointed Counsel and other eligible expenses related to dependency hearings that are reimbursed through the Juvenile Dependency Counsel Collections Program (JDCCP) allocation. This reimbursement is associated with expenditures recorded in GL 938804. Revenue is received through the monthly allocation.	WI 903.47(a)	125,819	61,532,166
816110	OTHER STATE RECEIPTS	Used to record miscellaneous revenue from the Judicial Council but not included in monthly allocations and not provided through a grant. (e.g., 50/50 split, one-time conservatorship)		31,216	
816111	GENERAL FUND REVENUE	Used to record revenue received from the Judicial Council and other State agencies that comes directly from the State General Fund 0001 (i.e., Change in Employee and Retiree Health Benefits).		68,818,601	68,849,817

2016-17 TRIAL COURT REVENUES

GRANTS			
Account Number and Name	Description	Legislation	Amount
838010	AB1058 GRANTS	Judicial Council grant for Family Law Facilitator and Child Support Commissioner.	51,441,722
838020	OTHER JUDICIAL COUNCIL GRANTS	Used to record grants, other than AB1058, whose source is the Judicial Council.	12,155,347
839010	NON-JUDICIAL COUNCIL GRANTS	Used to record grants whose source is not the Judicial Council including, federal, state, local, and private grants.	6,525,453
		Total	70,122,522

2016-17 TRIAL COURT REVENUES

OTHER FINANCING SOURCES					
Account Number and Name		Description	Legislation	Amount	Subtotal
825010	INTEREST INCOME	Used to record revenue received for interest.		4,939,583	4,939,583
823010	DONATIONS	Used to record donations received.		59,403	
823011	JUDGES VOLUNTARY DONATION	Used to record voluntary donations received from trial court judges to be used for court operations.		5	59,408
822101 thru 822110	NON-FEE REVENUE	Used to record revenue (other than per AB145) which is not otherwise classified in any other account. Each court should be consistent in their coding to each of these GL accounts and should provide Judicial Council-TCAS with such coding structure.		9,016,773	
822120	CRC 3.670 COURT CALL	Used to record revenue received for teleconferencing of court appearances provided by a private agency.	CRC 3.670	0	
822121	GC13963f RESTITUTION REBATE	Used to record the portion (10%) of revenue received from the State for the collection of restitution fees.	GC 13963(f)	434,611	9,451,384
821201	ENHANCED COLLECTIONS-(CIVIL ASSESSMENT)	Used to record the amount reduced from debt collection for the civil assessment fee portion to offset the cost of collecting the debt through a comprehensive collections program.	PC 1463.007	16,039,585	
821202	ENHANCED COLLECTIONS-(OTHER)	Used to record the amount reduced from debt collection for all other portions other than civil assessment to offset the cost of collecting the debt through a comprehensive collections program.	PC 1463.007	42,367,930	58,407,515
823002	ESCHEATMENT REVENUE	Used to record revenue related to escheatment.		655,546	
823003	ESCHEATMENT REVENUE-TRUST	Used to record trust disbursements that have been stale dated and outstanding for at least 3 years and all notices have been posted. Also used to record deposits as allowed under code GC 68084.1.	GC 68084.1	958,494	1,614,040
899910	PRIOR YEAR REVENUE ADJUSTMENT	Used to record revenue that was earned in the prior year but not accrued.		(1,309,566)	(1,309,566)
841010	SMALL CLAIMS ADVISORY	Used to record reimbursement received to cover the costs of providing small claims advisory services.	CCP 116.940 CCP 116.230(g)	867,040	
841011	DISPUTE RESOLUTION	Used to record revenue received to cover the costs for dispute resolution program expense.	BPC 470.5	1,244,884	
841012	GRAND JURY	Contract Agreement between the court and county		1,186,668	
841013	PRE TRIAL	Contract Agreement between the court and county		1,305,716	
841014	PROBATION	Contract Agreement between the court and county		101,522	
841015	OTHER COUNTY SERVICES	Contract Agreement between the court and county		14,294,158	18,999,988
861010	CIVIL JURY REIMBURSEMENT	Used to record reimbursement from litigants for Civil Jury expenses.		3,225,059	

