

JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA

TRIAL COURT BUDGET ADVISORY COMMITTEE

TRIAL COURT BUDGET ADVISORY COMMITTEE

MATERIALS FOR MAY 7, 2018

Meeting Contents

| Agenda | 1 |
|---|----|
| Minutes | |
| Draft Minutes from the April 23, 2018 Meeting | 3 |
| Discussion and Possible Action Items | |
| Item 1 – Prioritization of Trial Court Budget Change Proposal (BCP) Concepts for 2019-20 (Action Item) | 5 |
| Item 2 – Adjustment to Judicial Council-Approved 2017-18 Allocations from the State Trial Court Improvement and Modernization Fund (IMF) (Action Item) | 11 |
| Attachment A: IMF Fund Condition Statement | 13 |
| Attachment B: Trial Court Trust Fund Fund Condition Statement | 14 |





TRIAL COURT BUDGET ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Request for ADA accommodations should be made at least three business days before the meeting and directed to: <u>JCCAccessCoordinator@jud.ca.gov</u>

TRIAL COURT BUDGET ADVISORY COMMITTEE

NOTICE AND AGENDA OF OPEN MEETING WITH CLOSED SESSION

Open to the Public Unless Indicated as Closed (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 10.75(c), (d), and (e)(1)) THIS MEETING IS BEING CONDUCTED BY ELECTRONIC MEANS OPEN PORTION OF THIS MEETING IS BEING RECORDED

| Date: | May 7, 2018 |
|------------------------|--|
| Time: | 12:00 p.m. – 1:30 p.m. |
| Public Call-In Number: | 1-877-820-7831; passcode 1884843 (Listen Only) |

Meeting materials for open portions of the meeting will be posted on the advisory body web page on the California Courts website at least three business days before the meeting.

Members of the public seeking to make an audio recording of the open meeting portion of the meeting must submit a written request at least two business days before the meeting. Requests can be e-mailed to tcbac@jud.ca.gov.

Agenda items are numbered for identification purposes only and will not necessarily be considered in the indicated order.

I. OPEN MEETING (CAL. RULES OF COURT, RULE 10.75(C)(1))

Call to Order and Roll Call

Approval of Minutes

Approve minutes of the April 23, 2018, Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee (TCBAC) teleconference meeting.

II. PUBLIC COMMENT (CAL. RULES OF COURT, RULE 10.75(K)(1))

This meeting will be conducted by electronic means with a listen only conference line available for the public. As such, public may submit comments for this meeting only in writing. In accordance with California Rules of Court, rule 10.75(k)(1), written comments pertaining to any agenda item of a regularly noticed open meeting can be submitted up to one complete business day before the meeting. For this specific meeting, comments should be e-mailed to tcbac@jud.ca.gov or mailed or delivered to Judicial Council of California, 455 Golden Gate Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94102, attention: Ms. Brandy Sanborn. Only written comments received by 12:00 p.m. on May 4, 2018 will be provided to advisory body members prior to the start of the meeting.

III. DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION ITEMS (ITEM 1)

Item 1

Prioritization of Trial Court Budget Change Proposal (BCP) Concepts for 2019-20 (Action Required)

Review and prioritize trial court BCP concepts, and BCP concept submissions in which the TCBAC was identified as having purview and the opportunity to provide input, for submission to the Judicial Branch Budget Committee for its review.

Presenter(s)/Facilitator(s): Hon. Jonathan B. Conklin, Chair, Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee

Item 2

Adjustment to Council-Approved 2017-18 Allocations from the State Trial Court Improvement and Modernization Fund (IMF) (Action Required)

Consideration of an augmentation of the 2017-18 Litigation Management Program allocation in the IMF.

Presenter(s)/Facilitator(s): Mr. Eric Schnurpfeil, Deputy Chief Counsel, Judicial Council Legal Services

IV. INFORMATION ONLY ITEMS (NO ACTION REQUIRED)

None

V. ADJOURNMENT

Adjourn to Closed Session (if needed)

VI. CLOSED SESSION (CAL. RULES OF COURT, RULE 10.75(D))

Item 1

Pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 10.75(d)(2).

Claims, administrative claims, agency investigations, or pending or reasonably anticipated litigation naming, or reasonably anticipated to name, a judicial branch entity or a member, officer, or employee of such an entity.

Consideration of an augmentation of the 2017-18 Litigation Management Program allocation in the State Trial Court Improvement and Modernization Fund.

2

Adjourn Closed Session





TRIAL COURT BUDGET ADVISORY COMMITTEE

TRIAL COURT BUDGET ADVISORY COMMITTEE

MINUTES OF OPEN MEETING

April 23, 2018 12:00 p.m. - 1:00 p.m. Teleconference

| Advisory Body Members Present: | Judges: Hon. Jonathan B. Conklin (Chair), Hon. Jeffrey B. Barton, Hon. Andrew S. Blum, Hon. Daniel J. Buckley, Hon. Mark Ashton Cope, Hon. James E. Herman, Hon. Joyce D. Hinrichs, Hon. Patricia M. Lucas, Hon. Charles Margines, Hon. Paul M. Marigonda, and Hon. Brian L. McCabe. |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| | Executive Officers: Ms. Sherri R. Carter, Ms. Nancy Eberhardt, Mr. Chad Finke, Ms. Rebecca Fleming, Ms. Kimberly Flener, Mr. Kevin Harrigan, Mr. Michael D. Planet Mr. Michael M. Roddy, and Ms. Linda Romero-Soles, Mr. Brian Taylor, Ms. Tania Ugrin-Capobianco, and Mr. David Yamasaki. |
| | Judicial Council staff advisory members: Mr. Zlatko Theodorovic |
| Advisory Body Members Absent: | Advisory Members: Mr. John Wordlaw |
| Others Present: | Hon. Kimberly A. Gaab, Ms. Lucy Fogarty, and Ms. Brandy Sanborn. |
| | |

OPEN MEETING

Call to Order and Roll Call

The chair called the meeting to order at 12:03 p.m. and roll was called.

Approval of Minutes

The advisory body reviewed and approved the minutes of the April 5, 2018 Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee (TCBAC) teleconference meeting.

DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION ITEMS (ITEM 1)

Item 1 – Trial Court Trust Fund (TCTF) Revenue Backfill Shortfall (Action Required)

Review options and develop a recommendation on addressing the current year TCTF shortfall.

Presenter(s)/Facilitator(s): Ms. Angela Guzman, Manager, Judicial Council Budget Services; Ms. Donna Newman, Supervisor, Judicial Council Budget Services

Action: The Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee unanimously approved to use the TCTF fund balance to cover the shortfall in 2017-18. This option uses the fund balance in the TCTF to fully fund the shortfall in the trial court base allocation in 2017-18, thus providing all trial courts with their full 2017-18 allocation as approved by the Judicial Council while also decreasing the fund balance by the amount of the shortfall on a one-time basis.

ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 12:16 p.m.

Approved by the advisory body on enter date.

(Action Item)

| Title: | Prioritization of Trial Court Budget Change Proposal Concepts for 2019-20 |
|----------|---|
| Date: | 5/1/2018 |
| Contact: | Brandy Sanborn, Manager, Budget Services 415-865-7195 <u>brandy.sanborn@jud.ca.gov</u> |

Issue

Issue 1

Review and prioritize the trial court budget change proposal (BCP) concepts identified by the Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee (TCBAC) and authorized to proceed by the Judicial Branch Budget Committee (JBBC). Table 1 below includes the BCP priority recommendations first identified by TCBAC, followed by the working titles established during the initial BCP drafting process. The prioritized BCP concepts will be submitted again to the JBBC for final review, approval, and prioritization for submission to the Judicial Council:

Table 1

| # | BCP Concept (in alphabetical order) | 2019-20 Dollar Amount | | |
|-----|--|--------------------------|--|--|
| 1 | Facility Maintenance / Modifications | ¢21 400 000 | | |
| 1 | Trial Court Facility Maintenance and Operations | \$31,400,000 | | |
| 2 | Funding Augmentations to Revenues | \$155,000,000* | | |
| 2 | Stabilization of Civil Assessment Revenue | \$155,000,000* | | |
| | Funding for Trial Court Operations / Funding for Cost of | | | |
| 3 | Living Adjustments | \$178,000,000 | | |
| | Support for Trial Court Operations | | | |
| | Judgeships | \$8,900,000 to | | |
| 4 | Funding for 10 of the 50 Judgeships Authorized by AB 159 (combined with Appellate Court Judicial Workload) | \$16,000,000** | | |
| 5 | Technology | | | |
| 5.1 | Case Management System (CMS) Replacement for Trial | \$34,000,000 | | |
| | Courts. | φ34,000,000 | | |
| 5.2 | Digitizing Documents Phase One for the Superior and Appellate Courts | \$5,800,000*** | | |
| 5.3 | Implementation of Phoenix Roadmap – Cloud Migration, | \$5,712,000 | | |

| BCP Concept (in alphabetical order) | 2019-20 Dollar Amount |
|---|--------------------------|
| Technical Upgrade and Functional Improvements | |
| combined with Phoenix HR Payroll Deployments | |
| | |

*Includes \$48.3 million Maintenance of Effort buyout.