2016-17 TRIAL COURT REVENUES

OTHER FINANCING SOURCES					
Account Number and Name		Description	Legislation	Amount	Subtotal
861011	MISCELLANEOUS REIMBURSEMENT	Used to record miscellaneous reimbursements which is not otherwise classified in any other account. (e.g., non Judicial Council MOU's, DV Restraining Order Reimbursement)		19,994,826	
861012	CIVIL TRANSCRIPT REIMBURSEMENT	Used to record reimbursement received to cover costs of transcripts for civil cases.		397,966	
861013	MERCHANT FEE REIMBURSEMENT	To record the offset or reimbursement for fees paid directly to the credit card issuer, funds processor, or draft purchaser in connection with credit card transactions.	GC 6159(d)(3)	3,212,433	
861014	CONVENIENCE FEE REIMBURSEMENT	To record the offset or reimbursement for fees charged by the court to the cardholder for using a credit or debit card to offset the corresponding expense paid to third party.	GC 6159(g)	710,545	
861015	REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY LITIGATION COST REIMBURSEMENT	Used to record reimbursement from the Trial Court Trust Fund Program 0150010, per assembly bill 1484, for costs incurred related to the processing and disposition of lawsuits to the governor's elimination of redevelopment agencies.	AB 1484	(49,678)	27,491,151
824010	SALE OF FIXED ASSETS	Used to record revenue related to the sale of a fixed asset.		43,445	43,445
823001	MISCELLANEOUS REVENUE	Used to record miscellaneous revenue which is not otherwise classified in any other account. (e.g., cal card rebates, unclaimed property)		3,104,998	
823004	CASHIER OVERAGES	Used to record cash overages resulting from cashier errors which are not identified with a customer or case that represent cash in excess of a cashiers accounting of the transactions received.		37,691	
823013	STAFF VOLUNTARY DONATION	Used to record voluntary donations received from court staff to be used for court operations.		1,049,963	
823020	EMPLOYEE CONTRIBUTIONS-SELF INSURANCE	To deposit and record employee contributions for health benefits to self insurance program for dental, medical and vision.		7,180,170	
823021	EMPLOYER CONTRIBUTIONS-SELF INSURANCE	To deposit and record employer contributions for health benefits to self insurance program for dental, medical and vision.		0	
823022	EMPLOYEE CONTRIBUTIONS-RETIREE MEDICAL	To deposit and record active employee contribution amounts for retiree health benefits.		(68,490)	11,304,332

2016-17 TRIAL COURT REVENUES

OTHER FINANCING SOURCES - LOCAL FEES				
Account Number and Name		Description	Legislation	Amount
821113	CHILDREN'S COURT PARKING FEE	Used to record revenue received for parking fees specific to Children's Courthouse.		0
821120	OTHER COURT RETAINED LOCAL FEES	Used to record revenue related to all miscellaneous fees.		1,288,050
821121 thru 821156	LOCAL FEES	Used to record revenue (other than per AB145) which is not otherwise classified in any other account.	Court Specific Code	11,986,617
821160	PRE-AB145	May be used in lieu of individual local fee revenue accounts to record revenue received from fees assessed prior to AB145 and January 1, 2006.		103,983
821161	FC3112 CUSTODY INVESTIGATIONS	Used to record revenue received for reimbursement of costs for the investigation or evaluation of a parent, guardian or other person in a custody case. Effective January 1, 2008, fees assessed pursuant to this code should be included on the TC145 and will be returned to the court through the monthly allocation.	FC 3112	303
821162	FC3153 CAC-CHILD	Used to record revenue received for reimbursement from the parties for the costs associated with the counsel appointed by the court to represent a child. This would not include reimbursement received from the Judicial Council. Effective January 1, 2008, fees assessed pursuant to this code should be included on the TC145 and will be returned to the court through the monthly allocation.	FC 3153	88
821163	FC9002 STEP PARENT ADOPTION INVESTIGATION	Used to record revenue received for reimbursement from the prospective adoptive parent for costs incurred for the investigation required by Family Code Section 9001. Effective January 1, 2008, fees assessed pursuant to this code should be included on the TC145 and will be returned to the court through the monthly allocation.	FC 9002	0

2016-17 TRIAL COURT REVENUES

OTHER FINANCING SOURCES - LOCAL FEES				
Account Number and Name		Description	Legislation	Amount
821170	GC26840.3 MARRIAGE LICENSE CONCILIATION	Used to record the portion of revenue collected from marriage license fees to support the costs of maintaining the family conciliation court or conciliation & mediation services.	GC 26840.3	780,145
821171	GC 72712 COURT REPORTER	Used to record revenue received from the city fee's and fines for costs incurred for court reporter salary and benefits for Los Angeles Superior Court.	GC 72712	11,068,685
821172	GC68150h PUBLIC ACCESS CIVIL IMAGES / E-FILINGS	Used to record revenue received for fees imposed to cover the costs of providing public access to the courts electronic records, specifically related to civil images and electronic filings.	GC 68150h CRC 2.506	6,416,158
821173	GC68150h PUBLIC ACCESS CRIMINAL NAME SEARCH	Used to record revenue received for fees imposed to cover the costs of providing public access to the courts electronic records, specifically related to criminal name search.	GC 68150h CRC 2.506	7,487,057
821174	GC68150h PUBLIC ACCESS TRAFFIC TRANSACTION FEE	Used to record revenue received for fees imposed to cover the costs of providing public access to the courts electronic records, specifically related to traffic transactions.	GC 68150h CRC 2.506	1,837,371
821180	PC1203.4 & PC1203.41 CHANGE OF PLEA	Used to record revenue received from petitions for a change of plea or expungement of record to support the costs of services rendered.	PC1203.4 PC1203.41	868,058
821181	PC1205d INSTALLMENT FEE	Used to record revenue received for administrative costs for processing an accounts receivable on installment payments.	PC 1205(d)	10,414,294
821182	PC1205d STAY FEE	Used to record revenue received for administrative costs for processing an accounts receivable that is not paid in installments.	PC 1205(d)	332,841
821183	PC1463.22a INSURANCE CONVICTION	Used to record the portion of revenue collected from Vehicle Code 16028 convictions in order to defray costs in administering sections 16028, 16030 & 16031 of the Vehicle Code.	PC 1463.22(a)	819,071

2016-17 TRIAL COURT REVENUES

OTHER FINANCING SOURCES - LOCAL FEES			
Account Number and Name	Description	Legislation	Amount
821190	VC11205.2 TRAFFIC SCHOOL	Used to record revenue received from traffic violators in order to defray the costs incurred by the agency for monitoring reports and services provided to the court.	VC11205.2 2,144,831
821191	VC40508.6 DMV HISTORY/PRIORS	Used to record revenue received from assessments for the costs of recording and maintaining a record of the defendant's prior convictions for violations of the Vehicle Code.	VC 40508.6 4,752,673
821192	VC40611 PROOF OF CORRECTION	Used to record revenue received from fees for violations where proof of correction was submitted.	VC 40611 51,463
821193	VC42006a NIGHT COURT	Used to record revenue received from a special assessment imposed and collected by any court which conducts a night session of the court.	VC 42006(a) 0
821194	CRC 10.500 PUBLIC ACCESS-DUPLICATION AND RETRIEVAL	Used to record revenue received for fees imposed to cover the costs of duplication, search and review related to providing public access to the courts records as specified in California Rules of Court 10.500.	CRC 10.500 4,974
821195	GC 26746 DISBURSEMENT PROCESSING FEE	Used to record revenue received pursuant to GC26746 for each disbursement of money collected under a writ of attachment, execution, possession, or sale.	GC 26746 562
821196	GC 26731 SERVICE OF PROCESS FEE	Used to record revenue received pursuant to GC26731 for fees collected by the Marshal's office related to service of process activity.	GC 26731 10,980
821197	CRC 3.670 TELEPHONIC APPEARANCE	Used to record revenue received for teleconferencing of court appearances directly provided by the court. Note the amount recorded to this account is the courts portion of the fee collected.	CRC 3.670 354,214
		Subtotal	60,722,418

(Information Only)

Title: FMS Workplan Item: Cluster 2 Analysis
Date: 3/16/2018
Contact: James Baird, Senior Research Analyst, Office of Court Research

Background

During its October 26th, 2017, the Funding Methodology Subcommittee (FMS) was presented with information on the small court adjustments and the Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) factor regarding the Resource Assessment Study (RAS) and the Workload-based Allocation and Funding Methodology (WAFM). Specifically, how these adjustments impact RAS and WAFM need. The committee recommended that no change be made to the small court adjustments or BLS for 2017-18. This recommendation was approved by the Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee (TCBAC) and later the Judicial Council at its January 12, 2018 meeting.