**Reflects trial court estimate only.

***Reflects combination of appellate and trial court estimates.

Note: Court Construction was identified by TCBAC as a BCP priority and submitted with the working title of *Trial Court Capital Outlay Plan*; however, this concept was not approved to proceed by JBBC and has been omitted from the table above.

- 1. Trial Court Facility Maintenance and Operations. The Trial Court Facility Modification Advisory Committee proposes, and the TCBAC supports, an augmentation of \$31.4 million in General Fund beginning in 2019-20 and ongoing to support operations and maintenance of state trial court facilities. The \$14 million for maintenance and the \$17.3 million for utilities requested is in excess of the County Facilities Payments, which is the counties' cost of operating each facility for the years 1996 to 2000, inflated to the date of transfer. Since 2009-10, no additional funding has been provided to the Judicial Council of California to account for cost escalation or growth in square footage resulting from newly constructed trial court facilities authorized under SB 1732 and 1407.
- 2. Stabilization of Civil Assessment Revenue. TCBAC proposes a General Fund augmentation of \$155 million beginning in 2019-2020 and ongoing to transition the deposit of civil assessment revenues, including the \$48.3 million in Maintenance of Effort (MOE) buyout, into the General Fund instead of the Trial Court Trust Fund (TCTF). Civil assessment revenues, as imposed pursuant to Penal Code (PC) 1214.1, are currently deposited into the TCTF, net of cost recovery pursuant to PC 1463.007. Per Judicial Council policy, the remitted civil assessment revenues are allocated to the trial courts one hundred percent, net the civil assessment buyout amount. The civil assessment buyout amount of \$48.3 million is maintained in the TCTF to replace the reduced MOE payments made by the counties, and supports the courts' base allocations.
- **3. Support for Trial Court Operations.** TCBAC proposes an ongoing General Fund augmentation of \$178 million beginning in 2019-20 and ongoing to support trial court operations, which will allow the courts to hire additional staff, retain existing staff, and improve the public's access to justice. The request consists of the following (with requested funding amounts to be determined by the TCBAC: 1) Funding needed by the trial courts, based on the Workload-Based Allocation and Funding Methodology (WAFM) estimate, to reduce the gap between the funding needed to support trial court operations and the funding available, and to continue to support progress towards 100 percent of funding; 2) Discretionary funding not allocated via WAFM for inflationary increases to offset the rising cost of operations, 3) Funding for a cost of living increase

for all trial court employees, consistent with the salary increases provided for executive branch staff in recent years, which would be utilized to provide any of the following (or any combination thereof): the reduction or elimination of budget reduction-related concessions such as furloughs, reduced work weeks, previously enacted or planned future layoffs; a cost of living increase, enhanced employee benefits, or to address other personnel matters as deemed appropriate by each trial court in negotiations with their related employee representatives; and 4) Funding to address the structural imbalance in the TCTF.