Workplan

Although a review of the small court adjustments and BLS was discussed, questions remain about cluster 2 and how well the workload model addresses the needs of the cluster 2 courts. The current FMS workplan includes as one its priorities for 2018-19 to further “evaluate the cluster 2 Bureau of Labor Statistics and small court adjustment contributions.”

The following provides a starting point to look more closely at cluster 2 by examining key variables to better assess cluster 2 and guide the discussion.

Summary

- Clustering by AJP using current data would not change cluster groupings from previous analysis;
- Within cluster 2, ranking by other data (filings, population, FTE) is similar to using AJP, with slight variation;
- Further analysis such as looking at ratio impacts of a cluster 2 split may inform whether creating a fine-cluster model would address the concerns of cluster 2 courts;
- Further analysis of all clusters may be necessary in addition to just looking at cluster 2.

For purposes of RAS and WAFM, California’s 58 superior courts have been grouped into one of four clusters. Clustering was originally based on the number of authorized judicial positions (AJPs) in each court as a proxy for size. Table 1 below, shows the number of counties in cluster.

Table 1: Number of Counties in Each Cluster

Cluster	Number of courts
1	15
2	22
3	12
4	9
Total	58

Table 2 displays the range of certain key variables within each cluster: Authorized Judicial Positions (AJP), Authorized Judicial Need (AJN), FTE need, filled FTE, filings, and population.

Table 2: Ranges of Variables by Cluster

	Cluster 1	Cluster 2	Cluster 3	Cluster 4
AJP	2.3 to 2.4	4.3 to 15.0	21.2 to 49.0	55.9 to 585.3
AJN	0.2 to 3.0	3.2 to 19.2	20.5 to 61.8	48.4 to 573.3
FTE Need	3 to 28	30 to 149	186 to 489	294 to 4,199
Filled FTE	2.2 to 13.4	27.1 to 124.5	166.2 to 476.2	421.8 to 3986.0
Filings	748 to 11,885	9,919 to 65,352	59,487 to 19,5065	182,836 to 1,674,597
Population	1,071 to 59,414	43,603 to 380,531	435,232 to 1,135,127	870,887 to 10,137,915

Table 3 displays different cluster 2 splits based on key data points (labeled Cluster 2A and Cluster 2B in table). Analysis based on Authorized Judicial Positions (AJP), FTE need (based on RAS), filled FTE (Schedule 7A), average filings (2015-17), and population¹.

Table 3: Cluster 2 Split by each Variable

	Group 2A (Smaller Counties)	Group 2B (Larger Counties)
Authorized Judicial Positions (AJP)	El Dorado, Humboldt, Kings, Lake, Madera, Mendocino, Napa, Nevada, Siskiyou, Sutter, Tehama, Tuolumne, Yuba	Butte, Imperial, Marin, Merced, Placer, San Luis Obispo, Santa Cruz, Shasta, Yolo
RAS FTE Need	El Dorado, Humboldt, Lake, Mendocino, Napa, Nevada, Siskiyou, Sutter, Tehama, Tuolumne, Yuba	Butte, Imperial, Kings, Madera, Marin, Merced, Placer, San Luis Obispo, Santa Cruz, Shasta, Yolo
Filled FTE	Lake, Mendocino, Napa, Nevada, Siskiyou, Sutter, Tehama, Tuolumne, Yuba	Butte, El Dorado, Humboldt, Imperial, Kings, Madera, Marin, Merced, Placer, San Luis Obispo, Santa Cruz, Shasta, Yolo
Average Filings (2015-17)	El Dorado, Humboldt, Lake, Madera, Mendocino, Napa, Nevada, Siskiyou, Sutter, Tehama, Tuolumne, Yuba	Butte, Imperial, Kings, Marin, Merced, Placer, San Luis Obispo, Santa Cruz, Shasta, Yolo
Population	Humboldt, Kings, Lake, Madera, Mendocino, Napa, Nevada, Siskiyou, Sutter, Tehama, Tuolumne, Yuba	Butte, El Dorado, Imperial, Marin, Merced, Placer, San Luis Obispo, Santa Cruz, Shasta, Yolo
Compilation of all variables	Humboldt, Kings, Lake, Madera, Mendocino, Napa, Nevada, Siskiyou, Sutter, Tehama, Tuolumne, Yuba	Butte, El Dorado, Imperial, Marin, Merced, Placer, San Luis Obispo, Santa Cruz, Shasta, Yolo

¹ United States Census Bureau, Quick Facts, population estimates for July 1, 2016

Cluster 2 Analysis

Cluster	County	Authorized Judicial Positions	Authorized Judicial Need	Total RAS Need (2018)	Filled FTE (2017 7A)	AVG Filings (20151617)	Population (2016)
4	Alameda	85	67.7	523	565.1	258,488	1,647,704
1	Alpine	2.3	0.2	3	2.2	1,581	1,071
1	Amador	2.3	2.8	24	25.3	7,602	37,383
2	Butte	13	14.6	130	106.9	32,974	226,864
1	Calaveras	2.3	2.7	24	20.1	6,272	45,171
1	Colusa	2.3	1.6	17	12.2	8,823	21,588
3	Contra Costa	42	40.9	349	301.9	128,877	1,135,127
1	Del Norte	2.38	3.0	23	18.5	7,996	27,540
2	El Dorado	9	9.1	74	70.7	20,000	185,625
3	Fresno	49	61.8	473	476.2	151,582	979,915
1	Glenn	2.3	1.6	20	15.8	9,063	28,085
2	Humboldt	8	10.4	83	81.5	24,490	136,646
2	Imperial	11.3	12.9	121	124.5	65,352	180,883
1	Inyo	2.3	1.5	18	13.7	11,885	18,144
3	Kern	43	56.8	489	431.0	195,065	884,788
2	Kings	8.6	11.7	94	76.0	32,229	149,785
2	Lake	4.7	5.5	51	28.2	11,082	64,116
1	Lassen	2.3	2.6	21	20.5	7,019	30,870
4	Los Angeles	585.3	573.3	4,199	3,986.0	1,674,597	10,137,915
2	Madera	9.3	10.3	90	91.0	24,417	154,697
2	Marin	12.7	10.6	90	95.4	40,729	260,651
1	Mariposa	2.3	1.0	12	9.3	3,328	17,410
2	Mendocino	8.4	7.5	68	51.2	19,345	87,628
2	Merced	12	15.0	137	120.4	47,774	268,672
1	Modoc	2.3	0.9	10	7.0	2,015	8,795
1	Mono	2.3	1.0	14	10.8	8,415	13,981
3	Monterey	21.2	20.5	186	171.9	61,990	435,232
2	Napa	8	8.0	63	61.2	19,849	142,166
2	Nevada	7.6	4.9	50	46.1	21,436	99,107
4	Orange	144	144.0	1,125	1,239.9	444,364	3,172,532
2	Placer	14.5	19.2	145	115.0	41,967	380,531
1	Plumas	2.3	1.2	13	10.0	3,661	18,627
4	Riverside	76	122.8	950	933.4	392,174	2,387,741
4	Sacramento	72.5	82.9	638	602.0	272,612	1,514,460
1	San Benito	2.3	2.6	28	21.4	8,341	59,414
4	San Bernardino	86	134.1	1,054	928.2	338,982	2,140,096
4	San Diego	154	142.9	1,043	1,118.7	485,263	3,317,749
4	San Francisco	55.9	48.4	294	421.8	182,836	870,887
3	San Joaquin	33.5	42.2	339	311.0	110,843	733,709
2	San Luis Obispo	15	16.9	139	79.8	50,257	282,887
3	San Mateo	33	29.1	271	248.5	137,829	764,797
3	Santa Barbara	24	22.4	204	195.2	85,318	446,170
4	Santa Clara	84	66.9	522	584.0	206,632	1,919,402
2	Santa Cruz	13.5	13.6	121	100.4	46,166	274,673
2	Shasta	12	16.7	149	115.2	45,076	179,631
1	Sierra	2.3	0.2	3	3.9	748	2,947
2	Siskiyou	5	3.2	30	27.1	14,203	43,603
3	Solano	23	22.6	197	190.3	59,487	440,207
3	Sonoma	23	23.8	194	166.2	71,928	503,070
3	Stanislaus	24	31.5	260	218.6	67,946	541,560
2	Sutter	5.3	6.8	58	47.6	16,717	96,651
2	Tehama	4.33	5.8	54	35.0	18,056	63,276
1	Trinity	2.3	1.5	14	10.4	2,582	12,782
3	Tulare	23	27.5	240	218.8	76,008	460,437
2	Tuolumne	4.75	4.5	41	33.0	9,919	53,804
3	Ventura	33	38.0	327	280.8	152,774	849,738
2	Yolo	12.4	11.0	109	78.5	36,556	215,802
2	Yuba	5.33	5.9	49	44.0	12,636	75,275
	MIN	2.3	0.2	3.0	2.2	748.3	1,071.0
	MAX	585.3	573.3	4,199.0	3,986.0	1,674,597.0	10,137,915.0
	AVG	33.7	35.3	277.0	265.8	108,554.4	676,724.4
Cluster 1	MIN	2.3	0.2	3.0	2.2	748.3	1,071.0
	MAX	2.4	3.0	28.0	25.3	11,884.7	59,414.0
	AVG	2.3	1.6	16.3	13.4	5,955.4	22,920.5
Cluster 2	MIN	4.3	3.2	30.0	27.1	9,919.0	43,603.0
	MAX	15.0	19.2	149.0	124.5	65,351.7	380,531.0
	AVG	9.3	10.2	88.5	74.0	29,601.3	164,680.6
Cluster 3	MIN	21.2	20.5	186.0	166.2	59,487.0	435,232.0
	MAX	49.0	61.8	489.0	476.2	195,064.7	1,135,127.0
	AVG	31.0	34.8	294.1	267.5	108,304.0	681,229.2
Cluster 4	MIN	55.9	48.4	294.0	421.8	182,836.3	870,887.0
	MAX	585.3	573.3	4,199.0	3,986.0	1,674,597.0	10,137,915.0
	AVG	149.2	153.7	1,149.8	1,153.2	472,883.1	3,012,054.0



JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA

455 Golden Gate Avenue • San Francisco, California 94102-3688
 Telephone 415-865-4200 • Fax 415-865-4205 • TDD 415-865-4272

MEMORANDUM

Date	Action Requested
March 16, 2018	For your review
To	Deadline
Hon. Jonathan B. Conklin, cochair Ms. Rebecca Fleming, cochair	n/a
From	Contact
Leah Rose-Goodwin Manager, Budget Services	Leah Rose-Goodwin (415) 865-7708 phone leah.rose-goodwin@jud.ca.gov
Subject	
Special Circumstances Case Funding	

Proposal

The Funding Methodology Subcommittee has an item on its 2018-19 workplan to evaluate special circumstances case funding. This memo details the actions that have been taken by the Workload Assessment Advisory Committee to measure the workload associated with special circumstances cases and WAAC's recommendation that FMS consider expanding the scope of the Extraordinary Homicide Fund to meet the needs of courts faced with the high costs of this workload.

Background

At its February 20, 2014 meeting, the Judicial Council approved a recommendation from the Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee (TCBAC) to request that the Workload Assessment Advisory Committee (WAAC) include special circumstances cases in its next round of updates to the Resource Assessment Study (RAS) to determine the possibility of developing a separate caseweight for those cases. Originally, the request had been made to evaluate the workload

Funding Methodology Subcommittee

March 16, 2018

Page 2

associated with death penalty cases, but the scope was expanded to the more-broadly defined special circumstances cases.

Upon receipt of the recommendation, WAAC established a Special Circumstances Subcommittee to further study workload in special circumstances cases and to explore the feasibility of developing a separate caseweight. Staff to the subcommittee drew on an existing study of felony hearings, conducted a literature review, performed court file reviews, and requested data from a sample of courts in order to better understand the workload associated with special circumstances cases and estimate the impact of establishing a separate caseweight on the RAS model.

Special circumstances workload is captured in the felony caseweight. As with all of the caseweights, the felony caseweight represents a composite measure of workload for all types of felony cases across the full range of potential case processing outcomes. The caseweight expresses the frequency with which types of matters occur; for example, homicides occur less frequently than drug crimes and are represented in the felony caseweight accordingly. Similarly, the caseweight reflects the fact that most matters reach final disposition before trial. In fact, the trial rate in homicide cases has remained steady at two to three percent for many years. It's within this context that special circumstances cases are included in the felony caseweight.

The subcommittee recognized that special circumstances cases represent a unique and heavy workload, and that death penalty cases in particular can have a high workload impact on the courts. A challenge in trying to account for this workload in RAS is that the model employs three-year average filings ending a year before the fiscal year for which funding is allocated, meaning that there would be a lag between when the workload occurs and when the WAFM model would reflect any adjustment to a court's allocation related to the special circumstances workload. Another concern was that a separate caseweight would most likely work to the advantage of larger courts that have more cases, but that the workload impacts may be felt more deeply and immediately in smaller courts.