- 4. Funding for 10 of the 50 Judgeships Authorized by AB 159. TCBAC proposes an ongoing General Fund augmentation, estimated between \$8.9 million and \$16 million, to support 10 of the 50 trial court judgeships authorized by Assembly Bill 159 (Ch. 722, Stats. 2007), accompanying support staff, and county-provided sheriff security. While the latest Judicial Needs Assessment (2016) shows that the branch needs just over 188 judgeships based on workload metrics, efforts to secure funding for the 50 previouslyauthorized judgeships have been unsuccessful. The only significant change in judgeships was the reallocation of four vacant judgeships in the 2017-18 Public Safety Omnibus trailer bill (Chapter 17, Statutes of 2017) which reallocated two vacant judgeships each from the Superior Courts of California, County of Alameda and County of Santa Clara to the Superior Courts of California, County of Riverside and County of San Bernardino. There remains a critical judicial shortage in the trial courts with the greatest need. The allocation of the 10 judgeships would be based on the methodology outlined in Government Code section 69614 (b), which states that judges shall be allocated, in accordance with the uniform standards for factually determining additional judicial need in each county, as updated and approved by the Judicial Council, pursuant to the Update of Judicial Needs Study, based on the following criteria: (1) Court filings data averaged over a period of three years; (2) Workload standards that represent the average amount of time of bench and nonbench work required to resolve each case type; (3) A ranking methodology that provides consideration for courts that have the greatest need relative to their current complement of judicial officers. The allocation would also take into consideration, if enacted, AB 2446 (Obernolte), which calls for the funding of 10 of the 50 trial court judgeships authorized by Assembly Bill 159 (Ch. 722, Stats. 2007) plus funding for accompanying staff.
- 5.1 Case Management System (CMS) Replacement for Trial Courts. The Judicial Council Technology Committee proposes, and the TCBAC supports, a one-time General Fund augmentation of \$22 million in 2019-20, \$7.4 million in 2020-21, \$3.2 million in 2021-22, \$470,000 in 2022-23, and \$120,000 in 2023-24. This one-time funding will be used by 10 courts (Amador, Colusa, Contra Costa, Lassen, Marin, Mariposa, Mono, Nevada, Solano and Shasta Courts) for the procurement and deployment of a modern, commercial, off-the-shelf CMS to replace their legacy CMSs. This funding request also

includes additional on-going funding of approximately \$350,000 annually for 2.0 positions (Senior Business Systems Analyst) at the Judicial Council to support the administration of multiple statewide master service agreements with four CMS vendors as well assist with the distribution of BCP funding and project status reporting for CMS deployments. The funding amount being requested in this BCP for the 10 trial courts will need to be validated/refined as part of developing the 2019-20 BCP.

- **5.2 Digitizing Documents Phase One for the Appellate and Superior Courts.** The Judicial Council Technology Committee proposes, and the TCBAC supports, a one-time General Fund augmentation of an estimated \$5.7 million in 2018-19 and an ongoing augmentation funding of \$170,000/yr. for a Senior Business Systems Analyst. The funding will support a pilot program (focusing on 6 to 8 courts) for digitizing paper and/or filmed case files for the Appellate and Superior Courts. The target for this pilot is the equivalent of 22,000 linear feet of paper case files. After this pilot, the data will be used to develop cost estimates, and identify potential processes and techniques needed for courts looking to digitize documents in the future. This request includes 1.0 FTE position (Senior Business Systems Analyst) to function as the project manager to oversee activities for the digitization pilot, develop and maintain the project plan and assist subsequent courts with document digitizing efforts.
- 5.3 Implementation of Phoenix Roadmap Cloud Migration, Technical Upgrade and Functional Improvements combined with Phoenix HR Payroll Deployments. The Judicial Council Technology Committee proposes, and the TCBAC supports, a one-time General Fund augmentation of \$9 million in 2019-20, \$6.8 million in 2020-21, and \$7.6 million in 2021-22 and ongoing to update and expand the Phoenix System and platform to improve the administration infrastructure supporting trial courts. The Phoenix system is the financial and procurement system for the 58 trial courts, and the payroll system for 13 trial courts. This request will also provide funding to the Judicial Council to support 4.0 positions to be phased in over three years. This request will update the Phoenix system to stay ahead of the end-ofOlife of the current on-premise version of SAP, and add functional requirements required by the trial courts.

In addition, the Judicial Council Technology Committee proposes, and the TCBAC supports, a one-time augmentation of \$490,000 and an ongoing \$385,000 to provide services, some consulting backfill and travel, and 7.0 ongoing staff to support the additional work of the Phoenix HR Payroll Program. This will also position the Phoenix Program to deploy to and support 2 to 3 more deployments over the following several years.

For additional detail on each of the above concepts, see Link A in the attachments to view submissions to the JBBC.