Due in part to the challenges mentioned above, there were discussions of other possible solutions to courts' funding and staffing needs in special circumstances cases. The subcommittee discussed whether the extraordinary homicide fund would be a more appropriate vehicle to fund this workload and whether steps could be taken to expand the size and parameters of the fund, especially given that the limits on reserves may compromise a court's ability to absorb the spike in workload. However, the subcommittee felt the expansion of the fund would be outside of WAAC's purview.

WAAC summarized its findings and comments in a memo to Justice Miller, chair of the Executive and Planning Committee, in September 2015 and disbanded the subcommittee.

Funding Methodology Subcommittee

March 16, 2018

Page 3

Extraordinary Homicide Fund, Relevant Rules and Statutes

The extraordinary homicide fund reimburses small courts for expenses related to homicide trials, in recognition of the additional workload and high cost of prosecuting these cases. Government Code sections 15201-15204 outline the funding available for extraordinary homicide and some of the provisions of the funding. Sections 15201 and 15202 are most relevant to this discussion and are attached here (Attachment A).

The California Rules of Court, Rule 10.811 outlines the qualification criteria for courts seeking reimbursement of costs associated with homicide trials:

(a) Intent

This rule permits courts that meet certain criteria to request reimbursement of extraordinary costs of homicide trials.

(b) Criteria

A court that requests reimbursement of extraordinary costs of a homicide trial must meet all the following criteria:

- (1) Be located in a county with a population of 300,000 or less;
- (2) Have incurred extraordinary costs of a homicide trial; and
- (3) Demonstrate an actual need for reimbursement.

(c) Submission

A request for reimbursement must be submitted by the court's presiding judge or executive officer to Judicial Council staff. All requests for reimbursement must comply with guidelines approved by the Judicial Council and include a completed *Request for Reimbursement of Extraordinary Homicide Trial Costs* form.

A copy of the Request for Reimbursement form is attached (Attachment B).

The funding is contingent on property tax collections; approximately \$272,00 has been available each year for the past several years, with minor fluctuations. Unspent funds are periodically swept back to the state.

Analysis and Options

The original proposal for FMS's consideration was to evaluate the funding available for special circumstances workload and whether the criteria and funding was suitable for current needs. Generally, special circumstances workload meets the criteria for reimbursement by the

Funding Methodology Subcommittee

March 16, 2018

Page 4

extraordinary homicide fund. However, an analysis of the approved reimbursements from the fund shows that the branch has utilized only a small portion of the fund each year for the last several years, even though homicides occur and are prosecuted regularly in the qualifying (population less than 300,000) jurisdictions (see Attachment D). It is unknown what the barriers to seeking reimbursement might be; some possibilities include lack of knowledge about the fund; lack of qualifying expenditures; or onerous application requirements.

The original proposal for FMS's consideration was whether to seek expansion of the fund's qualifying parameters and/or seek an increase of the fund itself to help courts pay for the cost of this workload. But given the low usage of the fund, the committee may first consider evaluating whether there are structural barriers that are preventing full expenditure of the funds, before considering expanding the fund. FMS should consider tasking staff with conducting such an analysis and reporting back to the subcommittee in Summer 2018.

Alternately, FMS could determine that no additional work on this issue is required, and that the extraordinary homicide fund provides sufficient funding for unanticipated expenses related to special circumstances workload and that the RAS/WAFM models accounts for the workload associated with these cases.

Attachment A

Government Code section 15201

As used in this chapter, “costs incurred by the county” means all costs, except normal salaries and expenses, incurred by the county in bringing to trial or trials, including the trial or trials of, a person or persons for the offense of homicide, including costs, except normal salaries and expenses, incurred by the district attorney in investigation and prosecution, by the sheriff in investigation, by the public defender or court-appointed attorney or attorneys in investigation and defense, and all other costs, except normal salaries and expenses, incurred by the county in connection with bringing the person or persons to trial including the trial itself, which include extraordinary expenses for such services as witness fees and expenses, court-appointed expert witness fees and expenses, reporter fees, and costs in preparing transcripts. Trial costs shall also include all pretrials, hearings, and postconviction proceedings, if any. “Costs incurred by the county” do not include any costs paid by the superior court or for which the superior court is responsible.