Issue 2

Review and prioritize BCP concept submissions developed by other committees in which the TBCAC was identified as having purview and the opportunity to provide input for submission to the JBBC for its review; including placeholders identified by JBBC, auxiliary submissions, and a contingent submission:

Table 2

| # | BCP Concept (in alphabetical order) | 2019-2020 Dollar Amount |
|---|---|----------------------------|
| A | Civil Adjudication of Minor Traffic Infraction - Futures Commission Recommendation (Placeholder) | TBD |
| В | Continuing the Implementation of the Strategic Plan for Language Access in California Courts | \$11,800,000 |
| С | Court Appointed Counsel in Juvenile Dependency Proceedings (Auxiliary) | \$22,000,000 |
| D | Court Appointed Special Advocates (CASA) in Juvenile Dependency Court (Contingent) | \$500,000 |
| E | Expansion of Self-Help Funding and Establishment of the Center for Self Help Resources Recommended by the Chief Justice's Commission on the Future of the California Courts | \$23,700,000 |
| F | Judicial Branch Litigation Management Program | \$5,800,000 |
| G | Pretrial Detention Reform (Placeholder) | TBD |
| н | Proposition 66 - Death Penalty Reform and Savings Act of 2016 (Placeholder) | TBD |
| Ι | Statewide Security Systems and Equipment - Maintenance and Replacement | \$6,000,000 |

Reference Link A for details on the above BCP concepts listed A-I.

Background

At its February 15, 2018 meeting, the TCBAC reviewed and discussed potential 2019-20 BCPs after a survey was conducted of the membership and after the 2018 Governor's Budget Proposal to assist in identifying trial court priorities for submission to the JBBC and then to the Judicial Council for approval and prioritization for submission to the Department of Finance.

The TCBAC meeting resulted in a total of five BCP concepts without order of prioritization or finalized figures, two of which were in general support of construction and technology. The remaining concepts resulted in three BCP concept drafts to assist in capturing the need for each request for final review and prioritization.

The current BCP process was approved by the Judicial Council and effective on December 16, 2016, providing an opportunity for applicable advisory bodies to offer input and prioritize BCP concepts developed by other committees as time permits. In preparation for the upcoming JBBC meeting on May 23, 2018 to review and prioritize all BCPs for submission to the Judicial Council, all BCPs under TCBAC purview have been included for TCBAC to provide input and prioritize as necessary.

Options for Discussion

Table 1

Option 1

Review and prioritize *only* the BCP concepts #1-5 recommended by the TCBAC for submission to the JBBC.

Option 2

Review and prioritize BCP all concepts #1-5.3 to include the three individual technology submissions in the ranking.

Option 3

Submit the BCP concepts to the JBBC without prioritization.

Table 2

Option 1

Review and prioritize and *some or all* of the additional BCP concepts for submission to the JBBC.

Option 2

Submit the BCP concepts to the JBBC without prioritization.

Attachments

Link A: Summaries of additional BCP concepts, at <u>http://www.courts.ca.gov/documents/jbbc-20180417-materials.pdf</u>

(Action Item)

| Title: | Adjustments to Judicial Council-Approved 2017-18 Allocations from the State Trial Court Improvement and Modernization Fund (IMF) |
|----------|---|
| Date: | 5/1/2018 |
| Contact: | Nadia Butler, Senior Budget Analyst, Judicial Council Budget Services 916-643-7035 <u>Nadia.Butler@jud.ca.gov</u> |

Issue

The Judicial Council Legal Services office is requesting a 2017-18 augmentation to the Litigation and Management Program (LMP) in the form of a one-time funding adjustment for litigation related fees-and-costs expenditures of \$933,000.

Background

At its May 19, 2017 business meeting, the Judicial Council approved \$73.457 million in allocations from the IMF for 2017-18. The allocation included \$4.5 million for the LMP, which was an increase of \$252,080 from the prior year.

The LMP is established through California Rules of Court, rule 10.202, for the management and handling of government claims and lawsuits against the trial courts. The funds cover fees and costs related to the investigation, adjudication, and settlement of government claims against the trial courts, their judges, and employees. The funds also provide for the defense (through the use of outside legal counsel) and indemnity of lawsuits, writs, subpoenas, and disqualification statements against the trial courts, their judicial officers, and employees.

Current funding for the LMP is projected to be fully expended, with additional funding required to ensure the ongoing handling of government claims, the legal defense of pending litigation against the trial courts, and to handle new matters and developments through the end of the fiscal year.

Legal Services has multiple significant and active litigations that have impacted the LMP fund balance. Most prominently, two of the most significant cases – federal court litigations seeking constitutional same day access to court filings (CNS v. Planet and CNS v. Yamasaki) – have seen increased activity with an upcoming trial date as well as an appellate briefing and an upcoming hearing. The allocation is not able to meet these demands and the outstanding billings.

Legal Services also seeks additional funds to address new litigation matters and to address unexpected developments in pending litigations. Without this transfer, Legal Services will be unable to encumber funds for contracts needed to pay for ongoing outside legal counsel services, and ensure the continued legal defense of litigation and payments of settlements and judgments.

If additional funding is not approved, Legal Services may have to instruct outside legal counsel to cease work in the current year, which would place ongoing litigations in jeopardy. In addition, Legal Services will be critically restricted in its ability to address new matters for the remainder of 2017-18.

Legal Services is concurrently seeking transfers from two IMF Legal Services managed programs, which are realized savings this fiscal year:

- 1. \$150,000 transfer from its Regional Office Assistance Group; and
- 2. \$183,000 transfer from its Judicial Performance Defense Insurance.

These transfers from IMF Legal Services managed programs are within the delegated authority of the Administrative Director, but are not sufficient to meet the total need of the LMP. As a result, there is still an estimated \$600,000 shortfall.

Recommendation

The following recommendation is presented to the Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee for consideration:

Approve a one-time augmentation of \$933,000 to the 2017-18 IMF allocations for the LMP to include 1) a \$150,000 transfer from its Regional Office Assistance Group; 2) a \$183,000 transfer from its Judicial Performance Defense Insurance; and 3) a \$600,000 augmentation from the IMF fund balance.

The recommendation would increase the 2017-18 allocation for the LMP from \$4.50 million to \$5.433 million, with an IMF fund balance impact of \$600,000.

Attachments

Attachment A: IMF Fund Condition Statement

Attachment B: Trial Court Trust Fund Fund Condition Statement

State Trial Court Improvement and Modernization Fund — Fund Condition Statement

| | | Year-F | Year-End Financial Statement | | | Estimated | | | |
|----|--|--------------|------------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--|
| # | # Description | | 2015-16 | 2016-17 | 2017-18 | 2018-19 | 2019-20 | 2020-21 | |
| # | Description - | Α | В | С | D | Е | F | G | |
| 1 | Beginning Balance | 26,206,661 | 9,255,317 | 6,956,187 | 9,300,613 | 6,297,317 | 2,899,625 | 2,282,356 | |
| 2 | Prior-Year Adjustments | 2,877,000 | 753,239 | 4,188,013 | 520,415 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 3 | Adjusted Beginning Balance | 29,083,661 | 10,008,556 | 11,144,200 | 9,821,028 | 6,297,317 | 2,899,625 | 2,282,356 | |
| 4 | REVENUES: | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | Jury Instructions Royalties | 532,783 | 552,000 | 607,672 | 736,258 | 748,581 | 748,581 | 748,581 | |
| 6 | Interest from SMIF | 100,734 | 170,114 | 415,663 | 749,951 | 749,951 | 749,951 | 749,951 | |
| 7 | Escheat-Unclaimed Checks, Warrants, Bonds | 2,000 | 1,085 | 7,615 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 | |
| 8 | 50/50 Excess Fines Split Revenue | 23,702,658 | 20,219,295 | 13,160,903 | 11,651,850 | 11,194,497 | 10,746,717 | 10,746,717 | |
| 9 | 2% Automation Fund Revenue | 14,730,023 | 12,463,280 | 12,792,097 | 11,027,329 | 10,220,439 | 9,619,927 | 9,619,927 | |
| 10 | Other Revenues/SCO Adjustments | 28,233 | 62,857 | 0 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | |
| 11 | Class Action Residue | | | | 95,880 | 95,880 | 95,880 | 95,880 | |
| 12 | Subtotal Revenues | 39,096,431 | 33,468,632 | 26,983,950 | 24,273,268 | 23,021,348 | 21,973,056 | 21,973,056 | |
| 13 | Transfers and Other Adjustments | | | | | | | | |
| 14 | To TCTF (GC 77209(k)) | (13,397,000) | (13,397,000) | (13,397,000) | (13,397,000) | (13,397,000) | (13,397,000) | (13,397,000) | |
| 15 | To Trial Court Trust Fund (Budget Act) | (20,594,000) | (594,000) | (594,000) | (594,000) | (594,000) | (594,000) | (594,000) | |
| 16 | Total Revenues, Transfers, and Other Adjustments | 5,105,431 | 19,477,632 | 12,992,950 | 10,282,268 | 9,030,348 | 7,982,056 | 7,982,056 | |
| 17 | Total Resources | 34,189,092 | 29,486,188 | 24,137,150 | 20,103,296 | 15,327,665 | 10,881,681 | 5,699,700 | |
| 18 | EXPENDITURES: | | | | | | | | |
| 19 | Judicial Branch Total State Operations | 13,289,265 | 14,213,000 | 6,002,763 | 6,149,110 | 4,851,841 | 5,030,050 | 4,366,942 | |
| 20 | Judicial Branch Total Local Assistance | 50,353,510 | 52,535,000 | 65,451,774 | 61,668,869 | 55,620,199 | 53,945,987 | 51,574,150 | |
| 21 | Total Expenditures | 63,642,775 | 66,748,001 | 71,454,537 | 67,817,979 | 60,472,040 | 58,976,037 | 55,941,092 | |
| 22 | Expenditure Adjustments: | | | | | | | | |
| 23 | Pro Rata and Other Adjustments | | | 660,000 | 306,000 | 306,000 | 306,000 | 306,000 | |
| 24 | Less funding provided by General Fund (Local Assistance) | 38,709,000 | 44,218,000 | 56,618,000 | 54,318,000 | 48,350,000 | 46,118,000 | 44,927,000 | |
| 25 | Total Expenditures and Adjustments | 24,933,775 | 22,530,001 | 14,836,537 | 13,805,979 | 12,428,040 | 13,164,037 | 11,320,092 | |
| 26 | Fund Balance | | | | 6,297,317 | 2,899,625 | -2,282,356 | -5,620,392 | |
| 27 | Restricted Funds - Jury Management | 816,367 | 882,733 | 1,104,525 | 1,016,783 | 1,281,364 | 1,545,945 | 1,545,945 | |
| 28 | Restricted Funds - Sargent Shriver Civil Counsel | | | | 95,880 | 191,760 | 287,640 | 383,520 | |
| 29 | Fund Balance - Less Restricted Funds | 9,255,317 | 6,956,187 | 9,300,613 | 5,184,654 | 1,426,501 | -4,115,941 | -7,549,857 | |
| 30 | Structural Balance | -19,828,344 | -3,052,369 | -1,843,587 | -3,523,711 | -3,397,692 | -5,181,981 | -3,338,036 | |