(Amended by Stats. 2008, Ch. 213, Sec. 1. Effective January 1, 2009.)

Government Code section 15202

(a) A county that is responsible for the cost of a trial or trials or any hearing of a person for the offense of homicide may apply to the Controller for reimbursement of the costs incurred by the county in excess of the amount of money derived by the county from a tax of 0.0125 of 1 percent of the full value of property assessed for purposes of taxation within the county.

(b) The formula in this section shall apply to any homicide trial in which the commission of the crime occurred on or after January 1, 2005. Homicide trials for which the crime was committed before January 1, 2005, shall qualify under the reimbursement statute in effect before that date.

(c) The Controller shall not reimburse any county for costs that exceed the Department of General Services’ standards for travel and per diem expenses. The Controller may reimburse extraordinary costs in unusual cases if the county provides sufficient justification of the need for these expenditures. Nothing in this section shall permit the reimbursement of costs for travel in excess of 1,000 miles on any single round trip, without the prior approval of the Attorney General.

(d) Reimbursement funds appropriated pursuant to this section are available for three fiscal years from the date of the appropriation. After three fiscal years, any unused funds shall revert back to the General Fund.

(Amended by Stats. 2016, Ch. 31, Sec. 137. (SB 836) Effective June 27, 2016.)

**REQUEST FOR REIMBURSEMENT
OF EXTRAORDINARY HOMICIDE TRIAL COSTS**

**Administrative Office of the Courts
455 Golden Gate Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94102-3660**

DATE _____

AMOUNT REQUESTED

I. COURT INFORMATION	
COURT NAME	CONTACT PERSON FOR CLAIM
CONTACT PERSON TITLE	
TELEPHONE NUMBER	E-MAIL
II. CLAIM INFORMATION	
NAME OF CASE	
FISCAL YEAR FOR WHICH FUNDING IS REQUESTED	START DATE OF CASE
ANTICIPATED COMPLETION DATE	DATE OF LAST HEARING
PRETRIAL AND POST TRIAL COSTS - If the court incurred extraordinary costs prior to the commencement and/or after the completion of the trial, please explain (1) what the costs were; (2) when they were incurred; (3) why they were extraordinary; and (4) who authorized the expenditures.	
III. TCTF/NON-TCTF RESERVES STATUS	
TOTAL TCTF DESIGNATED RESERVES	TOTAL TCTF UNDESIGNATED RESERVES
TOTAL NON-TCTF DESIGNATED RESERVES	TOTAL NON-TCTF UNDESIGNATED RESERVES
AMOUNT OF RESERVES TO BE USED TO FUND EXTRAORDINARY COSTS	
If the court's reserves cannot be used to absorb any portion of the extraordinary costs, please explain. (Use attachments if additional space is necessary.)	
HAS THE AOC PREVIOUSLY REVIEWED A REQUEST ASSOCIATED WITH THIS CASE? If yes, indicate the results of the review. (Use attachments if additional space is needed.)	

REQUEST FOR REIMBURSEMENT OF EXTRAORDINARY HOMICIDE TRIAL COSTS

IV. SUMMARY OF REQUEST

Provide a brief justification presenting all supporting facts, including a description of the case, and an estimate of the extraordinary costs (e.g., security, court reporter). Use attachments if additional space is needed. *Note: If funding is approved, allocation will be based on actual expenditures.*

V. COMPUTATION OF AMOUNT REQUESTED FOR REIMBURSEMENT

Use the space below (or attach separate schedules) to detail the extraordinary costs included in this claim. Costs included in the claim must be consistent with the *Guidelines for Reimbursement of Extraordinary Homicide Trial Costs*. Attach supporting documentation.

ITEM	AMOUNT
TOTAL	\$ -

VI. REQUESTING SUPERIOR COURT

Signature of Presiding Judge or Court Executive Officer

Date

VII. BUDGET ANALYST REVIEW

Recommend for Approval:

Yes

No

Initials _____

Date _____

VIII. REGIONAL ADMINISTRATIVE DIRECTOR

Recommend for Approval:

Yes

No

Regional Director Signature

Date

IX. DIRECTOR, FINANCE DIVISION

APPROVED

DENIED

Finance Director Signature

Date

FOR AOC USE ONLY

Amount Approved: _____

Date Disbursed: _____

Date Notification Sent: _____