Trial Court Trust Fund - Detailed Fund Condition Statement

| # | Year-End Financial Statement Oescription | | | | Estimated | | | | |
|----|---|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| # | Description | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 2016-17 | 2017-18 | 2018-19 | 2019-20 | 2020-21 | 2021-22 |
| 1 | Beginning Fund Balance | 21,218,232 | 6,614,017 | 34,829,875 | 66,569,098 | 55,208,186 | 44,902,561 | 59,834,444 | 47,720,632 |
| 2 | Prior-Year Adjustments | 5,624,798 | 7,208,461 | 5,759,000 | 2,479,627 | | - | - | - |
| 3 | Revenues and Transfers | 2,333,631,984 | 2,431,232,814 | 2,486,227,327 | 2,464,977,324 | 2,641,096,419 | 2,630,663,817 | 2,608,663,817 | 2,608,663,817 |
| 4 | Total Revenues | 1,341,324,951 | 1,294,611,392 | 1,270,421,327 | 1,267,154,024 | 1,258,365,437 | 1,249,701,823 | 1,249,701,823 | 1,249,701,823 |
| 5 | Transfers/Charges/Reimbursements | | | | | | | | |
| 6 | General Fund Transfer | 922,648,255 | 943,724,000 | 1,021,832,000 | 986,281,000 | 1,158,535,000 | 1,158,535,000 | 1,158,535,000 | 1,158,535,000 |
| 7 | General Fund Transfer - Court-Appointed Dependency Counsel | | 114,700,000 | 114,700,000 | 136,700,000 | 136,700,000 | 136,700,000 | 114,700,000 | 114,700,000 |
| 8 | General Fund Transfer - Revenue Backfill | 30,900,000 | 58,900,000 | 61,300,000 | 55,000,000 | 89,094,000 | 89,094,000 | 89,094,000 | 89,094,000 |
| 9 | GF Backfill Adjustments | | | | | (21,260,318) | (22,538,306) | (22,538,306) | (22,538,306) |
| 10 | Proposed backfill for Civil Assessments | | | | - | - | | | |
| 11 | General Fund Loan - Statewide E-Filing | | | | 671,000 | 491,000 | | | |
| 12 | Reduction Offset Transfers | 26,080,000 | 6,080,000 | 6,080,000 | 6,080,000 | 6,080,000 | 6,080,000 | 6,080,000 | 6,080,000 |
| 13 | Net Other Transfers/Charges/Reimbursements | 12,678,778 | 13,217,422 | 11,894,000 | 13,091,300 | 13,091,300 | 13,091,300 | 13,091,300 | 13,091,300 |
| 14 | Total Resources | 2,360,475,014 | 2,445,055,292 | 2,526,816,202 | 2,534,026,049 | 2,696,304,605 | 2,675,566,378 | 2,668,498,261 | 2,656,384,449 |
| 15 | Expenditures/Encumbrances/Allocations | | | | | | | | |
| 16 | Program 30/30.05 (0140010) - Judicial Council (AOC Staff) | 4,095,938 | 3,620,851 | 2,306,934 | 3,842,676 | 3,616,676 | 3,127,676 | 3,127,676 | 3,130,676 |
| 17 | Program 30.15 (Formerly Program 45.10) (0140019) - Trial Court Operations | 15,622,980 | 12,369,281 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 18 | Program 45.10 (0150010) - Support for Operation of the Trial Courts | 1,883,174,214 | 1,816,242,767 | 1,860,003,547 | 1,839,647,639 | 2,009,126,713 | 1,983,417,296 | 1,989,061,432 | 1,989,061,432 |
| 19 | Program 0150011 - Court-Appointed Dependency Counsel | - | 114,387,117 | 114,699,919 | 136,700,000 | 136,700,000 | 136,700,000 | 136,700,000 | 136,700,000 |
| 20 | Program 45.15 - Trial Court Security | - | - | | - | - | - | - | - |
| 21 | Program 45.25 (0150019) - Compensation of Superior Court Judges | 319,803,869 | 330,369,783 | 335,384,000 | 338,231,000 | 338,161,000 | 338,161,000 | 338,161,000 | 338,231,000 |
| 22 | Program 45.35 (0150028) - Assigned Judges | 24,792,538 | 25,199,733 | 25,923,351 | 27,005,000 | 28,117,000 | 28,117,000 | 28,117,000 | 28,117,000 |
| 23 | Program 45.45 (0150037) - Court Interpreters | 96,802,928 | 99,598,715 | 102,282,915 | 105,481,840 | 115,749,840 | 106,615,840 | 106,615,842 | 103,632,000 |
| 24 | 9892 Supplemental Pension Payments (State Ops) | | | | | 98,000 | 105,000 | 169,000 | 177,000 |
| 25 | Program 0150095 - Expenses on Behalf of the Trial Courts | - | - | 11,391,069 | 11,341,570 | 10,636,887 | 10,745,269 | 10,033,380 | 10,450,380 |
| 26 | General Fund Transfer - Revenue Backfill Shortfall | | | | 7,000,000 | | | | |
| 27 | Item 601 - Redevelopment Agency Writ Case Reimbursements | 704,280 | 291,169 | 108,368 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 28 | Total, Expenditures/Encumbrances/Allocations | 2,353,860,997 | 2,410,225,417 | 2,460,247,104 | 2,478,817,863 | 2,651,402,044 | 2,615,731,934 | 2,620,777,629 | 2,618,288,787 |
| 29 | Ending Fund Balance | 6,614,017 | 34,829,875 | 66,569,098 | 55,208,186 | 44,902,561 | 59,834,444 | 47,720,632 | 38,095,662 |
| 30 | Total Restricted Funds | 16,294,708 | 13,769,783 | 23,922,139 | 24,389,519 | 21,767,628 | 19,880,690 | 17,880,848 | 17,880,848 |
| 31 | Ending Unrestricted Fund Balance | (9,680,691) | 21,060,092 | 42,646,960 | 30,818,667 | 23,134,932 | 39,953,755 | 29,839,784 | 20,214,814 |
| 32 | Net Revenue/Transfers Over or (Under) Expenditures | (20,229,013) | 21,007,397 | 25,980,223 | (13,840,539) | (10,305,625) | 14,931,883 | (12,113,812) | (9,624,970) |
| 33 | Appropriation Authority | 2,374,768,317 | 2,422,079,000 | 2,492,488,255 | 2,584,707,000 | 2,676,591,000 | 2,670,958,000 | 2,670,958,000 | 2,670,958,000 |
| 34 | Appropriation Authority Surplus/(Deficit) | 20,907,320 | 11,853,583 | 32,241,151 | 105,889,137 | 25,188,956 | 55,226,066 | 50,180,371 | 52,669,213 |